Designation: ~~C1341 – 13~~ C1341 – 13 (Reapproved 2018)

Standard Test Method for Flexural Properties of Continuous Fiber-Reinforced Advanced Ceramic Composites[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1341; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

1.1 This test method covers the determination of flexural properties of continuous fiber-reinforced ceramic composites in the form of rectangular bars formed directly or cut from sheets, plates, or molded shapes. Three test geometries are described as follows:

1.1.1 *Test Geometry I*—A three-point loading system utilizing center point force application on a simply supported beam.

1.1.2 *Test Geometry IIA*—A four-point loading system utilizing two force application points equally spaced from their adjacent support points, with a distance between force application points of ~~one half~~ one-half of the support span.

1.1.3 *Test Geometry IIB*—A four-point loading system utilizing two force application points equally spaced from their adjacent support points, with a distance between force application points of ~~one third~~ one-third of the support span.

1.2 This test method applies primarily to all advanced ceramic matrix composites with continuous fiber reinforcement: ~~uni-directional (1-D), bi-directional (2-D), tri-directional (3-D),~~unidirectional (1D), bidirectional (2D), tridirectional (3D), and other continuous fiber architectures. In addition, this test method may also be used with glass (amorphous) matrix composites with continuous fiber reinforcement. However, flexural strength cannot be determined for those materials that do not break or fail by tension or compression in the outer fibers. This test method does not directly address discontinuous fiber-reinforced, whisker-reinforced, or particulate-reinforced ceramics. Those types of ceramic matrix composites are better tested in flexure using Test Methods C1161 and C1211.

1.3 Tests can be performed at ambient temperatures or at elevated temperatures. At elevated temperatures, a suitable furnace is necessary for heating and holding the test specimens at the desired testing temperatures.

1.4 This test method includes the following:

---

[1] This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C28 on Advanced Ceramics and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C28.07 on Ceramic Matrix Composites.

Current edition approved ~~Feb. 15, 2013~~July 1, 2018. Published ~~April 2013~~July 2018. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in ~~2006~~2013 as ~~C1341 – 06.~~C1341 – 13. DOI: ~~10.1520/C1341-13.~~10.1520/C1341-13R18.

***A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard**

1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard in accordance with IEEE/ASTM SI 10.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate ~~safety~~ safety, health, and ~~health~~environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.7 This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*[2]

C1145 Terminology of Advanced Ceramics
C1161 Test Method for Flexural Strength of Advanced Ceramics at Ambient Temperature
C1211 Test Method for Flexural Strength of Advanced Ceramics at Elevated Temperatures
C1239 Practice for Reporting Uniaxial Strength Data and Estimating Weibull Distribution Parameters for Advanced Ceramics
C1292 Test Method for Shear Strength of Continuous Fiber-Reinforced Advanced Ceramics at Ambient Temperatures
D790 Test Methods for Flexural Properties of Unreinforced and Reinforced Plastics and Electrical Insulating Materials
D2344/D2344M Test Method for Short-Beam Strength of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials and Their Laminates
D3878 Terminology for Composite Materials
~~D6856~~D6856/D6856M Guide for Testing Fabric-Reinforced “Textile” Composite Materials
E4 Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines
E6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing
E122 Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process
E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods
E220 Test Method for Calibration of Thermocouples By Comparison Techniques
E337 Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)
E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method
IEEE/ASTM SI 10 American National Standard for Use of the International System of Units (SI): The Modern Metric System

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:*

3.1.1 The definitions of terms relating to flexure testing appearing in Terminology E6 apply to the terms used in this test method. The definitions of terms relating to advanced ceramics appearing in Terminology C1145 apply to the terms used in this test method. The definitions of terms relating to fiber-reinforced composites appearing in Terminology D3878 apply to the terms used in this test method. Pertinent definitions as listed in Test Method C1161, Test Methods D790, Terminology C1145, Terminology D3878, and Terminology E6 are shown in the following, with the appropriate source given in brackets. Additional terms used in conjunction with this test method are also defined in the following.

3.1.2 *advanced ceramic, n*—highly engineered, high-performance, predominately nonmetallic, inorganic, ceramic material having specific functional attributes. **C1145**

3.1.3 *breaking ~~force, n~~force [F], ~~[F~~n—~~]~~*~~—The~~the force at which fracture occurs. (In this test method, fracture consists of breakage of the test bar into two or more pieces or a loss of at least 20 % of the maximum force carrying capacity.) **E6**

3.1.4 *ceramic matrix composite, n*—material consisting of two or more materials (insoluble in one another) in which the major, continuous component (matrix component) is a ceramic, while the secondary component(s) (reinforcing component) may be ceramic, glass-ceramic, glass, metal, or organic in nature. These components are combined on a macroscale to form a useful engineering material possessing certain properties or behavior not possessed by the individual constituents.

3.1.5 *continuous fiber-reinforced ceramic composite (CFCC), n*—ceramic matrix composite in which the reinforcing phase consists of a continuous fiber, continuous yarn, or a woven fabric.

3.1.6 *flexural ~~strength, n~~ strength [FL~~[FL~~$^{-2}$], ~~]~~n—*~~—~~measure of the ultimate strength of a specified beam in bending. **C1161**

3.1.7 *four-point-⅓ ~~point~~-point flexure, n*—a configuration of flexural strength testing where a test specimen is symmetrically loaded at two locations that are situated ~~one third~~ one-third of the overall span away from the outer two support bearings.

---

[2] For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

3.1.8 *four-point-¼~~ point~~-point flexure, n*—a configuration of flexural strength testing where a test specimen is symmetrically loaded at two locations that are situated ~~one quarter~~ one-quarter of the overall span away from the outer two support bearings. **C1161**

3.1.9 *fracture ~~strength, n~~ strength [FL~~[FL~~$^{-2}$], ~~]~~n—*~~—~~the calculated flexural stress at the breaking force.

3.1.10 *modulus of ~~elasticity, n~~ elasticity [FL~~[FL~~$^{-2}$], ~~]~~n—*~~—~~the ratio of stress to corresponding strain below the proportional limit. **E6**

3.1.11 *proportional limit ~~stress, n~~ stress [FL~~[FL~~$^{-2}$], ~~]~~n—*~~—~~greatest stress that a material is capable of sustaining without any deviation from proportionality of stress to strain ~~(Hooke's~~(Hooke's law).

3.1.11.1 *Discussion—*

Many experiments have shown that values observed for the proportional limit vary greatly with the sensitivity and accuracy of the testing equipment, eccentricity of force application, the scale to which the stress-strain diagram is plotted, and other factors. When determination of proportional limit is required, the procedure and sensitivity of the test equipment shall be specified. **E6**

3.1.12 *slow crack growth, n*—subcritical crack growth (extension) that may result from, but is not restricted to, such mechanisms as environmentally assisted stress corrosion or diffusive crack growth.

3.1.13 *span-to-depth ~~ratio, n~~ratio [nd], ~~[nd~~n—~~]~~*~~—~~for a particular test specimen geometry and flexure test configuration, the ratio (*L/d*) of the outer support span length (*L*) of the flexure test specimen to the thickness/depth (*d*) of test specimen (as used and described in Test ~~Method~~Methods D790).

3.1.14 *three-point flexure, n*—a configuration of flexural strength testing where a test specimen is loaded at a location midway between two support bearings. **C1161**

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 A bar of rectangular cross section is tested in flexure as a beam as in one of the following three geometries:

4.1.1 *Test Geometry I*—The bar rests on two supports and force is applied by means of a loading roller midway between the supports (see Fig. 1~~.)~~).



FIG. 1 Flexure Test Geometries and Force Diagram

4.1.2 *Test Geometry IIA*—The bar rests on two supports and force is applied at two points (by means of two inner rollers), each an equal distance from the adjacent outer support point. The inner support points are situated ~~one quarter~~ one-quarter of the overall span away from the outer two support bearings. The distance between the inner rollers (that is, the load span) is ~~one half~~ one-half of the support span (see Fig. 1).

4.1.3 *Test Geometry IIB*—The bar rests on two supports and force is applied at two points (by means of two loading rollers), situated ~~one third~~ one-third of the overall span away from the outer two support bearings. The distance between the inner rollers (that is, the inner support span) is ~~one third~~ one-third of the outer support span (see Fig. 1).

4.2 The test specimen is deflected until rupture occurs in the outer fibers or until there is a 20 % decrease from the peak force.

4.3 The flexural properties of the test specimen (flexural strength and strain, fracture strength and strain, modulus of elasticity, and stress-strain curves) are calculated from the force and deflection using elastic beam equations.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is used for material development, quality control, and material flexural specifications. Although flexural test methods are commonly used to determine design strengths of monolithic advanced ceramics, the use of flexure test data for determining tensile or compressive properties of CFCC materials is strongly discouraged. The nonuniform stress distributions in the flexure test specimen, the dissimilar mechanical behavior in tension and compression for CFCCs, low shear strengths of CFCCs, and anisotropy in fiber architecture all lead to ambiguity in using flexure results for CFCC material design data (**1-4**).[3] Rather, uniaxial-forced tensile and compressive tests are recommended for developing CFCC material design data based on a uniformly stressed test condition.

5.2 In this test method, the flexure stress is computed from elastic beam theory with the simplifying assumptions that the material is homogeneous and linearly elastic. This is valid for composites where the principal fiber direction is coincident/transverse with the axis of the beam. These assumptions are necessary to calculate a flexural strength value, but limit the application to comparative type testing such as used for material development, quality control, and flexure specifications. Such comparative testing requires consistent and standardized test conditions, that is, test specimen geometry/thickness, strain rates, and atmospheric/test conditions.

5.3 Unlike monolithic advanced ceramics which fracture catastrophically from a single dominant flaw, CFCCs generally experience “graceful” fracture from a cumulative damage process. Therefore, the volume of material subjected to a uniform flexural stress may not be as significant a factor in determining the flexural strength of CFCCs. However, the need to test a statistically significant number of flexure test specimens is not eliminated. Because of the probabilistic nature of the strength of the brittle matrices and of the ceramic fiber in CFCCs, a sufficient number of test specimens at each testing condition is required for statistical analysis, with guidelines for sufficient numbers provided in 9.7. Studies to determine the exact influence of test specimen volume on strength distributions for CFCCs are not currently available.

5.4 The four-point loading geometries (Geometries IIA and IIB) are preferred over the three-point loading geometry (Geometry I). In the four-point loading geometry, a larger portion of the test specimen is subjected to the maximum tensile and compressive stresses, as compared to the three-point loading geometry. If there is a statistical/Weibull character failure in the particular composite system being tested, the size of the maximum stress region will play a role in determining the mechanical properties. The four-point geometry may then produce more reliable statistical data.

5.5 Flexure tests provide information on the strength and deformation of materials under complex flexural stress conditions. In CFCCs nonlinear stress-strain behavior may develop as the result of cumulative damage processes (for example, matrix cracking, matrix/fiber debonding, fiber fracture, delamination, etc.) which may be influenced by testing mode, testing rate, processing effects, or environmental influences. Some of these effects may be consequences of stress corrosion or subcritical (slow) crack growth which can be minimized by testing at sufficiently rapid rates as outlined in 10.3 of this test method.

5.6 Because of geometry effects, the results of flexure tests of test specimens fabricated to standardized test dimensions from a particular material or selected portions of a component, or both, cannot be categorically used to define the strength and deformation properties of the entire, full-size end product or its in-service behavior in different environments. The effects of size and geometry shall be carefully considered in extrapolating the test results to other configurations and performance conditions.

5.7 For quality control purposes, results from standardized flexure test specimens may be considered indicative of the response of the material lot from which they were taken with the given primary processing conditions and post-processing heat treatments.

5.8 The flexure behavior and strength of a CFCC are dependent on its inherent resistance to fracture, the presence of fracture sources, ~~or~~ damage accumulation processes, or ~~combination~~combinations thereof. Analysis of fracture surfaces and fractography, though beyond the scope of this test method, is highly recommended.

---

[3] The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

6. Interferences

6.1 A CFCC material tested in flexure may fail in a variety of distinct fracture modes, depending on the interaction of the nonuniform stress fields in the flexure test specimen and the local mechanical properties. The test specimen may fail in tension, compression, shear, or in a mix of different modes, depending on which mode reaches the critical stress level for failure to initiate. To obtain a valid flexural strength by this test method, the material must fail in the outer fiber surface in tension or compression, rather than by shear failure. The geometry of the test specimen must be chosen so that shear stresses are kept low relative to the tension and compression stresses. This is done by maintaining a high ratio between the support span ~~(L)~~(*L*) and the thickness/depth ~~(d)~~(*d*) of the test specimen. This *L/d* ratio is generally kept at values of ≥16 for ~~3-point~~three-point testing and ≥30 for ~~4-point~~four-point testing. If the span-to-depth ratio is too low, the test specimen may fail in shear, invalidating the test. If the desired mode of failure is shear, then an appropriate shear test method should be used, such as Test Method C1292 or D2344/D2344M.

6.2 Time-dependent phenomena, such as stress corrosion and slow crack growth, can interfere with the determination of the flexural strength at room and elevated temperatures. Creep phenomena also become significant at elevated temperatures. Both mechanisms can cause stress relaxation in flexure test specimens during a strength test, thereby causing the elastic formula calculations to be in error. Test environment (vacuum, inert gas, ambient air, ~~etc.)~~etc.), including moisture content (for example, relative ~~humidity)~~humidity), may have an accelerating effect on stress corrosion and slow crack growth. Testing to evaluate the maximum strength potential of a material should be conducted in inert environments or at sufficiently rapid testing rates, or both, so as to minimize slow crack growth effects. Conversely, testing can be conducted in environments and testing modes and rates representative of service conditions to evaluate material performance under use conditions. When testing is conducted in uncontrolled ambient air with the intent of evaluating maximum strength potential, monitor and report the relative humidity and temperature.

6.3 Surface preparation of test specimens, although normally not considered a major concern in CFCCs, can introduce fracture sources on the surface which may have pronounced effects on flexural mechanical properties and behavior (for example, elastic and nonelastic regions of the stress-strain curve, flexural strength and strain, proportional limit stress and strain, etc.). Machining damage introduced during test specimen preparation can be either a random interfering factor in the determination of flexure strength of test specimen or an inherent part of the strength characteristics being measured. Surface preparation can also lead to the introduction of residual stresses. Universal or standardized test methods of surface preparation for CFCCs do not exist. It should be understood that final machining steps may or may not negate machining damage introduced during the initial machining. Thus, test specimen fabrication history may play an important role in the measured strength distributions and should be reported. In addition, the nature of fabrication used for certain composites (for example, chemical vapor infiltration, hot pressing, and preceramic polymer lamination) may require the testing of specimens in the as-processed condition (that is, it may not be possible or appropriate to machine the test specimen faces).

6.4 Fractures that initiate outside the uniformly stressed region of a flexure test specimen (between the inner support points in four-point and under the center point in three-point) may be due to factors such as stress concentrations or strength limiting features in the microstructure of the test specimen. Fractures ~~which~~that do occur outside the uniformly stressed sections will normally constitute invalid tests. If the flexure data is used in the context of estimating Weibull parameters, then appropriate computational methods shall be used for such censored data. These methods are outlined in Practice C1239.

6.5 Flexural strength at elevated ~~temperature~~temperatures may be strongly dependent on force application rate as a consequence of creep, stress corrosion, or slow crack growth effects. This test method measures the flexural strength at high force application rates in order to minimize these effects.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *Testing Machine*—Test the flexure test specimens in a properly calibrated testing machine that can be operated at constant rates of ~~cross-head~~crosshead motion over the range required. The error in the force measuring system shall not exceed ±1 % of the maximum force being measured. The force-indicating mechanism shall be essentially free from inertial lag at the ~~cross-head~~crosshead rate used. Although not recommended, if the ~~cross-head~~crosshead displacement is used to determine the test specimen deflection for the three-point loading geometry, determine the compliance of the load train (see Appendix X1~~),~~) so that appropriate corrections can be made to the deflection measurement. Equip the system with a means for retaining the readout of the maximum force, as well as a record of force versus time. Verify the accuracy of the testing machine in accordance with Practices E4.

7.2 *Loading Fixtures*—The outer support span and the desired test geometry determine the dimensions and geometry of the loading fixture. Select the fixture geometry from one of three configurations: ~~3-point, 4-point-~~three-point, four-point-¼ ~~point,~~-point, and ~~4-point-~~four-point-⅓ ~~point.~~-point. The thickness of the test specimen to be tested determines the critical outer span dimension (*L*) of the loading fixture. The overall dimensions of the test specimen and the required inner and outer support spans are selected based on the specimen thickness, the desired test geometry, and the required span-to-depth ratio. ~~Table 1~~Tables 1-3~~, Table 2, and Table 3~~give the recommended support spans for different span/depth ratios, test specimen thicknesses, and the three test geometries. Loading fixtures shall be wide enough to support the entire width of the selected test specimen geometry.

TABLE 1 Recommended Dimensions for Test Specimens of ~~9.1~~9.1 for Various ~~outer support span-to-Depth Ratios—Test~~ Outer Support Span-to-Depth Ratios – Test Geometry I (3-Point)

Nominal ~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Depth/ Thickness (mm)	~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Width (mm)	~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Length (mm)	Support Span (mm)	Rate of ~~Cross-Head~~Crosshead^A Motion (mm/s)
		L/d = 16 to 1		
1	3	26	16	0.04
2	6	45	32	0.09
3	9	60	48	0.13
4	12	75	64	0.17
5	15	90	80	0.21
6	18	105	96	0.26
10	30	180	160	0.43
15	45	270	240	0.64
20	60	360	320	0.86
		L/d = 32 to 1		
1	3	42	32	0.17
2	6	75	64	0.34
3	9	105	96	0.51
4	12	145	128	0.68
5	15	180	160	0.86
6	18	210	192	1.03
10	30	360	320	1.71
15	45	530	480	2.57
20	60	710	640	3.42
		L/d = 40 to 1		
1	3	50	40	0.27
2	6	90	80	0.53
3	9	135	120	0.80
4	12	180	160	1.07
5	15	220	200	1.34
6	18	265	240	1.60
10	30	440	400	2.67
15	45	660	600	4.01
20	60	880	800	5.34
		L/d = 60 to 1		
1	3	70	60	0.60
2	6	135	120	1.20
3	9	200	180	1.80
4	12	265	240	2.40
5	15	330	300	3.01
6	18	400	360	3.61
10	30	660	600	6.01
15	45	1000	900	9.02
20	60	1350	1200	12.02

^{A} Rates indicated are for a strain rate of 0.001 mm/mm·s.

7.2.1 Ensure that the design and construction of the fixtures ~~produces~~produce even and uniform forces along the bearing-to-specimen surfaces. A rigid loading fixture is permitted, if it is designed and aligned so that forces are evenly applied to the test specimen, particularly for four-point loading geometries. It is preferred, however, that load fixtures with an articulating geometry be used. An articulated loading fixture reduces or eliminates uneven force application caused by geometry variations of the test specimen or misalignment of the test fixtures.

7.2.2 *Semi-Articulating Fixtures*—Test specimens prepared in accordance with and meeting the parallelism requirement of 9.4 may be tested in a semi-articulating fixture. The bearing cylinders shall be parallel to each other within 0.1 mm over their length. (A representative design for a four-point fixture is illustrated in Fig. 2~~.).~~.)

7.2.3 *Fully Articulating Fixture*—Test specimens with slight warp, twist, or bowing may not meet the parallelism requirements of 9.4. It is recommended that such test specimens be tested in a fully articulating fixture. (A representative design for a four-point fixture is illustrated in Fig. 3.)

7.2.4 The test fixture shall be made of a material that is suitably rigid and resistant to permanent deformation at the forces and temperatures of testing. The test fixture material shall be essentially inert at the desired test temperatures.

7.3 *Inner/Outer/Center Support Bearings*—In both the three-point and four-point flexure test fixtures, use cylindrical bearings for support of the test specimen and for force application. The cylinders shall be made of a tool steel or a ceramic with an elastic modulus between 200 and 400 GPa and a flexural strength no less than 275 MPa. The inner/outer/center support bearing cylinders shall remain elastic over the force and temperature ranges used.

TABLE 2 Recommended Dimensions for Test Specimens of ~~9.1~~9.1 for Various ~~outer support span-to-Depth Ratios—Test Geometry II-A~~Outer Support Span-to-Depth Ratios – Test Geometry IIA ~~(4 Point-~~(4-Point-¼~~ Point)~~-Point)

Nominal ~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Depth/ Thickness (mm)	~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Width (mm)	~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Length (mm)	Support Span (mm)	~~force~~Force Span (mm)	Rate of ~~Cross-Head~~Crosshead^A Motion (mm/s)
		L/d = 16 to 1			
1	3	26	16	8	0.04
2	6	45	32	16	0.09
3	9	60	48	24	0.13
4	12	75	64	32	0.17
5	15	90	80	40	0.21
6	18	105	96	48	0.26
10	30	180	160	80	0.43
15	45	270	240	120	0.64
20	60	360	320	160	0.86
		L/d = 32 to 1			
1	3	42	32	16	0.17
2	6	75	64	32	0.34
3	9	105	96	48	0.51
4	12	145	128	64	0.68
5	15	180	160	80	0.86
6	18	210	192	96	1.03
10	30	360	320	160	1.71
15	45	530	480	240	2.57
20	60	710	640	320	3.42
		L/d = 40 to 1			
1	3	50	40	20	0.27
2	6	90	80	40	0.53
3	9	135	120	60	0.80
4	12	180	160	80	1.07
5	15	220	200	100	1.34
6	18	265	240	120	1.60
10	30	440	400	200	2.67
15	45	660	600	300	4.01
20	60	880	800	400	5.34
		L/d = 60 to 1			
1	3	70	60	30	0.60
2	6	135	120	60	1.20
3	9	200	180	90	1.80
4	12	265	240	120	2.40
5	15	330	300	150	3.01
6	18	400	360	180	3.61
10	30	660	600	300	6.01
15	45	1000	900	450	9.02
20	60	1350	1200	600	12.02

[A] Rates indicated are for a strain rate of 0.001 mm/mm·s.

7.3.1 Ensure that the inner/outer/center support bearings have cylindrical surfaces that are smooth and parallel along their length to an accuracy of ±0.05 mm. In order to avoid excessive indentation or crushing failure directly under the bearing contact surface, the ~~bearing-surface~~ bearing surface diameter shall be at least 3.0 mm. The ~~bearing-surface~~ bearing surface diameter shall be approximately 1.5 times the beam depth of the test specimen size used. If the test specimen has low through-thickness compressive strength, the cylinder diameter shall be four times the beam thickness to prevent crushing at the force application points.

NOTE 1—In such circumstances, however, there is a possible error due to contact-point tangency shift due to the change in force application point as the test specimen deflects during force application. The magnitude of this error can be estimated from ~~Ref.~~Ref ~~5.~~(5).

7.3.2 Position the outer support bearing cylinders carefully such that the outer support span distance is accurate to a tolerance of 1 %. The force application bearing for the three-point configuration shall be positioned midway between the support bearings to an accuracy of 1 % of the outer span length. The force application (inner) bearings for the four-point configurations shall be properly positioned with respect to the support (outer) bearings to an accuracy of 1 % of the outer span length.

7.3.3 For articulating fixtures, the bearing cylinders shall be free to rotate in order to relieve frictional constraints (with the exception of the center bearing cylinder in three-point flexure, which need not rotate). This can be accomplished as shown in ~~Fig. 2~~Figs. 2 and 3~~ and Fig. 3~~. Note that the outer support bearings roll outward, and the inner support bearings roll inward.

NOTE 2—In general, fixed-pin fixtures have frictional constraints that have been shown to cause a systematic error on the order of 5 to 15 % in flexural

TABLE 3 Recommended Dimensions for Test Specimens of ~~9.1~~9.1 for Various ~~outer support span-to-Depth Ratios—Test Geometry II-B~~Outer Support Span-to-Depth Ratios – Test Geometry IIB
~~(4 Point-~~(4-Point-⅓ ~~Point)~~-Point)

Nominal ~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Depth/ Thickness (mm)	~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Width (mm)	~~test specimen~~Test Specimen Length (mm)	Support Span (mm)	~~force~~Force Span (mm)	Rate of ~~Cross-Head~~Crosshead^A Motion (mm/s)
		L/d = 16 to 1			
1	3	26	16	5.3	0.05
2	6	45	32	10.6	0.09
3	9	60	48	16.0	0.14
4	12	75	64	21.3	0.19
5	15	90	80	26.7	0.24
6	18	105	96	32.0	0.28
10	30	180	160	53.3	0.47
15	45	270	240	80.0	0.71
20	60	360	320	106.7	0.95
		L/d = 32 to 1			
1	3	42	32	10.7	0.19
2	6	75	64	21.3	0.38
3	9	105	96	32.0	0.57
4	12	145	128	42.7	0.76
5	15	180	160	53.3	0.95
6	18	210	192	64.0	1.14
10	30	360	320	106.7	1.89
15	45	530	480	160.0	2.84
20	60	710	640	213.3	3.79
		L/d = 40 to 1			
1	3	50	40	13.3	0.30
2	6	90	80	26.7	0.59
3	9	135	120	40.0	0.89
4	12	180	160	53.3	1.18
5	15	220	200	66.7	1.48
6	18	265	240	80.0	1.78
10	30	440	400	133.3	2.96
15	45	660	600	200.0	4.44
20	60	880	800	266.7	5.92
		L/d = 60 to 1			
1	3	70	60	20.0	0.67
2	6	135	120	40.0	1.33
3	9	200	180	60.0	2.00
4	12	265	240	80.0	2.66
5	15	330	300	100.0	3.33
6	18	400	360	120.0	4.00
10	30	660	600	200.0	6.66
15	45	1000	900	300.0	9.99
20	60	1350	1200	400.0	13.32
25	75	1650	1500	500.0	16.65

^{A} Rates indicated are for a strain rate of 0.001 mm/mm·s.

strength for monolithic ceramics. Since this error is systematic, it will lead to a bias in estimates of mean strength. Rolling-pin fixtures are required for articulating fixtures by this test method. It is recognized that they may not be feasible for rigid fixtures, in which case fixed-pin fixtures may be used. But this shall be stated explicitly in the report.

7.4 *Deflection Measurement*—The test system shall have a means of measuring test specimen deflection, appropriate for the geometry and the test temperature. The preferred device measures actual deflection at the centerline of the test specimen support span, using direct contact or optical function. The calibrated range of the deflectometer shall be such that the linear strain region of the material tested will represent a minimum of 20 % of the calibrated range. The deflectometer shall have an accuracy of 1 % of the maximum deflection measured.

7.5 *Strain Measurement*—The use of strain gages for ambient testing is acceptable, provided that the test material surface is smooth with little open porosity and that the applied strain gage is large enough to cover a representative area of the composite test specimen. Follow the manufacturer's recommendations regarding application and performance. Strain gages shall not interfere with the deflection measuring device.

7.6 *Heating Apparatus*—For elevated-temperature testing, any furnace that meets the temperature uniformity and control requirements described below shall be acceptable. A furnace whose heated cavity is large enough to accept the entire test fixture is preferred.



FIG. 2 Semi-Articulating Flexure Fixtures

7.6.1 The furnace shall be capable of establishing and maintaining a constant temperature (within ~~±5°C)~~±5 °C) during each test period. Measure the temperature uniformity of the test specimen across the inner support span section extending from the center to 5 mm inside the outer support points. The temperature uniformity along the inner support span shall be within ~~±5°C~~ ±5 °C for test temperatures up to and including ~~500°C~~500 °C and ±1 % for test temperatures above ~~500°C.~~500 °C.

7.6.1.1 In order to determine conformance to the temperature control and uniformity requirements, determine a temperature profile using thermocouples to measure the test specimen temperature at three ~~locations—the~~ locations: the test specimen center point and two points 5 mm inside the outer support points.

7.6.1.2 Determine temperature uniformity for all elevated-temperature testing and recheck the uniformity if any of the following parameters are changed: heating method, test specimen material, sample geometry, ~~or~~ test temperature, or ~~combination~~combinations thereof.

7.6.2 *Temperature Measurement*—The use of thermocouples (TC) is recommended and preferred; however, the use of optical pyrometery is acceptable. For TC measurement, elevated-temperature tests require the placement of one TC at the test specimen center. The sheathed TC should be within ~~1 mm~~ 1 mm of the test specimen. The use of two additional thermocouples at locations 5 mm inside the outer support points is recommended to check for temperature uniformity. Thermocouples shall be calibrated in accordance with Test Method E220, with a verified accuracy of ~~±5°C.~~±5 °C.

7.6.3 *Atmosphere Control*—The furnace may have an air, inert, or vacuum environment, as required. If an inert or vacuum environment is used, and it is necessary to apply force through a bellows, fitting, or seal, verify that force losses or errors do not exceed 1 % of the expected failure forces.

7.7 *Data Acquisition*—At ~~the~~ minimum, obtain an autographic record of the applied force and center-point deflection or sample strain versus time for the specified ~~cross-head~~crosshead rate. Either analog chart recorders or digital data acquisition systems may be used for this purpose, although a digital record is recommended for ease of subsequent data analysis. Ideally, an analog chart recorder or plotter should be used in conjunction with the digital data acquisition system to provide an immediate record of the test as a supplement to the digital record. Ensure that the recording devices have an accuracy of 0.1 % of full scale and have a minimum data acquisition rate of 10 Hz, with a response of 50 Hz deemed more than sufficient.



Note 1—One of the four inner/outer/center support bearings (for example, Roller No. 1) shall not articulate about the *x*-axis. The other three will provide the necessary degrees of freedom. The radius *R* in the bottom fixture shall be sufficiently large such that contact stresses on the roller are minimized.

FIG. 3 Fully Articulating Flexure Fixture

7.8 ~~*Dimension-Measuring*~~ *Dimension Measuring Devices*—Micrometers and other devices used for measuring linear dimensions shall be accurate and precise to at least ~~one half~~ one-half the smallest unit to which the individual dimension is required to be measured. For the purposes of this test method, measure the cross-sectional dimensions to within 0.02 mm with a measuring device with an accuracy of 0.01 mm.

7.9 *Calibration*—Calibration of equipment shall be provided by the supplier, with traceability maintained to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Recalibration shall be performed with a NIST-traceable standard on all equipment on a six-month interval or whenever accuracy is in doubt.

8. Hazards

8.1 During the conduct of this test method, the possibility of flying fragments of broken test specimens may be high. The brittle nature of advanced ceramics and the release of strain energy contribute to the potential release of uncontrolled fragments upon fracture. The containment/retention of these fragments for later fractographic reconstruction and analysis is highly recommended.