

Designation: F1468 - 04a (Reapproved 2018)

Standard Practice for Evaluation of Metallic Weapons Detectors for Controlled Access Search and Screening¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F1468; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers methods for the evaluation of walk-through metal weapons detectors and criteria for testing metal detection performance.

1.2 This practice specifies certain health, safety, and human factors criteria pertaining to the usage of the detection equipment.

1.3 This practice requires the use of non-standardized (usersupplied) test objects and test equipment. Evaluations made using the procedures outlined in this practice can be used for comparative evaluations only if the tests are made with the same equipment and test objects.

1.4 This practice is intended for use by manufacturers and evaluators of electromagnetic field devices used for screening persons entering into controlled access areas. It is not intended to set performance nor limit or constrain operating technologies, nor is it a document for use by individual operators or users of such equipment at specific access control points.

1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. Other units given in parentheses are for information only.

1.6 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. For a specific hazards statement, see warning note in 12.2.5.

1.7 This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ANSI/IEEE Standard:
C62.41 IEEE Guide for Surge Voltages in Low Voltage AC Power Circuits²

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 clean tester-person who does not carry any objects which would significantly alter the signal produced when the person carries a test object; smaller test objects require more complete elimination of metallic objects. By example but not limitation, such significant objects may include: metallic belt buckles, metal buttons, cardiac pacemakers, coins, metal-frame eye glasses, hearing aids, jewelry, keys, mechanical pens and pencils, shoes with metal shanks or arch supports, metallic surgical implants, undergarment support metal, and metal zippers. A clean tester passing through a metal detector shall not cause a disturbance signal greater than 10 % of that produced when carrying the critical test object through the detector. The tester shall have a mass between 50 and 100 kg (110 and 220 lb) and a height between 1.50 and 1.90 m (59 and 75 in.). If the detector is designed to be sensitive to body size because of design or desired sensitivity, the physical size of testers should be smaller and within a narrower range.

3.1.2 *critical orientation*—orientation of a test object which produces the smallest detection signal or weakest detection.

3.1.3 *critical sensitivity setting*—sensitivity setting of a detector at which the critical test object in its critical orientation is detected at a 90 % or greater rate at the weakest or critical test point for the detector.

3.1.4 *critical test object*—test object out of a given group of objects which, in its worst-case or critical orientation, produces the worst-case or critical sensitivity setting for a specific detector. The group shall comprise one or more objects which are to be detected under the same detector settings.

3.1.5 *critical test point*—location within the passage opening of a detector portal which produces the weakest signal response (the critical sensitivity) for the critical test object at its critical orientation.

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F12 on Security Systems and Equipmentand is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F12.60 on Controlled Access Security, Search, and Screening Equipment.

Current edition approved June 1, 2018. Published July 2018. Originally approved in 1993. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as F1468 – 04a (2010). DOI: 10.1520/F1468-04AR18.

² Available from Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE), 445 Hoes Ln., P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08854-1331, http://www.ieee.org.

3.1.6 *detector*—synonym (used in this practice for brevity) for a walk-through device for detecting weapons such as defined in 3.1.14.

3.1.7 *discrimination ratio*—expression of a detector's ability to discriminate between a weapon and innocent personal possessions; it is the ratio of the signal generated by a critical test object to the signal generated by an assortment of innocent personal possessions (see Section 8).

3.1.8 *electrical influence test probe*—air-core coil for creating electromagnetic fields that could influence detector capability (see 15.3).

3.1.9 *induced electromagnetic field test probe*—air-core coil for measuring the strength of the electromagnetic fields generated by a detector (see 15.2).

3.1.10 *outside influence*—site-related situation or occurrence of a mechanical or electrical nature which alters the normal operation of the detector.

3.1.11 *test object*—any metallic object used to evaluate the detection capability of a detector. See 7.2 for specific requirements.

3.1.12 *test probe*—testing devices as specified in 15.2 - 15.4, utilized in the simulation of outside influences.

3.1.13 *testing laboratory site*—area suitable for proper testing and evaluation of detectors (see Section 6).

3.1.14 walk-through weapons detection device (detector) freestanding screening device, utilizing an electromagnetic field within its portal structure, for detecting metallic weapons concealed on persons walking through the structure.

3.1.15 *weapon*—device intended to do damage to personnel or equipment without intentionally harming the attacker, but requiring the attacker to physically activate the device. Examples include guns, knives, and hand grenades.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 The significant attributes of this practice are the methods for determining the detection capabilities of metal detectors, the methods for determining the effects of outside influences on detectors, and certain safety requirements for detectors.

4.2 While this practice was originated for walk-through metal weapons detectors, it is equally applicable to detectors of other metal objects. The innocent objects set (15.1.2) would require modification commensurate with the size of the other object to be tested; some tests may not be applicable and other specific and different tests may be necessary.

4.3 This practice includes testing site requirements (Section 6) to minimize data variations. These methods may be used at nonconforming sites if site-related disturbances are considered and accounted for.

4.4 This practice is not meant to constrain designs but it is applicable only to detectors which are designed for individual walk-through. The portal structure shall be deemed to meet this criterion if it provides a minimum vertical clearance of 1.96 m (77 in.) and a minimum horizontal width clearance of 0.66 m (26 in.). 4.5 This practice recognizes that the complex movements of a test object when carried by a person walking through a detector limits the precision and repeatability of the resultant observed signals. Averaged results from repeated tests under identical controlled conditions are recommended to obtain a better approximation of the underlying hypothetical true value for that set of conditions.

4.6 Where the term "significant" is used, it refers to phenomena which, in accordance with accepted engineering practices, exceed the normal variation of data.

5. Safety Requirements

5.1 *Personal Health and Safety*—The health and safety of searchees, operators, and other persons using or coming in contact with the equipment shall have been considered in the equipment design. In addition to the tip-over tests in 5.4 and 5.5, any hazards concerning factors in 5.2 and 5.3 shall be included in the evaluation report.

5.2 *Mechanical*—The equipment shall be free of sharp corners of protrusions that can puncture the skin or clothing or injure persons moving normally within the immediate area. Any potential tripping hazards, such as wires, cables, anti-tilt devices, ramps, etc. shall also be noted on the report.

5.3 *Electrical*—The detector shall be free of potential electrical shock hazards during operation.

5.4 *Portal Tip-Over*—With a stop at the base of the detector to prevent sliding, a force shall be applied at or near the top of the detector in the direction of search passage until the detector starts to tip. The tipping moment, calculated as the height above the floor times the maximum force required, shall be recorded in the evaluation report. If anti-tilt fixtures or accessories are provided or recommended by the detector manufacturer, tests shall be conducted with and without such devices and recorded in the report.

5.5 Accessory Table or Pedestal Tip-Over—Test as in 5.4 except apply the force at the point and in the direction for easiest tipping. Record the resultant moment.

5.6 Tip-over testing is not required if a detector must be anchored for proper operation.

6. Testing Laboratory Site and General Requirements

6.1 *Distancing Requirements*—Sites in which detectors are tested and evaluated shall be free of significant extraneous influences.

6.1.1 Walls, furniture, lighting, electrical power lines, etc. of metallic content or of electrically influencing nature (except for lines supplying power to the detector and interconnecting its components) shall be at least 3 m (10 ft) distant.

6.1.2 Overhead structures, such as ceilings or lights, shall be at least 1 m (40 in.) distant from the nearest surface of the detector and free of electrical lines within 3 m (10 ft).

6.2 *Floor Requirements*—The floor shall be solid and not capable of transferring vibration or shake to the detector of an amplitude discernible in the detector signal output when a clean tester walks through. It shall be free of steel except for nails or reinforcing bars. No electrical lines shall run in or

under the floor closer than 2 m (6.5 ft) to any portion of the detector. If the manufacturer recommends shielding, such as an aluminum floor liner or elevated platform, it shall be in place before testing.

6.3 The working area shall include sufficient space for the detector and instrumentation equipment, and for personnel to operate it conveniently. The number of instrumentation stands or carts shall be minimized. They shall have low metal content and be located so that they do not influence test results.

6.4 Determine by appropriate engineering techniques that the testing site is free and remains free of all electrical influences which might affect the tests. This includes verification of the quality of the detector power source. Record in the evaluation report any deviations from 6.1 - 6.4.

6.5 The detector shall be in a totally operational condition, complete with such items as floor or wall shieldings, electronics pedestals, etc., in their normal operational position.

6.6 Many of the following sections require quantitative measurements of signal magnitude. Manufacturers who do not provide quantitative measurements of signal magnitude shall specify alternative procedures which will provide equivalent evaluation capability.

7. Procedure for Testing Detection Performance

7.1 The purpose of this procedure is to establish the sensitivity setting which is required for the detection of the worst-case test object in its worst orientation at the leastsensitive location within the portal opening. This is the critical sensitivity for detection of the critical test object in its critical orientation at the critical test point.

7.2 For the evaluation of detectors under this practice, the test object or objects shall be actual (not simulated) objects which, individually or collectively, represent the characteristics of the weapons or other contraband objects which it is designed to detect.

7.3 As an otherwise clean tester walks through a detector carrying a test object, the path taken by the object can be approximated by a straight line through a horizontally and vertically located point within the portal opening. This ignores the side-to-side rocking, vertical bouncing, body rotation, and velocity surging which the walking motion exhibits. These secondary motions alter the signal generated by a test object when it is carried by an otherwise clean tester, compared to the signal from the same test object when carried by a hypothetical mechanized straight-line carrier apparatus.

7.3.1 To better simulate expected actual usage, a walkthrough of a clean tester carrying a test object must be repeated sufficient times for statistical accuracy to produce the definitive critical sensitivity data.

7.4 A mechanized carrier produces more consistent data. When such equipment is available, its use is recommended for determining the intrinsic sensitivity topography of a detector. Normally, the critical test object, its critical orientation, and the critical grid location point can be determined, along with an approximate critical sensitivity. 7.4.1 A walk-through is necessary to determine the actual critical sensitivity. In the absence of a conflicting requirement, walk-throughs shall be at an approximate velocity of 1 m/s (3 ft/s).

7.5 If a mechanized carrier is not available, the same tests can be performed by a person carrying the test object. Several identical passes are necessary to obtain adequate consistency.

7.6 Test Object Orientation:

7.6.1 The nomenclature and positions given in Fig. 1 refer to the orientation of weapons (guns or other weapons) with respect to the metal detectors.

7.7 The comprehensive test for determining detector sensitivity requires passing all test objects in a group in all orientations through all points of a selected grid pattern within the portal opening. This must be repeated for all combinations of optional operating modes, multiple channels, and alternate configurations. The amount of testing required may be reduced by the following procedures.

7.7.1 In the absence of other criteria, start sensitivity measurements at a grid location point at the horizontal center between the side panels and vertically equidistant from both top and bottom ends of all panel coils; a vertical height from the floor of 0.8 to 1.0 m (30 to 40 in.) is usable.

7.7.2 Begin with the vertical object orientation 3 as indicated in Fig. 1. Pass all objects in the test object group to be evaluated through the detector. Remove test objects which produce a signal twice as large as the smallest signal for the group.

7.7.3 Test the remaining objects in the other two mutually orthogonal orientations (across and in-out). Remove objects in orientations that produce signals 50 % larger than the smallest signal.

7.7.4 Using only the objects and orientations not eliminated in 7.7.2 and 7.7.3, determine the signals produced at intervals along a vertical axis centered between the panels. If the signals are relatively constant, grid intervals of 0.2 m (8 in.) are adequate. Near the top and bottom and near any area producing abrupt changes in sensitivity, intervals of 0.1 m or 0.05 m may be necessary. The critical object and orientation will usually be the combination giving the weakest response.

7.7.4.1 The critical test object can vary as a result of program selection. Repeat 7.7.2 - 7.7.4 for all program versions to be evaluated.

7.7.5 Determine the signals at intervals across the portal opening for the critical object and orientation of 7.7.4. This shall be at a vertical height in accordance with 7.7.1, which according to 7.7.4, indicates does not have an abrupt change in sensitivity. Intervals of 100 mm (4 in.) are normally adequate unless an abrupt change is discerned. If this does occur, repeat tests across the opening at other vertical positions to locate and pinpoint the area of the critical test point.

7.7.6 For any given usage of a metal detector, it is the responsibility of the evaluator to decide on the exact area within the portal opening from which the critical detection point will be determined.

7.7.7 From the data obtained in 7.7, select the critical test object, orientation, location, and sensitivity setting within the

🖽 F1468 – 04a (2018)





area of interest in accordance with 7.7.6. Record this data for each program setting in the evaluation report.

7.7.8 For the critical sensitivity setting of 7.7.7 and with no test object, determine and record the ambient or background signal level.

7.7.9 For the critical sensitivity setting of 7.7.7 and with no test object, determine and record the signal level when the clean tester walks through.

7.7.10 For the critical conditions of 7.7.7, determine and record the effect, if any, when the walking velocity of the tester

F1468 – 04a (2018)



is reduced from the normal 1 to 0.5 m/s (3.0 to 1.5 ft/s) and also when it is increased to 1.3 m/s (4.2 ft/s).

8. Procedure for Measuring Metallic Discrimination

8.1 The primary purpose of this procedure is to determine a detector's ability to discriminate between innocent personal possessions and the objects to be detected.

8.2 With the detector operating under the same conditions and sensitivity as used for 7.7.7, determine the signal generated by a clean tester carrying the critical test object at its critical orientation and location.

8.3 With the detector operating under the same conditions and sensitivity as used for 7.7.7, determine the signal generated by a clean tester carrying only the array of personal possession objects at their given locations in accordance with 15.1.

8.4 Divide the critical test object signal by the possessions signal to obtain the discrimination ratio. Record this ratio in the evaluation report.

8.5 The entire set of possessions in 15.1.2 is suitable for testing many weapons detectors. Where a detector is to be used for small objects, larger items in the set shall be deleted until the signal from the possessions array is smaller than the signal from the smallest test object (see 15.1.2).

9. Procedure for Determining the Peak-Induced Electromagnetic Field

9.1 With the detector generating its normal detection field, use the induced electromagnetic field test probe in 15.2 to examine the volume described by the two dimensions of the detector opening and the width of the detector in the passage direction. No portion of the probe shall be closer than 200 mm (8 in.) to any side wall or side-defining structural member. Locate the position and orientation of the test probe which produces the maximum induced potential. Record the shape of the waveform and the peak-to-peak value.

9.2 For a sinusoidal waveform, the peak-to-peak field in μ T is:

$$\mu T = 0.312 V(T) \tag{1}$$

where:

V = induced peak-to-peak potential (mV), T = period, ms, and $100 \ \mu T$ = 1 G.

9.3 For a non-sinusoidal field, integrate the induced waveform using an RC integrator with a time constant such that ω RC >>1 for the lowest measured frequency. The peak-to-peak field in μ T is:

$$\mu T = 1.97 \left(R \right) \left(C \right) \left(V_o \right) \tag{2}$$

where:

- $\omega = 6.28 \times \text{frequency, Hz},$
- R = resistance, M Ω ,
- $C = \text{capacitance, } \mu\text{F}, \text{ and }$
- V_o = peak-to-peak output of RC integrator, V.

Potential amplification will be necessary. As a compromise between integration and amplification, a R-C time constant of 0.01 s has been used at pulse rates in the 200 to 300-Hz region with acceptable results.

9.4 The detector shall have an alarm to alert the operator in case a component or other failure accidentally produce electromagnetic fields below the level of the detector function.

10. Conditions Applicable to Outside Influence Tests

10.1 Tests in 11.2, 12.1, and 12.2 require measurement of detector sensitivity. For the other tests, the detector shall be in its "actively detecting" mode and operating at the critical sensitivity setting in 7.7.7.

10.2 Except for 12.1 (power supply variation), conduct all tests at the manufacturer's nominal nameplate power supply potential.

10.3 Some detectors have passive provisions for combatting outside influences, such as shields or shielded portals. When the shielding is removable, make measurements with and without shield and record two sets of data to show the effects of these provisions.

10.4 For detectors that are not symmetrical from side to side, record data for each side.

10.5 For detectors with more than one channel generating a detection signal, recorded results shall reflect the most adverse findings with respect to outside influences.

10.6 For detectors with optional operating modes, record additional sets of data to show the effects of the different modes.

10.7 For detectors which do not have electronics packages integral with the structure, repeat all distance-related tests with relation to the electronics package in its remote placement. Record the least-favorable data.

11. Procedure for Testing for Outside Influences:

11.1 Influence of Moving Metal Objects:

11.1.1 A clean tester shall carry the test object as described in 15.4, simulating a metallic briefcase outside the portal structure in a path parallel to normal passage flow at a height of 200 mm (8 in.) from its bottom edge to the floor and again at a height of 1.5 m (60 in.) from the floor.

11.1.2 Conduct the test for each portal side; determine the maximum distance between the test probe and the detector, which can generate an alarm at the critical sensitivity setting. Record this distance for each side on the evaluation report.

11.2 Influence of Reinforcing Steel in Concrete Floors:

11.2.1 Place the detector on the reinforcing-bar (re-bar) apparatus as described in 15.5.

11.2.2 Repeat the test procedure in Section 7 for grid locations near the floor to determine the change in detection caused by the presence of the re-bar. Report the general effects. If there is a detection decrease, determine and record the re-bar to test object distance at which such decrease is 10 % or less.

12. Procedure for Testing for Outside Influences: Electrical Power Line

12.1 *Supply Potential Variation*—This test determines the change of detector sensitivity as the power supply potential changes.