## Standard Practice for
## Quantitative Accelerated Laboratory Evaluation of Extraction Solutions Containing Ions Leached from Thermal Insulation on Aqueous Corrosion of Metals[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1617; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ($\varepsilon$) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

### 1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers procedures for a quantitative accelerated laboratory evaluation of the influence of extraction solutions containing ions leached from thermal insulation on the aqueous corrosion of metals. The primary intent of the practice is for use with thermal insulation and associated materials that contribute to, or alternatively inhibit, the aqueous corrosion of different types and grades of metals due to soluble ions that are leached by water from within the insulation. The quantitative evaluation criteria are Mass Loss Corrosion Rate (MLCR) expressed in mils per year determined from the weight loss due to corrosion of exposed metal coupons after they are cleaned.

1.2 The insulation extraction solutions prepared for use in the test can be altered by the addition of corrosive ions to the solutions to simulate contamination from an external source. Ions expected to provide corrosion inhibition can be added to investigate their inhibitory effect.

1.3 Prepared laboratory standard solutions are used as reference solutions and controls, to provide a means of calibration and comparison. See Fig. 1 and Table 1.

1.4 Other liquids can be tested for their potential corrosiveness including cooling tower water, boiler feed, and chemical stocks. Added chemical inhibitors or protective coatings applied to the metal can also be evaluated using the general guidelines of the practice.

1.5 This practice cannot cover all possible field conditions that contribute to aqueous corrosion. The intent is to provide an accelerated means to obtain a non-subjective numeric value for judging the potential contribution to the corrosion of metals that can come from ions contained in thermal insulation materials or other experimental solutions. The calculated numeric value is the mass loss corrosion rate. This calculation is based on general corrosion spread equally over the test duration and the exposed area of the experimental cells created for the test. Corrosion found in field situations and this accelerated test also involves pitting and edge effects and the rate changes over time.

1.6 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to SI units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate ~~safety~~ safety, health, and ~~health~~environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.8 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

### 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*[2]
A53/A53M Specification for Pipe, Steel, Black and Hot-Dipped, Zinc-Coated, Welded and Seamless

---

[1] This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C16 on Thermal Insulation and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C16.31 on Chemical and Physical Properties.

Current edition approved ~~March 1, 2015~~May 15, 2018. Published ~~April 2015~~July 2018. Originally approved in 2005. Last previous edition approved in ~~2009~~2015 as ~~C1617~~C1617 – 15. ~~– 09.~~ DOI: ~~10.1520/C1617-15.~~10.1520/C1617-18.

[2] For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

Figure 1
1-21-03

# Standard Reference Tests using
## DI Water, 1 ppm, 5 ppm, 10 ppm Chloride
### on mild carbon steel



NOTE 1—The Fig. 1 bar graph was created using the MLCR data shown in Table 1. Standard reference tests using de-ionized water, 1 ppm, 5 ppm, and 10 ppm chloride solutions were performed on mild carbon steel coupons. The calculated MLCR test results for mild carbon steel coupons were separated into four ranges. The rating criteria ranges were developed to accommodate the results obtained using this practice on the reference standards and experimental insulation samples. The ranges used are: MLCR = 0 to 15 mils = range A; MLCR = 15.1 to 35 mils = range B; MLCR = 35.1 to 60 mils = range C, MLCR = 60.1 and higher = range D. The bars on the graph represent the total number of occurrences within the range for each of the reference solutions.

NOTE 2—It is necessary for each laboratory to develop their own data, with their own individual plate or plates, metal, operators, cleaning procedures, and environmental conditions to establish the ranges of MLCR calculated for the reference standards. The insulation or other test solutions are only evaluated against the reference solution results run at the same time.

**FIG. 1 Uncertainty Test**

A105/A105M Specification for Carbon Steel Forgings for Piping Applications

C168 Terminology Relating to Thermal Insulation

C518 Test Method for Steady-State Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Heat Flow Meter Apparatus

C665 Specification for Mineral-Fiber Blanket Thermal Insulation for Light Frame Construction and Manufactured Housing

C692 Test Method for Evaluating the Influence of Thermal Insulations on External Stress Corrosion Cracking Tendency of Austenitic Stainless Steel

C739 Specification for Cellulosic Fiber Loose-Fill Thermal Insulation

C795 Specification for Thermal Insulation for Use in Contact with Austenitic Stainless Steel

C871 Test Methods for Chemical Analysis of Thermal Insulation Materials for Leachable Chloride, Fluoride, Silicate, and Sodium Ions

D609 Practice for Preparation of Cold-Rolled Steel Panels for Testing Paint, Varnish, Conversion Coatings, and Related Coating Products

G1 Practice for Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimens

G16 Guide for Applying Statistics to Analysis of Corrosion Data

G31 Guide for Laboratory Immersion Corrosion Testing of Metals

G46 Guide for Examination and Evaluation of Pitting Corrosion

**TABLE 1 Mass Loss Corrosion Rate (MLCR) Calculated Using Practice G1 (see Section 12)**

NOTE 1—MLCR expressed in mils per year.

| 0-ppm De-ionized Water | 1-ppm Chloride Solution | 5-ppm Chloride Solution | 10-ppm Chloride Solution |
|---|---|---|---|
| 19.02 | 35.17 | 57.31 | 62.61 |
| 11.68 | 29.87 | 40.91 | 56.48 |
| 14.04 | 33.00 | 66.76 | 110.54 |
| 12.13 | 37.91 | 52.46 | 131.35 |
| 12.45 | 29.80 | 16.53 | 52.27 |
| 14.42 | 22.72 | 42.51 | 35.42 |
| 6.13 | 35.42 | 76.33 | 67.01 |
| 13.27 | 31.78 | 111.82 | 57.48 |
| 21.25 | 17.04 | 42.19 | 98.92 |
| 7.59 | 37.78 | 44.42 | 132.35 |
| 12.83 | 32.55 | 53.61 | 61.52 |
| 6.70 | 36.12 | 54.25 | 36.42 |
| 16.08 | 25.66 | 41.87 | 90.44 |
| 19.02 | 14.93 | 54.50 | 95.48 |
| 11.42 | 31.08 | 65.67 | 63.44 |
| 14.81 | 34.21 | 70.46 | 99.63 |
| 9.38 | 34.46 | 42.57 | 69.63 |
| 18.38 | 36.06 | 63.44 | 107.28 |
| 8.62 | 27.38 | 50.10 | 58.84 |
| 8.49 | 24.19 | 48.63 | 65.10 |
| 12.13 | 15.25 | 55.40 | 64.27 |
| 5.36 | 33.70 | 69.12 | 71.29 |
| 4.66 | 32.10 | 39.06 | 78.37 |
| 5.55 | 35.04 | 43.21 | 88.52 |
| 6.57 | 22.98 | 41.93 | 30.57 |
| 5.87 | 39.44 | 36.76 | 39.25 |
| 7.21 | 35.04 | 25.66 | 50.93 |
| 6.45 | 34.66 | 30.06 | 128.41 |
| 3.45 | 41.48 | 41.68 | 97.52 |
| 2.30 | 41.55 | 29.61 | 98.03 |
| 11.93 | 42.70 | 38.74 | 82.84 |
| 9.19 | 33.32 | 38.10 | 105.31 |
| 13.15 | 28.98 | 33.00 | 96.50 |
| 14.10 | 21.38 | 58.27 | 84.50 |
| 12.25 | 16.08 | 39.31 | 59.55 |
| 12.25 | 17.17 | 40.78 | 45.57 |
| 9.96 | 32.42 | 48.25 | 56.80 |
| 4.60 | 34.72 | 23.10 | 63.63 |
| 3.70 | 34.02 | 27.19 | 67.01 |
| 2.43 | 33.38 | 35.61 | 48.82 |
| 3.32 | 25.66 | 77.16 | 75.76 |
| 1.21 | 33.12 | 30.76 | 48.95 |
| 1.28 | 44.04 | 42.57 | |
| 5.87 | 37.46 | 42.63 | |
| 7.15 | 23.36 | 41.61 | |
| 3.96 | 28.15 | 61.27 | |
| 11.23 | 25.02 | 27.76 | |
| 10.02 | 36.83 | 49.27 | |
| 10.28 | 21.64 | 67.65 | |
| 9.38 | 27.63 | 68.54 | |
| 12.25 | 18.51 | 42.44 | |
| 9.38 | | 40.14 | |
| | | 36.76 | |
| | | 54.12 | |
| | | 67.40 | |
| **Average and (Standard Deviation)** | | | |
| 9.5 (4.8) | 30.5 (7.4) | 48.0 (16.4) | 74.6 (26.0) |

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:* Refer to Terminology C168 for definitions relating to insulation.

## 4. Summary of Practice

4.1 The practice uses controlled amounts of test solutions delivered drip wise onto a defined area of small flat coupons of selected test metals for the purpose of producing, comparing, and measuring the corrosion that occurs on the metals due to the exposure.

4.2 The test is conducted at elevated temperatures, greatly accelerating the corrosion in comparison with corrosion at room temperature. The heat makes the solution evaporate quickly, allowing an air (oxygen) interface and making thousands of wet-dry-wet cycles possible in a short time.

4.3 Quantitative measurements of corrosion are determined from the weight change (loss) due to the corrosion of the tested coupons. Reference tests prepared with known concentrations of solutions that are conducive to the corrosion of the tested metal are compared with water solutions containing ions extracted from insulation samples. Calculations of MLCR in mils-per-year (MPY) made using the methods of Practice G1 are reported as the quantitative measurement.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 Corrosion associated with insulation is an important concern for insulation manufacturers, specification writers, designers, contractors, users and operators of the equipment. Some material specifications contain test methods (or reference test methods contained in other material specifications), for use in evaluating the insulation with regard to the corrosion of steel, copper, and aluminum. In some cases these tests are not applicable or effective and have not been evaluated for precision and bias.

5.2 A properly selected, installed, and maintained insulation system will reduce the corrosion that often occurs on an un-insulated structure. However, when the protective weather-resistant covering of an insulation system fails, the conditions for the aqueous environment necessary for corrosion under insulation (CUI) often develop. It is possible the insulation contains, collects, or concentrates corrosive agents, or a combination thereof, often found in industrial and coastal environments. If water is not present, these electrolytes cannot migrate to the metal surface. The electrochemical reaction resulting in the aqueous corrosion of metal surfaces cannot take place in the absence of water and electrolytes. Additional environmental factors contributing to increased corrosion rates are oxygen, and elevated-temperature (near boiling point).

5.3 Chlorides and other corrosive ions are common to many environments. The primary corrosion preventative is to protect insulation and metal from contamination and moisture. Insulation covers, jackets, and metal coating of various kinds are often used to prevent water infiltration and contact with the metal.

5.4 This procedure can be used to evaluate all types of thermal insulation and fireproofing materials (industrial, commercial, residential, cryogenic, fire-resistive, insulating cement) manufactured using inorganic or organic materials, faced or unfaced, for which a filtered extraction solution can be obtained.

5.5 This procedure can be used with all metal types for which a coupon can be prepared such as mild steel, stainless steel, copper, or aluminum.

5.6 This procedure can also be applicable to insulation accessories including jacketing, covers, adhesives, cements, and binders associated with insulation and insulation products.

5.7 Heat treatment of the insulation (as recommended by the manufacturer up to the maximum potential exposure temperature) can be used to simulate possible conditions of use.

5.8 Adhesives can be tested by first drying followed by water extraction or by applying a known quantity of the test adhesive to a test piece of insulation and then extracting.

5.9 Insulating cements can be tested by casting a slab, drying, and extracting or by using the uncured insulating cement powder for extraction.

5.10 Reference tests prepared with various concentrations of solutions that are conducive to the corrosion of the tested metal serve as comparative standards. Solutions containing chloride, sodium hydroxide, various acids (sulfuric, hydrochloric, nitric, and citric acid), as well as "blank" tests using only de-ionized water and tap water are used.

5.11 Research can be done on insulation that has been specially formulated to inhibit corrosion in the presence of corrosive ions through modifications in basic composition or incorporation of certain chemical additives. Corrosive ions can also be added to the insulation extraction solutions to determine the effectiveness of any inhibitors present.

5.12 Protective surface treatments and coatings of different types and thickness can be applied to the metal coupons and compared using various corrosive liquids.

5.13 Several sets of tests are recommended because of the number of factors that affect corrosion. An average of the tests and the standard deviation between the test results are used on the data. Much of the corrosion literature recommends a minimum of three specimens for every test. Consult Guide G16 for additional statistical methods to apply to the corrosion data.

5.14 Results from this accelerated corrosion test shall not be considered as an indicator of the useful life of the metal equipment. Many factors need consideration for applicability to specific circumstances. Refer to Practice G31 for additional information.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 The test apparatus must be housed in a reasonably clean and non-dusty environment to avoid any effects of contaminants.

6.2 *Heated Temperature Controlled Flat Hot Plate (see Appendix X1)*—A 1-ft (30.5-cm) square or circular plate that has uniform temperature across the surface provides the heated environment. See Appendix X1 for construct design and sources of assembled systems. Larger plates for testing more coupons are not excluded.

6.3 *Peristaltic Pump (see Appendix X1)*—A multi-channel peristaltic pump with individual cassettes and silicone tubes is recommended to supply 250 ($\pm$25) mL/day to each specimen.

6.4 *Silicone Rubber Tubing (see Appendix X1),* to deliver fluid to the test coupons.

6.5 *Miniature Barbed Fitting (see Appendix X1),* for connections of tubing (⅟₁₆ by ⅟₁₆ in.)(0.16 by 0.16 cm).

6.6 *Band Saw.*

6.7 *Balance,* capable of 0.0001 ($\pm$0.0002) g mass determination.

6.8 *Wet-Grinding Belt Grinder/Sander,* with used 80-grit (a belt previously used to make Test Method C692 stainless steel coupons is acceptable) or new 120-grit wet belt.

6.9 *Drying Oven.*

6.10 *Bottles,* plastic 1 L or equivalent, to individually supply each test specimen with test liquid.

6.11 *Nominal 1-in. Thin-wall PVC Pipe,* 1⁵⁄₁₆-in. (3.33 cm) OD; 1³⁄₁₆-in. (3.02 cm) ID by 1.25-in. (3.18 cm)lengths.

6.12 *High Temperature Grease or oil,* for use as heat transfer medium.

6.13 *Rubber O-Ring,* 1¼-in. (3.18 cm) ID, 1½-in. (3.81 cm) OD, ⅛-in. (0.32 cm)thick.

6.14 *Silicone Sealant,* 100% Silicone sealant.

6.15 *Plastic Straw,* ⅛-in. (0.32 cm) drink stirring straw ("swizzle stick") .

6.16 *Cleaning Apparatus and Solutions,* for the coupons, stainless steel metal scourer pad, 3-M sanding pad (medium and fine) or equivalent sand paper, acetone, xylene, water, paper towels.

6.17 *Hand-Held Magnifier,* or 10 to 30× binocular microscope, or both.

6.18 *Filter,* 0.45 micron filter paper.

## 7. Reagents and Materials

7.1 *Distilled or De-Ionized Water,* containing less than 0.1 ppm chloride ions.

7.2 *Metal Test Coupons,* meeting the composition requirements of applicable ASTM Specification for Mild Steel, Stainless Steel, Copper, or Aluminum. Mill certificates of chemical composition and mechanical properties are required.

7.2.1 Some researchers will want to maintain traceability to the metals used in other C16 corrosion procedures. Specification C739 uses cold rolled, low carbon (<0.30 %) commercial quality shim steel. Specification C665 uses cold rolled, low carbon, quarter hard, temper No. 3, strip steel. It is possible other metal grades meeting Specification A53/A53M, Specification A105/A105M, and other common ferrous steel specifications are of interest for use in the tests. If stainless steel coupons are to be used, it is recommended that they be 16-gage and prepared following the sensitization procedure described in Test Method C692 Section 9 on Test Coupons (sensitize stainless steel coupons by heating at 1200°F (649°C) in an argon (inert) or air (oxidizing) atmosphere for three hours). Galvanized steel is not suitable for test because the elevated temperatures recommended by the practice are above the recommended use temperature of galvanized metal. However, with suitable adjustments to slow the drip rate and lower the temperature of the hot plate, there are possibilities for the development of test practices.

7.2.2 Carbon Steel Coupons ; style: 0.032 Steel, Type R, Dull Matte Finish. Specs: ASTM D609-Type 1, Temper = ¼ hard, Carbon = 0.13; size = 0.032 by 2 by 3.5in. (0.8 x 51 x 89 mm)

7.2.3 It is likely that different results will be found when switching between various metal grades. The use of standard solutions of corrosive ions provides a benchmark against which the leachable ions contained in the insulation are evaluated. The standard solutions are run during every test sequence, after having previously established the range of results for the individual laboratory and the type, grade, and lot of metal.

7.3 *Chemically Pure Salts and Reagent Grade Acids* shall be used for preparation of corrosion solutions used as reference standards for plate calibration and comparison with extraction solutions.

7.4 *Chloride Reference Standards* are prepared from a 1000 ppm (mg/L) chloride solution using 1.64 g of sodium chloride to one liter of de-ionized water. For a liter of a 1-mg/L chloride solution, mix 1 mL of 1000 ppm chloride solution to one liter. Quantity and concentration of the reference standards are made as needed for the desired test.

## 8. Metal Coupon and Test Cell Preparation

8.1 Carbon steel coupons referenced in 7.2.2 are used as received from the manufacturer.

Note 1—The previous coupon preparation technique has been moved to Appendix X3 (History).

8.2 Permanently mark each coupon for identification. If metal stamp impressions are used to mark the coupon, do not allow the impression to deform the back face of the coupon.

8.3 Heat the coupons to drive off surface moisture and obtain a constant weight. Cool the coupons in a moisture-free environment and weigh using a precision balance to 0.1 mg. Record the weight and coupon identification.

8.4 Cut the polyvinylchloride (PVC) pipe into 1.25in.(3.175 cm) lengths. Remove the ragged edges to make smooth flat-sanded ends. Drill a ⅛-in. hole in the side of the pipe, ⅛ in. from the top end and then clean the pipe in de-ionized water and dry.

8.5 Position an O-ring approximately 0.5 in. (91.5 cm) from a smooth flat-sanded end of the PVC pipe. Put a 0.125-in.(0.32 cm) bead of silicone sealant completely around the space formed by the pipe and O-ring. Position the pipe in the center of the coupon with the hole oriented to the corner for easy access. While tightly holding the pipe down, push the O-ring into contact with the coupon, squeezing out some silicone sealant to form a continuous, watertight seal. Avoid silicone sealant on the inside of the pipe and metal. Allow the silicone to cure completely (overnight) before testing.

8.6 Cut 1-in. (2.54 cm) pieces of the plastic straw with one end at a 45° angle. Insert the straw into the hole in the PVC pipe so that the angle is down and the drip falls in the approximate center of the coupon. The barbed fitting is used to attach the straw to the peristaltic pump tube. Fig. 2 shows a completed test coupon with the components labeled. Figs. 3 and 4 show a hot plate with the coupons installed.

## 9. Solution Preparation

9.1 *Procedure A:*

9.1.1 Many industrial insulation materials are required to meet the requirements of Specification C795 using Test Methods C692 and C871. If the material has been extracted for Test Method C871 testing, a suitable procedure is filtration of the concentrated extraction solution through a 0.45 micron filter followed by the dilution of the concentrated extraction solution with de-ionized water for use in this test. Refer to Test Method C871 for the details of the extraction. Briefly described, the procedure involves extracting duplicate ground-up samples of 20 g each in 450 g of boiling water for 30 min, adjusting the final solution weight to 500 g, and then filtering to remove the solids.

9.1.2 Combine 375 mL from each of the two extraction solutions described in 9.1.1 to provide a uniform 750-mL solution. Dilute 375 mL of the solution with 2625 mL of de-ionized water to total 3000 mL. One thousand millilitres of the resulting solution is used in a 4-day test for one metal coupon. The two extractions provide enough diluted solution for six coupon tests of four-day duration. The minimum recommended number of specimens per test set is three. Additional test sets are used to provide greater confidence in the results. The unused 125 mL from each of the extraction solutions are available for Test Method C871 or other chemical analysis.

9.2 *Procedure B:*

9.2.1 There are insulation materials that do not readily wick water, and cannot be made to wick by heat treatment. Some manufacturers consider it inappropriate to subject them to a severe leaching of soluble ions by Procedure A because it exposes a maximum surface area to water for extraction, which would not happen under ordinary conditions of use. An alternative extraction procedure is as follows:

9.2.2 Slice the material cross-sectionally on a band saw into 0.25-in. (0.64 cm) wide pieces. Cut enough slices so that the exposed surface area totals 2 ft$^2$ (1858 cm$^2$) . A 2-in.(5.08 cm) thick block sample would require 12 slices that are 5.11-in. (12.98 cm) long. A 1½-in. (3.81 cm) thick block sample would require 16 slices that are 4.93-in. (12.52 cm) long.

9.2.3 Record the weight of the slices.



**FIG. 2 Test Coupon with Components Identified**