

IEC TR 61390

Edition 2.0 2022-09

TECHNICAL REPORT



Ultrasonics – Real-time pulse-echo systems – Test procedures to determine performance specifications

<u>IEC TR 61390:2022</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/849ede01-91d0-43c1-9bbe-85f220a999cb/iec-tr-61390-2022





THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED Copyright © 2022 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Secretariat 3, rue de Varembé CH-1211 Geneva 20 Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11 info@iec.ch www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee, ...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

IEC Products & Services Portal - products.iec.ch

Discover our powerful search engine and read freely all the publications previews. With a subscription you will always have access to up to date content tailored to your needs.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 300 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 19 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.





Edition 2.0 2022-09

TECHNICAL REPORT



Ultrasonics – Real-time pulse-echo systems – Test procedures to determine performance specifications

<u>IEC TR 61390:2022</u>

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/849ede01-91d0-43c1-9bbe-85f220a999cb/iec-tr-61390-2022

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ICS 11.040.50

ISBN 978-2-8322-5638-1

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD						
INT	RODUCTIO	DN	7			
1	Scope		8			
2	2 Normative references					
3	3 Terms and definitions8					
4	Environmental conditions1					
5	Recommended equipment					
6	6 Test methods					
6	6.1 Inst	ruments	19			
	6.1.1	General	19			
	6.1.2	Hydrophones	19			
	6.1.3	Oscilloscope or other transient recorder	19			
	6.1.4	Spectrum analyzer	20			
	6.1.5	Pulse generator	20			
	6.1.6	Tissue-mimicking test objects	20			
	6.1.7	Tank and degassed water	20			
	6.1.8	High or low reflective target	20			
	6.1.9	Target holder and/or positioning system	20			
	6.1.10	Computing system to run computer-assisted evaluation software	21			
	6.1.11	Software to evaluate quality parameters	21			
6	6.2 Tes	t settings	21			
	6.2.1	General	21			
	6.2.2	Display settings (focus, brilliance, contrast)	21			
	6.2.3	TGC, automatic TGC)	21			
	6.2.4	Final optimisation	22			
	6.2.5	Recording system	22			
6	6.3 Test	ted quantities / parameters and procedures	22			
	6.3.1	General	22			
	6.3.2	Acoustic working-frequency bandwidth	23			
	6.3.3	Resolution	23			
	6.3.4	Contrast-detail resolution	25			
	6.3.5	Non- or minimally-scattering region detectability	25			
	6.3.6	Dead zone and proximal and distal working limits	28			
	6.3.7	Slice thickness	28			
	6.3.8	Depth of penetration	28			
	6.3.9	Displayed dynamic range	29			
	6.3.10	Display error or position recording error	29			
	6.3.11	Measurement system accuracy	29			
6.3.12		M-mode calibration	30			
0.3.13		Dealli Silape	3U			
Δnney Δ (informative). Test objects and tissue-minicking material						
AU0		manver resconjects and ussue-minicking material				
, F	λ.1 lesi ∖o τ :₌	u opject structures	32			
, F	A.2 Description of test chiests					
A.3 Description of test objects						

A.3.2 Axial resolution test object. .33 A.3.3 Multi-purpose resolution test object .34 A.3.4 Contrast test objects. .36 A.3.5 Low-scattering sphere void test object. .37 A.3.6 Randomly positioned, embedded low-echo spheres phantom .38 A.3.7 Cylindrical-void phantom .40 A.3.8 Edinburgh pipe phantom .40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom .40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom .42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures .47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms .47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids .47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones .48 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantoms .50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom. .50 B.2.1 Test procedure for detection .53 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object						
A.3.3 Multi-purpose resolution test object 34 A.3.4 Contrast test objects 36 A.3.5 Low-scattering sphere void test object 37 A.3.6 Randomly positioned, embedded low-echo spheres phantom 38 A.3.7 Cylindrical-void phantom 40 A.3.8 Edinburgh pipe phantom 40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom 42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantom 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 B.2.4 Neticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 11 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimickin						
A.3.4 Contrast test objects 36 A.3.5 Low-scattering sphere void test object 37 A.3.6 Randomly positioned, embedded low-echo spheres phantom 38 A.3.7 Cylindrical-void phantom 40 A.3.8 Edinburgh pipe phantom 40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom 42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantom 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 53 53 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 34 34 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 35 35 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 36 37 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object						
A.3.5 Low-scattering sphere void test object. 37 A.3.6 Randomly positioned, embedded low-echo spheres phantom 38 A.3.7 Cylindrical-void phantom 39 A.3.8 Edinburgh pipe phantom 40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom 42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms. 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids. 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for detecting voids 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography. 53 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 11 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 35 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 36 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure						
A.3.6 Randomly positioned, embedded low-echo spheres phantom 38 A.3.7 Cylindrical-void phantom 39 A.3.8 Edinburgh pipe phantom 40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom 42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms 47 B.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display to bject 33 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 35 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 37 Figure A.4						
A.3.7 Cylindrical-void phantom 39 A.3.8 Edinburgh pipe phantom 40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom 42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 = Soft tissue-minicking test object 33 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 = Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering sphere						
A.3.8 Edinburgh pipe phantom 40 A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom 42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 38 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38						
A.3.9 Crossed-threads phantom. 42 Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms. 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms. 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids. 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones. 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom. 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom. 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom. 50 Bibliography. 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry. 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object. 33 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object. 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object. 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test obje						
Annex B (informative) Test procedures 47 B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 34 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40						
B.1 Analysis of random-void phantoms 47 B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 34 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams						
B.1.1 Automated segmentation and sorting of voids 47 B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 34 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micro						
B.1.2 Procedure for detecting voids and assigning contrast-scaled spherical objects to them for display of the best imaging zones 48 B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 53 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure						
B.2 Analysis of beam profiles using cross-threads phantoms 50 B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 53 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz 40 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
B.2.1 Test procedure for crossed-threads phantom 50 B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 53 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz 42 Showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
B.2.2 Analysis of display sonic contrast when using a foam phantom 50 Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz 41 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Bibliography 53 Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure 1 – Beam geometry 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure 1 – Beam geometry. 11 Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure 2 – Reticulated foam with random voids 26 Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure A.1 – Soft tissue-mimicking test object 33 Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object 34 Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure A.2 – Axial resolution test object						
Figure A.3 – Multi-purpose resolution test object 35 Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure A.4 – Slice-thickness measurement and calculation 36 Figure A.5 – Contrast test object. 37 Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object. 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure A.5 – Contrast test object						
Figure A.6 – Non-scattering spheres test object. 38 Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres 39 Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 MHz to 7 MHz showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres						
Figure A.7 – End view of the phantom applicable for 2 km/2 to 7 km/2 showing the spatially random distribution of 4-mm diameter spheres						
Figure A.8 – Essential components of Satrapa's cylindical-void phantom 40 Figure A.9 – Structures of foams 40 Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure A.9 – Structures of foams						
Figure A.10 – Schematic of Edinburgh pipe phantom showing anechoic pipes within the tissue mimicking material 41 Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane 42 Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom 43						
Figure A.11 – Image from a preclinical ultrasound scanner operating at 55 MHz showing the length over which a 92-micron pipe can be visualised in the scan plane						
Figure A.12 – 3D-thread phantom						
Figure A.13 – Beam profiles calculated from the single-filament images						
Figure A.14 – Thread groups with threads stretched at 45° angles to each other						
Figure A 15 – (above) Azimuthal and elevational beam profiles obtained from a						
filament phantom; (below) Constant depth (C-images) from a random-void phantom45						
Figure A.16 – Beam profiles calculated for a matrix probe						
Figure B.1 – Segmentation of voids performed following void contrast (void signal amplitude) ranking and transfer in small spheres like a "container" to the corresponding contrast fraction						
Figure B.2 – WCR-plot for 10 fractions with the reference level set to 70						
Figure B.3 – Screen shots of rotating volume images of a random-void phantom using grav-scale (left) and VDR_i -levels (right) in transparent mode 49						

Figure B.4 – Screen shot of a rotating-volume image of random-void phantom after automatic segmentation	50
Figure B.5 – Determination of display sonic contrast (symbolic)	51
Figure B.6 – Result of 3D-display sonic contrast determination (example)	51
Figure B.7 – A Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) chart, giving only "signal" without "noise", expressed in dB	52

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

IEC TR 61390:2022

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/849ede01-91d0-43c1-9bbe-85f220a999cb/iec-tr-61390-2022

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ULTRASONICS - REAL-TIME PULSE-ECHO SYSTEMS -

Test procedures to determine performance specifications

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies. 90:2022
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication. 1-9bbe-851220a999cb/iec-tr-
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

IEC TR 61390 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 87: Ultrasonics. It is a Technical Report.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 1996. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) Several additional phantom designs are included in the main body of the document;
- b) Several additional transducer types are included in the Scope;
- c) Methods of analysis are presented in new Annex B.

The text of this Technical Report is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
87/771/DTR	87/796A/RVDTR

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this Technical Report is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/standardsdev/publications.

NOTE Words in **bold** in the text are defined in Clause 3.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn, .
- replaced by a revised edition, or • standards.iteh.ai)
- amended.

IMPORTANT - The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

An ultrasonic pulse-echo scanner produces images of tissue in a **scan plane** by sweeping a narrow, pulsed beam of **ultrasound** through the section of interest and detecting the echoes generated at tissue boundaries. Furthermore, the number of ultrasonic pulse-echo scanners using plane-wave imaging technology is increasing.

Alternatively, a scanner can transmit a wide-field wave-front or several transmit-beams and record from the whole transducer array the echoes backscattered from tissue boundaries [1] [2]¹. The latter is followed by software beamforming, picking several parts of the wide beam or in this way selecting one of the simultaneously transmitted beams to obtain adequate resolution. Plane-wave techniques cannot compete with physical, transmit beam-forming for maximum depth of imaging at a given **bandwidth**, maximum resolution and minimum acoustic exposure.

Ultrasonic scanners are widely used in medical practice to produce images of many soft-tissue organs throughout the human body. A variety of transducer types is employed to operate in a transmit/receive mode for generating/receiving the ultrasonic signals.

This document describes test procedures that should be widely acceptable and valid for a wide range of types of equipment. Manufacturers should use this document to prepare their own specifications, while users should use this document to check manufacturers' specifications. The measurements can be carried out without interfering with the normal working conditions of the machine. The structures of the **test objects**, **test equipment** and measuring systems have not been specified in detail; rather, suitable types of overall and internal structures are described, together with typical **test objects**, in Annex A. The specific structure of a **test object** and **test equipment** should be reported, together with the results obtained using them. Similar commercial versions of these **test objects** are available.

The performance parameters selected and the corresponding methods of measurement have been chosen to provide a basis for comparison with the manufacturers' specifications and between similar types of apparatus of different makes, intended for the same kind of diagnostic application. The manufacturers' specifications should allow comparison with the results obtained from the tests described in this document. Specific values of parameters and the tolerances on them have not been recommended, since these are constantly changing. Furthermore, it is intended that the sets of results and values obtained from the use of the recommended methods will provide useful criteria for predicting the performance of equipment in appropriate diagnostic applications.

The procedures recommended in this document are in accordance with IEC 60601-1:2005. Where a diagnostic system accommodates more than one option in respect of a particular system component, for example the transducer, it is intended that each option be regarded as a separate system. However, it is considered that the performance of a machine is adequately specified, if measurements are undertaken for the most significant combinations of machine-control settings and accessories. Further evaluation of equipment is obviously possible but this should be considered as a special case rather than a routine requirement.

Data relating to measuring methods, principles and equipment that are common to two or more sections of this report are given in Annex A. Specific test procedures are given in Annex B.

The measurement of acoustic output power levels and the assessment of electrical safety are dealt with in other IEC standards; they are therefore specifically excluded from this document.

¹ Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

ULTRASONICS - REAL-TIME PULSE-ECHO SYSTEMS -

Test procedures to determine performance specifications

1 Scope

This document describes representative methods of measuring the performance of complete real-time medical ultrasonic imaging equipment in the frequency range 0,5 MHz to 23 MHz.

NOTE The frequency range given represents, in general, the widely used range in hospitals at the date of publication; special medical applications use higher frequencies for imaging but mainly in research or pre-clinical imaging.

This document is relevant for real-time ultrasonic scanners based on the pulse-echo principle, for the types listed below:

- mechanical sector scanner;
- electronic phased array sector scanner;
- electronic linear array scanner;
- electronic curved array sector scanner;
- water-bath scanner based on any of the above four scanning mechanisms;
- plane-wave/fast imaging scanners; 121015.1101.21
- combination of several of the above methods (e.g. a linear array phased at the edge to produce a sector there to enlarge the field of view.

The methods described are based on evaluation of: 1-91d0-43c1-9bbe-85f220a999cb/iec-tr-

- sonograms obtained by scanning of tissue mimicking objects (phantoms);
- sonograms obtained by scanning of artificial, low- or highly reflective **target**s in suitable environments;
- parameters of the **ultrasound** field transmitted by the measured scanner.

This document does not relate to methods for measuring electrical parameters of the scanner's electronic systems.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp

3.1

A-scan

class of data acquisition geometry in one dimension, in which echo strength information is acquired from points lying along a single beam axis and displayed as amplitude versus time of flight or distance

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.1]

3.2

A-mode

amplitude-modulated display

method of presentation of A-scan information in which the ultrasonic transducer-target distance is represented on one axis (normally horizontal) and the echo amplitude on the other axis

[SOURCE: IEC TR 60854:1986, 3.17, modified - Replacement of "echo information" with "Ascan information" and "transducer to target distance" with "ultrasonic transducer-target distance"]

3.3

acceptance testing

evaluation of system performance after delivery of a purchased or repaired system and before authorisation for payment

3.4

acoustic clutter

noise artifact in ultrasound images that appears as diffuse echoes overlying signals of interest

Note 1 to entry: Sources of acoustic clutter include sound reverberation in tissue layers, scattering from off-axis structures, ultrasound beam distortion, returning echoes from previously transmitted pulses and random acoustic or

electronic noise electr

3.5

acoustic scan line

one of the component lines that form a **B-mode** image on an **ultrasound** monitor, where each line is the envelope-detected A-scan line, in which the echo amplitudes are converted to brightness values

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.26]

3.6

acoustic-working frequency

centre frequency arithmetic mean of the frequencies f_1 and f_2 at which the amplitude of the acoustic pressure spectrum is 3 dB below the peak amplitude

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.3]

3.7

axial resolution

minimum separation along the beam axis of two equally scattering volumes or targets at a specified depth for which two distinct echo signals can be displayed

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.5]

3.8 B-scan brightness-modulated display scan

class of data-acquisition geometry in which echo information is acquired from points lying in an ultrasonic **scan plane** containing interrogating ultrasonic beams

Note 1 to entry: **B-scan** is a colloquial term for **B-mode** scan or image.

3.9

B-mode

brightness-modulated display

method of presentation of **B**-scan information, in which a particular section through an imaged object is represented in a conformal way by the plane of the display and echo amplitude is represented by local brightness or optical density of the display

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.10, modified - Replacement of "scan plane" with "plane"]

3.10

backscatter coefficient

at a specified frequency, the mean acoustic power scattered by a specified object in the 180° direction with respect to the direction of the incident beam, per unit solid angle per unit volume, divided by the incident beam intensity, the mean power being obtained from different spatial realizations of the scattering volume

Note 1 to entry: The frequency dependency should be addressed at places where **backscatter coefficient** is used, if frequency influences results significantly.

Note 2 to entry: Backscatter coefficient is expressed in units of 1 per metre times 1 per steradian (m⁻¹sr⁻¹)".

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.6, modified – In the definition, addition of "at a specified frequency", and addition of two new Notes to entry]

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/849ede01-91d0-43c1-9bbe-85f220a999cb/iec-tr-

backscatter contrast 61390-2022

ratio between the **backscatter coefficients** of two objects or regions

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-2:2010, 3.8]

3.12

bandwidth

difference in the most widely separated frequencies f_1 and f_2 at which the magnitude of the acoustic pressure spectrum drops 3 dB below the peak magnitude, at a specified point in the acoustic field

Note 1 to entry: Bandwidth is expressed in hertz (Hz).

[SOURCE: IEC 62127-1:2007, 3.6, modified – Replacement of "becomes" with "drops"]

3.13

beam axis

straight line that passes through the **beam centrepoints** of two planes perpendicular to the line which connects the point of maximal **pulse-pressure-squared integral** with the centre of the **external transducer aperture**

Note 1 to entry: See Figure 2.

Note 2 to entry: The location of the first plane is the location of the plane containing the maximum **pulse-pressure-squared integral** or, alternatively, is one containing a single main lobe which is in the focal Fraunhofer zone. The location of the second plane is as far as is practicable from the first plane and parallel to the first with the same two orthogonal **scan lines** (*x* and *y* axes) used for the first plane. This alternative definition, eliminating reference to the

centre of the **external transducer aperture**, is necessary when the pressure distribution among the transducer elements is not symmetric about the **external transducer aperture**.

Note 3 to entry: In a number of cases, the term **pulse-pressure-squared integral** is replaced in the above definition by any linearly related quantity, for examples:

- a) in the case of a continuous wave signal, the term **pulse-pressure-squared integral** is replaced by mean square acoustic pressure as defined in IEC 61689:2022, 3.29.;
- b) in cases where signal synchronisation with the scan frame is not available, the term **pulse-pressure-squared integral** can be replaced by **temporal average intensity**.

Note 4 to entry: Definition is modified compared to 4.2.14 of IEC 61828:2020 - "aperture" replaces "surface plane".



51300-2022

IEC

Figure 1 – Beam geometry

3.13.1 beam centrepoint

position determined by the 2D centroid of a set of **pulse-pressure-squared integrals** measured over the -6 dB beam-area in a specified plane

Note 1 to entry: Methods for determining 2D centroids are described in Annex C of IEC 61828:2020.

[SOURCE: IEC 62359:2010, 3.14]

3.13.2

external transducer aperture

part of the surface of the **ultrasonic transducer** or **ultrasonic transducer element group** assembly that emits ultrasonic radiation into the propagation medium

Note 1 to entry: This surface is assumed to be either directly in contact with the patient or in contact with a water or liquid path to the patient.

Note 2 to entry: The **ultrasonic transducer element group** is usually offset from this surface by a lens, matching layers and possibly fluid.

[SOURCE: IEC 62127-1:2007, 3.27, modified]

3.13.3

pulse-pressure-squared integral

ppsi

time integral of the square of the **instantaneous acoustic pressure** at a particular point in an acoustic field integrated over the **acoustic pulse waveform**

- 12 -

Note 1 to entry: The pulse-pressure-squared integral is expressed in pascals squared second (Pa²s).

Note 2 to entry: Definition adapted from 3.50 of IEC 62127-1:2007.

3.14

contrast detail

3.14.1

contrast detail detectability

minimum diameter of an object, at specified control settings and range, which can be distinguished on the display with a specified level of confidence, as a function of the **backscatter contrast** of the object with respect to the background, said contrast being varied in steps over a wide range

3.14.2

contrast-detail resolution

minimum difference in echo amplitude, which can be detected for a scattering or reflecting structure of specified properties, embedded in a particular **tissue-mimicking material**

Note 1 to entry: The specified properties include shape, size or speed of sound.

3.15

dead zone

distance from the **test object scanning surface** to the nearest **test-object target** that can be unequivocally imaged

IEC TR 61390:2022

Note 1 to entry: This concept is now rarely useful unless the transducer is damaged. It was defined historically for **line targets** lying parallel to the length of linear array elements. **Dead zone** has been superseded by **proximal** and **distal working limits**.

3.15.1

proximal working limit

distance from the **test object scanning surface** to the nearest depth at which **spherical low-scattering masses** can be unequivocally detected

3.15.2

distal working limit

distance from the **test object scanning surface** to the furthest depth at which **spherical low-scattering masses** can be unequivocally detected

3.16

depth of penetration

maximum distance from the scanning surface of **tissue-mimicking material** to the embedded **test object** beyond which the **speckle pattern** echoes are no longer detectable

3.17

display curve

curve of signal level amplitude sent to the display as a function of the linear signal

3.18

linear signal

amplitude of the voltage generated across the transducer element, that is assumed, generally correctly, to be proportional to the integrated pressure across the element face

3.19 display fra

display frame rate

rate at which complete images are presented on the output display

3.20 display sonic contrast display acoustic contrast

 C_{DS}

relative difference between any **pixel value** in a resolved void without inclusions and the mean **pixel value** over a region in the image corresponding to background material at approximately the same depth and lateral location

$$C_{\rm DS} = f_{\rm NL} \times px \times R_{\rm D} / R_{\rm Dp} \, \rm d \tag{1}$$

where

 $R_{\rm D}$ is the dynamic range in dB;

 $R_{\rm Dp}$ is the dynamic range in pixel-values;

px is the difference between main- and side-lobe **maxima** in pixel-values;

 $f_{\rm NI}$ is a correction factor for non-linear image processing; for linear image processing, $f_{\rm NI}$ = 1.

Note 1 to entry: **Display sonic contrast** as treated here assumes that the **display curve** is the log of the signal pressure amplitude and thus can be expressed in decibels by accounting for the **displayed dynamic range**, ignoring other nonlinear image processing in the system prior to the display. It is best to test for **display sonic contrast** using an available **display curve** most closely approximating that logarithmic relationship.

Note 2 to entry: See B.2.2.

3.21

displayed dynamic range

ratio, expressed in decibels, of the amplitude of the maximum echo that does not saturate the display to the minimum echo that can be distinguished electronically from the background under the scanner test settings

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.11, modified – Replacement of "in the display" with "from the background"]

3.22 elevational resolution transversal resolution

for two **line-targets** parallel to the scanned plane, minimum separation of two **line-targets** at a specified depth in a **test object** made of **tissue-mimicking material** for which two distinct echo signals can be displayed

Note 1 to entry: The plane of separation between the targets should be perpendicular to the beam-alignment axis.

3.23

field-of-view

area in the **scan plane** that is insonated by the **ultrasound** beam during the acquisition of echo data to produce one image frame

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.13, modified – Deletion of "ultrasonic" before "scan plane"]

3.24

frame rate

number of sweeps comprising the full-frame refresh rate that the ultrasonic beam makes per second through the **field-of-view**

[SOURCE: IEC 61391-1:2006, 3.14]