



Designation: D5922 – 18

Standard Guide for Analysis, Interpretation, and Modeling of Spatial Variation in Geostatistical Site Investigations¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D5922; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

Geostatistics is a framework for data analysis, estimation, and simulation in media whose measurable attributes show erratic spatial variability yet also possess a degree of spatial continuity imparted by the natural and anthropogenic processes operating therein. The soil, rock, and contained fluids encountered in environmental or geotechnical site investigations present such features, and their sampled attributes are therefore amenable to geostatistical treatment. This guide is concerned with the analysis, interpretation, and modeling of spatial variation.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers recommendations for analyzing, interpreting, and modeling spatial variation of regionalized variables in geotechnical and environmental site investigations.

1.2 The measures of spatial variation discussed in this guide include variograms and correlograms; these are fully described in Refs. (1-4).²

1.3 This guide is intended to assist those who are already familiar with the geostatistical tools discussed herein and does not provide introductory information on the analysis, interpretation, and modeling of spatial variation.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.5 *This guide offers an organized collection of information or a series of options and does not recommend a specific course of action. This document cannot replace education or experience and should be used in conjunction with professional judgment. Not all aspects of this guide may be applicable in all circumstances. This ASTM standard is not intended to represent or replace the standard of care by which the adequacy of a given professional service must be judged, nor should this*

document be applied without consideration of a project's many unique aspects. The word "Standard" in the title of this document means only that the document has been approved through the ASTM consensus process.

1.6 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:³

D653 Terminology Relating to Soil, Rock, and Contained Fluids

D5549 Guide for The Contents of Geostatistical Site Investigation Report (Withdrawn 2002)⁴

D5923 Guide for Selection of Kriging Methods in Geostatistical Site Investigations

D5924 Guide for Selection of Simulation Approaches in Geostatistical Site Investigations

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 For definitions of common technical terms in this standard, refer to Terminology D653.

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

³ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

⁴ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.01 on Surface and Subsurface Characterization.

Current edition approved Dec. 15, 2018. Published December 2018. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as D5922-96(2010). DOI: 10.1520/D5922-18.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of the text.

3.2.1 *anisotropy, n—in geostatistics*, a property of the variogram or covariance stating that different spatial variation structures are observed in different directions.

3.2.2 *correlogram, n*—a measure of spatial variation expressing the coefficient of correlation between two variables as a function of the lag separating their locations.

3.2.3 *drift, n—in geostatistics*, a systematic spatial variation of the local mean of a variable, usually expressed as a polynomial function of location coordinates.

3.2.4 *experimental variogram, n*—an experimental measure of spatial variation usually calculated as one half the average squared difference between all pairs of data values within the same lag.

3.2.5 *geometric anisotropy, n*—a form of anisotropy in which the variogram range changes with direction while the sill remains constant.

3.2.6 *lag, n—in geostatistics*, the vector separating the locations of two variables, as used in measures of spatial variation.

3.2.7 *nugget effect, n*—the component of spatial variance unresolved by the sample spacing including the variance due to measurement error.

3.2.8 *range, n—in geostatistics*, the maximum distance over which a variable exhibits spatial correlation in a given direction.

3.2.9 *regionalized variable, n*—a measured quantity or a numerical attribute characterizing a spatially variable phenomenon at a location in the field.

3.2.10 *sill, n—in geostatistics*, a stable level of spatial variation observed for lags greater than the range.

3.2.11 *simulation, n—in geostatistics*, a Monte-Carlo procedure for generating realizations of fields based on the random function model chosen to represent a regionalized variable. In addition to honoring a random function model, the realizations may also be constrained to honor data values observed at sampled locations.

3.2.12 *structure, n—in geostatistics*, a source of spatial variability with a characteristic length scale.

3.2.13 *variogram, n*—a measure of spatial variation defined as one half the variance of the difference between two variables and expressed as a function of the lag; it is also sometimes referred to as the semi-variogram.

3.2.14 *zonal anisotropy, n*—a form of anisotropy in which the variogram sill changes with direction.

4. Summary of Guide

4.1 This guide presents advice on three separate but related components of the study of spatial variation: the analytical tools that are used, the interpretation of the results, and the development of an appropriate mathematical model.

4.2 For the analysis of spatial variation, this guide emphasizes the use of variograms and correlograms on both transformed and untransformed variables since these are the most common and successful analytical tools in most practical

situations. Other methods exist and may enhance the development of an appropriate model of spatial variation.

4.3 For the interpretation of spatial variation, this guide emphasizes the importance of site-specific quantitative and qualitative information. Quantitative information includes the number and configuration of the available data, their precision, and their univariate statistics; qualitative information includes items such as local geology and geomorphology, site usage, and history. All of these are necessary for a sound interpretation of spatial variation.

4.4 For the modeling of spatial variation, this guide recommends attention to the short-scale behavior of the mathematical model of spatial variation and to its anisotropy as reflected in the directional changes in the range.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Whether for the sake of simplicity or because of a lack of information, geotechnical engineers regularly assume that soil and rock properties are the same throughout a particular location, even though they realize that the use of averaged parameter values can result in soil parameters that are significantly different from the actual parameters.

5.2 Considering the spatial distribution of soil and rock mass properties, the use of geostatistics in site investigations should be considered as it will provide a more accurate estimation of the soil and rock properties based on the available input information.

5.3 This guide is intended to encourage consistency in the analysis, interpretation, and modeling of spatial variation in geostatistical site investigations.

5.4 This guide should be used in conjunction with Guides **D5549**, **D5923**, and **D5924**.

6. Analysis of Spatial Variation

6.1 The principal tools for analyzing spatial variation are the variogram and the correlogram; whenever possible, both should be used.

NOTE 1—Features that appear on both the variogram and correlogram are usually worthy of interpretation and should be reflected in the mathematical model for spatial variation. Features that appear on one but not the other may reflect artifacts of the calculation or peculiarities of the available data and their configuration; such features generally warrant further investigation before a decision can be made on whether they should be reflected in the mathematical model for spatial variation.

6.2 If univariate data analysis has revealed that the data have a skewed distribution or if study objectives demand that the data be transformed, then the analysis of spatial variation should be performed on an appropriate transform of the data.

NOTE 2—One of the most important aspects of a mathematical model of spatial variation is the direction and degree of anisotropy. This is often much better revealed by variograms and correlograms of transformed data values, such as logarithms or normal scores. Even if the study ultimately makes use of the original data values in estimation or simulation, the analysis of spatial variation on transformed data values often leads to the development of a more appropriate model of spatial variation.

6.3 The choice of lag spacing and tolerance should take into account the data configuration, particularly the minimum spacing between the available data and the average spacing