CISPR TR 16-3

Edition 4.0 2020-10

TECHNICAL REPORT

colour inside

INTERNATIONAL SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON RADIO INTERFERENCE

Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods – Part 3: CISPR technical reports

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

CISPR TR 16-3:2020-10(en)

THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED
Copyright © 2020 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Central Office
3, rue de Varembé
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

About the IEC
The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications
The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform
The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,…). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished
Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc
If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org
The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 000 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 16 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

IEC Glossary - std.iec.ch/glossary
67 000 electrotechnical terminology entries in English and French extracted from the Terms and definitions clause of IEC publications issued between 2002 and 2015. Some entries have been collected from earlier publications of IEC TC 37, 77, 86 and CISPR.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

CISPR TR 16-3

Edition 4.0 2020-10

TECHNICAL REPORT

colour inside

INTERNATIONAL SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON RADIO INTERFERENCE

Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods – Part 3: CISPR technical reports

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ICS 33.100.10; 33.100.20

ISBN 978-2-8322-8957-0

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

® Registered trademark of the International Electrotechnical Commission

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 16
1 Scope 18
2 Normative references 18
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms 19
3.1 Terms and definitions 19
3.2 Abbreviated terms 22
4 Technical reports 23
4.1 Correlation between measurements made with apparatus having characteristics differing from CISPR characteristics and measurements made with CISPR apparatus 23
4.1.1 General 23
4.1.2 Critical interference-measuring instrument parameters 23
4.1.3 Impulse interference – correlation factors 25
4.1.4 Random noise 27
4.1.5 The root mean square (RMS) detector 27
4.1.6 Discussion 27
4.1.7 Application to typical noise sources 27
4.1.8 Conclusions 28
4.2 Interference simulators 29
4.2.1 General 29
4.2.2 Types of interference signals 29
4.2.3 Circuits for simulating broadband interference 30
4.3 Relationship between limits for open-area test site and the reverberation chamber 34
4.3.1 General 34
4.3.2 Correlation between measurement results of the reverberation chamber and OATS 34
4.3.3 Limits for use with the reverberation chamber method 35
4.3.4 Procedure for the determination of the reverberation chamber limit 35
4.4 Characterization and classification of the asymmetrical disturbance source induced in telephone subscriber lines by AM broadcasting transmitters in the LW, MW and SW bands 36
4.4.1 General 36
4.4.2 Experimental characterization 36
4.4.3 Prediction models and classification 46
4.4.4 Characterization of the immunity-test disturbance source 50
4.5 Predictability of radiation in vertical directions at frequencies above 30 MHz 57
4.5.1 Summary 57
4.5.2 Range of application 58
4.5.3 General 58
4.5.4 Method used to calculate field patterns in the vertical plane 60
4.5.5 Limitations of predictability of radiation at elevated angles 61
4.5.6 Differences between the fields over a real ground and the fields over a perfect conductor 89
4.5.7 Uncertainty ranges 95
4.5.8 Conclusions 97

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7594b0a3bb7/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

4.6 The predictability of radiation in vertical directions at frequencies up to 30 MHz 98
4.6.1 Range of application 98
4.6.2 General 99
4.6.3 Method of calculation of the vertical radiation patterns 100
4.6.4 The source models 100
4.6.5 Electrical constants of the ground 102
4.6.6 Predictability of radiation in vertical directions 102
4.6.7 Conclusions 110
4.6.8 Figures associated with predictability of radiation in vertical directions 111
4.7 Correlation between amplitude probability distribution (APD) characteristics of disturbance and performance of digital communication systems 146
4.7.1 General 146
4.7.2 Influence on a wireless LAN system 146
4.7.3 Influence on a Bluetooth system 149
4.7.4 Influence on a W-CDMA system 153
4.7.5 Influence on Personal Handy Phone System (PHS) 156
4.7.6 Quantitative correlation between noise parameters and system performance 160
4.7.7 Quantitative correlation between noise parameters of repetition pulse and system performance of PHS and W-CDMA (BER) 163
4.8 Background material on the definition of the RMS-average weighting detector for measuring receivers 166
4.8.1 General – purpose of weighted measurement of disturbance 166
4.8.2 General principle of weighting – the CISPR quasi-peak detector 167
4.8.3 Other detectors defined in CISPR 16-1-1 167
4.8.4 Procedures for measuring pulse weighting characteristics of digital radiocommunications services 168
4.8.5 Theoretical studies 171
4.8.6 Experimental results 173
4.8.7 Effects of spread-spectrum clock interference on wideband radiocommunication signal reception 191
4.8.8 Analysis of the various weighting characteristics and proposal of a weighting detector 192
4.8.9 Properties of the RMS-average weighting detector 194
4.9 Common mode absorption devices (CMAD) 196
4.9.1 General 196
4.9.2 CMAD as a two-port device 198
4.9.3 Measurement of CMAD 202
4.10 Background on the definition of the FFT-based receiver 212
4.10.1 General 212
4.10.2 Tuned selective voltmeters and spectrum analyzers 213
4.10.3 General principle of a tuned selective voltmeter 213
4.10.4 FFT-based receivers – digital signal processing 214
4.10.5 Measurement errors specific to FFT processing 218
4.10.6 FFT-based receivers – examples 220
4.11 Parameters of signals at telecommunication ports 233
4.11.1 General 233
4.11.2 Estimation of common mode disturbance levels 234
4.12 Background on CDNE equipment and measurement method 235

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-1759418ba3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

4.12.1 General 235
4.12.2 Historical overview 236
4.12.3 From CDN to CDNE 240
4.13 Background on LLAS, validation and measurement method 243
4.13.1 General 243
4.13.2 Historical overview 243
4.13.3 Models and equations for the LLAS method 244
5 Background and history of CISPR 244
5.1 The history of CISPR 244
5.1.1 The early years: 1934-1984 244
5.1.2 The division of work 246
5.1.3 The computer years: 1984 to 1998 247
5.1.4 The people in CISPR 247
5.2 Historical background to the method of measurement of the interference power produced by electrical household and similar appliances in the VHF range 248
5.2.1 Historical detail 248
5.2.2 Development of the method 249
Annex A (informative) Derivation of the formula 251
Annex B (informative) The field-strength distribution 255
B.1 General 255
B.2 H_o-field expressions 255
B.3 H_i field expressions 257
B.4 E_o-field expressions 258
Annex C (informative) The induced asymmetrical open-circuit voltage distribution 259
C.1 General 259
C.2 H-field-based relations 259
C.3 E-field-based relations 261
Annex D (informative) The outlet-voltage distribution 262
D.1 General 262
D.2 H-field-based relations 262
D.3 E-field-based relations 263
Annex E (informative) Some mathematical relations 264
E.1 General 264
E.2 The error function 264
E.3 Application to the lognormal distribution 265
Annex F (informative) Harmonic fields radiated at elevated angles from 27 MHz ISM equipment over real ground 266
Annex G (informative) Models and equations associated with the LLAS method 272
G.1 General 272
G.2 Response of an LAS to a magnetic field dipole 272
G.2.1 Magnetic field strength model of a disturbance source 272
G.2.2 Response of an LAS to a magnetic field dipole 273
G.2.3 Sensitivity of an LLAS for different diameters 277
G.2.4 Limitation of application of the relative sensitivity curves 278
G.3 Response of LLAS to the LLAS verification dipole 279
G.3.1 Relation of LLA current and voltage applied to the LLAS verification dipole 279

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45e3-8835-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

G.3.2 Calculation of mutual inductance: the original method 280
G.3.3 Calculation of mutual inductance: the improved method 280
G.3.4 Derivation of the equation for the reference validation factor 280
G.3.5 Replication of the original version of the reference validation factor 283
G.3.6 Calculation of the improved reference validation factor 283
G.3.7 NEC2 method 285
G.4 Magnetic field strength of a magnetic field dipole above a ground plane 286
G.4.1 Model 286
G.4.2 Replication of Figure C.10 287
G.4.3 Conversion factors for calculating magnetic field strength at other distances 289
G.5 LLAS validation criterion 290
Bibliography 291

Figure 1 – Relative response of various detectors to impulse interference 24
Figure 2 – Pulse rectification coefficient $P(\alpha)$ 25
Figure 3 – Pulse repetition frequency 26
Figure 4 – Block diagram and waveforms of a simulator generating noise bursts 32
Figure 5 – Block diagram of a simulator generating noise bursts according to the pulse principle 33
Figure 6 – Details of a typical output stage 34
Figure 7 – Scatter plot of the measured outdoor magnetic field strength H_o (dBμA/m) versus the calculated outdoor magnetic field strength H_c dB(μA/m) 38
Figure 8 – Measured outdoor magnetic versus distance, and probability of the building-effect parameter 39
Figure 9 – Normal probability plot of the building-effect parameter A_b dB 40
Figure 10 – Scatter plot of the outdoor antenna factor G_o dB(Ωm) versus the indoor antenna factor G_i 41
Figure 11 – Normal probability plots of the antenna factors 42
Figure 12 – Normal probability plot of the equivalent asymmetrical resistance R_a dB(Ω) 45
Figure 13 – Examples of the frequency dependence of some parameters 46
Figure 14 – Example of the frequency histogram $\Delta N(E_o,\Delta E_o)$ 51
Figure 15 – Example of $n_m(E_o)$, i.e. the distribution of the outlets experiencing a maximum field strength E_o resulting from a given number of transmitters in (or near) the respective geographical region 52
Figure 16 – Example of the number of outlets with an induced asymmetrical open-circuit voltage $U_L \leq U_h \leq U_{max}$ = 79 V (see Table 10) 54
Figure 17 – Examples of number (left-hand scale) and relative number (right-hand scale) of outlets with $U_L \leq U_h \leq U_{max}$ 55
Figure 18 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) over three different types of real ground 63
Figure 19 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) over three different types of real ground 63
Figure 20 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole), over three different types of real ground 65

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

Figure 21 – Vertical polar patterns of vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) over three different types of real ground 65

Figure 22 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted at 1 000 MHz from the small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole), at horizontal distance of 10 m, 30 m and 300 m in the Z-X plane over three different types of real ground 66

Figure 23 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small horizontal electric dipole, in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 68

Figure 24 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted from small horizontal electric dipole 68

Figure 25 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small horizontal electric dipole in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 71

Figure 26 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted small horizontal electric dipole 71

Figure 27 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 72

Figure 28 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) 72

Figure 29 – Vertical polar patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical electric dipole 75

Figure 30 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical electric dipole 75

Figure 31 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 76

Figure 32 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) 76

Figure 33 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E-field strength emitted around small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 77

Figure 34 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E-field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 77

Figure 35 – Vertical polar patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical electric dipole 80

Figure 36 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from the small vertical electric dipole 80

Figure 37 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 81

Figure 38 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) 81

Figure 39 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E-field strength emitted around small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 82

Figure 40 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E-field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 82

Figure 41 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E-field strength emitted around the small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 85

Figure 42 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E-field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 85

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/30f64d4f-be21-4c23-9c39-1f394fb0a366/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

Figure 43 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E-field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 89
Figure 44 – Height scan patterns of the vertical component of the E-fields emitted from a small vertical electric dipole 92
Figure 45 – Height scan patterns of the vertical component of the E-fields emitted from a small vertical electric dipole 92
Figure 46 – Height scan patterns of the horizontally polarized E-fields emitted in the vertical plane normal to the axis of a small horizontal electric dipole 94
Figure 47 – Height scan patterns of the horizontally polarized E-fields emitted in the vertical plane normal to the axis of a small horizontal electric dipole 94
Figure 48 – Ranges of uncertainties in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located at a height of 1 m or 2 m above ground 96
Figure 49 – Ranges of uncertainties in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located at a height of 1 m or 2 m above ground 96
Figure 50 – Ranges of uncertainties in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located at a height of 1 m or 2 m above ground 97
Figure 51 – Geometry of the small vertical electric dipole model 101
Figure 52 – Geometry of the small horizontal electrical dipole model 101
Figure 53 – Geometry of the small horizontal magnetic dipole model (small vertical loop) 101
Figure 54 – Geometry of the small vertical magnetic dipole model (small horizontal loop) 101
Figure 55 – Ranges of errors in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located close to the ground, based on measurements of the horizontally oriented H-field near ground at a distance of 30 m from the sources 109
Figure 56 – Ranges of errors in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located close to the ground, based on measurements of the horizontally oriented H-field at the ground supplemented with measurements of the vertically oriented H-field in a height scan up to 6 m at a distance of 30 m from the sources 110
Figure 57 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 112
Figure 58 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 112
Figure 59 – Vertical radiation patterns of E-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 113
Figure 60 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 113
Figure 61 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 115
Figure 62 – Influence of a wide range of values of the electrical constants of the ground on the vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 115
Figure 63 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 116
Figure 64 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 116

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf63401-b631-45c3-8833-f7394fbda3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

Figure 65 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 117
Figure 66 – Vertical radiation patterns of H-fields emitted by small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to ground 117
Figure 67 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 118
Figure 68 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 118
Figure 69 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 119
Figure 70 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 119
Figure 71 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 121
Figure 72 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 121
Figure 73 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 122
Figure 74 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 122
Figure 75 – Vertical radiation pattern of the E-field emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 123
Figure 76 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 123
Figure 77 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 124
Figure 78 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 124
Figure 79 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 125
Figure 80 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 125
Figure 81 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 126
Figure 82 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 126
Figure 83 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 128
Figure 84 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 128
Figure 85 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 129
Figure 86 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 129
Figure 87 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 130
Figure 88 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 130
Figure 89 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 131

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3b1654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-

Figure 90 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 131
Figure 91 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 132
Figure 92 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 132
Figure 93 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 133
Figure 94 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 133
Figure 95 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 135
Figure 96 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 135
Figure 97 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 136
Figure 98 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 136
Figure 99 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-field emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 138
Figure 100 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 138
Figure 101 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-field emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 139
Figure 102 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 139
Figure 103 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 140
Figure 104 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E-fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground 140
Figure 105 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 141
Figure 106 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 141
Figure 107 – Influence of a wide range of values of the electrical constants of the ground on the vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 142
Figure 108 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E-fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground 142
Figure 109 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 144
Figure 110 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E-fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground 144
Figure 111 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 145
Figure 112 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E-fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground 145
Figure 113 – Set-up for measuring communication quality degradation of a wireless LAN 146
Figure 114 – APD characteristics of disturbance 148
Figure 115 – Wireless LAN throughput influenced by noise 149

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

Figure 116 – Set-up for measuring the communication quality degradation of Bluetooth 150
Figure 117 – APD of disturbance of actual MWO (2 441MHz) 150
Figure 118 – APD characteristics of disturbance (2 460 MHz) 151
Figure 119 – Throughput of Bluetooth influenced by noise 153
Figure 120 – Set-up for measuring the BER of W-CDMA 154
Figure 121 – APD characteristics of disturbance 155
Figure 122 – BER of W-CDMA caused by radiation noise 156
Figure 123 – Set-up for measuring the PHS throughput 157
Figure 124 – Set-up for measuring the BER of PHS 157
Figure 125 – APD characteristics of disturbance 158
Figure 126 – PHS throughput caused by radiation 159
Figure 127 – BER of PHS caused by radiation noise 160
Figure 128 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance (C/N_0) 161
Figure 129 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance 161
Figure 130 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance 162
Figure 131 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance (C/N_0) 162
Figure 132 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance (C/N_0) 163
Figure 133 – Experimental set-up for measuring communication quality degradation of a PHS or W-CDMA 163
Figure 134 – Simulation set-up for estimating communication quality degradation of a PHS or W-CDMA 164
Figure 135 – APD of pulse disturbance 164
Figure 136 – BER degradation of PHS and W-CDMA caused by repetition pulse (Carrier power, –35 dBm) 165
Figure 137 – Evaluation method of the correlation between BER and APD 165
Figure 138 – Correlation between measured ΔL_{BER} and ΔL_{APD} 166
Figure 139 – Correlation between measured p_{BER} and p_{APD} 166
Figure 140 – Weighting curves of quasi-peak measuring receivers for the different frequency ranges as defined in CISPR 16-1-1 167
Figure 141 – Weighting curves for peak, quasi-peak, RMS and linear average detectors for CISPR bands C and D 168
Figure 142 – Test setup for the measurement of the pulse weighting characteristics of a digital radiocommunication system 169
Figure 143 – Example of an interference spectrum: pulse modulated carrier with a pulse duration of 0,2 μs and a PRF < 10 kHz 170
Figure 144 – The RMS and peak levels for constant BEP for three K = 3, convolutional codes of different rate 172
Figure 145 – The RMS and peak levels for constant BEP for two rate ½, convolutional code 173
Figure 146 – Test setup for the measurement of weighting curves for Digital Radio Mondiale (DRM) 175
Figure 147 – Weighting characteristics for DRM signals for various pulse widths of the pulse-modulated carrier 176

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

Figure 148 – Weighting characteristics for DRM protection level 0: average of results for two receivers 177
Figure 149 – Weighting characteristics for DRM protection level 1: average of results for two receivers 177
Figure 150 – Weighting characteristics for DVB-T with 64 QAM 2k, *CR* 3/4 (as used in France and UK) 179
Figure 151 – Weighting characteristics for DVB-T with 64 QAM 8k, *CR* 3/4 (as used in Spain) 180
Figure 152 – Weighting characteristics for DVB-T with 16 QAM 8k, *CR* 2/3 (as used in Germany) 180
Figure 153 – Average weighting characteristics of 6 receiver types for DVB-T with 16QAM 181
Figure 154 – Average weighting characteristics of 6 receiver types for DVB-T with 64QAM 181
Figure 155 – Weighting characteristics for DAB (signal level -71 dBm) with a flat response down to approximately 1 kHz 182
Figure 156 – Weighting characteristics for DAB: average of two different commercial receiver types 183
Figure 157 – Weighting characteristics for TETRA (signal level – 80 dBm) for a code rate of 1 184
Figure 158 – Weighting characteristics for RBER 1b of GSM (signal level –90 dBm) 185
Figure 159 – Weighting characteristics for RBER 2 of GSM 185
Figure 160 – Carrier-to-interference improvements with decreasing PRF in dB computed for GSM using COSSAP 186
Figure 161 – RMS and quasi-peak values of pulse level for constant effect on FM radio reception 186
Figure 162 – Weighting characteristics for RBER 1b of GSM (signal level –90 dBm) 187
Figure 163 – Weighting characteristics for DECT (signal level –83 dBm) 188
Figure 164 – Weighting characteristics for IS-95 (signal level -97 dBm) with comparatively high immunity to interference 189
Figure 165 – Weighting characteristics for J-STD 008 (signal level –97 dBm) 189
Figure 166 – Weighting characteristics for the Frame Error Ratio (FER) of CDMA2000 (measured at a receive signal level of –112 dBm) for a low data rate of 9,6 kb/s 190
Figure 167 – Weighting characteristics for the Frame Error Ratio (FER) of CDMA2000 (measured at a receive signal level of –106 dBm) for two different data rates (9,6 kb/s and 76,8 kb/s) 190
Figure 168 – The proposed RMS-average detector for CISPR Bands C and D with a corner frequency of 100 Hz 193
Figure 169 – RMS-average detector function by using an RMS detector followed by a linear average detector and peak reading 193
Figure 170 – RMS-average weighting functions for CISPR Bands A, B, C/D and E for the shortest pulse widths allowed by the measurement bandwidths 194
Figure 171 – Shift of the RMS-average weighting function for CISPR band C/D by using a bandwidth of 1 MHz instead of 120 kHz, if the shortest possible pulse widths are applied 195
Figure 172 – Example of a simple EUT model 197
Figure 173 – Representation of a CMAD as a two-port device 198
Figure 174 – Conformal mapping between *z*-plane and *f*-plane 201

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-f7394fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

Figure 175 – Conversion from 50 Ω coaxial system to the geometry of the two-port device-under-test 203
Figure 176 – Basic model for the TRL calibration 204
Figure 177 – The four calibration configurations necessary for the TRL calibration 205
Figure 178 – Measurement of CMAD characteristics 209
Figure 179 – Preliminary measurements of the test set-up 211
Figure 180 – Position of the reference planes for the measurement with SOLT calibration and $ABCD$ transformation to Z_{ref} level 212
Figure 181 – Superheterodyne EMI receiver 214
Figure 182 – An example spectrogram $Z[m,k]$ 216
Figure 183 – Sidelobe effect due to the finite length of window 218
Figure 184 – Measurement error for a single pulse 219
Figure 185 – IF signal for different overlapping factors for the same sequence of pulses 220
Figure 186 – FFT-based baseband system 221
Figure 187 – Real-time FFT-based measuring instrument 222
Figure 188 – Digital down-converter 222
Figure 189 – Short time fast Fourier transform – An example of implementation 223
Figure 190 – Floating-point analogue-to-digital conversion 223
Figure 191 – Example of a 120 kHz Gaussian filter 224
Figure 192 – Essential parts of an FFT-based heterodyne receiver 225
Figure 193 – Dynamic range for broadband emission as measured with the peak detector 227
Figure 194 – Set-up of FFT-based system type 2 227
Figure 195 – FFT Software (“FFTemi”) screen shot 230
Figure 196 – Example of pulse generator measurement with antenna 231
Figure 197 – Radiated emission measurement of a motor – peak detector 231
Figure 198 – Angular characterization of a PC 232
Figure 199 – Example FFT IF analysis display 233
Figure 200 – Equivalent radiated measurement methods (30 MHz to 300 MHz) 237
Figure 201 – Measured relationship between field strength E_z and CM current I_{cm} for various termination resistances R 237
Figure 202 – Modelled relationship between field strength E_z and CM current I_{cm} using EUT height 0,8 m, measurement distance 3 m, receive antenna height 1 m 239
Figure 203 – Limit for the terminal voltage at the CDN 239
Figure 204 – Results of a Philips 11-lab internal CDN RRT using an artificial class 1 EUT – expanded uncertainty nearly 10 dB 241
Figure 205 – Block diagram for CDNE-measurement method 242
Figure A.1 – Example plot using the expression $P_t + G = P_q + 2$ 252
Figure A.2 – Examples of a number of microwaves measured for P_q and P_t 254
Figure B.1 – Definition of the ring-shaped area round the transmitter T 256
Figure C.1 – The permissible ranges of U_h and G are within the polygon $\{G_L,U_a\}$, $\{G_L,U_b\}$, $\{G_U,U_d\}$, $\{G_l,U_c\}$ and $\{G_L,U_a\}$. For the given value U_L the double-shaded area represents $pr\{U_h \geq U_L\}$ 260

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3bf654d1-bc31-45c3-8833-1739411b0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020

Figure F.1 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34]) 268
Figure F.2 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34]) 269
Figure F.3 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34]) 270
Figure F.4 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34]) 271
Figure G.1 – Geometry and coordination system for a single magnetic field dipole 272
Figure G.2 – Configuration of measurement of an EUT in an LLA with two slits 273
Figure G.3 – Transformer model representation of a disturbance source inside an LLA 274
Figure G.4 – Transfer functions $f_c(\omega)$, $g_c(\omega)$ and their product (expressed in dB) as a function of frequency for a 2 m LLAS 277
Figure G.5 – Sensitivity S_D of an LLAS with diameter D relative to an LLAS with 2 m diameter (Figure C.11 of CISPR 16-1-4:2019 [102]) 278
Figure G.6 – Setup of the LLAS verification dipole for verification of an LLAS 279
Figure G.7 – Geometry model of LLA for numerical computation of Neumann integral [113] 282
Figure G.8 – LLAS verification dipole excluding the coupling effect from the LLAS loops 282
Figure G.9 – Network model representation of the LLAS verification dipole fed by a generator source and the LLA 283
Figure G.10 – First version of the reference validation factor for an LLA of 2 m diameter (Figure C.8 of CISPR 16-1-4:2010 [102]) 283
Figure G.11 – Reference validation factors for an LLA of 2 m, 3 m and 4 m diameter (Figure C.8 of CISPR 16-1-4:2019 and CISPR 16-1-4:2019/AMD1:2020 [102]) 284
Figure G.12 – Relative sensitivities of the LLAS verification dipole for an LLAS with different diameters (relative to an LLAS of 2 m diameter) 285
Figure G.13 – Comparison of analytical and numerical (NEC2) calculations of the reference validation factors 285
Figure G.14 – Magnetic field dipole moment of a small loop radiator in free space 287
Figure G.15 – EUT above a ground plane and the coordinate system and possible magnetic field dipole orientations 287
Figure G.16 – Magnetic field strength resulting from a source and its image below the ground plane (side view) 287
Figure G.17 – Magnetic field strength expressed in dB(µA/m) of three magnetic field dipole orientations at three distances 288
Figure G.18 – Conversion factors C_{dA} [for conversion into dB(µA/m)] for three standard measurement distances d (replicated Figure C.10 of CISPR 16-1-4:2010; exclusive the 30 m curve [102]) 289
Figure G.19 – Conversion factors for calculating magnetic field strength at 10 m or 30 m 289

Table 1 – Comparative response of slideback peak, quasi-peak and average detectors to sine wave, periodic pulse and Gaussian waveform 24
Table 2 – Characteristics of gate generator and modulator to simulate various types of broadband interference 30
Table 3 – Summary results of building-effect, A_b, analysis 40
Table 4 – Summary of results of G-factor analysis 43
Table 5 – Summary of L_o factors (far-field) 43

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
CISPR TR 16-3:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/31f61401-1e31-4e2e-98b5-17594fb0a3b6/cispr-tr-16-3-2020