



Designation: **D6631 – 05 (Reapproved 2015) D6631 – 05 (Reapproved 2019)**

## Standard Guide for Committee D01 for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study for the Purpose of Determining the Precision of a Test Method<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6631; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

### 1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers a simplified statistical procedure for planning and conducting interlaboratory evaluations of test methods.

1.2 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

### 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*<sup>2</sup>

[E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods](#)

[E456 Terminology Relating to Quality and Statistics](#)

[E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method](#)

[E1345 Practice for Reducing the Effect of Variability of Color Measurement by Use of Multiple Measurements](#)

### 3. Significance and Use

3.1 The purpose of an interlaboratory evaluation, as defined in this guide, is to determine the variability of results obtained in different laboratories on equivalent equipment using a prescribed test method.

3.2 The definitions of statistical terms used in this guide are contained in Terminology [E456](#).

### 4. Problem Formulation

4.1 The objective of the evaluation should be to clearly define the expected precision of the test method. Within the current limits of both the software, and the statistical protocols currently available, this effectively restricts the use of this guide to test methods which yield results that are continuous. This generally means a measured quantity, such as pH or brightness. Results that are discrete (such as counts or pass-fail), or ordered (ranked), present three special problems in the creation of a meaningful precision statement:

4.1.1 The amount of information contained in discrete and ordered data is much less than in continuous data, necessitating the collection of much more data.

4.1.2 The sensitivity (the ability to discriminate between similar samples) is much less in discrete and ordered data than continuous data.

4.1.3 Since the precision statement relies on the normal distribution, and the distributions of discrete and ordered data are usually decidedly non-normal, the normal precision statement is invalid.

4.2 Given these concerns with discrete and ordered data, a simple statement of the results obtained in these types of studies might be the most useful information for a prospective user of a test method.

### 5. Preliminary

5.1 Flow chart the test method.

<sup>1</sup> This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee [D01](#) on Paint and Related Coatings, Materials, and Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee [D01.20](#) on Quality Assurance and Statistics.

Current edition approved ~~Dec. 1, 2015~~ June 1, 2019. Published ~~December 2015~~ June 2019. Originally approved in 2001. Last previous edition approved in ~~2014~~ 2015 as D6631 – 05 (2014) (2015). DOI: ~~10.1520/D6631-05R15~~ 10.1520/D6631-05R19.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.