

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures – ~~Digital systems~~ –
Part 2-8: Digital systems – Determination of low BER using Q-factor
measurements (<https://standards.iteh.ai>)

Document Preview

[IEC 61280-2-8:2021](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/12ab8890-6799-49c2-8c4d-2d05388af978/iec-61280-2-8-2021>





THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED
Copyright © 2021 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Central Office
3, rue de Varembe
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee, ...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC online collection - oc.iec.ch

Discover our powerful search engine and read freely all the publications previews. With a subscription you will always have access to up to date content tailored to your needs.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 000 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 18 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

International Standards
standards.iteh.ai
Document Preview

[IEC 61280-2-8:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/12ab8890-6799-49c2-8c4d-2d05388af978/iec-61280-2-8-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/12ab8890-6799-49c2-8c4d-2d05388af978/iec-61280-2-8-2021>



IEC 61280-2-8

Edition 2.0 2021-03
REDLINE VERSION

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures – ~~Digital systems~~ –
Part 2-8: Digital systems – Determination of low BER using Q-factor
measurements

Document Preview

[IEC 61280-2-8:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/iec/61280-2-8:2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/12ab8890-6799-49c2-8c4d-2d05388af978/iec-61280-2-8-2021>

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 33.180.10

ISBN 978-2-8322-9530-4

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	4
1 Scope	6
2 Normative references	6
3 Terms, definitions, and abbreviated terms	6
3.1 Terms and definitions	6
3.2 Abbreviated terms	7
4 Measurement of low bit-error ratios	7
4.1 General considerations	7
4.2 Background to Q-factor	9
5 Variable decision threshold method	11
5.1 Overview	11
5.2 Apparatus	14
5.3 Sampling and specimens	14
5.4 Procedure	15
5.5 Calculations and interpretation of results	16
5.5.1 Sets of data	16
5.5.2 Convert BER using inverse error function	17
5.5.3 Linear regression	18
5.5.4 Standard deviation and mean	19
5.5.5 Optimum decision threshold	20
5.5.6 BER optimum decision threshold	20
5.5.7 BER non-optimum decision threshold	20
5.5.8 Error bound	20
5.6 Test documentation	20
5.7 Specification information	21
6 Variable optical threshold method	21
6.1 Overview	21
6.2 Apparatus	21
6.3 Items under test	22
6.4 Procedure for basic optical link	22
6.5 Procedure for self-contained system	22
6.6 Evaluation of results	23
Annex A (normative) Calculation of error bound in the value of Q	25
Annex B (informative) Sinusoidal interference method	27
B.1 Introduction Overview	27
B.2 Apparatus	27
B.3 Sampling and specimens	27
B.4 Procedure	28
B.4.1 Optical sinusoidal interference method	28
B.4.2 Electrical sinusoidal interference method	29
B.5 Calculations and interpretation of results	30
B.5.1 Mathematical analysis	30
B.5.2 Extrapolation	30
B.5.3 Expected results	31
B.6 Documentation	32

B.7	Specification information	32
	Bibliography	33
Figure 1	– Sample eye diagram showing patterning effects	10
Figure 2	– More accurate measurement technique using a DSO that samples noise statistics between eye centres	10
Figure 3	– Bit error ratio as a function of decision threshold level	12
Figure 4	– Plot of Q-factor as a function of threshold voltage	13
Figure 5	– Set-up for the variable decision threshold method	15
Figure 6	– Set-up of initial threshold level (approximately at the centre of the eye)	15
Figure 7	– Effect of optical bias	21
Figure 8	– Set-up for optical link or device test	22
Figure 9	– Set-up for system test	23
Figure 10	– Extrapolation of log BER as a function of bias	24
Figure B.1	– Set-up for the sinusoidal interference method by optical injection	28
Figure B.2	– Set-up for the sinusoidal interference method by electrical injection	30
Figure B.3	– BER result from the sinusoidal interference method (data points and extrapolated line)	31
Figure B.4	– BER versus optical power for three methods	32
Table 1	– Mean time for the accumulation of 15 errors as a function of BER and bit rate	7
Table 2	– BER as a function of threshold voltage	17
Table 3	– f_i as a function of D_i	18
Table 4	– Values of linear regression constants	19
Table 5	– Mean and standard deviation	19
Table 6	– Example of optical bias test	23
Table B.1	– Results for sinusoidal injection	29

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

**FIBRE OPTIC COMMUNICATION SUBSYSTEM TEST PROCEDURES –
~~DIGITAL SYSTEMS –~~****Part 2-8: Digital systems –
Determination of low BER using Q-factor measurements**

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as “IEC Publication(s)”). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This redline version of the official IEC Standard allows the user to identify the changes made to the previous edition IEC 61280-2-8:2003. A vertical bar appears in the margin wherever a change has been made. Additions are in green text, deletions are in strikethrough red text.

IEC 61280-2-8 has been prepared by subcommittee 86C: Fibre optic systems and active devices, of IEC technical committee 86: Fibre optics. It is an International Standard.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2003. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) correction of errors in Formula (8) in 5.5.2 and in a related formula in 5.5.3;
- b) correction of errors in the references to clauses, subclauses, figures, procedures, and in the Bibliography;
- c) alignment of the terms and definitions in 3.1 with those in IEC 61281-1.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
86C/1708/FDIS	86C/1711/RVD

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this International Standard is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/standardsdev/publications.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "<http://webstore.iec.ch>" in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

FIBRE OPTIC COMMUNICATION SUBSYSTEM TEST PROCEDURES – DIGITAL SYSTEMS –

Part 2-8: Digital systems – Determination of low BER using Q-factor measurements

1 Scope

This part of IEC 61280 specifies two main methods for the determination of low BER values by making accelerated measurements. These include the variable decision threshold method (Clause 5) and the variable optical threshold method (Clause 6). In addition, a third method, the sinusoidal interference method, is described in Annex B.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms, definitions, and abbreviated terms

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1.1

amplified spontaneous emission

ASE

~~impairment generated in optical amplifiers~~

optical power associated to spontaneously emitted photon amplified by an active medium in an optical amplifier

3.1.2

bit error ratio

BER

P_e

~~the number bits in error as a ratio of the total number of bits~~

number of errored bits divided by the total number of bits, over some stipulated period of time

3.1.3

intersymbol interference

ISI

~~mutual interference between symbols in a data stream, usually caused by non-linear effects and bandwidth limitations of the transmission path~~

overlap of adjacent pulses as caused by the limited bandwidth characteristics of the optical devices in a fibre optic link

3.1.4

Q-factor

 Q

ratio of the difference between the mean voltage of the 1 and 0 rails, ~~and~~ to the sum of their standard deviation values

3.2 Abbreviated terms

AC	alternating current
CW	continuous wave (normally referring to a sinusoidal wave form)
DC	direct current
DSO	digital sampling oscilloscope
DUT	device under test
PRBS	pseudo-random binary sequence
SNR	signal-to-noise ratio

4 Measurement of low bit-error ratios

4.1 General considerations

Fibre optic communication systems and subsystems are inherently capable of providing exceptionally good error performance, even at very high bit rates. The mean bit error ratio (BER) may typically lie in the region 10^{-12} to 10^{-20} , depending on the nature of the system. While this type of performance is well in excess of practical performance requirements for digital signals, it gives the advantage of concatenating many links over long distances without the need to employ error correction techniques.

The measurement of such low error ratios presents special problems in terms of the time taken to measure a sufficiently large number of errors to obtain a statistically significant result. Table 1 presents the mean time required to accumulate 15 errors. This number of errors can be regarded as statistically significant, offering a confidence level of 75 % with a variability of 50 %.

**Table 1 – Mean time for the accumulation of 15 errors
as a function of BER and bit rate**

BER Bits/s	10^{-6}	10^{-7}	10^{-8}	10^{-9}	10^{-10}	10^{-11}	10^{-12}	10^{-13}	10^{-14}	10^{-15}
1,0M	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d	17 d	170 d	4,7 years	47 years
2,0M	750 ms	7,5 s	75 s	750 s	2,1 h	21 h	8,8 d	88 d	2,4 years	24 years
10M	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d	170 d	4,7 years	47 years
50M	30 ms	300 ms	3,0 s	30 s	5,0 min	50 min	8,3 h	3,5 d	35 d	350 d
100M	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d	17 d	170 d
500M	3 ms	30 ms	300 ms	3,0 s	30 s	5,0 min	50 min	8,3 h	3,5 d	35 d
1,0G	1,5 ms	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d	17 d
10G	150 μ s	1,5 ms	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d
40G	38 μ s	380 μ s	3,8 ms	38 ms	380 ms	3,8 s	38 s	6,3 min	63 min	10,4 h
100G	15 μ s	150 μ s	1,5 ms	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h

Bit rate	Mean times for the accumulation of 15 errors										
	BER										
	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁷	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁹	10 ⁻¹⁰	10 ⁻¹¹	10 ⁻¹²	10 ⁻¹³	10 ⁻¹⁴	10 ⁻¹⁵
1,0 Mbit/s	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7d	17 d	170 d	4,7 years	47 years
2,0 Mbit/s	75 ms	750 ms	7,5 s	75 s	750 s	2,1 h	21 h	8,8 d	88 d	2,4 years	24 years
10 Mbit/s	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d	17 d	170 d	4,7 years
50 Mbit/s	3,0 ms	30 ms	300 ms	3,0 s	30 s	5,0 min	50 min	8,3 h	3,5 d	35 d	350 d
100 Mbit/s	1,5 ms	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d	17 d	170 d
500 Mbit/s	300 µs	3 ms	30 ms	300 ms	3,0 s	30 s	5,0 min	50 min	8,3 h	3,5 d	35 d
1,0 Gbit/s	150 µs	1,5 ms	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d	17 d
10 Gbit/s	15 µs	150 µs	1,5 ms	15 ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h	1,7 d
40 Gbit/s	3,8 µs	38 µs	380 µs	3,8 ms	38 ms	380 ms	3,8 s	38 s	6,3 min	63 min	10,4 h
100 Gbit/s	1,5 µs	15 µs	150 µs	1,5 ms	15ms	150 ms	1,5 s	15 s	2,5 min	25 min	4,2 h

The times given in Table 1 show that the direct measurement of the low BER values expected from fibre optic systems is not practical during installation and maintenance operations. One way of overcoming this difficulty is to artificially impair the signal-to-noise ratio at the receiver in a controlled manner, thus significantly increasing the BER and reducing the measurement time. The error performance is measured for various levels of impairment, and the results are then extrapolated to a level of zero impairment using computational or graphical methods according to theoretical or empirical regression algorithms.

The difficulty presented by the use of any regression technique for the determination of the error performance is that the theoretical BER value is related to the level of impairment via the inverse complementary error function (erfc). This means that very small changes in the impairment lead to very large changes in BER; for example, in the region of a BER value of 10⁻¹⁵, a change of approximately 1 dB in the level of impairment results in a change of three orders of magnitude in the BER. A further difficulty is that a method based on extrapolation is unlikely to reveal a levelling off of the BER at only about 3 orders of magnitude below the lowest measured value.

It should also be noted that, in the case of digitally regenerated sections, the results obtained apply only to the regenerated section whose receiver is under test. Errors generated in upstream regenerated sections may generate an error plateau which may have to be taken into account in the error performance evaluation of the regenerator section under test.

As noted above, two main methods for the determination of low BER values by making accelerated measurements are described. These are the variable decision threshold method (Clause 5) and the variable optical threshold method (Clause 6). In addition, a third method, the sinusoidal interference method, is described in Annex B.

It should be noted that these methods are applicable to the determination of the error performance in respect of amplitude-based impairments. Jitter may also affect the error performance of a system, and its effect requires other methods of determination. If the error performance is dominated by jitter impairments, the amplitude-based methods described in this document will lead to BER values which are lower than the actual value.

The variable decision threshold method is the procedure which can most accurately measure the Q-factor and the BER for optical systems with unknown or unpredictable noise statistics. A key limitation, however, to the use of the variable threshold method to measure Q-factor and BER is the need to have access to the receiver electronics in order to manipulate the decision

threshold. For systems where such access is not available, it may be useful to utilize the alternative variable optical threshold method. Both methods are capable of being automated in respect of measurement and computation of the results

4.2 Background to Q-factor

The Q-factor is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at the decision circuit and is typically expressed as [1]¹:

$$Q = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_0} \quad (1)$$

where

μ_1 and μ_0 are the mean voltage levels of the "1" and "0" rails, respectively;

σ_1 and σ_0 are the standard deviation values of the noise distribution on the "1" and "0" rails, respectively.


An accurate estimation of a system's transmission performance, or Q-factor, **must** shall take into consideration the effects of all sources of performance degradation, both fundamental and those due to real-world imperfections. Two important sources are amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) noise and intersymbol interference (ISI). Additive noise originates primarily from ASE of optical amplifiers. ISI arises from many effects, such as chromatic dispersion, fibre non-linearities, multi-path interference, polarization-mode dispersion and use of electronics with finite bandwidth. There may be other effects as well; for example, a poor impedance match can cause impairments such as long fall times or ringing on a waveform.

One possible method to measure Q-factor is the voltage histogram method in which a digital sampling oscilloscope is used to measure voltage histograms at the centre of a binary eye to estimate the waveform's Q-factor [2]. In this method, a pattern generator is used as a stimulus and the oscilloscope is used to measure the received eye opening and the standard deviation of the noise present in both voltage rails. As a rough approximation, the edge of visibility of the noise represents the 3σ points of an assumed Gaussian distribution. The advantage of using an oscilloscope to measure the eye is that it can be done rapidly on real traffic with a minimum of equipment.

The oscilloscope method for measuring the Q-factor has several shortcomings. When used to measure the eye of high-speed data (of the order of several Gbit/s), the oscilloscope's limited digital sampling rate (often in the order of a few hundred kilohertz) allows only a small minority of the high-speed data stream to be used in the Q-factor measurement. Longer observation times could reduce the impact of the slow sampling. A more fundamental shortcoming is that the Q estimates derived from the voltage histograms at the eye centre are often inaccurate. Various patterning effects and added noise from the front-end electronics of the oscilloscope can often obscure the real variance of the noise.

Figure 1 shows a sample eye diagram made on an operating system. It can be seen in this figure that the vertical histograms through the centre of the eye show patterning effects (less obvious is the noise added by the front-end electronics of the oscilloscope). It is difficult to predict the relationship between the Q measured this way and the actual BER measured with a test set.

¹ Figures in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.



NOTE The data for measuring the Q-factor are obtained from the tail of the Gaussian distributions.

Figure 1 – Sample eye diagram showing patterning effects

Figure 2 shows another possible way of measuring Q-factor using an oscilloscope. The idea is to use the centre of the eye to estimate the eye opening and use the area between eye centres to estimate the noise. Pattern effect contributions to the width of the histogram would then be reduced. A drawback to this method is that it relies on measurements made on a portion of the eye that the receiver does not really ever use.




Figure 2 – More accurate measurement technique using a DSO that samples noise statistics between eye centres

It is tempting to conclude that the estimates for σ_1 and σ_0 would tend to be overestimated and that the resulting Q measurements would always form a lower bound to the actual Q for either of these oscilloscope-based methods. That is not necessarily the case. It is possible that the histogram distributions can be distorted in other ways, for example, skewed in such a way that

the mean values overestimate the eye opening – and the resulting Q will actually not be a lower bound. There is, unfortunately, no easily characterized relationship between oscilloscope-derived Q measurements and BER performance.

5 Variable decision threshold method

5.1 Overview

This method of estimating the Q-factor relies on using a receiver front-end with a variable decision threshold. Some means of measuring the BER of the system is required. Typically, the measurement is performed with an error test set using a pseudo-random binary sequence (PRBS), but there are alternate techniques which allow operation with live traffic. The measurement relies on the fact that for a data eye with Gaussian statistics, the BER may be calculated analytically as follows:

$$BER(V_{th}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{|V_{th} - \mu_1|}{\sigma_1} \right) + \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{|V_{th} - \mu_0|}{\sigma_0} \right) \right) \quad (2)$$

where

μ_1, μ_0 and σ_1, σ_0 are the mean and standard deviation of the "1" and "0" data rails;

V_{th} is the decision threshold level;

$\operatorname{erfc}(\cdot)$ is the complementary error function given by

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-\beta^2/2} d\beta \cong \frac{1}{x\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \quad (3)$$

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/12ab8890-6799-49c2-8c4d-2d05388af978/iec-61280-2-8-2021>

$$P_e(V_{th}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{|V_{th} - \mu_1|}{\sigma_1} \right) + \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{|V_{th} - \mu_0|}{\sigma_0} \right) \right) \quad (2)$$

where

P_e is the BER;

V_{th} is the decision threshold level;

μ_1, μ_0 and σ_1, σ_0 are the mean and standard deviation of the "1" and "0" data rails;

$\operatorname{erfc}(\cdot)$ is the complementary error function given by

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-\beta^2/2} d\beta \cong \frac{1}{x\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \quad (3)$$

The approximation is nearly exact for $x > 3$.

The BER, given in Formula (2), is the sum of two terms. The first term is the conditional probability of deciding that a "0" has been received when a "1" has been sent, and the second term is the probability of deciding that a "1" has been received when a "0" has been sent.

In order to implement this technique, the BER is measured as a function of the threshold voltage (see Figure 3). Formula (2) is then used to convert the data into a plot of the Q-factor versus threshold, where the Q-factor is the argument of the complementary error function of either term in Formula (2). To make the conversion, the approximation is made that the BER is dominated by only one of the terms in Formula (2) according to whether the threshold is closer to the "1's" or the "0's" rail of the eye diagram.




Figure 3 – Bit error ratio as a function of decision threshold level

Figure 4 shows the results of converting the data in Figure 3 into a plot of Q-factor versus threshold. The optimum Q-factor value as well as the optimum threshold setting needed to achieve this Q-factor are obtained from the intersection of the two best-fit lines through the data. This technique is described in detail in [3].