



Designation: E679 – 19

Standard Practice for Determination of Odor and Taste Thresholds By a Forced-Choice Ascending Concentration Series Method of Limits¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E679; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

INTRODUCTION

The obtaining of odor and taste thresholds requires the sensory responses of a selected group of individuals called assessors. These thresholds may be determined in order to note the effect of various added substances on the odor and taste of a medium. They may also be determined in order to characterize and compare the odor or taste sensitivity of individuals or groups.

It is recognized that precise threshold values for a given substance do not exist in the same sense that values of vapor pressure exist. The ability to detect a substance by odor or taste is influenced by physiological factors and criteria used in producing a response by the assessor. The parameters of sample presentation introduce further variations. Thus, the flowrate of a gaseous, odorous sample has an influence on the detectability of an odor. However, a concentration range exists below which the odor or taste of a substance will not be detectable under any practical circumstances, and above which individuals with a normal sense of smell or taste would readily detect the presence of the substance.

The threshold determined by this practice is not the conventional group threshold (the stimulus level detectable with a probability of 0.5 by 50 % of the population) as obtained by Practice E1432, but rather a best estimate not far therefrom. The bias of the estimate depends on the concentration scale steps chosen and on the degree to which each assessor's threshold is centered within the range of concentrations they receive. The user also needs to keep in mind the very large degree of random error associated with estimating the probability of detection from only 50 to 100 3-AFC presentations.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice describes a rapid test for determining sensory thresholds of any substance in any medium.

1.2 It prescribes an overall design of sample preparation and a procedure for calculating the results.

1.3 The threshold may be characterized as being either (a) only *detection* (awareness) that a very small amount of added substance is present but not necessarily recognizable, or (b) *recognition* of the nature of the added substance.

1.4 The medium may be a gas, such as air, a liquid, such as water or some beverage, or a solid form of matter. The medium may be odorless or tasteless, or may exhibit a characteristic odor or taste per se.

1.5 This practice describes the use of a multiple forced-choice sample presentation method in an ascending concentration series, similar to the method of limits.

1.6 Physical methods of sample presentation for threshold determination are not a part of this practice, and will depend on the physical state, size, shape, availability, and other properties of the samples.

1.7 It is recognized that the degree of training received by a panel of assessors with a particular substance may have a profound influence on the threshold obtained with that substance (1).²

1.8 Thresholds determined by using one physical method of presentation are not necessarily equivalent to values obtained by another method.

1.9 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the*

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E18 on Sensory Evaluation and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E18.04 on Fundamentals of Sensory.

Current edition approved Aug. 15, 2019. Published September 2019. Originally approved in 1979. Last previous edition approved in 2011 as E679 – 04 (2011). DOI: 10.1520/E0679-19.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this practice.

Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:³

E1432 Practice for Defining and Calculating Individual and Group Sensory Thresholds from Forced-Choice Data Sets of Intermediate Size

2.2 *CEN Standards*:⁴

EN 13725 Air Quality—Determination of Odour Concentration Using Dynamic Dilution Olfactometry

2.3 *ISO Standards*:⁵

ISO 13301 Sensory Analysis—Methodology—General Guidance for Measuring Odour, Flavour and Taste Detection Thresholds by a Three Alternative Forced Choice (3-AFC) Procedure

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*:

3.1.1 *sample*—a material in any form that may or may not exhibit an odor or taste, depending on the amount of odorous or sapid components that it may contain.

3.1.2 *medium*—any material used to dissolve, disperse, or sorb odorous or sapid material whose threshold is to be measured.

3.1.3 *blank sample*—a quantity of the medium containing no added odorous or sapid material.

3.1.4 *test sample*—the medium to which an odorous or sapid material has been added at a known concentration.

3.1.5 *detection threshold*—the lowest concentration of a substance in a medium relating to the lowest physical intensity at which a stimulus is *detected* as determined by the best-estimate criterion.

3.1.6 *recognition threshold*—the lowest concentration of a substance in a medium relating to the lowest physical intensity at which a stimulus is *recognized* as determined by the best-estimate criterion.

3.1.7 *best-estimate criterion*—an interpolated concentration value, but not necessarily the concentration value that was actually presented. In this practice it is the geometric mean of the last missed concentration and the next (adjacent) higher concentration.

3.1.8 *ascending scale of concentrations*—a series of increasing concentrations of an odorous or sapid substance in a chosen medium.

3.1.9 *scale steps*—discrete concentration levels of a substance in a medium, with concentrations increased by the same factor per step throughout the scale.

³ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

⁴ Available from British Standards Institution (BSI), 389 Chiswick High Rd., London W4 4AL, U.K., <http://www.bsigroup.com>.

⁵ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, <http://www.ansi.org>.

3.1.10 *geometric mean*—the n th root of the product of terms. In this method, the terms are concentration values.

4. Summary of Practice

4.1 A series of test samples is prepared by dispersing the substance whose threshold is to be determined in the medium of interest. This concentration scale should increase in geometric increments so that any two adjacent concentration steps are separated by a constant factor. At each concentration step, two blank samples consisting of the medium only are provided to the assessor along with one test sample. The blank and test samples are encoded so that there is no visual, audible, tactile, or thermal difference between the samples other than code designators (2).

4.2 The assessor starts at the lowest concentration step, which should be two or three concentration steps below the estimated threshold. Each sample within the set of three is compared with the other two.

4.3 The assessor indicates which of the three samples is different from the other two. A choice must be made, even if no difference is noted, so that all data can be utilized.

4.4 Individual best-estimate values of threshold are derived from the pattern of correct/incorrect responses produced separately by each assessor. Group thresholds are derived from the geometric average of the individual best-estimate thresholds.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Sensory thresholds are used to determine the potential of substances at low concentrations to impart odor, taste, skinfeel, etc. to some form of matter.

5.2 Thresholds are used, for example, in setting limits for air pollution, in noise abatement, in water treatment, and in food systems.

5.3 Thresholds are used to characterize and compare the sensitivity of individual or groups to given stimuli, for example, in medicine, in ethnic studies, and in the study of animal species.

6. Preparation of Concentration Scale

6.1 The concentration levels of the test substance in a medium should begin well below the level at which the most sensitive assessor is able to detect or recognize the added substance, and end at (or above) the concentration at which all assessors give a correct response.

6.2 The increase in concentration of the test substance per scale step should be by a constant factor. It is desirable to obtain a scale step factor that will allow the correct responses of a group of nine assessors to distribute over three to four concentration steps (see [Appendix X1 – Appendix X3](#)). This will allow more accuracy in determining the group threshold value based on the geometric mean of the individual assessors.

6.3 Good judgment is required by the person in charge in order to determine the appropriate scale step range for a particular substance. This might involve the preparation of an approximate threshold concentration of the odorous or sapid substance in the medium of choice. The concentration of the

substance may be increased two to three times for odorants or 1.5 to 2.5 times for sapid substances depending on how the perceived intensity of odor or taste varies with the concentration of the substance providing the sensory response. For example, if x represents an approximate odor threshold concentration, then a series of concentration steps would appear as follows if a step factor of “3” were used:

... $x/27, x/9, x/3, x, 3x, 9x, 27x$. . .

6.4 In actual practice, the various concentrations are obtained by starting at the highest concentration and diluting three times per step, thus providing a series of dilution factors, “ V_i ” being the initial volume:

. . . $729V_i, 243V_i, 81V_i, 27V_i, 9V_i, 3V_i, V_i$. . .

6.5 At each selected concentration or dilution, a 3-AFC sample set consisting of one test and two blank samples is presented to assessors in indistinguishable fashion (3). It is desirable to have all samples prepared and ready for judging before the evaluation session begins. (Reference (2) contains sound practices for coding the samples, rotating the positions of these test and blank samples as the test proceeds, etc.)

6.6 If the samples are arranged in a left-center-right, or an above-center-below order, care must be taken that the test sample is presented in one third of the presentations in the left (top) position, one third in the center position, and one third in the right (bottom) position to eliminate positional bias.

6.7 If only one sample at a time is available, the test and blank samples may be presented one after another in units of three presentations, with the test sample being randomized to be the first, the second, and the third, and requesting the response after all three samples in the set have been presented. Better results, however, are obtained if the test and the two blank samples are available for a direct comparison, so that the assessor may sniff or taste back and forth at ease until a decision is reached.

7. Procedure

7.1 The assessor begins evaluating the sample set which contains the test sample with the lowest concentration (highest dilution) of the odorous or sapid substance, takes the time needed to make a selection, and proceeds systematically toward the higher concentrations.

7.2 Within each set, the assessor indicates that sample which is different from the two others (detection threshold) or which exhibits a recognizable odor or taste of the substance (recognition threshold). If the assessor cannot readily discriminate, a guess must be made so that all data may be utilized.

7.3 The judgments are completed when the assessor either (1) completes the evaluation of all sets of the scale, or (2) reaches a set wherein the test sample is correctly identified, then continues to choose correctly in higher concentration test sample sets.

8. Data Evaluation

8.1 The series of each assessor’s judgments may be expressed by writing a sequence containing (0) for an incorrect

choice or (+) for a correct choice arranged in the order of judgments of ascending concentrations of the added substance.

8.2 If the concentration range has been correctly selected, all assessors should judge correctly within the range of concentration steps provided. Thus, the representation of the assessors’ judgments as in 8.1 should terminate with two or more consecutive plusses (+).

8.3 Because there is a finite probability that a correct answer will occur by chance alone, it is important that an assessor continues evaluating the dilution series until multiple consecutive correct responses are recorded.

8.4 The best-estimate threshold concentration for the assessor is then the geometric mean of that concentration at which the last miss (0) occurred and the next higher concentration designated by a (+).

8.5 The panel threshold is the geometric mean of the best-estimate thresholds of the individual assessors. If a more accurate threshold value of an individual assessor is desired, it may be obtained by calculating the geometric mean of the best-estimate threshold of all series administered to that person.

9. Report

9.1 Successful completion of the foregoing procedure provides either the detection or recognition threshold of the substance in the medium of interest in accordance with this practice.

9.2 The threshold value is in concentration or dilution units appropriate for the substance tested (4).

9.3 For enhanced understanding of the threshold results, the following information is recommended:

Threshold of:
 Procedure: ASTM Practice E679 (Rapid Method)
 Presentation:
 Number of scale steps:
 Dilution factor per step:
 Temperature of samples:
 Assessor selection:
 Number of times test given:
 Type of threshold (detection or recognition):
 Best-estimate threshold:
 Individual:
 Panel:

9.4 Refer to [Appendix X1 – Appendix X3](#) for examples of the calculations and reporting requirements.

10. Precision and Bias

10.1 Because sensory threshold values are functions of sample presentation variables and of individual sensitivities, interlaboratory tests cannot be interpreted statistically in the usual way, and a general statement regarding precision and bias of thresholds obtained by this practice cannot be made. Studies are available that address precision and bias of threshold results (5, 6, 7).

10.2 A discussion of the likely bias of results by this practice compared to a true threshold can be found in references (5), (8), and (9).