



Designation: G49 – 85 (Reapproved 2019)

Standard Practice for Preparation and Use of Direct Tension Stress-Corrosion Test Specimens¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation G49; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers procedures for designing, preparing, and using ASTM standard tension test specimens for investigating susceptibility to stress-corrosion cracking. Axially loaded specimens may be stressed quantitatively with equipment for application of either a constant load, constant strain, or with a continuously increasing strain.

1.2 Tension test specimens are adaptable for testing a wide variety of product forms as well as parts joined by welding, riveting, or various other methods.

1.3 The exposure of specimens in a corrosive environment is treated only briefly because other standards are being prepared to deal with this aspect. Meanwhile, the investigator is referred to Practices G35, G36, G37, and G44, and to ASTM Special Technical Publication 425 (1).²

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.5 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*³

E8/E8M Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee G01 on Corrosion of Metals and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee G01.06 on Environmentally Assisted Cracking.

Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2019. Published November 2019. Originally approved in 1976. Last previous edition approved in 2011 as G49–85 (2011). DOI: 10.1520/G0049–85R19.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

³ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

G35 Practice for Determining the Susceptibility of Stainless Steels and Related Nickel-Chromium-Iron Alloys to Stress-Corrosion Cracking in Polythionic Acids

G36 Practice for Evaluating Stress-Corrosion-Cracking Resistance of Metals and Alloys in a Boiling Magnesium Chloride Solution

G37 Practice for Use of Mattsson's Solution of pH 7.2 to Evaluate the Stress-Corrosion Cracking Susceptibility of Copper-Zinc Alloys

G44 Practice for Exposure of Metals and Alloys by Alternate Immersion in Neutral 3.5 % Sodium Chloride Solution

3. Summary of Practice

3.1 This practice covers the use of axially loaded, quantitatively stressed ASTM standard tension test specimens for investigating the resistance to stress-corrosion cracking of metallic materials in all types of product forms. Consideration is given to important factors in the selection of appropriate specimens, the design of loading equipment, and the effects of these factors on the state of stress in the specimen as corrosion occurs.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Axially loaded tension specimens provide one of the most versatile methods of performing a stress-corrosion test because of the flexibility permitted in the choice of type and size of test specimen, stressing procedures, and range of stress levels.

4.2 The uniaxial stress system is simple; hence, this test method is often used for studies of stress-corrosion mechanisms. This type of test is amenable to the simultaneous exposure of unstressed specimens (no applied load) with stressed specimens and subsequent tension testing to distinguish between the effects of true stress corrosion and mechanical overload (2). Additional considerations in regard to the significance of the test results and their interpretation are given in Sections 6 and 10.

4.3 Wide variations in test results may be obtained for a given material and specimen orientation with different specimen sizes and stressing procedures. This consideration is significant especially in the standardization of a test procedure for interlaboratory comparisons or quality control.

5. Test Specimens

5.1 Whenever possible, tension test specimens used in evaluating susceptibility to stress-corrosion cracking should conform to the dimensions of standard tension test specimens specified in Test Methods E8/E8M, which contain details for specimens machined from various product forms.

5.2 A wide range of sizes for tension test specimens is possible, depending primarily upon the dimensions of the product to be tested. Because the stress-corrosion test results can be markedly influenced by the cross section of the test specimen, this factor should be given careful consideration with regard to the object of the investigation. Although larger specimens may be more representative of most actual structures, they often cannot be machined from product forms to be evaluated; and they present more difficulties in stressing and handling in the laboratory. Also, larger specimens of some materials may require longer exposure periods than smaller specimens.

5.3 Smaller cross-section specimens are widely used because they (1) have a greater sensitivity to the initiation of stress-corrosion cracking, (2) usually give test results more quickly, and (3) permit greater convenience in testing. On the other hand, the smaller specimens are more difficult to machine, and their performance is more likely to be influenced by extraneous stress concentrations resulting from non-axial loading, corrosion pits, etc. Therefore, specimens less than about 10 mm (0.4 in.) in gauge length or 3.0 mm (0.12 in.) in diameter are not recommended for general use.

5.4 Tension specimens containing machined notches have been used in studies of stress-corrosion cracking and hydrogen embrittlement (3). The presence of a notch induces a triaxial stress state at the root of the notch wherein the actual stress will be greater by a concentration factor dependent on the notch geometry. Advantages of such specimens include the probable localization of cracking to the notch region and acceleration of failure. However, unless directly related to practical conditions of usage, spurious results may ensue.


5.5 Tension specimens containing a machined notch in which a mechanical precrack (for example, a fatigue or tension crack) has been started will be the subject of another ASTM standard. Various types of precracked specimens are discussed in other publications (2, 4).

6. Stress Considerations

6.1 There are several factors that may introduce bending moments on specimens, such as a longitudinal curvature, misalignment of threads on threaded-end round specimens, and the corners of sheet-type specimens. The significance of these factors is greater for specimens with smaller cross sections. Even though eccentricity in loading can be minimized to equal the same standards accepted for tension testing machines, inevitably, there is some variation in the tensile stress around the circumference of the test specimen which can be of such magnitude that it will introduce considerable error in the

desired stress. Tests should be made on specimens with strain gages affixed to the specimen surface (around the circumference in 90° or 120° intervals) to verify strain and stress uniformity and determine if machining practices and stressing jigs are of adequate tolerance and quality.

6.2 Another consideration is the possible increase in net section stress that will occur when corrosion develops during the environmental exposure (1, 5). As shown schematically in Fig. 1, there are two limiting curves: one for zero stiffness (dead weight) and the other for infinite stiffness (ideal constant strain). In actual testing with various types of stressing frames, such as those shown in Figs. 2-4, the increase in net section stress will be somewhere in between. When the net section stress becomes greater than the nominal gross section stress and increases to the point of fracture, either of two events can occur: (1) fracture by mechanical overload of a material that is not susceptible to stress-corrosion cracking, or (2) stress-corrosion cracking of a material at an unknown stress higher than the intended nominal test stress. The occurrence of either of these phenomena would interfere with a valid evaluation of materials with a relatively high resistance to stress corrosion. These considerations must be taken into account in experiments undertaken to determine “threshold” stresses. The significance of these factors is discussed further in Section 10.



NOTE 1—The behavior shown is generally representative, but the curves will vary with specific alloys and tempers.

FIG. 1 Effect of Loading Method and Extent of Cracking or Corrosion Pattern on Average Net Section Stress





FIG. 2 Spring-Loaded Stressing Frame (6)



(a)



(b)

FIG. 3 Sustained Load Devices Using Ring Frames (7)

7. Stressing Methods

7.1 General Considerations:

7.1.1 Tension specimens may be subjected to a wide range of stress levels associated with either elastic or plastic strain. Because the stress system is intended to be essentially uniaxial (except in the case of notched specimens), great care must be exercised in the construction of stressing frames so that bending stresses are avoided or minimized.

7.1.2 Although a number of different stressing frames have been used with tension specimens, three basic types are considered herein: constant (sustained) load, constant strain (deformation), and continuously increasing strain. A constant load can be obtained with dead weight, but truly constant strain

loading is seldom achieved because a stressing frame with infinite stiffness would be required. Stress-corrosion test results can be influenced by the type of loading in combination with the design of the test specimen; therefore, the investigator should select loading conditions most applicable to the purpose of the investigation. Further information in regard to the type of loading most applicable to various types of structures is given in Ref (2).

7.2 Stressing Frames:

7.2.1 Constant Load:

7.2.1.1 The simplest method is a dead weight hung on one end of the specimen, and it is particularly useful for wire specimens (9). For specimens of larger cross section, however, lever systems such as are used in creep testing machines are more practical. The advantage of any dead-weight loading device is the constancy of the applied load.

7.2.1.2 An approximation of a constant-load system can be attained by the use of springs with a ring such as that shown in Fig. 2 (6). The principle of the proving ring, as used in the calibration of tension testing machines, has also been adapted to stress-corrosion testing to provide a simple, compact, and easily operated device to apply axial load (7); see Fig. 3(a). The load is applied by tightening a nut on one of the bolts and is determined by carefully measuring the change in ring diameter. Another similar but less sophisticated ring device can also be used, the difference being that the load is applied with a hydraulic jig (7) as shown in Fig. 3(b). In either ring device, the bolt contains a keyway to prevent a torsional stress from being applied to the specimen while tightening the nut.

7.2.2 Constant Strain—Stress-corrosion tests performed in low-compliance tension testing machines are of the constant-strain type. The specimen is loaded to the required stress level and the moving beam then locked in position. Other laboratory stressing frames have also been used, generally in testing specimens of lower strength of smaller cross section (8). Fig. 4(a) shows an exploded view of such a stressing frame, and Fig. 4(b) shows a special loading device developed to ensure axial loading with a minimum of torsion and bending of the specimen.

7.2.2.1 For stressing frames that do not contain any mechanism for the measurement of load, it is desirable to determine the stress levels from measurement of the strain. It must be noted, however, that only when the intended stress is below the