

Designation: F2187 - 02 (Reapproved 2019)

Standard Test Method for Determining the Effect of Random Frequency Vibration on a Membrane Switch or Membrane Switch Assembly¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F2187; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

- 1.1 This test method establishes procedures for determining the effect of random vibration, within the specified frequency range, on switch contacts, mounting hardware, adhered component parts, solder or heat stakes, tactile devices, and cable or ribbon interconnects associated with a membrane switch or membrane switch assembly.
- 1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.
- 1.3 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.
- 1.4 This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

2. Terminology

- 2.1 Definitions:
- 2.1.1 *contact closure*—the event at which a specified resistance is achieved on a membrane switch.
- 2.1.2 *membrane switch*—a momentary switching device in which at least one contact is on, or made of, a flexible substrate.
- 2.1.3 *specified resistance*—maximum allowable circuit resistance as measured between two test points whose internal contacts, when held closed, complete a circuit.
- 2.1.4 *test points*—two pre-selected conductive points in a circuit loop, possibly including a switch.

3. Significance and Use

- 3.1 Vibration encountered in the field is usually random in nature and this test can be used to determine the effects of random excitation on the membrane switch.
- 3.2 Random vibration can cause mechanical fatigue and failure by switch components either loosening or otherwise changing over time.
- 3.3 Experience has shown that this test will expose potential failures associated with the electronic components of a membrane switch, where tests of lower levels will not.
- 3.4 This practice can be used to qualify a membrane switch for aerospace, medical and other applications.
- 3.5 This test is potentially destructive, intended for device qualification.

4. Apparatus

- 4.1 *Vibration Fixture*, capable of holding the unit under test and subjecting it to the specified random frequency and duration as described in the In-Process Test.
- 4.2 Contact Closure Detection Device, instrumentation capable of resolving a switch opening time of greater than or equal to 1.0 ms.

5. Procedure

- 5.1 Pre-Test Setup:
- 5.1.1 Secure membrane switch on vibration test surface with appropriate fixturing.
- 5.1.2 Function testing to be specified and performed again after test completion for comparison.
- 5.1.3 Connect switch detection leads to test points on the membrane switch.
 - 5.2 In-Process Test:
- 5.2.1 Subject to a random vibration over the bandwidth of 10 to 2000 for a duration of 1 h per each orthogonal axis (total of 3 h). The break points shall be as follows:

Note 1—The tolerance shall be $\pm 3db$ for this test.

Frequency	G ² /Hz
40	0.04
2000	0.04
Overall GRMS	8.85

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F01 on Electronics and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F01.18 on Printed Electronics.

Current edition approved Dec. 1, 2019. Published December 2019. Originally approved in 2002. Last previous edition approved in 2011 as F2187 - 02(2011). DOI: 10.1520/F2187-02R19.