



Designation: ~~E2936–13~~ E2936 – 20

Standard Guide for ~~Contractor Self-Assessment~~ Self-Assessment for U.S. Government Property ~~Asset Management Systems~~¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E2936; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this standard is to provide guidance for a ~~Contractor Self-Assessment~~ Self-Assessment (CSA) program that addresses the requirement of Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 52.245-1 (Government Property) that contractors perform periodic reviews, surveillances, ~~self assessments~~ self-assessments or audits. This guide is intended to assist contractors in developing a CSA program that provides reasonable assurance of the effectiveness of the contractor's government ~~property~~ asset management system to internal and external stakeholders. Use of this guide should enable contractors to objectively evaluate ~~Government property~~ government asset management system risks, discover deficiencies, identify the root causes, and implement corrective actions.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide is intended to be used by entities engaged in contracts with the Government of the United States of America.

1.2 This guide applies to the current version of the FAR Government Property clause 52.245-1 dated ~~April 2012~~ January 2017. Entities with earlier or subsequently dated requirements/contracts should address any contractual difference when applying this guide.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate ~~safety~~ safety, health, and health environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.4 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

[E2135 Terminology for Property and Asset Management](#)

[E2279 Practice for Establishing the Guiding Principles of Property Asset Management](#)

[E2452 Practice for Equipment Management Process Maturity \(EMPM\) Model](#)

[E2234 Practice for Sampling a Stream of Product by Attributes Indexed by AQL](#)

[E2811 Practice for Management of Low Risk Property \(LRP\)](#)

2.2 *Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):*³

[52.245–1 Government Property](#) (current version)

2.3 *Other GAO Standards:*⁴

[GAGAS Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards](#) (current version)

¹ This ~~test method~~ guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E53 on Asset Management and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E53.20 on United States Government ~~Contract~~ Property Management.

Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2013 July 1, 2020. Published November 2013 July 2020. Originally approved in 2013. Last previous edition approved in 2013 as E2936–13. DOI: ~~10.1520/E2936-13~~ 10.1520/E2936-20.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the ~~standard's~~ standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ Available from U.S. General Services Administration (GSA), One Constitution Square, 1275 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20417, <http://acquisition.gov/far/index.html>.

⁴ Available from U.S. Government Accountability ~~Office~~ Office (GAO), 441 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20548, <http://www.gao.gov/yellowbook>.

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:* For Definitions—For definitions of additional terms, refer to Terminology **E2135**.

3.1.1 *classification of defects, n*—the enumeration of possible defects of the assessment sample classified according to their seriousness, that is, critical, major or minor defect.

3.1.2 *confidence level, n*—a statistical measure of the amount of reliability that a random statistical sample represents the entire population.

3.1.3 *contractor, n*—an entity that has entered into a contractual relationship with one or more agencies of the Government of the United States of America to provide goods or services.

3.1.4 *contractor self-assessment—self-assessment (CSA), n*—An auditing, assessment, review or surveillance program implemented by a contractor to identify, evaluate and take corrective action on compliance and operational risks resulting from business practices for government property management.

3.1.5 *critical defect, n*—a significant and systemic defect that would have a material effect on contract performance or cause concern for the reliability of the information provided by the property management system.

3.1.6 *defect, n*—a condition in which a functional segment, a sample item or sample item element of a property control system contains one or more deficiencies. **E2135**

3.1.6 *federal acquisition regulation (FAR), n*—The primary regulation for use by Federal Executive Agencies in their acquisition of supplies and services with appropriated funds.

3.1.7 *government propertyasset management system, n*—the plans, processes, procedures, information systems, human and physical resources used to manage government property accountable to a contract.

3.1.9 *judgment sampling, v*—is the performance of nonrandom, non-probability sampling technique where the auditor selects items to be sampled based upon their knowledge and professional judgment.

3.1.8 *major defect, n*—a significant, but not systemic defect that may affect the control of government property, possibly increasing the risk to the Government:government.

3.1.9 *methodology, n*—a set or system of methods, principles and rules for regulating a given discipline.

3.1.10 *minor defect, n*—a defect that is administrative in nature, non-systemic and would have no material outcome for the control of Government:government property.

3.1.11 *population, n*—for purposes of auditing a contract property management system using statistical sampling a population may consist of a collection of assets, inventory, records, documents, locations, actions or transactions that have common characteristics for the process undergoing audit

3.1.12 *purposive sampling, v*—the act of selecting specific items for audit or review purposes based on prior knowledge of a situation, usually to identify causal factors or progress in rectification of a prior problem. [63f17d892f8/astm-e2936-20](https://doi.org/10.1520/E2936-20)

3.1.15 *sample, n*—a subset of a complete population that exhibits the same characteristics as the complete population and which is used in a statistical sample to estimate the overall population's characteristics.

3.1.16 *statistical sampling, v*—the use of random statistical tests to estimate the characteristics of a complete population, with a minimum of bias.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 The intent of this guide is to provide a foundation for the minimum effective internal assessment of a contractor's Government:property:government asset management system. A contractor may incorporate all or part of this guide in accordance with its established procedures and operating environment. Self:assessment:Self:assessment should be used to identify deficiencies, related increases to risk, and to serve as a method for obtaining correction to those deficiencies, independent of, and often in advance of, a Government:government audit, review or assessment. It should also be used to assist in determining the effective assignment of property:asset management resources; and to serve as a method for promoting continuous improvement in property:asset management practices. Self:assessments:Self:assessments, in and of themselves may not be sufficiently independent to address external or Government:government review, assessment, or audit requirements.

4.2 To the extent possible, a CSA:Contractor Self:Assessment (CSA) program should provide a level of objectivity similar to like that of a property:an asset management system analysis performed by a Government:government or other external auditor. Individuals who perform assessments should not be the same individuals who perform the functions being tested when sufficient:enough resources are available. The contractor's official written procedures should identify functional positions responsible for performing the self:assessment:self:assessment and address management controls used to maintain independence and prevent conflicts of interest whenever individuals who perform property functions also participate in CSA activities.

4.3 The results of the CSA alone do not determine adequacy or inadequacy of the contractor's Government:property:government asset management system but should identify the level of risk presented by the contractor's business practices. The results of the

CSA should be made available to external auditors or reviewers for potential inclusion in their audits or reports in accordance with contractual requirements and the contractor's procedures.

5. Resources

5.1 The performance of a CSA, at the prime contractor or subcontractor level, requires the budgeting for and application of adequate resources. The contractor should determine the individuals who will perform and manage the CSA process, considering the issue of audit independence requirements and the contractor's asset management procedures. The contractor should also determine any additional resource requirements, including budgeting for travel and per diem, access to information systems, and any unique expertise needed, for example, statistical applications. Those who will be held accountable for the results should manage and control the resources in accordance with Practice E2279.

6. Usage

6.1 Procedures:

6.1.1 Contractors should clearly describe and define their self-assessment program in their procedures. The procedures should address the following ~~concepts~~:concepts.

6.1.2 The audit, assessment, review or surveillance methodology to be used should be defined. The methodologies may include:

6.1.2.1 Application of a ~~Government~~government agency's established ~~property~~asset management system analysis criteria.

6.1.2.2 Application of Practice E2452.

6.1.2.3 Application of industry-leading practices and customary commercial practices as used by the contractor.

6.1.2.4 Application of any other assessment methodology, for example, Balanced Scorecard⁵ or Maturity Model, for example, Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI).⁶

6.1.3 The processes and outcomes subject to review should be clearly defined. These may include the requirements enumerated in FAR 52.245-1, contractor-specific processes as applicable or other additional contractual requirements.

6.1.4 The parties responsible for performing the assessment should be identified. To the extent possible, contractors should have the assessment reviewed by an impartial party in order to ensure objectivity of the results.

6.1.5 The organizational scope of the assessment should be defined, that is, the business units, sites, or other sub-divisions of the entity to which the assessment applies. Multiple assessments may be performed when processes or procedures are significantly different among business units or sites to constitute a separate ~~property~~asset management system or when a higher level of risk has been identified.

6.1.6 The contractor's procedures should define a "defect" for the purposes of the assessment and the differences between minor, major, and critical defects in the context of the contractor's business environment. Corrective action requirements for defects should be established.

6.1.7 The procedures should include a process and a schedule for reporting CSA results to management, ~~Government~~government ~~property~~administrators, and other stakeholders.

6.2 Risk Assessment at the Process and Entity Level:

6.2.1 Contractors should apply a risk assessment in planning the CSA. Risk assessments should address potential future risks but may also include past incidents, that is, past performance areas.⁷ Criteria for determining risk may include but are not limited to:

6.2.1.1 The ~~property~~asset management system's procedures,

6.2.1.2 The ~~property~~asset management system's impact on schedule or performance,

6.2.1.3 Internal controls, and

6.2.1.4 Contractor experience.

6.2.2 Risk assessments may be grouped into one of three categories:

6.2.2.1 Low risk entities are those with mature procedures that undergo continuous improvement, there are no impacts on schedule or performance; internal controls produce positive high value results; contractor's management and employees are stable; no significant issues in previous CSAs or other internal or external audits.

6.2.2.2 Medium risk entities are those with changing procedures or system that needs validation; there has been an impact to schedule or performance caused by ~~property~~asset issues; contractor's management and employees have recently changed; a critical defect revealed through past CSA or other internal or external audits.

6.2.2.3 High risk entities are new contractors with no experience in asset management; contractors with new untested or undocumented procedures; contractors with numerous critical defects revealed through past CSAs or other internal or external audits.

⁵ Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D. P., *Balanced Scorecard*, Harvard Business Review Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996.

⁶ Bush, M., and Dunaway, D., *CMMI Assessments: Motivating Positive Change*, Addison-Wesley Professional, Boston, MA, 2005.

⁷ Defense Acquisition University, "*Risk-Department of Defense Risk, Issue, and Opportunity Management Guide for DoD Acquisition*," *Defense Acquisition Programs*, Sixth Edition, Version 1.0, August 2006/January 2017, <http://www.dau.mil/publications/publicationsDocs/RMG%20Ed%20Aug06.pdf>; <https://www.dau.edu/tools/Lists/DAUTools/Attachments/140/RIO-Guide-January2017.pdf>.

6.2.3 The frequency of a CSA performance, either a complete CSA or the individual processes, should be based upon the risk assessment, that is, the higher the risk rating the more frequent the CSA performance, the lower the risk rating the less frequent the CSA performance.

6.2.3.1 Low risk entities should perform a CSA no less than once every three years.

6.2.3.2 Medium risk entities should perform a CSA no less than once every two years.

6.2.3.3 High risk entities should perform a CSA annually.

6.3 *Process Tests:*

6.3.1 Contractors should establish process tests that provide ~~sufficient~~enough evidence to credibly evaluate the effectiveness and risk level of the property management system in terms of business system process segments and as a whole.

6.3.2 Process tests may evaluate compliance with specific contract terms and conditions, or other business processes as required by the contractor's operating environment. Process tests should also evaluate the effectiveness of and level of adherence to the contractor's ~~property~~asset management procedures.

6.3.3 Process tests may involve quantitative tests such as statistical sampling, metrics derived from Statistical Process Controls (SPC), or non-statistical tests such as judgment or purposive sampling. When applying statistical sampling the acceptance and rejection goals, acceptable ranges or other criteria for measuring risk levels should be established for each process test.

6.3.4 Contractors must include support documentation and evidence for each process test with the results of the self-assessment to demonstrate the integrity of the process.

6.4 *Populations for a Contractor Self-Assessment: Self-Assessment:*

6.4.1 The proper definition and selection of a population or populations when using statistical sampling for testing the FAR ~~property~~asset management processes is a critical component of performing a CSA. In statistics, sample data from a population are observed in order to make estimate attributes of the population from which they were selected.

6.4.2 Populations should be defined and selected based upon common characteristics of the process being reviewed (FAR 52.245-1(f)(1)(i) through (x)) and the criteria embedded within the process or outcome. These outcomes include Acquisition, Receiving, Records, Physical Inventory, Subcontractor Control, Reports, Relief of Stewardship Responsibility and Liability, Utilization, Maintenance and Property Closeout. Care should be taken to ensure that populations address not only the stated process or outcome, but any sub-processes subsumed under or within the listed processes.

6.4.3 Populations may be based upon transactions or attributes.

6.4.3.1 A population based upon transactions is one where the population is driven by actions that have occurred over a set ~~period of time, period,~~ for example, all receiving of ~~Government~~government property ~~assets~~ that has occurred over the past year, the maintenance of property ~~assets~~ over the past year – or ~~whatever~~ the timeframe defined within the CSA procedures.

6.4.3.2 Generally a transactional population should consist of and encompass transactions going back one year (365 days) or to the last CSA, whichever is less.

6.4.4 A population based upon attributes is one where the population does not lend itself to testing transactions but rather other characteristics, for example, storage locations, physical use locations, ~~records of property, asset records,~~ etc. These populations involve the testing of criteria that are not driven by acts or actions over a ~~period of time, period.~~ For example, under the process of storage, the CSA is not concerned with the ~~property assets~~ moving into and out of a storage facility but rather the locations where all ~~Government property is~~government assets are stored – so there are no transactions involved. ~~In regard to~~Regarding the process of records, the population consists of records of all assets regardless of the actions performed on that record.

6.4.4.1 Processes may have more than one population:

(1) The process of Acquisition under FAR ~~52.245-1(f)(1)~~52.245-1(f)(1)(i) applies to the acquisition of both Government Furnished Property (GFP) and Contractor Acquired Property (CAP). The criteria testing the acquisition of GFP and CAP may be different; therefore, the populations for these two items may be different.

(2) Populations may be segregated within a process by classification of ~~Government property, government property assets,~~ that is, Material, Special Test Equipment, Special Tooling, Equipment or other classifications as required or allowed by other ~~Government~~government agencies.

(3) Populations may be segregated by the sensitivity of the ~~Government property, government assets,~~ for example, precious metals, nuclear materials, arms, ammunition and explosives, Communications Security Equipment (COMSEC), etc.

(4) Populations may be stratified either by dollar value or the criticality of items using a "A, B, C" type methodology or based on the criteria in Practice **E2811**.

6.4.5 Populations may be used to test multiple processes when the populations lend themselves to this use. For example:

6.4.5.1 The population used for testing the process of Records (FAR 52.245-1(f)(1)(iii)), segregated by property classification – in this case Equipment, Special Test Equipment and Special ~~Tooling, Tooling~~ may be used to test the process of Utilization (FAR 52.245-1(f)(1)(viii)).

6.4.5.2 The population used for testing Receiving would be inappropriate for testing the process of Consumption, as the process of Consumption is only applicable to the ~~property~~classification of material ~~which that~~ is consumable, while the population of Receiving deals with all classes of ~~Government property, government property assets,~~ Material being one class of ~~property, asset,~~ but ~~Government~~government property ~~assets~~ also ~~includes~~include Special Test Equipment, Special Tooling, and Equipment which are non-consumable items.

6.5 Sampling:

6.5.1 There are multiple forms of sampling that may be used in performing a contractor ~~self-assessment~~. These include but are not limited to ~~statistical sampling, judgment~~ and purposive sampling. Statistical sampling involves the use of random statistical tests to estimate the characteristics of a complete population with a minimum of bias. Judgment sampling is the performance of nonrandom, non-probability sampling technique where the auditor selects items to be sampled based upon their knowledge and professional judgment. Sample items are selected from a population where the items may not lend themselves to random statistical sampling. Where a statistical sample can be defended against bias, a judgment sample may not carry the same defense against bias. Purposive sampling is the act of selecting specific items for audit or review purposes based on prior knowledge of a situation, usually to identify causal factors or progress in rectification of a prior problem. In contrast with statistical sampling, purposive sampling is inherently biased.

6.5.2 Contractors must define the statistical sampling plan to be used. The contractor must determine the appropriate sample size needed to conclude that the proportion of defects discovered in a random sample properly represents the proportion of defects in the entire population. ~~To do so, the~~ The sampling plan must clearly define the population to be tested as well as the acceptable sampling error, population proportion, and the desired confidence level.

6.5.3 The Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) of the United States Department of Defense (DoD) uses established double sampling plans based on 90 %, 95 % and 97 % confidence levels. Practice E2234 also provides a variety of other statistical sampling plans. The Acceptable Quality Level (AQL) 6.5 end-confidence levels of Practice E2234 produces results comparable to the DCMA 90 % confidence level (90 % confidence of rejecting lots having 10 % or more defectives).

6.5.4 The confidence level or AQL used for sampling should be determined by the contractor's or the ~~Government's~~ acceptance of process risk, contract terms and conditions, and proposed or operational performance metrics. The DCMA Standard Operating Procedure on CSA indicates that 90 % confidence level or AQL 6.5 is suitable for transaction testing of most property management processes.⁸ Processes requiring a high degree of accuracy, such as those involving sensitive property, may be suited to the use of a higher confidence level or lower AQL.

6.5.5 Contractors should base the decision as to whether to use a single or double sampling plan for a given process test given the ~~tradeoff~~ trade-off between the administrative difficulty and the average sample sizes of the plans. A single sampling plan will typically involve larger sample sizes and avoid the need to select a second sample in the event of a small number of ~~defects~~ defects but may lead to the rejection of that sample as defective with fewer defects. Single sampling plans may be best suited for process tests that involve a relatively high degree of manual effort, such as floor to record sampling of assets. A double sampling plan will typically involve smaller sample sizes at the ~~outset~~ outset but will require the selection and review of a second sample if a small number of defects are identified in the first sample. Double sampling plans may be best suited for process tests that involve a relatively low level of manual effort, such as document reviews or data reviews conducted from a computer workstation. Given the smaller sampling sizes, double sampling plans may also be ideal for process tests where the contractor has a high degree of confidence that relatively few defects will be encountered after considering ~~past~~ experience and previous self-assessment data. ~~In any event, contractors~~ Contractors are encouraged to select the sampling plan that best provides an objective measure of the process while minimizing the cost and administrative burden of conducting the process test.

6.5.6 Samples should be randomly generated using automated random sampling tools. The same sample populations may be used for multiple process tests if those populations provide the necessary data required to conduct each test. Sample sizes can be determined by using the tables in the appendices of this guide for either single or double sampling.

6.6 Process Tests:

6.6.1 Contractors should establish process tests that provide ~~sufficient~~ enough evidence to credibly evaluate the effectiveness and risk level of the ~~property~~ asset management system in terms of process segments and as a whole. Process tests may involve quantitative tests such as metrics based on statistical sampling, or qualitative tests such as judgment or purposive sampling. Goals, acceptable ranges, or other criteria for measuring risk levels should be established for each process test. Regardless of the testing methods used, contractors should include support documentation and evidence with the results of the self-assessment to demonstrate the integrity of the process.

6.6.2 Contractors subject to the requirements of FAR 52.245-1 should test the processes in this section as applicable to ensure compliance with the basic requirements of this clause. Contractors may choose to test other internal business requirements or contract terms and ~~conditions~~ conditions and proposed or operational performance metrics.

6.6.2.1 *Acquisition*—The process test(s) should ensure that ~~contractor-acquired property~~ CAP is required by the contract (~~per~~ in accordance with the statement of work or other contractual authorization), properly charged to the contract, and authorized by the contract.

6.6.2.2 *Receiving*—The process test(s) should ensure that receipts of ~~Government~~ government property are promptly and accurately recorded in the ~~Government~~ government property management ~~system~~ system and managed appropriately when discrepancies incident to shipment occur. The process of Receiving has embedded in it the sub-process of Identification. Process

⁸ United States Department of Defense, Defense Contract Management Agency, "Instruction – Contract Property Management," DCMA-INST 124, February 2013. Available online: <http://www.dema.mil/policy/124/DCMA-INST-124.pdf>. Available online: February 2013. <https://www.dema.mil/Portals/31/Documents/Policy/DCMA-INST-124.pdf>.