



Designation: ~~D1619—16a~~ D1619 – 20

Standard Test Methods for Carbon Black—Sulfur Content¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D1619; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the U.S. Department of Defense.

1. Scope

1.1 These test methods cover the determination of the sulfur content of carbon black. The following test methods are included:

Test Method	Section
Test Method A	High-Temperature Combustion With Infrared Absorption Detection Procedures
Test Method B	X-Ray Fluorescence

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.4 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

D1509 Test Methods for Carbon Black—Heating Loss

D1799 Practice for Carbon Black—Sampling Packaged Shipments

D1900 Practice for Carbon Black—Sampling Bulk Shipments

D4483 Practice for Evaluating Precision for Test Method Standards in the Rubber and Carbon Black Manufacturing Industries

3. Significance and Use

3.1 The total sulfur content of a carbon black is useful in determining whether a material meets a customer's specifications, providing data for performing a sulfur material balance around a process for environmental monitoring and reporting, and in calculations for reconstructing a rubber composition from analytical data.

¹ These test methods are under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D24 on Carbon Black and are the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D24.66 on Environment, Health, and Safety.

Current edition approved Sept. 1, 2016/Nov. 1, 2020. Published October 2016/December 2020. Originally approved in 1958. Last previous edition approved in 2016 as D1619 – 16/D1619 – 16a. DOI: 10.1520/D1619-16A.10.1520/D1619-20.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For Annual Book of ASTM Standards volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

4. Reagents

4.1 *Purity of Reagents*—Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available.³ Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

5. Sampling

5.1 Samples of packaged materials shall be taken in accordance with Practice **D1799** or Practice **D1900**.

TEST METHOD A HIGH-TEMPERATURE COMBUSTION WITH INFRARED ABSORPTION DETECTION PROCEDURES

6. Summary of Test Method

6.1 The specimen is burned in a tube furnace at a minimum operating temperature of 1350°C in a stream of oxygen to oxidize the sulfur. Moisture and particulates are removed from the gas by traps filled with anhydrous magnesium perchlorate. The gas stream is passed through a cell in which sulfur dioxide is measured by an infrared (IR) absorption detector. Sulfur dioxide absorbs IR energy at a precise wavelength within the IR spectrum. Energy is absorbed as the gas passes through the cell body in which the IR energy is being transmitted. Thus, at the detector, less energy is received. All other IR energy is eliminated from reaching the detector by a precise wavelength filter. Thus, the absorption of IR energy can be attributed only to sulfur dioxide whose concentration is proportional to the change in energy at the detector. One cell is used as both a reference and a measurement chamber. Total sulfur as sulfur dioxide is detected on a continuous basis. This test method is empirical. Therefore, the apparatus must be calibrated by the use of standard reference materials (SRM).

6.2 This test method is for use with commercially available sulfur analyzers equipped to carry out the preceding operations automatically and must be calibrated using standard reference material (carbon black) of known sulfur content based on the range of sulfur in each carbon black specimen analyzed.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *Measurement Apparatus*, equipped to automatically combust the specimen.

7.2 *Combustion Tube*, made of mullite, porcelain, or zircon, approximately 40- to 45-mm inside diameter with a 3-mm thick wall, at least 450-mm long with provisions for routing the gasses produced by combustion through the infrared cell.

7.3 *Boat Puller*—Rod of a heat-resistant material with a bent or disk end to insert and remove boats from the combustion tube.

7.4 *Gravity Convection Drying Oven*, capable of maintaining $125 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$.

8. Reagents

8.1 *Purity of Reagents*—See **4.1**.

8.2 *Magnesium Perchlorate*.

9. Preparation of Apparatus

9.1 Assemble the apparatus according to the manufacturer's instructions. Make a minimum of two determinations (see **10.3**) to condition the equipment prior to calibrating the system.

³ *Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see *Analar Standards for Laboratory Chemicals*, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the *United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary*, U.S. Pharmaceutical Convention, Inc. (USPC), Rockville, MD.

10. Calibration

10.1 Select standards having sulfur values of approximately 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 % sulfur⁴.

10.2 *Adjustment of Response of Measurement System*—Weigh out approximately 0.5 g of the 1.0 % sulfur standard. Analyze the specimen (see Section 11). Repeat this procedure. Adjust instrument as recommended by the manufacturer until the absence of drift is indicated.

10.3 *Calibration Procedure*—Weigh out four specimens of the 1.0 % sulfur standard. Follow the calibration procedure recommended by the manufacturer. Confirm the calibration by analyzing the 1.0 % sulfur standard. The value should be within the allowable limits of the known value. If not, repeat the procedure. Then weigh out and analyze two specimens, each of the other calibration standards. Record the results after each analysis. Compare the results obtained to the known sulfur values of the specimens. They should be within the allowable limits of the known value of the respective specimen. If not, refer to the manufacturer's instructions for checking linearity of the analyzer.

11. Procedure

11.1 *Sample Preparation*—Dry an adequate sample of the carbon black for at least 1 h in a gravity-convection oven set at $125 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$, in an open container of suitable dimensions, so that the depth of black is no more than 10 mm. Cool to room temperature in a desiccator before use.

11.2 Stabilize and calibrate the analyzer (see 10.1 through 10.3).

11.3 Raise the furnace temperature as recommended by the manufacturer to at least 1350°C . Weigh the specimen not to exceed more than 0.5 g of carbon black. Spread the specimen evenly in a combustion boat and use a boat puller to position the specimen in the hot zone of the furnace for at least 2 min, or until completely combusted.

NOTE 1—The analytical cycle should begin automatically as soon as sulfur is detected.

11.4 When the analysis is complete, the instrument should indicate the sulfur value. Refer to the manufacturer's recommended procedure.

12. Report

12.1 The percent sulfur value is obtained directly from the apparatus.

12.2 Report results to the nearest 0.01 %.

13. Precision and Bias

13.1 These precision statements have been prepared in accordance with Practice D4483-99. Refer to this practice for terminology and other statistical details.

13.2 The precision results in this precision and bias section give an estimate of the precision of this test method with the materials used in the particular interlaboratory ~~program~~ programs described in Table 1. The precision parameters should not be used for acceptance or rejection testing of any group of materials without documentation that they are applicable to those particular materials and the specific testing protocols of the test method. Any appropriate value may be used from Table 1.

13.3 ~~A type~~ Type 1 inter-laboratory precision ~~program was~~ programs were conducted as detailed in Table 1. Both repeatability and reproducibility represent short term (daily) testing conditions. The testing was performed using two operators in each laboratory performing the test once on each of two days (total of four tests). A test result is the value obtained from a single determination. Acceptable difference values were not measured. The between operator component of variation is included in the calculated values for ~~r and R~~ r, R, and (R).

⁴ Coal standards have been found to be suitable standards and are usually available from the instrument manufacturer or may be obtained from Alpha Products for Analysis, 3090 Johnson Road, Stevensville, MI 49127, www.alpha-resources.com.

TABLE 1 Precision Parameters for Test Methods D1619, Method A, (Type 1 Precision)

Material	Period	Number of Laboratories	Units			Percent			
			Mean Level	Sr	r	(r)	SR	R	(R)
SRB-C6 (N326)	March 2003	4	0.8056	0.0150	0.0426	5.28	0.0966	0.2734	33.94
HS-Tread	September 2003	7	1.0779	0.0123	0.0349	3.24	0.0174	0.0494	4.58
SRB8E (N660)	September 2008	9	1.1085	0.0067	0.0188	1.70	0.1182	0.3344	30.17
SRB8B (N134)	June 2009	13	1.1738	0.0117	0.0330	2.81	0.0600	0.1698	14.46
SRB8A (N326)	March 2008	12	1.1945	0.0179	0.0507	4.25	0.0409	0.1157	9.69
SRB-A6 (N134)	September 2004	9	1.2556	0.0103	0.0291	2.32	0.0400	0.1133	9.03
N234	September 2007	10	1.3094	0.0210	0.0595	4.54	0.0616	0.1743	13.31
N299	March 2006	10	1.5716	0.0113	0.0320	2.04	0.0414	0.1173	7.46
N772	March 2005	9	1.8256	0.0176	0.0499	2.74	0.1026	0.2903	15.90
LS-Carcass	March 2004	6	1.8565	0.0202	0.0573	3.09	0.0265	0.0751	4.04
SRB8D (LS-Carcass)	March 2009	12	1.8988	0.0254	0.0718	3.78	0.0862	0.2439	12.84
SRB-D7 (LS-Carcass)	September 2006	12	1.9172	0.0142	0.0401	2.09	0.0348	0.0986	5.14
Average			1.4163						
Pooled Values				0.0161	0.0456	3.22	0.0682	0.1931	13.63

TABLE 1 Precision Parameters for Test Methods D1619, Sulfur Content of Carbon Black (Type 1 Precision), Method A: Combustion^A

Material	Period	Number of Laboratories	Units are percent						
			Mean Level	Sr	r	(r)	SR	R	(R)
STRM-A (SRB-8G)	Aug 2017	14(0/1/0)	0.022	0.002	0.007	29.791	0.021	0.060	269.841
STRM-B (SRB-8F2)	Mar 2015	11(0/2/0)	0.357	0.008	0.023	6.580	0.023	0.065	18.357
STRM-C (SRB-8B2)	Mar/Apr 2014	12(1/0/1)	0.791	0.010	0.029	3.646	0.026	0.074	9.343
STRM-D (SRB-8E2)	Aug 2016	17(0/1/0)	1.248	0.012	0.034	2.760	0.057	0.161	12.879
STRM-E (SRB-8D)	Mar 2017	15(0/0/1)	1.850	0.020	0.056	3.009	0.133	0.376	20.298
Average			0.854						
Pooled Values				0.012	0.034	3.959	0.067	0.190	22.242

^A Preferred precision shown in bold text. Statistically, relative precision is preferred. However, the increase in relative precision limits when dealing with a mean value near zero, such as the SRB-8G material, makes the use of absolute precision more practical, so it is the preferred precision.

^B M = number of outliers for Laboratory Mean as identified by Mandel h-value; H = number of outliers for High Laboratory variation as identified by a 2-sided test using the Mandel k-value; L = number of outliers for Low Laboratory variation as identified by a 2-sided test using the Mandel k-value. Low variation laboratories have variation that is less than expected based on the statistical evaluation of the data set.

Document Preview

ASTM D1619-20

13.4 The results of the precision calculations for this test are given in **Table 1**. The materials are arranged in ascending “mean level” order. The absolute reproducibility is more independent preferred precision estimates are absolute (see bolded values in **Table 1** of the mean level so the absolute repeatability, r, and reproducibility, R, are the preferred parameters).

13.5 *Repeatability*—The pooled absolute repeatability, r, of this test has been established as ~~0.0456 %~~ **0.034 %**. Any other value in **Table 1** may be used as an estimate of repeatability, as appropriate. The difference between two single test results (or determinations) found on identical test material under the repeatability conditions prescribed for this test will exceed the repeatability on an average of not more than once in 20 cases in the normal and correct operation of the method. Two single test results that differ by more than the appropriate value from **Table 1** must be suspected of being from different populations and some appropriate action taken.

NOTE 2—Appropriate action may be an investigation of the test method procedure or apparatus for faulty operation or the declaration of a significant difference in the two materials, samples, etc., which generated the two test results.

13.6 *Reproducibility*—The pooled absolute reproducibility, R, of this test has been established as ~~0.1931 %~~ **0.19 %**. Any other value in **Table 1** may be used as an estimate of reproducibility, as appropriate. The difference between two single and independent test results found by two operators working under the prescribed reproducibility conditions in different laboratories on identical test material will exceed the reproducibility on an average of not more than once in 20 cases in the normal and correct operation of the method. Two single test results produced in different laboratories that differ by more than the appropriate value from **Table 1** must be suspected of being from different populations and some appropriate investigative or technical/commercial action taken.

13.7 *Bias*—In test method terminology, bias is the difference between an average test value and the reference (true) test property value. Reference values do not exist a reference value. However, for this test method since the value or level of the test property is exclusively defined by the test method, bias has not been determined.