



Designation: **F2083—12 F2083 – 21**

Standard Specification for Knee Replacement Prosthesis¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F2083; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This specification is intended to cover all the widely used generic types of knee replacement prostheses used to provide functioning articulation. This includes total knee replacement (TKR) and unicondylar knee replacement (UKR) prostheses of both fixed and mobile bearing varieties, and for primary or revision surgeries. Although a patellar component may be considered an integral part of a TKR, the detailed description of this component is excluded here since it is provided in Specification **F1672**.

1.2 Included within the scope of this specification are replaceable components of modular designs, for example, tibial articulating surfaces and all components labeled for, or capable of, being used with cement, regardless of whether the same components can also be used without cement.

1.3 This specification is intended to provide basic descriptions of material and prosthesis geometry. Additionally, those characteristics determined to be important to *in-vivo* performance of the prosthesis are defined. However, compliance with this specification does not itself define a device that will provide adequate clinical performance.

1.4 Excluded from the scope are hemiarthroplasty devices that replace only the femoral or tibial surface, but not both; and patellofemoral prostheses. Also excluded are devices designed for custom applications.

1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.6 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

1.7 This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

[E739 Practice for Statistical Analysis of Linear or Linearized Stress-Life \(S-N\) and Strain-Life \(\$\epsilon\$ -N\) Fatigue Data](#)

[F67 Specification for Unalloyed Titanium, for Surgical Implant Applications \(UNS R50250, UNS R50400, UNS R50550, UNS R50700\)](#)

¹ This specification is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee **F04** on Medical and Surgical Materials and Devices and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee **F04.22** on Arthroplasty.

Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2012; Jan. 1, 2021. Published April 2013; February 2021. Originally approved in 2001. Last previous edition approved in 2011 as **F2083—11**; **F2083 – 12**. DOI: [10.1520/F2083-12](#); [10.1520/F2083-21](#).

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](#), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](#). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

- [F75 Specification for Cobalt-28 Chromium-6 Molybdenum Alloy Castings and Casting Alloy for Surgical Implants \(UNS R30075\)](#)
- [F86 Practice for Surface Preparation and Marking of Metallic Surgical Implants](#)
- [F90 Specification for Wrought Cobalt-20Chromium-15Tungsten-10Nickel Alloy for Surgical Implant Applications \(UNS R30605\)](#)
- [F136 Specification for Wrought Titanium-6Aluminum-4Vanadium ELI \(Extra Low Interstitial\) Alloy for Surgical Implant Applications \(UNS R56401\)](#)
- [F138 Specification for Wrought 18Chromium-14Nickel-2.5Molybdenum Stainless Steel Bar and Wire for Surgical Implants \(UNS S31673\)](#)
- [F451 Specification for Acrylic Bone Cement](#)
- [F561 Practice for Retrieval and Analysis of Medical Devices, and Associated Tissues and Fluids](#)
- [F562 Specification for Wrought 35Cobalt-35Nickel-20Chromium-10Molybdenum Alloy for Surgical Implant Applications \(UNS R30035\)](#)
- ~~[F563 Specification for Wrought Cobalt-20Nickel-20Chromium-3.5Molybdenum-3.5Tungsten-5Iron Alloy for Surgical Implant Applications \(UNS R30563\) \(Withdrawn 2005\)³](#)~~
- [F648 Specification for Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight Polyethylene Powder and Fabricated Form for Surgical Implants](#)
- [F732 Test Method for Wear Testing of Polymeric Materials Used in Total Joint Prostheses](#)
- ~~[F745 Specification for 18Chromium-12.5Nickel-2.5Molybdenum Stainless Steel for Cast and Solution-Annealed Surgical Implant Applications \(Withdrawn 2012\)³](#)~~
- [F746 Test Method for Pitting or Crevice Corrosion of Metallic Surgical Implant Materials](#)
- [F748 Practice for Selecting Generic Biological Test Methods for Materials and Devices](#)
- [F799 Specification for Cobalt-28 Chromium-6 Molybdenum Alloy Forgings for Surgical Implants \(UNS R31537, R31538, R31539\)](#)
- [F981 Practice for Assessment of Compatibility of Biomaterials for Surgical Implants with Respect to Effect of Materials on Muscle and Insertion into Bone](#)
- [F983 Practice for Permanent Marking of Orthopaedic Implant Components](#)
- ~~[F1044 Test Method for Shear Testing of Calcium Phosphate Coatings and Metallic Coatings](#)~~
- [F1108 Specification for Titanium-6Aluminum-4Vanadium Alloy Castings for Surgical Implants \(UNS R56406\)](#)
- ~~[F1147 Test Method for Tension Testing of Calcium Phosphate and Metallic Coatings](#)~~
- ~~[F1160 Test Method for Shear and Bending Fatigue Testing of Calcium Phosphate and Metallic Medical and Composite Calcium Phosphate/Metallic Coatings](#)~~
- [F1223 Test Method for Determination of Total Knee Replacement Constraint](#)
- [F1377 Specification for Cobalt-28Chromium-6Molybdenum Powder for Coating of Orthopedic Implants \(UNS R30075\)](#)
- [F1472 Specification for Wrought Titanium-6Aluminum-4Vanadium Alloy for Surgical Implant Applications \(UNS R56400\)](#)
- [F1537 Specification for Wrought Cobalt-28Chromium-6Molybdenum Alloys for Surgical Implants \(UNS R31537, UNS R31538, and UNS R31539\)](#)
- [F1580 Specification for Titanium and Titanium-6 Aluminum-4 Vanadium Alloy Powders for Coatings of Surgical Implants](#)
- [F1672 Specification for Resurfacing Patellar Prosthesis](#)
- [F1800 Practice for Cyclic Fatigue Testing of Metal Tibial Tray Components of Total Knee Joint Replacements](#)
- [F1814 Guide for Evaluating Modular Hip and Knee Joint Components](#)
- [F1877 Practice for Characterization of Particles](#)
- [F2003 Practice for Accelerated Aging of Ultra-High Molecular Weight Polyethylene after Gamma Irradiation in Air](#)
- [F2384 Specification for Wrought Zirconium-2.5Niobium Alloy for Surgical Implant Applications \(UNS R60901\)](#)
- [F2503 Practice for Marking Medical Devices and Other Items for Safety in the Magnetic Resonance Environment](#)
- [F2722 Practice for Evaluating Mobile Bearing Knee Tibial Baseplate Rotational Stops](#)
- [F2723 Test Method for Evaluating Mobile Bearing Knee Tibial Baseplate/Bearing Resistance to Dynamic Disassociation](#)
- [F2724 Test Method for Evaluating Mobile Bearing Knee Dislocation](#)
- [F2777 Test Method for Evaluating Knee Bearing \(Tibial Insert\) Endurance and Deformation Under High Flexion](#)
- [F2943 Guide for Presentation of End User Labeling Information for Musculoskeletal Implants](#)
- [F3140 Test Method for Cyclic Fatigue Testing of Metal Tibial Tray Components of Unicondylar Knee Joint Replacements](#)
- [F3141 Guide for Total Knee Replacement Loading Profiles](#)
- [F3161 Test Method for Finite Element Analysis \(FEA\) of Metallic Orthopaedic Total Knee Femoral Components under Closing Conditions](#)

2.2 ISO Standards:³

[ISO 6474-1 Implants for Surgery—Ceramic Materials—Part 1: Ceramic Materials Based on High Purity Alumina](#)

³ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, <http://www.ansi.org>.

[ISO 10993-1:2003 Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices—Part 1: Evaluation and Testing Within a Risk Management Process](#)

[ISO 13179-1:2014 Implants for Surgery—Plasma-Sprayed Unalloyed Titanium Coatings on Metallic Surgical Implants—Part 1: General Requirements](#)

[ISO 13779-2:2018 Implants for Surgery—Hydroxyapatite—Part 2: Thermally Sprayed Coatings of Hydroxyapatite](#)

[ISO 14243-1:2014 Implants for Surgery—Wear of Total Knee-Joint Prostheses—Part 1: Loading and Displacement Parameters for Wear-Testing Machines with Load Control and Corresponding Environmental Conditions for Test](#)

[ISO 14243-2:2014 Implants for Surgery—Wear of Total Knee-Joint Prostheses—Part 2: Methods of Measurement](#)

[ISO 14243-3:2014 Implants for Surgery—Wear of Total Knee-Joint Prostheses—Part 3: Loading and Displacement Parameters for Wear-Testing Machines with Displacement Control and Corresponding Environmental Conditions for Test](#)

2.3 *FDA Document:*

[US FDA 21 CFR 888.6 Degree of Constraint](#)⁴

2.4 *ANSI/ASME Standard:*

[ANSI/ASME B46.1 Surface Texture \(Surface Roughness, Waviness, and Lay\)](#)³

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.1.1 *activities of daily living (ADL), n*—a variety of functional activities including walking, stair ascent and descent, sit-to-stand, stand-to-sit, squatting, kneeling, cross-legged sitting, into bath, out of bath, and turning and cutting motions as described in Guide [F3141](#).

3.1.2 *constraint, n*—the relative inability of a TKR to be further displaced in a specific direction under a given set of loading conditions as dictated by the TKR's geometric design.

3.1.3 *extension, n*—motion of the tibia toward bringing it into axial alignment with the femur.

3.1.4 *femoral component, n*—bearing member fixed to the femur for articulation with the tibial component and the patellar component or natural patella.

3.1.5 *flexion, n*—motion of the tibia toward bringing it into contact with the posterior femoral surface.

3.1.6 *high flexion, n*—a total knee prosthesis designed to function at flexion angles above 125°.

3.1.7 *interlock, n*—the mechanical design feature used to increase capture of one component within another and to restrict unwanted displacement between components (that is, a component locking mechanism for modular components).

3.1.8 *mobile bearing knee (MBK), n*—a knee replacement system which includes an ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) component which, by design, articulates with both the femoral bearing and the tibial tray.

3.1.9 *patella component, n*—bearing member fixed to the natural patella for articulation with the femoral component, which is described in Specification [F1672](#).

3.1.10 *radiographic marker, n*—a nonstructural radiopaque component, generally thin wire, designed to permit radiographic visualization after implantation of components manufactured of non-radiopaque materials that would otherwise not be visible on radiographs.

3.1.11 *tibial component, n*—bearing member fixed to the tibia for articulation with the femoral component, typically either monoblock UHMWPE or modular, consisting of two major components, a metallic tibial baseplate (tray) and a UHMWPE bearing surface.

3.1.11.1 *Discussion—*

Modular assemblies may be either fixed or mobile.

⁴ Available from Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 5600 Fishers Ln., Rockville, MD 20857, <http://www.fda.gov>.

3.1.12 *total knee replacement (TKR), n*—prosthetic parts that substitute for the natural opposing tibial, patellar, and femoral articulating surfaces.

3.1.13 *unicondylar knee replacement (UKR), n*—prosthetic parts that substitute for the natural opposing tibial and femoral articulating surfaces on one condyle.

4. Classification

4.1 The following classification by degree of constraint is based on the concepts adopted by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (see 2.3).

4.1.1 *Constrained*—A joint prosthesis used for joint replacement, and replacement that prevents dislocation of the prosthesis in more than one anatomic plane and consists of either a single, flexible, across-the-joint component or more than one component linked together or affixed.

4.1.2 *Semi-constrained*—A joint prosthesis used for partial or total joint replacement, and replacement that limits translation and rotation of the prosthesis in one or more planes via the geometry of its articulating surfaces. It has no across-the-joint linkage.

4.1.3 *Non-constrained*—A “non-constrained” joint prosthesis is used for partial or total joint replacement, and restricts minimally prosthesis movement in one or more planes. Its components have no across-the-joint linkage.

5. Material

5.1 The choice of materials is understood to be a necessary but not sufficient assurance of function of the device made from them. All devices conforming to this specification shall be fabricated from materials with adequate mechanical strength and durability, corrosion resistance, and biocompatibility.

5.1.1 *Mechanical Strength*—Some examples of materials from which knee replacement components have been successfully fabricated include Specifications F75, F90, F136, F138, F562, F563, F745, F799, F1108, F1377, F1472, F1537, F1580, and F2384. Polymeric bearing components have been fabricated from UHMWPE as specified in Specification F648. Porous coatings have been fabricated from the materials specified in Specifications F67 and F75. Not all of these materials may possess sufficient mechanical strength for critical highly stressed components nor for articulating surfaces.

5.1.2 *Corrosion Resistance*—Materials with limited or no history of successful use for orthopaedic implant applications shall be determined to exhibit corrosion resistance equal to or better than one of the materials listed in 5.1.1 when tested in accordance to Test Method F746. If the corrosion resistance of a material is less than one of the materials listed in 5.1.1 when tested in accordance with Test Method F746, its use would need to be justified.

5.1.3 *Biocompatibility*—Materials—Devices made from materials with limited or no history of successful use for orthopaedic implant applications shall be determined to exhibit acceptable biological response equal to or better than one of the materials listed in 5.1.1 when tested in accordance with Practices F748, F981, or ISO 10993 for a given application. If the material is not one of the 10993-1. While no known surgical implant material has ever been shown to be completely free of adverse reactions in the human body, long-term clinical experience has shown an acceptable level of biological response can be expected if materials listed in 5.1.1 are used. However, the specifications listed in 5.1.1 cover raw materials and not finished medical devices, where the design and fabrication process of the device can impact biological response. Hence, for devices made from material listed in 5.1.1, then its biocompatibility shall be verified in accordance with Practices F748, F981, or ISO 10993:10993-1, unless justification can be provided for why design and processing will not impact the biocompatibility of the final, sterilized device.

5.1.4 *Polymeric Component Oxidation Resistance*—Polymeric components may be subject to degradation of mechanical or wear performance due to oxidation and may need to be aged prior to subsequent mechanical testing following Practice F2003.

6. Performance Requirements

6.1 Although the testing methodologies described in this specification attempt to identify physiologically relevant test conditions, the interpretation of results is limited to an *in vitro*/*in vitro* comparison between knee designs under the stated test conditions.

6.2 *Component Function*—Each component for knee arthroplasty is expected to function as intended when manufactured in

accordance with good manufacturing practices and to the requirements of this specification. The components shall be capable of withstanding static and dynamic physiologic loads for the intended use and environment without compromise to their function. All components used for experimental measures of performance shall be equivalent to the finished product in form and material. Components shall be sterilized if this would affect their performance.

NOTE 1—Computer models may be used to evaluate many of the functional characteristics if appropriate material properties and functional constraints are included and the computer models have been validated with experimental tests.

6.3 Individual tibial baseplates, femoral components, and all-polyethylene tibial components should be fatigue-tested using relevant test methods under appropriate loading conditions to address loss of supporting foundation.

6.3.1 For TKR, tibial baseplate (tray) components shall be evaluated in accordance with Practice **F1800**. Each of five specimens shall be tested and pass for 10 million cycles with no failures using a maximum load of 900 N **(1)**⁵ as a minimum requirement.

6.3.2 For UKR, tibial baseplate (tray) components shall be evaluated in accordance with Test Method **F3140**. In order to fully understand the mechanical fatigue behavior of the UKR, users should characterize the failure load and mode (for example, unacceptable deformation, material loss, delamination, fracture).

6.3.2.1 The method to determine the fatigue strength should be based on an established method. One method is to establish a linearized stress-life (S/N) type curve. The number of cycles based on statistical methods to establish an S/N curve with the minimum samples required may be determined by using Practice **E739**. Alternative acceptable methods, which can be employed to determine the (UKR tibial tray) fatigue strength, include the up-and-down method and a modified up-and-down method **(2, 3)**.

6.3.2.2 Once the runout load is estimated using an established method, a minimum of five samples is recommended to be tested to 10 million cycles with no failure at the predetermined load. Any sample that fails before the recommended 10 million cycle limit indicates that the UKR tibial tray design does not consistently meet the runout load criteria.

6.3.2.3 The acceptability of the runout load shall be justified. Justification of fatigue performance may be based on comparison to physiological loading parameters expected to be encountered throughout the lifetime of the implant. One source of physiological load data is the OrthoLoad database **(4)**. Other literature may also be consulted. The user shall substantiate which loading is appropriate with an adequate safety factor. Justification of fatigue performance may also be based on comparison to performance of a legally marketed device and in accordance with the requirements of the regulatory regime in which the device is to be marketed.

6.3.3 When the potential for bearing overhang exists, mobile bearing components shall be evaluated for their endurance and deformation. Test Method **F2777** may be used for such evaluation. At least five specimens of the UHMWPE bearing component should be tested.

6.3.4 A test method to determine the total knee metallic femoral component size from a particular implant family with worst-case stresses under closing conditions and simulated loss of supporting foundation using finite element analysis (FEA) techniques is Test Method **F3161**. This method may be useful to provide efficiencies in the amount of physical testing to be conducted. This test method does not assess the mechanical performance of the implant under fatigue loading conditions.

6.4 Contact area and contact pressure distributions may be determined to provide a representation of stresses applied to the bearing surfaces and to the components. For TKR, the contact pressure tests using one of several published methods **(5-10)** should be performed at various flexion angles, with 0°, 15°, 30°, 60°, and 90° recommended. If the prosthesis is designed to function at higher flexion angles, then these measurements should also be made at the maximum flexion angle as determined in **6.5**. At 90° of flexion and the maximum flexion angle, these measurements should be made at 0° of rotation and 15° of internal and external rotation. If an internal or external rotational angle of less than 15° is used, it shall be justified. On mobile bearing systems, contact area and contact pressure measurements should be made at all articulating surfaces. On mobile bearing systems, to make these measurements at 15° of internal and external rotation, the femoral component is rotated relative to the tibial base component and the mobile portion of the articulating component is allowed to come to a static position under load before measurements are taken. If these tests are performed, it is important to maintain consistent test parameters and to evaluate other TKR prostheses under the same conditions. For unicondylar knee replacement designs, adaptations of the above should be performed and justified.

⁵ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

6.5 The flexion-extension range of motion shall include angles from less than or equal to 0° flexion to greater than or equal to 110° flexion. These measurements apply to components mounted in neutral alignment in bone or in an anatomically representative substitute. It is critical to define the location of the neutral alignment position, for example, the center of contact areas or patches, in terms of dimensions from the outside edges of the components. The initial positioning or location of the neutral alignment point will alter the range of motion values for certain TKR prostheses.

NOTE 2—The range of motion of a total knee replacement or a unicondylar knee replacement can be estimated using the Computer Aided Design (CAD) drawings of an implant. The researcher should report how 0° of flexion was defined. Maximum flexion may be defined as the highest angle at which the following conditions are met: (1) bony impingement is not expected; (2) one or both posterior femoral condyles do not dig (that is, cause polyethylene deformation in the form of an edge or line) into the implant tibial component; or (3) subluxation of one of the posterior femoral condyles or full dislocation does not occur as the knee is flexed and experiences posterior motion or internal-external rotation of the femoral component

6.6 Total knee replacement constraint data for internal-external rotation, anterior-posterior displacement, and medial-lateral displacement may be determined in accordance with Test Method F1223. Testing implants at 0°, 15°, 90°, and maximum flexion is recommended. Test Method F1223 covers special provisions for mobile bearing knees, allowing the constraint of the inferior articular surfaces to be estimated as well as that of the entire implant with both superior and inferior articulations. For unicondylar knees, adaptations of the Test Method of F1223 should be devised to test and characterize constraint. Any such adaptation or verifications of special design claims on constraint/laxity of a unicondylar knee system shall be described and justified in test reports with special emphasis on how it applies to the individual UKR design tested.

NOTE 3—Depending on the sign/direction, a knee joint internal-external rotation can cause (or require) extra linear anterior-posterior (AP) motion of a unicondylar component due to its offset location towards one condyle.

6.7 *Component Function*—Each component In order to verify that there is sufficient implant constraint against subluxation and sufficient laxity (no digging-in of posterior condyle edges) at maximum flexion (as measured in 6.5 for-), total knee replacement constraint data for internal-external rotation and for anterior-posterior motion should be determined at maximum flexion. At maximum flexion, the device should be able to support anticipated physiologic loading conditions and allow internal-external rotation of $\pm 15^\circ$ without subluxation (11), knee arthroplasty is expected to function as intended when manufactured in accordance with good manufacturing practices and to the requirements of this specification. The components shall be capable of withstanding static and dynamic physiologic loads for the intended use and environment without compromise to their function. All components used for experimental measures of performance shall be equivalent to the finished product in form and material. Components Constrained knee systems, as defined in this standard and 21 CFR 888.6, are linked across the joint and may be too constrained by design to allow for $\pm 15^\circ$ of rotation at maximum flexion. The range of motion for such constrained devices can be estimated in other ways, but justification shall be reported. The criterion above is also applicable to a unicondylar knee replacement but the $\pm 15^\circ$ internal-external rotation at which maximum flexion should be verified remains that of the whole knee system, and not the individual UKR. Depending on the size/width of the knee joint indicated for implantation of the UKR, the $\pm 15^\circ$ internal-external rotation of the whole knee implies some AP translation as well as rotation of the UKR tibial component. A simple mathematical calculation should be carried out to determine the resulting combination of anterior-posterior and internal-external positions/locations expected of the UKR femoral component relative to its tibial component at each extreme ($\pm 15^\circ$) of whole knee joint rotation. The UKR should not subluxate under constraint testing with this determined combination of anterior-posterior translation and rotation. All mobile bearing knees (whether total or unicondylar) should be evaluated for dislocation (spinout or spit-out) resistance. Test Method F2724 shall be sterilized if this would affect their performance. may be used for such evaluation.

NOTE 1—Computer models may be used to evaluate many of the functional characteristics if appropriate material properties and functional constraints are included and the computer models have been validated with experimental tests.

6.2.1 Individual tibial baseplates, femoral components, and all polyethylene tibial components should be fatigue-tested using relevant test methods under appropriate loading conditions to address loss of supporting foundation.

6.2.1.1 Tibial baseplate (tray) components shall be evaluated in accordance with Test Method F1800. Each of five specimens shall be tested and pass for 10 million cycles with no failures using a maximum load of 900 N (1)⁶ as a minimum requirement. The baseplate components (if any) of unicondylar knee replacement systems should also be tested with an appropriate adaptation of Test Method F1800. A portion of bone loss/support should be simulated and the assumptions/adaptation explained and justified in the test report.

6.2.1.2 When the potential for bearing overhang exists, mobile bearing components shall be evaluated for their endurance and deformation. Test Method F2777 may be used for such evaluation. At least five specimens of the UHMWPE bearing component should be tested.

6.2.2 Contact area and contact pressure distributions may be determined to provide a representation of stresses applied to the bearing surfaces and to the components. For TKR, the contact pressure tests using one of several published methods (2-7) should be performed at various flexion angles, with 0°, 15°, 30°, 60°, and 90° recommended. If the prosthesis is designed to function at higher flexion angles, then these measurements should also be made at the maximum flexion angle as determined in 6.2.3. At 90° of flexion and the maximum flexion angle, these measurements should be made at 0° of rotation and 15° of internal and external rotation. If an internal or external rotational angle of less than 15° is used, it shall be justified. On mobile bearing systems, contact area and contact pressure measurements should be made at all articulating surfaces. On mobile bearing systems, to make these measurements at 15° of internal and external rotation, the femoral component is rotated relative to the tibial base component and the mobile portion of the articulating component is allowed to come to a static position under load before measurements are taken. If these tests are performed, it is important to maintain consistent test parameters and to evaluate other TKR prostheses under the same conditions. For unicondylar knee replacement designs, adaptations of the above should be performed and justified.

6.2.3 The flexion-extension range of motion shall include angles from less than or equal to 0 flexion to greater than or equal to 110° flexion. These measurements apply to components mounted in neutral alignment in bone or in an anatomically representative substitute. It is critical to define the location of the neutral alignment position, for example, the center of contact areas or patches; in terms of dimensions from the outside edges of the components. The initial positioning or location of the neutral alignment point will alter the range of motion values for certain TKR prostheses.

NOTE 2—The range of motion of a total knee replacement or a unicondylar knee replacement can be estimated using the Computer Aided Design (CAD) drawings of an implant. The researcher should report how 0° of flexion was defined. Maximum flexion may be defined as the highest angle at which the following conditions are met: (a) bony impingement is not expected; (b) one or both posterior femoral condyles do not dig (that is, cause polyethylene deformation in the form of an edge or line) into the implant tibial component; or (c) subluxation of one of the posterior femoral condyles or full dislocation does not occur as the knee is flexed and experiences posterior motion or internal-external rotation of the femoral component

6.2.4 Total knee replacement constraint data for internal-external rotation, anterior-posterior displacement, and medial-lateral displacement may be determined in accordance with Test Method F1223. Testing implants at 0°, 15°, 90°, and maximum flexion is recommended. Test Method F1223 covers special provisions for mobile bearing knees, allowing the constraint of the inferior articular surfaces to be estimated as well as that of the entire implant with both superior and inferior articulations. For unicondylar knees, adaptations of the Test Method of F1223 should be devised to test and characterize constraint. Any such adaptation or verifications of special design claims on constraint/laxity of a unicondylar knee system shall be described and justified in test reports with special emphasis on how it applies to the individual UKR design tested.

NOTE 3—Depending on the sign/direction, a knee joint internal-external rotation can cause (or require) extra linear AP motion of a unicondylar component due to its offset location towards one condyle.

6.2.5 In order to verify that there is sufficient implant constraint against subluxation and sufficient laxity (no digging-in of posterior condyle edges) at maximum flexion (as measured in 6.2.3), total knee replacement constraint data for internal-external rotation and for anterior-posterior motion should be determined at maximum flexion. At maximum flexion, the device should be able to support anticipated physiologic loading conditions and allow internal-external rotation of $\pm 15^\circ$ without subluxation (8). Constrained knee systems, as defined in this standard and 21 CFR 888.6, are linked across the joint and may be too constrained by design to allow for $\pm 15^\circ$ of rotation at maximum flexion. The range of motion for such constrained devices can be estimated in other ways, but justification shall be reported. The criterion above is also applicable to a unicondylar knee replacement but the $\pm 15^\circ$ internal-external rotation at which max flexion should be verified remains that of the whole knee system, and not the individual UKR. Depending on the size/width of the knee joint indicated for implantation of the UKR, the $\pm 15^\circ$ internal-external rotation of the whole knee implies some AP translation as well as rotation of the UKR tibial component. A simple mathematical calculation should be carried out to determine the resulting combination of anterior-posterior and internal-external positions/locations expected of the UKR femoral component relative to its tibial component at each extreme ($\pm 15^\circ$) of whole knee joint rotation. The UKR should not subluxate under constraint testing with this determined combination of anterior-posterior translation and rotation. All mobile bearing knees (whether total or unicondylar) should be evaluated for dislocation (spinout or spit-out) resistance. Test Method F2724 may be used for such evaluation.

6.8 All modular components shall be evaluated for the integrity of their connecting mechanisms. As suggested in Guide F1814, static and dynamic shear tests, bending tests, and tensile tests or any combination may be necessary to determine the performance