
6]ch\ bc`c[]UË`A]_fccf[Ub]na]!`Dcfc]c`c`i dcfUV]`_f]hYf]Yj`nUfUnj fgh]hYj
[Ybg_c`gdfYa YbYb]\`a]_fccf[Ub]na cj`j`≠`g_i d]bc

Biotechnology - Microorganisms - Report on the criteria used to classify Group I
genetically modified microorganisms

Biotechnik - Mikroorganismen - Bericht über gebräuchliche Einstufungskriterien bei
gentechnisch veränderten Mikroorganismen der Gruppe I

Biotechnologie - Microorganismes - Rapport sur les criteres utilisés pour classer les
microorganismes génétiquement modifiés du Groupe I

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/797b91e8-2380-4c08-8439-](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/797b91e8-2380-4c08-8439-b06d69923fa5/sist-cr-13426-1999)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CR 13426:1998

ICS:

07.080	Biologija. Botanika. Zoologija	Biology. Botany. Zoology
07.100.01	Mikrobiologija na splošno	Microbiology in general

SIST CR 13426:1999

en

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST CR 13426:1999

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/797b91e8-2380-4c08-8439-b06d69923fa5/sist-cr-13426-1999>

CEN REPORT
RAPPORT CEN
CEN BERICHT

CR 13426

November 1998

ICS

Descriptors:

English version

**Biotechnology - Microorganisms - Report on the criteria used to
classify Group I genetically modified microorganisms**

Biotechnologie - Microorganismes - Rapport sur les critères
utilisés pour classer les microorganismes génétiquement
modifiés du Groupe I

This CEN Report was approved by CEN on 14 October 1998. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 233.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

iteh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/797b91e8-2380-4c08-8439-b06d69923fa5/sist-cr-13426-1999>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Central Secretariat: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

Contents

Foreword	3
Introduction.....	4
1 Scope.....	4
2 Safety criteria for Group I GMM's	5
3 Conclusions and recommendations	11
Annex A (informative) List of national competent authorities	12
Annex B (informative) Bibliography	16

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST CR 13426:1999

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/797b91e8-2380-4e08-b439-b06d69923fa5/sist-cr-13426-1999>



REPUBLIKA ALBANIJA
MINISTRIJA E TREGHTISE DHE
INDUSTRIE
DHE ENERGIJE
DHE UJER
DHE
DHE

0001 - 01

.....7816
INTEKALORAN IGOTEN OF TREVOR



Foreword

This CEN Report has been prepared by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 233 "Biotechnology", the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST CR 13426:1999

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/797b91e8-2380-4c08-8439-b06d69923fa5/sist-cr-13426-1999>

Introduction

From the beginning of the application of genetic modification of microorganisms there has been concern among users as well as authorities about the potential dangers of this new technique, especially with respect to the creation of new strains that could be hazardous to man, animals, plants or the environment in general. Many national authorities, advisory committees and also the European Commission, set up a legal framework to ensure the safe application of techniques of genetic modification. In order to be able to determine what, if any, safety precautions should be taken in individual biotechnological operations, safety criteria were set up to supplement the legislation. The NIH (see annex B [1]) and the OECD (see annex B [2]) were among the first to publish widely accepted safety criteria. Both publications have been regularly updated. They have had an important harmonizing impact and also strongly influenced the European Directive 90/219/EEC on contained use of genetically modified microorganisms (GMM's), issued in 1990 (see annex B [3]). This Directive aims at regulating the use of GMM's in such a way that no untoward effects are to be expected for man and environment.

Many microorganisms currently in use in modern biotechnology, whether it be research, development or production, have a long record of safe use. They have been employed for centuries in classical biotechnology without creating untoward effects to the environment and they have not been seen to be hazardous to humans. Therefore, in giving safety guidelines on how to use GMM's in general, making a distinction between safe and potentially unsafe organisms is logical.

Directive 90/219/EEC classifies GMM's into two groups : those that are considered to be intrinsically safe because they meet predefined safety criteria (Group I) and those that do not meet these criteria (Group II).

General safety criteria for hosts, vectors and inserts that can be used to construct Group I GMM's and criteria for such GMM's themselves are given in an annex of the Directive. These criteria were somewhat detailed originally but were amended into more general terms later (see annex B [4]).

At present Directive 90/219/EEC is being revised in which the distinction of the two groups of microorganisms is abandoned. However, assessment of the risks that GMM's may pose to man and environment will stay obligatory and, as a consequence thereof, the need for biological safety criteria for microorganisms.

Given the rather general quality of the Group I safety criteria, guidelines on their interpretation were issued (see annex B [5], [6]). These leave room, however, for different decisions on whether hosts, vectors, inserts and GMM's qualify for Group I or not. As a consequence, and also because European Union Member States differ in the kind of activities with GMM's that are carried out, national interpretations of the safety guidelines and the accompanying lists of Group I hosts and vectors have diverged to some extent.

1 Scope

This CEN Report reviews the safety criteria for hosts, vectors, inserts and genetically modified microorganisms (GMM's) used by the competent authorities of Member States of the European Union to classify GMM's as intrinsically safe, i.e. as Group I GMM's. The report

may assist both users and regulatory authorities in classifying GMM's, including mammalian and plant cells, as safe for human health and the environment.

This CEN Report covers Group I GMM's that are applied in research and development activities and those applied in industrial applications, which are frequently activities on a larger scale. Although most of the information available relates to GMM's that are to be used for research and development, the safety criteria for GMM's to be used for the latter kind of activities show much overlap. No listings of hosts and vectors are given, because such lists are readily available and are inevitably incomplete due to the continuous addition of newly classified biological agents.

2 Safety criteria for Group I GMM's

The safety criteria used by authoritative bodies of several European countries to classify hosts, vectors, inserts and GMM's as Group I are summarized in table I. The table relates them to the general safety criteria given in Directive 90/269/EEC and the most recent official guidelines thereof (see annex B [6]). More detailed information on the national criteria can be found in the publications of the respective authoritative bodies (see annex A).

Several national authoritative bodies also publish lists of Group I hosts and vectors or host-vector systems classified as such. These lists however are rather incomplete because of infrequent updates and, of far more importance, because they do contain only those microorganisms of which a notifier requested official recognition. In many cases such a request is not made because of reasons of confidentiality, which means that the hosts and vectors are only mentioned in the notification, but not incorporated in the lists of Group I microorganisms.

Not all countries where activities with Group I GMM's occur do have predefined specific national safety criteria available.

In Sweden for several Group I hosts and vectors used in industrial applications simply the relevant criteria of the NIH Guidelines were used (e.g. for hosts like K12 strains of *Escherichia coli* and Chinese Hamster Ovary cells and vectors like pBR322-derivatives, pKGE327 and pKGE439) (see annex B [14]).

In Belgium the still rather general guidelines of Directive 96/134/EEC are an integral part of the national legislation with some minor modifications. Although their scope is broadened to encompass animals and plants as well, no additional specifications are available (see annex B [7]).

Also in Norway these guidelines, as well as those on the general safety criteria given in the original Directive are the only official criteria available (see annex B [15]).

For several countries, notably Germany, it is difficult to represent the national safety criteria used in table I, because their legislation gives comprehensive safety criteria for microorganisms, but not specifically for Group I. Points that need to be considered when carrying out the risk assessment to decide on the relevant containment level are given instead, sometimes differentiated into risk assessment made for research applications and industrial applications. A guide to such risk assessment including typical examples has been issued by the German Occupational Safety and Benefit Organization of Chemical Industry (see annex B [8]), while in the United-Kingdom for large scale applications at the lowest containment level several criteria are available (see annex B [10]).

Table 1 : Overview of safety criteria for Group I genetically modified microorganisms

Table 1.a : Host and parental organism

[illegible]

Table 1.b : Vector

SAFETY CRITERIA		CEN MEMBER STATES																																			
		A	B	CH	DK	F	FIN	G	GR	I	ICL	IRE	L	N	NL	P	S	SW	UK																		
LEVEL 1 : CRITERIA ACCORDING TO DIRECTIVE 90/219/EEC		<div><div>iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW</div><div>(standards.iteh.ai)</div><div>https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/797b91c8-2380-4c08-8439-b06d69923fa5/sist-cr-13426-1999</div><div>SIST CR 13426:1999</div></div>																																			
Not endowing the GMM with phenotype likely to cause disease to humans, animals and plants or adverse effects in the environment.																																					
LEVEL 2 : CRITERIA ACCORDING TO DIRECTIVE 96/134 (GUIDELINES)																																					
No genes present that encode for an active protein (e.g. virulence determinants, toxins) at a level and in a way that may endow the GMM with the phenotype aforementioned.																																					
Not self transferable or mobilisable in case of presence of sequences that determine detrimental traits in certain micro-organisms, but that do not endow the GMM with the phenotype aforementioned.																																					
In case of large scale applications : not self transferable.																																					
In case of large scale applications : not consisting of transferable functional elements.																																					
In case of large scale applications : not mobilisable.																																					
In case of large scale applications : sufficient genomic characterization or a genome limited to those gene sequences required for its intended function, in case it seems probable that the GMM will develop the phenotype aforementioned.																																					
LEVEL 3 : CRITERIA ACCORDING TO MEMBER STATES																																					
Of known type (plasmid, cosmid, phage, minichromosome, viral vector).																																					
Restricted host-range.																																					
Well characterized.																																					
Sufficient genomic characterization means knowledge of : a) size of vector ; b) origin of each fragment derived from a naturally occurring genetic element ; c) method of construction ; d) function and relative position of the following genetic elements: a) structural genes, b) regulating sequences, c) synthetic sequences, d) transposons (provirus sequences included) and e) replicons relevant to the GMM.																																					
limited in size to relevant sequences as much as possible.																																					
No presence of transposon or provirus sequences potentially relevant for transposition or integration in the host.																																					
No presence of transposon or provirus sequences with harmful sequences, unless these are essential to the vector. In the latter case, under no circumstances pathogenic GMM's may be generated.																																					
No capability of autonomous transfer of genetic elements to other organisms.																																					
Low level of co-transfer.																																					

"continued"

1) Biologically safe strains only
2) In case of industrial application