



Designation: F1870 – 22

Standard Guide for Selection of Fire Test Methods for the Assessment of Upholstered Furnishings in Detention and Correctional Facilities¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F1870; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This is a fire-test-response guide.

1.2 This guide is intended to provide guidance for the selection of test methods that are applicable to determining fire-test-response characteristics of upholstered furniture items contained within a detention cell.

1.3 This guide is intended for use by those interested in assessing the fire properties of the upholstery products and their component materials or composites, within cells and other areas (such as isolation lounges) of detention and correctional occupancies.

1.4 This guide includes standard test methods promulgated by ASTM, NFPA, Underwriters Laboratories, trade associations and government agencies and other proposed test methods. It does not include industrial materials specification tests. The guide indicates some means by which modifications of standard test methods lead to potential achievement of certain testing goals.

1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard; see [IEEE/ASTM SI 10](#) for further details. The units given in parentheses are for information only. Some individual standards referenced use inch-pound units for referee decisions.

1.6 This guide contains four types of test methods, namely: (1) generic small-scale methods, (2) specific applications of small-scale test methods to particular products or composites of products, associated with upholstery items, (3) real-scale test methods where actual upholstery products are exposed to heat or flame, and (4) guides explaining the concepts involved with room-scale testing.

1.7 The main fire-test-response characteristics investigated in this guide are: ignitability, ease of extinction, flame spread, heat release, smoke obscuration and toxic potency of smoke.

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F33 on Detention and Correctional Facilities and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F33.05 on Furnishings and Equipment.

Current edition approved June 1, 2022. Published June 2022. Originally approved in 1999. Last previous edition approved in 2016 as F1870 – 16. DOI: 10.1520/F1870-22.

1.8 This guide measures and describes the response of materials, products, or assemblies to heat and flame under controlled conditions, but does not by itself incorporate all factors required for fire hazard or fire risk assessment of the materials, products, or assemblies under actual fire conditions.

1.9 *Fire testing is inherently hazardous. Adequate safeguards for personnel and property shall be employed in conducting these tests.*

1.10 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.11 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

[D123 Terminology Relating to Textiles](#)

[D1929 Test Method for Determining Ignition Temperature of Plastics](#)

[D2863 Test Method for Measuring the Minimum Oxygen Concentration to Support Candle-Like Combustion of Plastics \(Oxygen Index\)](#)

[D3675 Test Method for Surface Flammability of Flexible Cellular Materials Using a Radiant Heat Energy Source](#)

[E162 Test Method for Surface Flammability of Materials Using a Radiant Heat Energy Source](#)

[E176 Terminology of Fire Standards](#)

[E603 Guide for Room Fire Experiments](#)

[E662 Test Method for Specific Optical Density of Smoke Generated by Solid Materials](#)

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

- E906** Test Method for Heat and Visible Smoke Release Rates for Materials and Products Using a Thermopile Method
- E1321** Test Method for Determining Material Ignition and Flame Spread Properties
- E1352** Test Method for Cigarette Ignition Resistance of Mock-Up Upholstered Furniture Assemblies
- E1353** Test Methods for Cigarette Ignition Resistance of Components of Upholstered Furniture
- E1354** Test Method for Heat and Visible Smoke Release Rates for Materials and Products Using an Oxygen Consumption Calorimeter
- E1474** Test Method for Determining the Heat Release Rate of Upholstered Furniture and Mattress Components or Composites Using a Bench Scale Oxygen Consumption Calorimeter
- E1537** Test Method for Fire Testing of Upholstered Furniture
- E1546** Guide for Development of Fire-Hazard-Assessment Standards
- E1590** Test Method for Fire Testing of Mattresses
- E1678** Test Method for Measuring Smoke Toxicity for Use in Fire Hazard Analysis
- E2187** Test Method for Measuring the Ignition Strength of Cigarettes
- E2257** Test Method for Room Fire Test of Wall and Ceiling Materials and Assemblies
- E3020** Practice for Ignition Sources
- F1534** Test Method for Determining Changes in Fire-Test-Response Characteristics of Cushioning Materials After Water Leaching
- F1550** Test Method for Determination of Fire-Test-Response Characteristics of Components or Composites of Mattresses or Furniture for Use in Correctional Facilities after Exposure to Vandalism, by Employing a Bench Scale Oxygen Consumption Calorimeter
- IEEE/ASTM SI 10** International System of Units (SI): The Modern Metric System

2.2 BSI Standards:³

- BS 5852** Standard Methods of test for assessment of the ignitability of upholstered seating by smouldering and flaming ignition sources
- BS 6807** Standard Methods of test for assessment of ignitability of mattresses, upholstered divans and upholstered bed bases with flaming types of primary and secondary sources of ignition
- BS 7177** Standard Specification for resistance to ignition of mattresses, mattress pads, divans and bed bases

2.3 California Standards:⁴

- California Technical Bulletin 116** (CA TB 116) (January 1980), “Requirements, Test Procedure and Apparatus for Testing the Flame Retardance of Upholstered Furniture”

- California Technical Bulletin 117–1980** (CA TB 117) (January 1980), “Requirements, Test Procedure and Apparatus for Testing the Flame Retardance of Resilient Filling Materials Used in Upholstered Furniture”

- California Technical Bulletin 117–2013** (CA TB 117-2013), Requirements, Test Procedure and Apparatus for Testing the Smolder Resistance of Materials Used in Upholstered Furniture

- California Technical Bulletin 121** (CA TB 121) (April 1980), Flammability Test Procedure for Mattresses for Use in Public Occupancies

- California Technical Bulletin 129** (CA TB 129) (October 1992), Flammability Test Procedure for Mattresses for Use in Public Buildings

- California Technical Bulletin 133** (CA TB 133) (January 1991), Flammability Test Procedure for Seating Furniture for Use in Public Occupancies

2.4 CPSC Standards:⁵

- CFR Part 1610** Standard for the Flammability of Clothing Textiles (General Wearing Apparel)

- CFR Part 1632** Standard for the Flammability of Mattresses and Mattress Pads (formerly DOC FF4-72, 40 FR 59940)

2.5 Federal Standards:⁶

- Americans with Disabilities Act**

- FED STD 191A** Textile Test Method 5830 (July 20, 1978)

2.6 International Code Council Codes:⁷

- International Building Code**

- International Fire Code**

2.7 ISO Standards:⁸

- ISO 3261** Fire Tests–Vocabulary

- ISO 4880** Burning Behaviour of Textiles and Textile Products–Vocabulary

- ISO 5659-2** Determination of Specific Optical Density by a Single-Chamber Test

- ISO 9705** Full Scale Room Fire Test for Surface Products

- ISO 13943** Fire Safety: Vocabulary

2.8 NFPA Standards:⁹

- NFPA 101** Life Safety Code

- NFPA 258** Recommended Practice for Determining Smoke Generation of Solid Materials (withdrawn)

- NFPA 260** Methods of Test and Classification System for Cigarette Ignition Resistance of Components of Upholstered Furniture

- NFPA 261** Method of Test for Determining Resistance of Mock-Up Upholstered Furniture Material Assemblies to Ignition by Smoldering Cigarettes

- NFPA 265** Methods of Fire Tests for Evaluating Room Fire Growth Contribution of Textile Wall Coverings

⁵ Available from US Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC, 20207.

⁶ Available from General Services Administration, Specifications Activity, Printed Materials Supply Division, Building 197, Naval Weapons Plant, Washington, DC, 20407.

⁷ Available from International Code Council (ICC), 500 New Jersey Ave., NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20001, <http://www.iccsafe.org>.

⁸ Available from International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 1 rue de Varembe, Case postale 56, CH-1211, Geneva 20, Switzerland.

⁹ Available from National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02269-9101.

³ Available from British Standards Institution (BSI), 389 Chiswick High Rd., London W4 4AL, U.K., <http://www.bsigroup.com>.

⁴ Available from California Bureau of Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation, State of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, 3485 Orange Grove Avenue, North Highlands, CA, 95660-5595.

- [NFPA 269 Test Method for Developing Toxic Potency Data for Use in Fire Hazard Modeling](#)
- [NFPA 271 Method of Test for Heat and Visible Smoke Release Rates for Materials and Products Using an Oxygen Consumption Calorimeter \(withdrawn\)](#)
- [NFPA 286 Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Evaluating Contribution of Wall and Ceiling Interior Finish to Room Fire Growth](#)
- [NFPA 701 Methods of Fire Tests for Flame Propagation of Textiles and Films](#)
- [NFPA 5000 Building Construction and Safety Code](#)

3. Terminology

3.1 For definitions of terms used in this test method and associated with fire issues refer to the terminology contained in Terminology [E176](#), ISO 13943, and ISO 3261. In case of conflict, the definitions given in Terminology [E176](#) shall prevail. For definitions of terms used in this guide and associated with textile issues refer to the terminology contained in Terminology [D123](#) and ISO 4880. In case of conflict, the definitions given in Terminology [D123](#) shall prevail.

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1 *fire hazard, n*—potential for harm associated with fire.

3.2.1.1 *Discussion*—A fire may pose one or more types of hazard to people, animals, or property. These hazards are associated with the environment and with a number of fire-test-response characteristics of materials, products, or assemblies including but not limited to ease of ignition, flame spread, rate of heat release, smoke generation and obscuration, toxicity of combustion products and ease of extinguishment.

3.2.2 *fire performance, n*—response of a material, product, or assembly in a specific fire, other than in a fire test involving controlled conditions (different from fire-test-response characteristic, q.v.).

3.2.2.1 *Discussion*—The ASTM policy on fire standards distinguishes between the response of materials, products or assemblies to heat and flame, “under controlled conditions,” which is fire-test-response characteristic, and “under actual fire conditions,” which is fire performance. Fire performance depends on the occasion or environment and may not be measurable. In view of the limited availability of fire-performance data, the response to one or more fire tests, appropriately recognized as representing end-use conditions, is generally used as a predictor of the fire performance of a material, product, or assembly.

3.2.3 *fire scenario, n*—detailed description of conditions, including environmental, of one or more of the stages from before ignition to the completion of combustion in an actual fire at a specific location, or in a full-scale simulation.

3.2.4 *fire-test-response characteristic, n*—response characteristic of a material, product, or assembly, to a prescribed source of heat or flame, under controlled fire conditions; such response characteristics may include but are not limited to ease of ignition, flame spread, heat release, mass loss, smoke generation, fire endurance, and toxic potency of smoke.

3.2.4.1 *Discussion*—A fire-test-response characteristic can be influenced by variables of exposure such as ignition

intensity, ventilation, geometry of item or enclosure, humidity, or oxygen concentration. It is not an intrinsic property such as specific heat, thermal conductivity, or heat of combustion, where the value is independent of test variables. A fire-test-response characteristic may be described in one of several terms. Smoke generation, for example, may be described as smoke opacity, change of opacity with time, or smoke weight. No quantitative correlation need exist between values of a response characteristic for two or more materials, products, or assemblies, as measured by two or more approaches, or tested under two or more sets of conditions for a given method.

3.2.5 *flashover, n*—rapid transition to a state of total surface involvement in a fire of combustible materials within an enclosure.

3.2.5.1 *Discussion*—Flashover occurs when the surface temperatures of combustible contents rise, producing pyrolysis gases, and the room heat flux becomes sufficient to heat all such gases to their ignition temperatures. This commonly occurs when the upper layer temperature reaches 600 °C or a radiant heat flux at the floor of at least 20 kW/m².

3.2.6 *heat release rate, n*—calorific energy released per unit time by the combustion of a material under specified test conditions.

3.2.7 *smoke, n*—airborne solid and liquid particulates and gases evolved when a material undergoes pyrolysis and combustion.

3.2.8 *smoke toxicity, n*—propensity of smoke to produce adverse biochemical or physiological effects.

3.2.9 *toxic potency (as applied to inhalation of smoke or its component gases), n*—quantitative expression relating concentration and exposure time to a particular degree of adverse physiological response, for example, death, on exposure of humans or animals.

3.2.9.1 *Discussion*—The toxic potency of the smoke from any material, product, or assembly is related to the composition of that smoke which, in turn, is dependent upon the conditions under which the smoke is generated.

3.2.10 *upholstered furniture, n*—unit of interior furnishing that (1) contains any surface that is covered, in whole or in part, with a fabric or other upholstery cover material, (2) contains upholstery padding or filling materials, and (3) is intended for sitting or reclining upon.

3.2.11 *upholstery cover fabric, n*—outermost layer of fabric or other material used to enclose the main support system or upholstery padding, or both, used in the furniture item.

3.2.12 *upholstery padding, n*—padding, stuffing, or filling materials used in a furniture item, which may be either loose or attached, enclosed by an upholstery fabric, or located between the upholstery fabric and support system, if present.

3.2.12.1 *Discussion*—This includes, but is not limited to, materials such as foams, cotton batting, polyester fiberfill, bonded cellulose or down.

4. Summary of Guide

4.1 The test methods identified in this guide can be subdivided in four groups, namely: (1) generic small-scale methods;

(2) specific applications of small-scale test methods applied to particular products or composites of products, associated with upholstery items; (3) real-scale test methods where actual upholstery products (or full-scale mock-ups) are exposed to heat or flame; and (4) guides which explain the concepts required to conduct room-scale testing, or design specific test methods.

4.2 The small-scale test methods relevant to upholstery materials or products for use in detention cells, determine the following fire-test-response characteristics: ignitability, ease of extinction, flame spread, heat release (both amount and rate), smoke obscuration, and toxic potency of smoke.

4.3 Applications small scale test methods are those designed specifically with upholstery products in mind and they assess ignitability and heat release principally. However, of particular interest are the tests designed to assess the effect of vandalism, which is a phenomenon specially prevalent, even if not unique, in detention environments.

4.4 Real-scale fire tests for upholstery products have, most often, not been specifically designed for the detention environment, and are likely to be inappropriate for it.

4.4.1 However, in some cases it is feasible to modify some standard methods to make the procedures more relevant to a very high risk occupancy such as the detention environment.

4.4.2 Optional modifications include alterations to protective layers due to wear, tear, or abuse, characteristic of the environment, which potentially affect the fire-test-response characteristics of the item.

4.4.3 The special advantage of real-scale tests is that their use prevents the problem of trying to understand how fire parameters scale up from smaller scale tests. Moreover, since the specimens used in real-scale tests can be identical to the actual product they are intended to represent (unless mock-ups are used), such specimens incorporate all the peculiarities of actual products, including multiple layers of various thicknesses, non-linear edges or seams.

4.4.4 The major disadvantage of real-scale tests is their higher cost and the inherent inconvenience attached to manufacturing products for testing.

4.5 Guides exist which help for the design of ad-hoc tests, or room tests, in order to assess particular characteristics which cannot be determined with standardized methods. Such guides also explain the potential pitfalls and the advantages inherent in this type of method.

4.6 Ad-hoc tests exist which are peculiar to correction and detention occupancies.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The information presented provides the user with guidance on identification of test methods, and related documents, which are potentially useful to determine fire-test-response characteristics of upholstery products, and the materials of which they are made, present inside detention cells, in detention and correctional facilities. Some information is given about every standard included, so as to allow a judgment as to the potential usefulness of the original method.

5.2 The detention environment has some unique features which potentially require the use of modifications of standard test methods or the application of particular techniques. Some guidance to that effect is also presented.

6. Small Scale Generic Tests

6.1 Ignitability:

6.1.1 Ignitability can be assessed in various ways: ignition temperature, time to ignition and ignition flux. The traditional method involved the ignition temperature, while more modern methods use the other ways.

6.1.2 Four test methods are available for assessing ignitability: Test Methods **D1929**, **E906**, **E1321** and **E1354** (with the withdrawn test method NFPA 271 being equivalent to Test Method **E1354**). A fairly comprehensive list of ignition sources has been developed by Committee E05 as Practice **E3020**.

6.1.3 Test Method **D1929** is used to determine the self ignition temperature or the flash ignition temperature (if a pilot gas flame is lit) of materials. The specimens are small pieces, or pellets, and weigh 3 g; they are exposed, inside a vertical furnace tube, electrically-heated, to a pre-set temperature rise rate, with a slow air flow present. No repeatability or reproducibility statement has been developed for this method in the first 30 years after it was issued, and it has not been shown to be an adequate predictor of real scale fire performance. This apparatus is often referred to as the Setchkin furnace, and results from this test are frequently required in specifications and quoted in data sheets. Test Method **D1929** is mentioned because it was specifically designed for ignition temperature, but it has since been shown to be inappropriate for cellular materials used as padding for cushioning. However, it is referenced in the International Building Code and in the Building Construction and Safety Code (NFPA 5000) as a required test method for light transmitting plastics.

6.1.4 Test Method **E906** is used to determine time to ignition. The specimen is a plaque 150 by 150 mm (6 by 6 in.) (with a maximum thickness of 45 mm (1.8 in.)), which is exposed vertically (although horizontal exposure is also feasible) to a pre-set incident heat flux resulting from a set of four radiant globars, in the absence or presence of a pilot gas flame, under a strong air flow. The primary objective of the test method is to determine heat release rate, but other fire-test-response characteristics are assessed simultaneously, including smoke release rate as well as ignitability. The potential for varying the incident heat flux makes the test method very versatile. Repeatability and reproducibility data suggest that the precision is adequate. It has also been used for predictions of full scale fire performance (see also **6.4.2** and **6.5.3** for other uses of this test method). This apparatus is often referred to as the Ohio State University rate of heat release apparatus (or OSU, for short). It has been shown that the correlation between time to ignition in this test method and in Test Method **E1354** is good, except at very low incident heat fluxes, when the pilot flame in Test Method **E906** causes high localized hot spots (**1-2**).¹⁰

¹⁰ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

6.1.5 Test Method **E1321** is used to determine various ignition parameters, principally surface ignition temperature and critical heat flux for ignition. The specimen for the ignition test is a sheet 155 by 155 mm (6.1 by 6.1 in.) (with a maximum thickness of 50 mm (2 in.)), which is exposed vertically to a pre-set incident heat flux resulting from a gas-fired radiant panel, in the absence or presence of a gas burner pilot, in the open. The primary objective of the test method is to determine fundamental thermophysical properties, such as the thermal inertia, as well as critical heat fluxes and surface temperatures for ignitability and flame spread. One major disadvantage of the test method is that materials which melt and drip cannot be easily tested with the apparatus, without making some significant modifications. The potential for varying the incident heat makes the test method somewhat versatile, but its crucial importance is as the provider of material and composite data in a form suitable for input into engineering fire safety or fire hazard assessment models. It has been developed as a result of attempts to improve on some of the shortcomings of the Test Method **E162** apparatus (see **6.3.2**). Repeatability and reproducibility have not been developed in the first two years since the test method was approved as a standard. However, preliminary indications suggest that the test method is well suited for materials (or composites) which are non melting and which can be ignited without raising the incident flux to potentially dangerous limits. It has been used for predictions of full scale flame performance (see also **6.3.3** for other uses of this test method). This apparatus is often referred to as the Lateral Ignition and Flame Spread Test (or LIFT, for short).

6.1.6 Test Method **E1354** is also used to determine time to ignition. The specimen is a plaque 100 by 100 mm (4 by 4 in.), with a maximum thickness of 50 mm (2 in.), which is exposed horizontally (although vertical exposure is also feasible) to a pre-set incident heat flux resulting from an electrical heater rod, tightly wound into the shape of a truncated cone, in the absence or presence of a spark igniter pilot, under a relatively strong air flow. The primary objective of the test method is to determine heat release rate, but other fire-test-response characteristics are assessed simultaneously, including smoke release rate and mass loss as well as ignitability. The potential for varying the incident heat flux makes the test method very versatile. It has been developed as a result of attempts to improve on some of the shortcomings of the Test Method **E906** apparatus (**3**). Repeatability and reproducibility data indicate that the precision is very satisfactory. It has been extensively used for predictions of full scale fire performance and fire hazard (see also **6.4.3** and **6.5.4** for other uses of this test method). This apparatus is often referred to as the cone calorimeter rate of heat release apparatus (or cone, for short), and it is the most recently developed small scale test apparatus mentioned in this guide. It is widely acknowledged as a source of important fire test data in engineering units.

6.2 Ease of Extinction:

6.2.1 A single test method exists to assess ease of extinction: Test Method **D2863**.

6.2.2 Test Method **D2863** is used to determine the oxygen index, which is the minimum oxygen concentration (in a flowing mixture of oxygen and nitrogen) required to support

candle-like downward flaming combustion. It actually serves as a measure of the ease of extinction of the material. The specimen size depends on the application: cellular plastics (such as foams) use specimens 125 mm long, 12.5 mm wide and 12.5 mm thick (5 by 0.5 by 0.5 in.), while films or fabrics require specimens 140 by 52 mm (5.5 by 2.1 in.), and use thickness. The specimen is placed vertically inside a glass column and ignited at the top with a small gas flame. The repeatability and reproducibility of this test method are excellent, and it is capable of generating numerical data covering a very broad range of responses (**4-5**). This test method is inappropriate as a predictor of real scale fire performance, mainly because of the low heat input and the artificiality of the high oxygen environments used. However, it is widely required in specifications and quoted in data sheets. The method is suitable as a quantitative quality control tool, during manufacturing, and as a semi-qualitative indicator of the effectiveness of additives, during research and development, for low incident energy situations (**6**).

6.3 Flame Spread:

6.3.1 Two test apparatuses are suitable to assess flame spread of materials: the ones in Test Method **E162** (and Test Method **D3675**) and in Test Method **E1321**.

6.3.2 Test Method **E162** is used to determine a flame spread index. It consists of a gas-fed radiant panel in front of which an inclined (at a 30° angle) specimen (150 by 460 mm (12 by 18 in.)) is exposed to a radiant flux equivalent to a black body temperature of 670 °C (1238 °F), namely approximately 45 kW/m², in the presence of a small gas pilot flame. The maximum thickness that can be tested in the normal specimen holder is 25 mm (1 in.), but alternative specimen holders can accommodate thicker specimens. The ignition is forced near the upper edge of the specimen and the flame front progresses downward. The flame spread index is calculated as the product of a flame spread factor, which results from the measurements of flame front position and time, and a heat evolution factor, which is proportional to the maximum temperature measured in the exhaust stack. Thus, this method also procures relative indication of heat release (see also **6.4.4**). No repeatability or reproducibility statement has been developed for this method in the first 30 years after it was issued, and it has not been shown to be an adequate predictor of real scale fire performance. If the specimen melts or causes flaming drips, this is likely to affect the flame spread in a way that is uneven; the test method simply requires that such events be reported. Moreover, if flame spread is very rapid, the flame spread is potentially lost unless recording is continuous. This apparatus is often referred to as the radiant panel, and results from this test are frequently required in regulations and detention environment specifications and quoted in data sheets.

6.3.3 Test Method **D3675** uses the same apparatus as Test Method **E162**, but is designed specifically for use with flexible cellular materials only, up to a maximum thickness of 25 mm (1 in.). Thus, the method is particularly suitable for padding materials used in upholstery. The major differences with Test Method **E162** are the pilot burner, the times for measurement and the calculation procedure. The repeatability and reproducibility of this test method is such that the test method is able to

distinguish between the flame spread of materials which differ by a large amount in their responses, which makes it adequate for identifying poor performers.

6.3.4 Test Method **E1321** was developed as an improvement on the apparatus in Test Method **E162** (7). The apparatus has been described in 6.1.5. The specimen size for flame spread studies is 155 by 800 mm (6.1 by 31.5 in.) by a maximum thickness of 50 mm (2 in.). This test method determines the critical flux for flame spread, the surface temperature needed for flame spread and the thermal inertia or thermal heating property (product of the thermal conductivity, the density and the specific heat) of the material under test. These properties are mainly used for assessment of fire hazard and for input into fire models. A flame spread parameter, ϕ , is also determined, and this can be used as a direct way of comparing the responses of the specimens. Repeatability and reproducibility have not been developed in the first two years since the test method was approved as a standard. However, preliminary indications suggest that the test method is well suited for materials (or composites) which are non melting and which can be ignited without raising the incident flux to potentially dangerous limits. It has been used for predictions of full scale flame performance (see also 6.1.4 for other uses of this test method) (8).

6.4 Heat Release:

6.4.1 Two generic small-scale test methods have been designed to assess the heat release of materials: Test Method **E906** and Test Method **E1354**. Test Method **E162** gives relative information associated with heat release.

6.4.2 The apparatus for Test Method **E906** has already been described in 6.1.3. The major purpose of this test method is to determine heat release, and this is done by measuring, with a multiple thermocouple thermopile, the difference in temperature between the combustion products in the exhaust stream and the inlet air, and comparing with a calibration based on a measured flow rate of methane gas. Measurements are made at intervals not exceeding 5 s (this is also referred to as a scan period of 5 s or less). The method is based on the assumption that the system is functionally adiabatic, but this assumption is not fully accurate, so that absolute heat release results determined are somewhat low, although relative rankings of materials are not affected by this (1-2). The heat release magnitudes determined are the heat release rate per unit area (at every scan) and the total heat released per unit area (which is the integrated value of the heat release rate versus time curve). Heat release rate has often been described as one of the most important fire-test-response characteristics, because its maximum value is a quantitative measure of the peak intensity of a fire (9-11). The potential for varying the incident heat flux makes the test method very versatile. Repeatability and reproducibility data suggest that the precision is adequate. It has also been used for predictions of full scale fire performance (see also 6.1.4 and 6.5.3 for other uses of this test method). Some deficiencies associated with this test method are: (1) lack of adiabaticity (addressed above), (2) lack of homogeneity of the heat flux on the surface of the test specimen, (3) the fact that the normal test orientation is vertical, which means that specimens which melt and drip cannot be tested adequately (although specimens can

be tested horizontally, by using a specialized specimen holder, and a reflector screen), and (4) that continuous mass loss measurements are not available. This test method was proposed (12) as a bench-scale mattress test for institutional mattresses, and has been adopted by some hotel chains, and by some correctional facilities. This test method, at an incident heat flux of 35 kW/m², is also being used for regulation by the Federal Aviation Administration, for aircraft interiors (13).

6.4.3 Test Method **E1354** is also used to determine heat release; the apparatus has been described in 6.1.6. The primary objective of the test method is to determine heat release. This is done by using the oxygen consumption principle, which shows that heat release rate is proportional to the difference between the oxygen concentration in the exhaust stream of combustion products and in the inlet air (14-15). This is done by using very accurate oxygen analyzers (normally of the paramagnetic type), and alleviates the problem of heat losses associated with lack of adiabaticity of Test Method **E906**. The geometrical arrangement also results in homogeneous heat flux distribution on the specimen surface, and the normal specimen orientation is horizontal (although provisions exist for vertical testing). Measurements are made at intervals not exceeding 5 s (this is also referred to as a scan period of 5 s or less), and other fire-test-response characteristics are assessed simultaneously with heat release, including smoke release rate, mass loss and ignitability. The potential for varying the incident heat flux makes the test method very versatile. Repeatability and reproducibility data indicate that the precision is very satisfactory. It has been extensively used for predictions of full scale fire performance and fire hazard (see also 6.1.6 and 6.5.4 for other uses of this test method) and is starting to be adopted for specifications by some correctional facilities. It is widely acknowledged as a source of important fire test data in engineering units.

6.4.4 The heat evolution factor in Test Method **E162** (see also 6.3.2) is a relative measure of heat release. It is calculated as the product of the maximum temperature measured in the stack and some apparatus-dependent constants. However, it is rarely used in detention environments.

6.5 Smoke Obscuration:

6.5.1 Smoke obscuration is measured in Test Methods **E662**, **E906**, and **E1354** (or NFPA 271) and in the international standard ISO 5659 Part 2. Withdrawn recommended practice NFPA 258 was technically equivalent to Test Method **E662**.

6.5.2 Test Method **E662** consists of a closed chamber, 500 dm³ in volume, wherein a 76 by 76 mm (3 by 3 in.), up to 25 mm (1 in.) thick is exposed vertically to an incident radiant flux of 25 kW/m², in the absence or presence of a small gas pilot flame. The radiant heat source is a small electric furnace. Light obscuration is measured by assessing the transmission of light across a photometric system consisting of a light source (white light) and a photodetector, oriented vertically, to reduce measurement variations due to stratification of smoke. The result obtained from this test method is a specific optical density, characteristic of the instrument, and the value reported is usually either the maximum or the value at a particular time. The test method has no capability for assessing mass loss continuously (16). The fact that the test orientation is vertical

means that specimens which melt and drip cannot be tested adequately. Other limitations include: (1) the atmosphere inside the chamber becomes oxygen-deficient, for some tests, before the end of the experiment; thus, combustion often ceases when the oxygen concentration decreases and, therefore, for heavy composites, it is possible that the layers furthest away from the radiant source will not undergo combustion; (2) the presence of walls causes losses through deposition of combustion particulates; (3) there are, frequently, extensive deposits of soot and other combustion particulates on the optical surfaces, resulting in incorrect measurements; and (4) the test method does not carry out dynamic measurements: smoke simply continues filling a closed chamber: therefore, the smoke obscuration values obtained do not represent conditions of open fires. Moreover, it has been shown that results from this test method do not correlate with those obtained in real fires. The repeatability and reproducibility of the test method have been determined in a round robin conducted by 20 laboratories with 25 materials, and managed by ASTM Subcommittee E05.02 shortly after the initial publication of the test method in 1979. The round robin suggested that the precision of the method is lower than that of some more recent test methods, but some technical improvements have since been made. However, irrespective of its precision, this test method, often known as the NBS smoke density chamber, is extensively referred to in specifications and requirements, and is used in product data sheets.

6.5.3 Test Method **E906** (6.1.4 and 6.4.3) is used to assess smoke obscuration dynamically. The transmission of light across a photometric system consisting of a light source (white light) and a photodetector, oriented horizontally in the exhaust stream is used to measure the rate of smoke release at every scan and total smoke released (by integration of the rate of smoke release versus time curve).

6.5.4 Test Method **E1354** (6.1.6 and 6.4.4) is also used to assess smoke obscuration dynamically. The transmission of light across a photometric system consisting of a light source (monochromatic light from a laser beam) and a photodetector, oriented horizontally in the exhaust stream is used to measure extinction coefficients. By using a laser as light source the photometer has a smoke purging system which considerably decreases soot deposits on the optics. The fire-test-response characteristic reported is the specific extinction area, which is calculated from the extinction coefficient, the volumetric flow rate and the mass loss rate. Withdrawn test method NFPA 271 was technically equivalent to Test Method **E1354**.

6.5.5 A modification of Test Method **E662** has been standardized internationally (ISO 5660, Part 2), which differs from the original in that the heat source is a conical radiant heater, similar (but not identical) to the one in Test Method **E1354**, the pilot ignition is achieved by means of a spark igniter, the specimen is oriented horizontally, and there is an optional capability for a load cell, which assesses mass loss continuously. The incident heat flux can be set at any value, but values of 25 and 50 kW/m² are required in the standard. The repeatability and reproducibility of this test method are better than those of Test Method **E662**, and it also solves some of the limitations of that procedure.

6.6 Toxic Potency of Smoke:

6.6.1 Toxic potency of smoke is measured in Test Method **E1678**, NFPA 269 and in NASA CR-152056 (17). Test methods measure toxic potency of smoke, but do not determine the actual smoke toxicity of the resulting fire atmosphere (18-19). Moreover, it has been shown that the smoke toxicity of a fire atmosphere is often controlled by the extent of burning, and consequently by the heat release rate (9, 11, 20). A number of comparisons of the advantages and disadvantages of various test methods have been published (21-22), as well as analyses of the implications of smoke toxic potency measurements to fire hazard assessment (23-26).

6.6.2 In Test Method **E1678** (NFPA 269) a test specimen is subjected to ignition while exposed for 15 min to a radiant heat flux of 50 kW/m². The smoke produced is collected for 30 min within a 200 L chamber communicating through a connecting chimney with the combustion assembly. Concentrations of the major gaseous toxicants are monitored over the 30 min period, with concentration-time products for each being determined from integration of the areas under the respective concentration-time plots. The concentration-time product data, along with the mass loss of the test specimen during the test, are then used in calculations to predict the preliminary 30 min smoke toxic potency of the test specimen. Six rats are exposed to the combustion products for a period of 30 min, plus a post-exposure period of 14 days, to confirm the preliminary smoke toxic potency obtained. This test method is not suitable for fires that reach flashover, because the carbon monoxide concentration determined is not representative of the values obtained in such fires. The method also incorporates a correction of the carbon monoxide concentration to make it suitable for post-flashover fires. The test method is not presently used for requirements in the correctional industry.

6.6.3 The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, at its Ames Research Center, commissioned a test method from McDonnell Douglas, in the early 1980's: NASA CR-152056 (17). In this method the test specimen is pyrolyzed by applying a current of 3.5 A for 200 s through a heating coil within which 1 g of the test specimen is placed. The heating coil is made of 24 gage Chromel A wire and is 546 mm (21.5 in.) long. It is inserted inside a Vycor glass pyrolysis tube (13 mm (0.5 in.) diameter and 127 mm 5 in. long), which itself is placed inside a 152 mm by 229 mm by 178 mm (6 in. by 9 in. by 7 in.) exposure chamber, which has a circulating fan and an exercise wheel. A mouse is placed inside the chamber on the exercise wheel. The mouse is examined at 15 min and at 30 min (end of test) to determine whether it has become incapacitated (if he no longer turns the wheel) or has died. Little published information exists about this test method, which has not been adopted by a consensus standards organization. The use of mice as test animals has been shown to be inadequate for materials which can release irritants, because mice are excessively sensitive to irritants (20-22). This test method is required in some correctional facility specifications for mattress cushioning materials.

7. Small Scale Applications Tests

7.1 Smoldering Ignition: