# Standard Test Method for Determination of Vapor Pressure $\left(\mathrm{VP}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ of Petroleum Products, Hydrocarbons, and Hydrocarbon-Oxygenate Mixtures (Triple Expansion Method) ${ }^{1}$ 


#### Abstract

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6378; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon $(\varepsilon)$ indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.


## 1. Scope*

1.1 This test method covers the use of automated vapor pressure instruments to determine the vapor pressure exerted in vacuum by volatile, liquid petroleum products, hydrocarbons, and hydrocarbon-oxygenate mixtures including ethanol blends up to $85 \%$ (volume fraction). This test method is suitable for testing samples with boiling points above $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ that exert a vapor pressure between 7 kPa and $150 \mathrm{kPa}(1.0 \mathrm{psi}$ and $21 \mathrm{psi})$ at $37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ at a vapor-to-liquid ratio of $4: 1$. The liquid sample volume size required for analysis is dependent upon the vapor-to-liquid ratio chosen (see Note 1) and the measuring chamber volume capacity of the instrument (see 6.1.1 and Note 5).

Note 1-The test method is suitable for the determination of the vapor pressure of volatile, liquid petroleum products at temperatures from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at vapor to liquid ratios of $4: 1$ to $1: 1(\mathrm{X}=4$ to 1$)$ and pressures up to $500 \mathrm{kPa}(70 \mathrm{psi})$, but the precision statement (see Section 16) may not be applicable.

Note 2-The precision (see Section 16) using 1 L containers was determined in a 2003 interlaboratory study (ILS); ${ }^{2}$ the precision using 250 mL containers was determined in a 2016 ILS. ${ }^{3}$
1.2 This test method also covers the use of automated vapor pressure instruments to determine the vapor pressure exerted in vacuum by aviation turbine fuels. This test method is suitable for testing aviation turbine fuel samples with boiling points above $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ that exert a vapor pressure between 0 kPa and $110 \mathrm{kPa}(0 \mathrm{psi}$ and 15.5 psi$)$ at a vapor-to-liquid ratio of $4: 1$, in the temperature range from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ to $212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ).

Note 3-The precision (see Section 16) for aviation turbine fuels using

[^0]100 mL containers was determined in a 2007 ILS. ${ }^{4}$
1.3 The vapor pressure $\left(\mathrm{VP}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ determined by this test method at a vapor-liquid ratio of $4: 1(X=4)$ of gasoline and gasoline-oxygenate blends at $37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can be correlated to the dry vapor pressure equivalent (DVPE) value determined by Test Method D5191 (see 16.3). This condition does not apply when the sample is aviation turbine fuel.
1.4 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses after SI units are provided for information only and are not considered standard.
1.5 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. For specific warning statements, see $7.2-7.8$.
1.6 This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards: ${ }^{5}$

D323 Test Method for Vapor Pressure of Petroleum Products (Reid Method)
D2892 Test Method for Distillation of Crude Petroleum (15-Theoretical Plate Column)
D4057 Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products
D4177 Practice for Automatic Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products

[^1]D4306 Practice for Aviation Fuel Sample Containers for Tests Affected by Trace Contamination
D4953 Test Method for Vapor Pressure of Gasoline and Gasoline-Oxygenate Blends (Dry Method)
D5191 Test Method for Vapor Pressure of Petroleum Products and Liquid Fuels (Mini Method)
D5842 Practice for Sampling and Handling of Fuels for Volatility Measurement
D5854 Practice for Mixing and Handling of Liquid Samples of Petroleum and Petroleum Products
D6299 Practice for Applying Statistical Quality Assurance and Control Charting Techniques to Evaluate Analytical Measurement System Performance
D6300 Practice for Determination of Precision and Bias Data for Use in Test Methods for Petroleum Products, Liquid Fuels, and Lubricants
D6708 Practice for Statistical Assessment and Improvement of Expected Agreement Between Two Test Methods that Purport to Measure the Same Property of a Material

## 3. Terminology

### 3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 dry vapor pressure equivalent (DVPE), $n$-a value calculated by a correlation equation from the total pressure (Test Method D5191), which is equivalent to the value obtained on the sample by Test Method D4953, Procedure A.
3.1.2 partial pressure from dissolved air (PPA), $n$-the pressure exerted in vacuum from dissolved air that escapes from the liquid phase into the vapor phase.
3.1.3 Reid vapor pressure equivalent (RVPE), $n$-a value calculated by a correlation equation from the $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{X}}$, which is equivalent to the value obtained on the sample by Test Method D323.
3.1.4 total pressure $\left(T P_{X}\right), n$-the pressure exerted in vacuum by air- and gas-containing petroleum products, components and feedstocks, and other liquids, in the absence of undissolved water at a vapor-liquid ratio of X:1.
3.1.5 vapor pressure $\left(V P_{X}\right), n$-the total pressure minus the PPA in the liquid at a vapor-liquid ratio of $\mathrm{X}: 1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{VP}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{PPA} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Employing a measuring chamber with a built-in piston, a sample of known volume is drawn into the temperature controlled chamber at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or higher. After sealing the chamber, the temperature of the chamber is increased to a specified value simultaneously with the first expansion. Two further expansions are performed to a final volume of $(X+1)$ times that of the test specimen. After each expansion, the $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is determined. The PPA and the solubility of air in the specimen are calculated from the three resulting pressures. The $\left(\mathrm{VP}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ is calculated by subtracting the PPA in the liquid from $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{x}}$.

Note 4-For liquids containing very low levels of high vapor pressure contaminants, which behave like a gas, this test method of determination of the PPA and gases may lead to wrong results since the partial pressure of the contaminants will be included in the PPA. This effect is shown when the value of the PPA and gases exceeds the average maximum limit of 7 kPa (1 psi).

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 Vapor pressure is a very important physical property of volatile liquids for shipping and storage.
5.2 The vapor pressure of gasoline and gasoline-oxygenate blends is regulated by various government agencies.
5.3 Specifications for volatile petroleum products generally include vapor pressure limits to ensure products of suitable volatility performance.
5.4 In this test method, an air saturation procedure prior to the measurement is not required, thus eliminating losses of high volatile compounds during this step. This test method is faster and minimizes potential errors from improper air saturation. This test method permits $\mathrm{VP}_{\mathrm{x}}$ determinations in the field.
5.5 This test method can be applied in online applications in which an air saturation procedure prior to the measurement cannot be performed.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 The apparatus suitable for this test method employs a small volume, cylindrically shaped measuring chamber with associated equipment to control the chamber temperature within the range from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The measuring chamber shall contain a movable piston with a maximum dead volume of less than $1 \%$ of the total volume at the lowest position to allow sample introduction into the measuring chamber and expansion to the desired vapor-liquid ratio. A static pressure transducer shall be incorporated in the piston. The measuring chamber shall contain an inlet/outlet valve combination for sample introduction and expulsion. The piston and the valve combination shall be at the same temperature as the measuring chamber to avoid any condensation or excessive evaporation.
6.1.1 The measuring chamber shall be designed to contain between 5 mL and 15 mL of liquid and vapor and be capable of maintaining a vapor-liquid ratio of $4: 1$ to $1: 1$. The accuracy of the adjusted vapor-liquid ratio shall be within 0.05 .

Nоте 5-The measuring chamber employed by the instruments used in generating the precision and bias statements were constructed of nickel plated aluminum and stainless steel with a total volume of 5 mL . Measuring chambers exceeding a 5 mL capacity can be used, but the precision and bias statements (see Section 16) are not known to apply.
6.1.2 The pressure transducer shall have a minimum operational range from 0 kPa to $200 \mathrm{kPa}(0 \mathrm{psi}$ to 29 psi ) with a minimum resolution of $0.1 \mathrm{kPa}(0.01 \mathrm{psi})$ and a minimum accuracy of $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{kPa}$ ( $\pm 0.03 \mathrm{psi}$ ). The pressure measurement system shall include associated electronics and readout devices to display the resulting pressure reading.
6.1.3 Electronic temperature control shall be used to maintain the measuring chamber at the prescribed temperature within $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the duration of the vapor pressure measurement.
6.1.4 A platinum resistance thermometer shall be used for measuring the temperature of the measuring chamber. The minimum temperature range of the measuring device shall be from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a resolution of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an accuracy of $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TABLE 1 Accepted Reference Value (ARV) and Acceptable Testing Range for Reference Fluids (Note 17)

| Reference Fluid | $\begin{gathered} \text { ARV }\left[\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right] \pm \text { Uncertainty, } \\ (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{gathered}$ | Recommended Instrument Manufacturer Tolerance, (kPa) | Acceptable Testing Range for Reference Fuel [ $\left.\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]$, (kPa) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pentane | $107.9 \pm 0.2$ | $\pm 1.0$ | $107.9 \pm 1.2$ (106.7 to 109.1) |
| 2,2 Dimethylbutane | $68.8 \pm 0.2$ | $\pm 1.0$ | $68.8 \pm 1.2$ (67.6 to 70.0) |
| 2,3 Dimethylbutane | $51.7 \pm 0.2$ | $\pm 1.0$ | $51.7 \pm 1.2$ ( 50.5 to 52.9) |
| Reference Fluid | $\begin{gathered} \text { ARV }\left[\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right] \\ (\mathrm{psi}) \end{gathered} \pm \text { Uncertainty, }$ | Recommended Instrument Manufacturer Tolerance, (psi) | Acceptable Testing Range for Reference Fuel $\left[\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]$, (psi) |
| Pentane | $15.65 \pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.14$ | $15.65 \pm 0.17$ (15.48 to 15.82) |
| 2,2 Dimethylbutane | $9.98 \pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.14$ | $9.98 \pm 0.17$ (9.81 to 10.15) |
| 2,3 Dimethylbutane | $7.50 \pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.14$ | $7.50 \pm 0.17$ (7.33 to 7.67) |

6.1.5 The vapor pressure apparatus shall have provisions for rinsing the measuring chamber with a solvent of low vapor pressure or with the next sample to be tested.
6.2 Vacuum Pump for Calibration, capable of reducing the pressure in the measuring chamber to less than 0.01 kPa (0.001 psi) absolute.
6.3 McLeod Vacuum Gauge or Calibrated Electronic Vacuum Measuring Device for Calibration, to cover at least the range from 0.01 kPa to $0.67 \mathrm{kPa}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ to 5 mm Hg$)$. The calibration of the electronic vacuum measuring device shall be regularly verified in accordance with Annex A6.3 on Vacuum Sensors in Test Method D2892.
6.4 Pressure Measuring Device for Calibration, capable of measuring local station pressure with an accuracy and a resolution of $0.1 \mathrm{kPa}(1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$, or better, at the same elevation relative to sea level as the apparatus in the laboratory.

Note 6-This test method does not give full details of instruments suitable for carrying out this test. Details on the installation, operation, and maintenance of each instrument may be found in the manufacturer's manual.

## 7. Reagents and Materials

7.1 Purity of Reagents-Use chemicals of at least $99 \%$ purity for verification of instrument performance (see Section 11). Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents conform to the specifications of the Committee of Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society ${ }^{6}$ where such specifications are available. Lower purities can be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficient purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.
7.1.1 The chemicals in 7.3, 7.4, and 7.7 are suggested for verification of instrument performance (see Section 11), based on the reference fuels analyzed in the 2003 interlaboratory study (ILS) (see 16.1, Table 1, and Note 17). Such reference fuels are not to be used for instrument calibration. Table 1 identifies the accepted reference value (ARV) and uncertainty limits, as well as the acceptable testing range for each of the reference fuels listed.

[^2]Note 7-Verification fluids reported by 12 of the D6378 data set participants in the 2003 ILS (see 16.1) included the following (with number of data sets identified in parentheses): 2,2-dimethylbutane (11), and 2,3-dimethylbutane (1).
7.2 Cyclopentane, (Warning-Cyclopentane is flammable and a health hazard).
7.3 2,2-Dimethylbutane, (Warning-2,2-dimethylbutane is flammable and a health hazard).
7.4 2,3-Dimethylbutane, (Warning-2,3-dimethylbutane is flammable and a health hazard).
7.5 Methanol, (Warning-Methanol is flammable and a health hazard).
7.6 2-Methylpentane, (Warning-2-methylpentane is flammable and a health hazard).
7.7 Pentane, (Warning—Pentane is flammable and a health hazard).
7.8 Toluene, (Warning-Toluene is flammable and a health hazard).
7.9 Cyclopentane, 98.0 minimum purity (WarningCyclopentane is flammable and a health hazard).

## 8. Sampling and Sample Introduction

### 8.1 General Requirements:

8.1.1 The extreme sensitivity of vapor pressure measurements to losses through evaporation and the resulting changes in composition is such as to require the utmost precaution and the most meticulous care in the drawing and handling of samples.
8.1.2 Obtain a sample and test specimen in accordance with Practice D4057, D4177, D4306, D5842, or D5854 when appropriate, except do not use the Sampling by Water Displacement section for fuels containing oxygenates.
8.1.2.1 For gasolines and gasoline-oxygenate blends, use either a 250 mL or $1 \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{qt})$ sized container filled between $70 \%$ and $80 \%$ with sample. Samples in containers of other sizes, as prescribed in 8.1.2, may be used with the same ullage requirements, but precision can be affected.

Nоте 8-Relative bias information when testing gasoline and gasolineoxygenate blends using 250 mL or 1 L containers is given in Section 16.
8.1.2.2 For aviation turbine fuel, use a 100 mL size container filled to a minimum of $80 \%$ with sample. However,
samples in containers of other sizes as prescribed in 8.1.2 may be used, with the same ullage requirement, but the precision can be affected.
8.1.3 Perform the $\mathrm{VP}_{\mathrm{X}}$ determination, including the rinsing (see 9.3), on the first test specimen withdrawn from a sample container. Do not use the remaining sample in the container for a second $\mathrm{VP}_{\mathrm{X}}$ determination. If a second determination is necessary, obtain a new sample. This condition does not apply when the sample is aviation turbine fuel.

Note 9-For gasoline and gasoline-oxygenate blends, the effect of taking more than one test specimen from the same sample container was evaluated as part of the 2003 ILS (see 16.1). A precision effect was observed between the first and second replicates taken from the containers evaluated. The current precision statements were derived using the first test specimen withdrawn from 250 mL and 1 L containers.

Note 10-For aviation turbine fuels the effect of taking more than one test specimen from the same sample container was evaluated as part of the 2007 ILS (see 16.1). No precision effect was observed between the first and second replicates taken from the 100 mL containers evaluated.
8.1.4 Protect samples from excessive temperatures prior to testing. This can be accomplished by storage in an appropriate ice bath or refrigerator.
8.1.5 Do not test samples stored in leaky containers. Discard and obtain a new sample if leaks are detected.
8.2 Sampling Handling-This test method does not require pre-chilling of the sample and air saturation at ambient barometric pressure in order to limit the variability in the dissolved gas content of the sample prior to measurement. This test method measures the total sample vapor pressure at three V/L ratios (triple expansion) in order to estimate the partial pressure of dissolved gas and hydrocarbon partial pressure using ideal gas calculations. This has been shown to be acceptable up to at least 5 bar (about 5 atmospheres) of gas saturation.
8.2.1 Samples may be introduced to the instrument over a wide variation of temperatures and pressures, provided the test specimen remains as a single phase (that is, no separated liquid or gaseous phase). Experiments with temperatures between $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ambient pressures up to 300 kPa have been found suitable with certain gasoline samples. ${ }^{7}$
8.2.2 For gasoline and gasoline-oxygenate blends, the precision statement is based on sample introduction at ambient temperature and pressure from either 250 mL or 1 L containers. For aviation turbine fuels, the precision is based on sample introduction at ambient temperature and pressure from 100 mL containers. Precision may be affected with sample introduction under different conditions, but such information has not been determined.
8.2.3 Sample pre-chilling or air saturation, or both, are not required, but sample chilling, air saturation, or degassing, or a combination thereof, is acceptable, as it will have no significant effect on the result. This allows vapor pressure and distillation tests to be conducted on the same sample.
8.2.4 Degassing and some hydrocarbon loss occurs during the air saturation step when the sample is supersaturated with

[^3]dissolved gas relative to ambient barometric pressure. Hydrocarbon loss increases as saturation gas pressure increases. Sealed sample systems are recommended for gas saturations greater than about 2 bar gas pressure (about 2 atmospheres or 30 psia ).
8.2.5 For bottle samples, insert the sample introduction tube as close to the sample bottle bottom as practical.
8.2.6 Pressurized samples from a sealed sample system, pressurized floating pressure cylinders or equivalent may be used within the pressure and temperature limits specified by the manufacturer for the instrument being used. Excessive extremes of pressure and temperature may result in precision different than that measured under the conditions of ambient pressure and temperature used to prepare the precision statement. The temperature and pressure of the sample system should be controlled so that only a single phase liquid is in the sealed sample system during sample introduction.
8.2.6.1 Excessive cooling of samples that contain alcohols could result in phase separation (see 8.4), extraction of alcohol, and a lower vapor pressure value being determined, unless the sample remains well mixed during sample introduction (that is, introduction of a test specimen is representative of the composition once heated to test temperature). This can be prevented by not cooling samples containing alcohols, prior to the vapor pressure determination.
8.2.6.2 Excessive cooling of samples may result in formation of ice or hydrates that may impair proper operation of the sample system.
8.2.6.3 Excessive heating of samples may result in vapor formation, resulting in a higher or lower measured vapor pressure, depending if vapor or remaining liquid phase is introduced, respectively.
8.3 Verification of Sample Container Filling—If the sample is contained in a transparent container, verify that the container is $70 \%$ to $80 \%$ full by suitable means, such as by using a marked ruler or by comparing it to a like container that has the $70 \%$ and $80 \%$ levels clearly marked. If the container is not transparent, unseal it and, using a suitable gauge, confirm that the sample volume equals $70 \%$ to $80 \%$ of the container capacity (see Note 11). When the sample is aviation turbine fuel, verify that the container is at least $80 \%$ full prior to removal of the first specimen.

Note 11—For non-transparent containers, one way to confirm that the sample volume equals $70 \%$ to $80 \%$ of the container capacity is to use a dipstick that has been pre-marked to indicate the $70 \%$ and $80 \%$ container capacities. The dipstick should be of such material that it shows wetting after being immersed and withdrawn from the sample. To confirm the sample volume, insert the dipstick into the sample container so that it touches the bottom of the container at a perpendicular angle before removing the dipstick.
8.3.1 Discard the sample if the container is filled to less than $70 \%$, by volume, of the container capacity.
8.3.2 If the container is more than $80 \%$ by volume full, pour out enough sample to bring the container contents within the $70 \%$ to $80 \%$ by volume range. Do not return any sample to the container once it has been withdrawn.
8.3.3 Reseal the container, if opened.
8.4 Verification of Single Phase Sample—After drawing the test specimens and transferring them into the instrument for

TABLE 2 Accepted Reference Value (ARV) and Acceptable Test Range for Reference Fluids from the 2020 ILS ${ }^{\text {A, }}$ B

| Reference Fluid | $\begin{gathered} \text { ARV }\left[\mathrm{VP}_{4}\right] \pm \text { Expanded Uncertainty, } \\ (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{gathered}$ | Reference Fluid Standard Deviation (kPa) Determined in ILS | Acceptable Tolerance Range for Single Result on Reference Fluid $\left[\mathrm{VP}_{4}\right],(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44.0/56.0 (m/m) N-pentane/Toluene | $64.66 \pm 0.23$ | 0.50 | 63.0 to 66.3 |
| Cyclopentane ( 98.0 \% min. purity) | $68.92 \pm 0.20$ | 0.43 | 67.4 to 70.5 |
| Reference Fluid | $\mathrm{ARV}\left[\mathrm{VP}_{4}\right] \pm \underset{(\mathrm{psi})}{\text { Expanded Uncertainty, }}$ | Reference Fluid Standard Deviation (psi) Determined in ILS | Acceptable Tolerance Range for Single Result on Reference Fluid $\left[\mathrm{VP}_{4}\right],(\mathrm{psi})$ |
| 44.0/56.0 (m/m) N-pentane/Toluene | $9.377 \pm 0.033$ | 0.072 | 9.14 to 9.61 |
| Cyclopentane (98.0\% min. purity) | $9.996 \pm 0.029$ | 0.062 | 9.77 to 10.22 |

 Service at service@astm.org.
${ }^{B} 99.4 \%$ min. purity N-pentane and $99.5 \%$ min. purity Toluene used for the 44.0/56.0 N-pentane/Toluene blend.
analysis, check the remaining sample for phase separation. If the sample is contained in a transparent container, this observation can be made prior to sample transfer. If the sample is contained in a non-transparent container, shake the sample thoroughly and immediately pour a portion of the remaining sample into a glass container and observe for evidence of phase separation. A hazy appearance is to be carefully distinguished from separation into distinct phases. If the sample separates into two distinct phases with a discernible common boundary, then discard the test and the sample. If the sample has a hazy appearance, but does not have two distinct phases, then phase separation has not occurred. The test is valid, but the precision and bias in Section 16 may not apply (see 15.2). This verification procedure does not apply when the sample is aviation turbine fuel.

## 9. Preparation of Apparatus

9.1 Prepare the instrument for operation in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
9.2 Rinse the measuring chamber, if necessary, with a solvent. Acetone has a sufficiently low vapor pressure and can be used successfully. Rinsing is performed by drawing the solvent into the chamber by the piston and expelling the solvent into the waste container.
9.3 To avoid contamination of the test specimen with the previous sample or the solvent, rinse the measuring chamber a minimum of three times with the sample to be tested. Fill the measuring chamber with sample to at least half the total volume of the chamber for each rinse. This rinsing procedure shall always be carried out immediately before the measuring procedure (see 13.4).
9.4 If a syringe is used for introduction of the test specimen, ensure the syringe is at the same temperature conditions as the sample. Avoid water contamination of the syringe reservoir by suitably sealing the syringe during the cooling process.

## 10. Calibration

10.1 Pressure Transducer:
10.1.1 Check the calibration of the transducer as indicated from the verification of instrument performance (see Section 11) and quality control checks (see Section 12). The calibration of the transducer is checked using two reference points: zero pressure $(<0.1 \mathrm{kPa})$ and the ambient barometric pressure.

Note 12-Calibration frequency of the pressure transducer may vary
with instrument type and frequency of use. A calibration check of the pressure transducer at least once every six months is recommended.
10.1.2 Connect a McLeod gauge or a calibrated electronic vacuum measuring device to the vacuum source in line with the measuring chamber (see Note 13). Apply vacuum to the measuring chamber. When the vacuum measuring device registers a pressure less than $0.1 \mathrm{kPa}(0.8 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$, adjust the transducer control to zero or to the actual reading on the vacuum measuring device as dictated by the instrument design or manufacturer's instructions.

Note 13-Refer to Annex A6.3 on Vacuum Sensors in Test Method D2892 for further details concerning the calibration of electronic vacuum measuring devices and proper maintenance of McLeod gauges.
10.1.3 Open the measuring chamber of the apparatus to atmospheric pressure and observe the corresponding pressure value of the transducer. Ensure that the apparatus is set to display the $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and not a calculated or corrected value. Compare this pressure value with the pressure obtained from a mercury barometer, or equivalent, as the pressure reference standard. The pressure measuring device shall measure the local station pressure at the same elevation as the apparatus in the laboratory at the time of pressure comparison.

Note 14-Many aneroid barometers, such as those used at weather stations and airports, are precorrected to give sea level readings. These shall not be used for calibration of the apparatus.
10.1.4 Repeat 10.1 .2 and 10.1.3 until the zero and barometric pressures read correctly without further adjustments.
10.2 Temperature Sensor-Verify the calibration of the platinum resistance thermometer used to monitor the measuring chamber temperature at least every six months against a nationally traceable thermometer, such as one that is traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) or to national authorities in the country in which the equipment is used.

## 11. Verification of Instrument Performance

11.1 After calibration, verify the instrument performance as an independent check against the instrument calibration each day the instrument is in use. For pure compounds (see 7.1) and blends that are prepared from pure compounds, multiple test specimens may be taken from the same container over time, provided spent test specimens are not reused, in whole or in part. Table 1 and Table 2 provide the accepted reference value
(ARV) and uncertainty limits (at least $95 \%$ confidence interval) of reference fluids tested in the 2003 ILS (see 16.1) and 2020 ILS, ${ }^{8}$ which is based on the vapor pressure $\left(\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$ value measured. This information, combined with the tolerance value recommended by instrument manufacturers, was used to establish the acceptable testing range for the reference fuels to verify instrument performance.

Note 15-In the 2003 ILS (see 16.1), a study was conducted to determine the effect that the percent capacity of material in the 250 mL reference fluid containers had on precision and bias through replicate testing from the same bottle. The data indicated that there was no statistically observable effect on precision and bias for 3 of the 4 reference fluids. For materials with DVPE values $>100 \mathrm{kPa}$, such as pentane, the precision appears to worsen with diminishing liquid volume in the bottle. It is recommended that if pentane is used, that the \% capacity in the container be $\geq 50 \%$.
Note 16-In the 2007 ILS (see 16.1) using aviation turbine fuel, 2,2 dimethylbutane was tested producing results comparable to the 2003 ILS (see 16.1). A mean of 68.6 kPa with a standard deviation of 0.3 kPa was determined. In the 2007 ILS (see 16.1) with aviation turbine fuels, $99.95 \%$ toluene was tested with a mean of 7.7 kPa and standard deviation of 0.2 kPa .
11.2 Values obtained within the acceptable testing range intervals in Table 1 and/or Table 2 indicate that the instrument is performing at the level deemed acceptable by this standard. If values outside the acceptable testing range intervals are obtained, verify the quality of the pure compound(s) and re-check the calibration of the instrument (see Section 10).

Note 17-A reference fluid consisting of a $44.0 / 56.0(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m})$ blend of pentane/toluene was included in the 2003 ILS (see 16.1), but results indicated that the data was not normally distributed.
11.3 When testing reference fluids, especially pentane, carry out one repeat test if the initial result is outside the allowed tolerance. If the test result still remains outside the allowed tolerance, refer to the manufacturer's instructions as extra flushing could be required. Azeotropic effects between pentane and samples with ethanol content can cause carryover effects and increase the measured pentane result.

## 12. Quality Control Checks

12.1 After having verified that the instrument is performing properly, use a quality control (QC) sample that is representative of the fuel(s) routinely tested by the laboratory to confirm that the instrument is in statistical control following the guidelines given in Practice D6299.
12.2 Record the $\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ value and compare this to the decision criteria for statistical control. If the result is found to be outside the decision criteria for statistical control, initiate an investigation for root causes.
12.3 Store the QC sample in an environment suitable for long term storage without sample degradation. See Appendix X3 for guidelines and suggestions for preparing, storing, and isolating QC samples for use in the test.

## 13. Procedure

13.1 Set the sample introduction temperature of the measuring chamber between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

[^4]13.2 Set the vapor-liquid ratio to the desired value $\mathrm{X}: 1$ (for test results related to Test Method D5191, set the vapor-liquid ratio to 4:1).
13.3 Connect an aliquot of sample either in a syringe, pressurized sample container, or tubing immersed in the sample to the inlet of the apparatus. Make provisions to avoid loss of high volatiles in the sample. The overall volume of the sample shall exceed the volume of three rinsing cycles plus the final test volume. Follow the manufacturer's instructions for introducing the test specimen into the measuring chamber.
13.4 Perform the three rinsing cycles (see 9.3) immediately after connecting the sample.
13.5 Introduce the test specimen into the measuring chamber by a stroke of the piston. The volume of the specimen shall be such that after the expansion to the final volume the programmed vapor-liquid ratio is achieved.
13.6 Close the inlet valve, and make the first expansion by a stroke of the piston.
13.7 Allow the temperature of the measuring chamber to increase to within $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the desired test temperature. Monitor the $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{X}}$ at least once per second for at least 1 min . When all readings taken within 20 s agree within 0.3 kPa , record this resulting total pressure as $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{X}, 1}$.
13.8 Make the second expansion, and monitor the $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{X}}$ at least once per second for at least 1 min . When all readings taken within 20 s agree within 0.3 kPa , record this resulting total pressure as $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{X}, 2}$.
13.9 Repeat 13.8 for the third expansion, and record the resulting total pressures as $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{X}, 3}$.
13.10 Check for Sample Separation-After introducing the test specimen into the instrument for analysis, check the remaining sample for sample separation (see 8.4). This condition does not apply when the sample is aviation turbine fuel.

## 14. Calculation

14.1 Calculate the partial pressure of the air, $P P A_{t}$, at the test temperature $t$, using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P P A_{t}=\frac{\left(T P_{X, 1}-T P_{X, 3}\right)\left(T P_{X, 2}-T P_{X, 3}\right)}{\frac{V_{3}-V_{1}}{V_{2}-V_{1}}\left(T P_{X, 1}-T P_{X, 2}\right)-\left(T P_{X, 1}-T P_{X, 3}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$T P_{X, 1}=$ total pressure after first expansion,
$T P_{X, 2}=$ total pressure after second expansion,
$T P_{X, 3}=$ total pressure after third and final expansion,
$V_{1} \quad=$ volume after the first expansion,
$V_{2} \quad=$ volume after the second expansion, and
$V_{3} \quad=$ final volume after the third expansion.
14.2 Calculate the vapor pressure $V P_{X, t}$ at test temperature $t$, using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V P_{X, t}=T P_{X, 3}-P P A_{t} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$P P A_{t}=$ partial pressure of the air at temperature $t$.
14.3 See Appendix X1 for the derivation of the equations.

## 15. Report

15.1 Report the results to the nearest $0.1 \mathrm{kPa}(0.01 \mathrm{psi})$, along with the volume container size $(250 \mathrm{~mL}$ or 1 L for gasoline and gasoline-oxygenate blends; for aviation turbine fuel, 100 mL ) in which the result was obtained, and specify the test temperature and vapor-liquid ratio.

$$
\begin{align*}
& V P_{X}\left(T m^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=\# \# . \# \mathrm{kPa} \text { or } \# \# . \# \# \mathrm{psi}  \tag{4}\\
& \operatorname{Pair}\left(T m^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=\# \# . \# \mathrm{kPa} \text { or } \# \# . \# \# \mathrm{psi} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$X \quad=$ vapor-liquid ratio,
Pair $=$ partial pressure of the dissolved air, and
Tm = measuring temperature.
15.2 If a haze was observed in 8.4, state on the report document that the test sample was hazy. Examples of acceptable reporting statements are a result of $\mathrm{xx} . \mathrm{xH}$ or the statement "Sample Hazy - Yes."

## 16. Precision and Bias

16.1 Precision-The precision of this test method was developed in three separate ILS; for gasoline and gasolineoxygenate blends in 2003 for 1 L containers and in 2016 for 250 mL containers; for aviation turbine fuel in 2007 for 100 mL containers.
16.1.1 2016 ILS $^{3}$ with 250 mL Containers-The precision data are based on 13 laboratories, instruments from 4 different manufacturers, 16 types of hydrocarbons with ethanol blends up to $85 \%$ (volume fraction), and other hydrocarbonoxygenate blends at a test temperature of $37.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a V/L ratio of $4: 1$ in the nominal $\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ range of 16.0 kPa to $97.6 \mathrm{kPa}(2.3 \mathrm{psi}$ to 14.2 psi$)$.
16.1.2 2003 ILS ${ }^{2}$ with 1 L Containers-The precision are based on 12 laboratories, 2 types of instruments, and 20 types of hydrocarbon and hydrocarbon-oxygenate blends at a test temperature of $37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a V/L ratio of $4: 1$, in the nominal $\mathrm{VP}_{4}$ range of 17.0 kPa to $106.0 \mathrm{kPa}(2.5 \mathrm{psi}$ to 15.4 psi$)$.
16.1.3 2007 ILS ${ }^{4}$ with 100 mL Containers-The precision of this test method for aviation turbine fuels are based on 7 laboratories, 2 types of instruments, and 11 samples of aviation turbine fuel (Jet A, A1, C, JP4, TS-1), and toluene at test temperatures of $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{L}$ ratio of $4: 1$, in the nominal $\mathrm{VP}_{4}$ range of 0.1 kPa to 107.5 kPa ( 0.0 psi to 15.6 psi ).
16.1.4 Repeatability (Gasoline and Gasoline-Oxygenate Blends)-The difference between two independent results obtained by the same operator in a given laboratory applying the same test method with the same apparatus under constant operating conditions on identical test material withdrawn from 250 mL or 1 L containers within short intervals of time would exceed the following value associated with 250 mL containers or the value calculated for 1 L containers as per the equation in Table 3 with an approximate probability of $5 \%$ (one case in 20 in the long run) in the normal and correct operation of the test method.
16.1.5 Repeatability (Aviation Turbine Fuel)—The difference between two independent results obtained by the same operator in a given laboratory applying the same test method with the same apparatus under constant operating conditions on

TABLE 3 Repeatability (Gasoline and Gasoline-oxygenate Blends)

| Container Size | Repeatability (r) |
| :--- | :---: |
| 250 mL | $0.52 \mathrm{kPa}(0.08 \mathrm{psi})$ |
| 1 L | $0.015(X+B)$ |
|  |  |
| where: |  |
| $X=V P_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{kPa}$ (psi), and |  |
| $B=9 \mathrm{kPa}(1.31 \mathrm{psi})$. |  |

identical test material withdrawn from 100 mL containers within short intervals of time would exceed the values in Table 4 with an approximate probability of $5 \%$ (one case in 20 in the long run) in the normal and correct operation of the test method.
16.1.6 Reproducibility (Gasoline and Gasoline-Oxygenate Blends)-The difference between two single and independent results obtained by different operators applying the same test method in different laboratories using different apparatus on identical test material withdrawn from 250 mL or 1 L containers would exceed the following value associated with 250 mL containers or the value calculated for 1 L containers as per the equation in Table 5 with an approximate probability of $5 \%$ (one case in 20 in the long run) in the normal and correct operation of the test method.
16.1.7 Reproducibility (Aviation Turbine Fuel)—The difference between two single and independent results obtained by different operators applying the same test method in different laboratories using different apparatus on identical test material withdrawn from 100 mL containers would exceed the values in Table 6 with an approximate probability of $5 \%$ (one case in 20 in the long run) in the normal and correct operation of the test method.
16.1.8 See Table 7 for a summary of the precision values on gasoline and gasoline-oxygenate blends values determined in kPa (psi) from Tables 3 and 5.
16.2 Bias-Since there is no accepted reference material suitable for determining the bias for the procedure in this test method, bias has not been determined.
16.3 Relative Bias to Test Method D5191-The degree of expected agreement between $\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ results by this test method and DVPE results by Test Method D5191 for gasoline and gasoline-oxygenate blends has been assessed in accordance with Practice D6708. The degree of agreement can be further improved using bias correction schemes considered in Practice D6708. Sample specific biases were observed, but they can be included in the calculation of between-method reproducibility as a random effect.
16.3.1 Predicted $\mathrm{DVPE}^{3}$ with 250 mL containers:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Predicted DVPE } & =\mathrm{VP}_{250 \mathrm{~mL} \text { container }}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)_{250 \mathrm{~mL} \text { container }} \\
& - \text { Relative Bias } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{VP}_{4}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)_{250 \mathrm{~mL} \text { container }}=$ result determined by this method using a 250 mL container, and
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