



Designation: **D6815–22** D6815 – 22a

## Standard Specification for Evaluation of Duration of Load and Creep Effects of Wood and Wood-Based Products<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6815; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

### 1. Scope

1.1 This specification provides a procedure for testing and evaluating duration of load and creep effects of wood and wood-based materials relative to an accepted duration of load adjustment model. This specification was created for products that are currently covered by a consensus standard (for example, lumber, structural composite lumber, and structural-use panels). This procedure is intended to demonstrate the engineering equivalence to the duration of load and creep effects of visually graded lumber as specified in Practice **D245** for a product under evaluation used in dry service conditions. This procedure is not intended to evaluate the performance of products under impact loading. Quantification of specific duration of load or creep factors is beyond the scope of this specification. For further guidance regarding the applicability of this specification refer to **X1.1** in the Commentary.

1.2 Use of the procedure in this specification to determine equivalence to the Practice **D245** duration of load relationship is limited to solid wood and wood-based products whose long term load behavior is similar to that of solid wood. Equivalence demonstrated in this specification is dependent upon evaluation of a product's 90-day (minimum) creep-rupture performance. In this evaluation, three criteria must be satisfied: (1) adequate strength over a 90-day period, (2) decreasing creep rate, and (3) limited fractional deflection. A summary of the development of these criteria and the underlying assumptions behind them is provided in the Commentary in **Appendix X1 and Appendix X2**.

1.3 Long term degradation phenomena not described by a creep-rupture model are not addressed in this specification (see Commentary **X1.2.4**).

1.4 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to SI units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.5 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

### 2. Referenced Documents

#### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

**D9 Terminology Relating to Wood and Wood-Based Products**

**D198 Test Methods of Static Tests of Lumber in Structural Sizes**

<sup>1</sup> This specification is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee **D07** on Wood and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee **D07.01** on Fundamental Test Methods and Properties.

Current edition approved ~~Aug. 1, 2022~~ Nov. 1, 2022. Published ~~September 2022~~ November 2022. Originally approved in 2002. Last previous edition approved in ~~2015~~ 2022 as ~~D6815–09 (2015)~~ **D6815–22**. DOI: ~~10.1520/D6815-22~~ 10.1520/D6815-22a.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

[D245 Practice for Establishing Structural Grades and Related Allowable Properties for Visually Graded Lumber](#)  
[D1037 Test Methods for Evaluating Properties of Wood-Base Fiber and Particle Panel Materials](#)  
[D2915 Practice for Sampling and Data-Analysis for Structural Wood and Wood-Based Products](#)  
[D3043 Test Methods for Structural Panels in Flexure](#)  
[D4442 Test Methods for Direct Moisture Content Measurement of Wood and Wood-Based Materials](#)  
[D4761 Test Methods for Mechanical Properties of Lumber and Wood-Based Structural Materials](#)  
[E4 Practices for Force Calibration and Verification of Testing Machines](#)  
[E6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing](#)  
[E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods](#)

2.2 *Other References:*

[ANSI/AWS NDS National Design Specification \(NDS\) for Wood Construction](#)<sup>3</sup>

### 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—See Terminologies [D9](#) and [E6](#) and Practices [E4](#) and [E177](#) for definitions of terms used in this specification.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *breadth*—breadth, n—dimension of the test specimen in the direction perpendicular to the span and perpendicular to the direction of an applied bending load.

3.2.2 *creep*—creep, n—time-dependent increase of deformation of the test material under a constant load.

3.2.3 *creep deflection*—deflection, n—total measured deflection at a specific time minus the initial deflection.

3.2.4 *creep rate*—rate, n—change in creep deflection over time.

3.2.5 *creep-rupture*—creep-rupture, n—failure phenomenon described by a relationship between applied stress and time-to-failure.

3.2.6 *depth*—depth, n—dimension of the test specimen in the direction perpendicular to the span and parallel to the direction of an applied bending load.

3.2.7 *dry service conditions*—conditions, n—conditions in most covered structures, where the moisture content of lumber will not exceed 19 % (ANSI/AWC NDS).

3.2.8 *duration of load factor*—factor, n—factor customarily used to account for the effect of duration of load on the strength of wood products.

3.2.9 *failure*—failure, n—point at which the test member can no longer support the applied constant load.

3.2.10 *fractional deflection*—deflection, n—ratio of total deflection to the initial deflection.

3.2.11 *initial deflection*—deflection, n—deflection at approximately one minute after the application of load.

3.2.12 *span*—span, n—distance between the centerlines of end reactions on which a test specimen is supported to accommodate a transverse bending load.

### 4. Significance and Use

4.1 This specification provides a method for evaluating duration of load and creep effects of wood and wood-based products subjected to bending stress. This method is intended to demonstrate the engineering equivalence to the duration of load and creep effects of visually graded lumber as specified in Practice [D245](#) for a product under evaluation. Equivalence is based on evaluating

<sup>3</sup> Available from American Wood Council (AWC), 222 Catocin Circle SE, Suite 201, Leesburg, VA 20175, <https://www.awc.org>.

a product's creep-rupture performance over a minimum of 90 days and meeting the requirements of this specification. This specification does not attempt to quantify the effect of damage accumulation or to establish product-specific duration of load factors for the product under evaluation.

## 5. Test Methods and Acceptance Criteria

### 5.1 Test Methods:

5.1.1 A test population shall be sampled from production that is representative of the product under evaluation. Two matched test groups shall be selected, one for short-term bending tests, and one for long-term creep-rupture bending tests. A minimum sample size of 28 is required for each test group. If further testing is contemplated, additional test specimens shall be sampled from the initial test population. Long-term and short-term test specimens shall have the same cross section dimensions and length.

NOTE 1—Matching is a technique that attempts to subdivide the initial sample population into two or more separate groups that possess near identical distributional form and scale for bending properties. Matching specimens for the purposes of 5.1.1 should be done with care, considering errors introduced by the process and the characteristics of the material under test.

5.1.2 Each test specimen shall be simply supported and loaded by two equal concentrated forces spaced a distance of one-third the total span from the end supports (that is, third-point bending). Loads shall be applied in the product orientation that represents the general intended use of the product.

5.1.2.1 For joist-form materials, the span to depth ratio shall be as specified in applicable test standards (see Note 2). Lateral restraints shall be used when necessary to maintain lateral stability. The minimum test specimen cross section shall be 2.5 in. (63.5 mm) in depth and 1.0 in. (25.4 mm) in width.

NOTE 2—For lumber sized products, span to depth ratios typically used for flexural tests range between 17 and 21.

5.1.2.2 For sheathing-form materials, the test span shall be not less than 48 times specimen thickness or 24 in., whichever is greater. The specimen width for all sheathing-form materials shall not be less than 12 in. (305 mm).

5.1.3 Moisture content shall be measured on the short-term specimens immediately after destructive testing and on the long-term specimens at the termination of the long-term test. Measurement of moisture content shall be in accordance with Test Methods D4442. The average moisture content of all the long-term test specimens shall not deviate more than  $\pm 2\%$  from the average moisture content of all the short-term test specimens (see Note 3).

5.1.4 The test environment temperature and relative humidity shall be recorded daily (see Commentary X1.4.5). The daily average temperature of the test environment shall not decrease more than 5 °C (9 °F) below the temperature at which the short-term tests were conducted. At no time shall the test environment reach a temperature less than 0 °C (32 °F).

NOTE 3—Conditioning the short-term and long-term test material for at least 30 days in the anticipated test environment conditions generally provides compliance with the  $\pm 2\%$  moisture content change criterion.

NOTE 4—In experiments where the temperature falls below the prescribed limit, it may be possible to demonstrate the validity of the data by continuing the experiment for an additional period at least equal to, and possibly greater than, the amount of time the temperature was below the prescribed limit.

### 5.2 Short-Term Bending Tests:

5.2.1 The loading rate for the short-term tests shall be such that the sample target failure load would be achieved in approximately 1 min. Failure load shall not be reached in less than 10 s nor more than 10 min. The procedures of Test Methods D198 or D4761 shall be followed for joist-form materials and Test Methods D1037 or D3043 for sheathing-form materials.

5.2.2 The sample standard deviation and the lower five percent point estimate of the short-term test group (5 % PE) shall be determined in accordance with Practice D2915.

### 5.3 Creep-Rupture Bending Tests:

5.3.1 The creep-rupture test specimens shall be loaded such that the average time to attain the pre-selected constant stress level

does not exceed the average time to failure of the short-term tests (see 5.2.1). Thereafter, the specimens shall be subjected to the constant stress for a minimum period of 90 days. During this period, mid-span deflection readings shall be taken for each test specimen, until the 90-day period has elapsed or until the occurrence of a failure. At a minimum, the deflection readings shall be taken at approximately one minute after the application of the constant load (initial deflection), and at the end of one hour, day 1, day 7, day 14, day 30, day 60, and day 90. When better characterization of the creep rate is desired, more frequent deflection measurements should be taken. Additional deflection readings are required when the test extends beyond 90 days. When a specimen failure occurs, time-to-failure shall be recorded.

5.3.2 The specimens selected for these tests shall be tested at a constant stress level,  $f_b$ , as determined in accordance with Eq 1 (see Commentary Appendix X1).

$$f_b = 0.55 \times (5\% PE) \quad (1)$$

where:

$f_b$  = minimum applied bending stress, and  
 5 % PE = the lower five percent point estimate, as determined from the short-term bending tests in 5.2.

The creep rate, fractional deflection (FD), and the total number of failures at 90 days ( $N_{90}$ ) (or greater) shall be used to evaluate the acceptance of the product.

NOTE 5—Examples of acceptable creep and creep-rupture test apparatus are given in Ref 1.<sup>4</sup>

5.4 Acceptance Criteria—The product is considered acceptable for using the duration of load and creep factors applicable to lumber if the following three criteria are all satisfied: (1) adequate strength over the test duration, (2) decreasing creep rate, and (3) a limited fractional deflection.

5.4.1 Adequate Strength—The total number of failures over the test duration shall be used to determine acceptance.

5.4.1.1 The total number of failures at 90 or more days shall be less than the critical order statistic,  $N_c$ , of the lower 5 % non-parametric tolerance limit with 75 % confidence:

$$N_{90} < N_c \quad (2)$$

where:

$N_{90}$  = number of specimen failures at the end of the 90-day test period, and  
 $N_c$  = critical order statistic used to estimate the lower 5 % non-parametric tolerance limit based on the number of specimens under long-term load (see Note 6).

For example, if 53 specimens are used in the creep-rupture tests, then  $N_c = 2$  and no more than one specimen shall fail within the 90-day period ( $N_{90} \leq 1$ ) for the product to be accepted as meeting the adequate strength criterion. Alternatively, if 28 specimens are tested, then  $N_c = 1$ , and no failures shall occur ( $N_{90} = 0$ ).

5.4.1.2 If the requirement of 5.4.1.1 is not met and the number of failures at 90 days is greater than or equal to the critical order statistic ( $N_{90} \geq N_c$ ) then the product under evaluation fails to meet the adequate strength criterion with the sample population,  $N$ .

5.4.1.3 If the number of failures at 90 days is equal to the critical order statistic ( $N_{90} = N_c$ ) in 5.4.1.2, then additional testing may be conducted. In this case the sample population shall be increased by sampling an additional set of matched specimens in accordance with 5.1.1 sufficient to allow the use of a higher non-parametric order statistic (see Note 6). The additional specimens shall be tested for another 90-day test duration. The adequate strength requirement of 5.4.1.1 is met when, at the end of the additional testing, the combined number of specimen failures during these two test series ( $N_{90}$  combined) is less than the critical order statistic ( $N_c$  combined) based on the combined number of specimens evaluated ( $N$  combined).

NOTE 6—From Practice D2915 the order statistic for the lower 5 % tolerance limit with 75 % confidence,  $N_c$ , for various sample populations,  $N$ , is as follows:

$N$	28	53	78	102
$N_c$	1	2	3	4

<sup>4</sup> The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

**5.4.2 Decreasing Creep Rate**—All the test specimens that do not fail during the ~~90-day~~ 90-day constant load period shall show a decreasing creep rate.

5.4.2.1 To determine a decreasing creep rate, the change in creep deflection shall be calculated between a minimum of three equally spaced time ~~segments~~ segments that cover the full period under load. The change in calculated creep deflection shall progressively decrease for each specimen. ~~For~~ As an example, for the three equal periods of 0 to 30 days, 30 to 60 days, and 60 to 90 days, the decreasing creep rate for 90 days under load can be expressed as (see Commentary **Appendix X2**):

$$\frac{D_{30} - D_i > D_{60} - D_{30} > D_{90} - D_{60}}{(D_{90} - D_{60}) < (D_{60} - D_{30}) < (D_{30} - D_i)} \quad (3)$$

where:

$D_i$  = initial deflection (measured one-minute after application of the load in accordance with **5.3.1**), and  
 $D_{30}$ ,  $D_{60}$ ,  $D_{90}$  = deflections measured on 30th, 60th, and 90th day respectively.

NOTE 7—To better define the creep rate, additional segments with a shorter frequency (for example, five 18-day segments) may be used.

5.4.2.2 If the difference in incremental creep deflection for the last two 30-day periods under load (for example,  $(D_{90} - D_{60}) - (D_{60} - D_{30})$ ) are within the precision of the deflection measuring devices, it shall be permitted to override the decreasing creep rate criterion of **5.4.2.1** for not more than 15 % of the surviving specimens if the difference in incremental creep deflection between the final two consecutive 30-day periods is less than 0.5 % of the initial deflection of the same specimen (for example,  $(D_{90} - D_{60}) - (D_{60} - D_{30}) < 0.005D_i$ ) (see **X2.4.4** in the Commentary).

5.4.2.3 If the creep rate for criteria of **5.4.2.1** a given and **5.4.2.2** specimen is are not decreasing/satisfied at the end of the 90-day period, the ~~period~~ test shall be extended for a minimum of 30 additional days. The change in calculated creep rate for the additional time segment(s) after 90 days shall progressively decrease relative to the preceding segment.

NOTE 8—The creep rate may fluctuate due to environmental changes in relative humidity or temperature, or both. Extending the test beyond the 90-day period in a controlled environment may demonstrate that the beams were not exhibiting tertiary behavior at the end of the period.

**5.4.3 Fractional Deflection**—Fractional deflection after ninety (90) days for each surviving specimen shall not be greater than 2.0:

$$FD_{90} = \frac{D_{90}}{D_i} \leq 2.0 \quad (4)$$

where:

$D_i$  = initial deflection (measured one-minute after application of the load in accordance with **5.3.1**), and  
 $D_{90}$  = deflection measured on 90th day.

## 6. Retest Option

6.1 If a product fails to meet the strength criterion of **5.4.1**, the product shall not be allowed to use the duration of load or creep adjustments in the ANSI/AWC NDS. A retest at any stress level lower than that specified in **5.3.2** is not permitted to satisfy the strength criterion of **5.4.1**.

6.2 If a product satisfies the strength criterion of **5.4.1** in the original test at the stress level specified in **5.3.2**, but fails to meet either or both of the deflection-based criteria of **5.4.2** and **5.4.3**, the product proponent shall be allowed to conduct a retest at a reduced stress level. The reduced stress level is defined by the user. The user shall be permitted to repeat this procedure until the acceptance criteria of **6.3** are satisfied. However, if a product fails to meet the strength criterion of **5.4.1** during any retest at a stress level less than that specified in **5.3.2**, then the material is not allowed to use the duration of load or creep adjustments in the NDS and no further retesting shall be permitted.

6.3 **Acceptance Criteria for Retest at Lower Stress Level**—The acceptance criteria for the retest(s) shall include the three acceptance criteria from **5.4** plus all of the following:

6.3.1 **Average Fractional Deflection**—The average fractional deflection after 90 days shall be less than or equal to 1.6.

6.3.2 *Average Creep-Recovery*—The average creep-recovery within 30 days of unloading shall be greater than or equal to twenty percent (20 %). Creep-recovery shall be defined as:

$$CR = \frac{(\Delta_{recovered})}{(\Delta_{creep})} = 1 - \frac{(\Delta_{unload-30} - \Delta_{initial})}{(\Delta_{load-end} - \Delta_{1-min})} \geq 0.20 \quad (5)$$

where:

- $CR$  = creep-recovery, unitless,
- $\Delta_{recovered}$  = the total creep deflection recovered within 30 days after unloading, in. (mm),
- $\Delta_{creep}$  = the total creep deflection accumulated over the long-term load test, in. (mm)
- $\Delta_{1-min}$  = deflection gauge reading after 1 min of loading, in. (mm),
- $\Delta_{initial}$  = initial deflection gauge reading prior to loading, in. (mm),
- $\Delta_{load-end}$  = deflection gauge reading just prior to unloading, in. (mm), and
- $\Delta_{unload-30}$  = deflection gauge reading within 30 days after unloading, in. (mm).

6.3.3 *Average Residual Strength and Stiffness*—The specimens from the long-term loading retest shall be tested in short-term bending in accordance with 5.1.2. The average residual strength and stiffness of the test specimens shall be greater than or equal to ninety percent (90 %) of that measured in the short-term bending tests of 5.2.

NOTE 9—The selection of the reduced stress level is defined by the user; and is an careful selection with the desire to assure that the product can meet all six acceptance criteria.

6.4 *Allowable Property Adjustment*—If the retest proves that the product meets all the acceptance criteria defined in 6.3, all time-dependent member and connection properties defined by the NDS shall be reduced by the percent change in stress level used in the retest(s).

## 7. Report

7.1 The report content depends on the type of tests conducted. As a minimum, the report shall include the following information:

7.1.1 Description of the material under evaluation, including species, grade (or grade combination), specimen geometry, and grain orientation, and other specific process parameters involved in its manufacture.

7.1.2 Description of the sampling and matching protocol used.

7.1.3 Descriptions of the test setup, including detailed drawings, the span, and the deflection measuring apparatus.

7.1.4 Description and frequency of calibration procedures.

7.1.5 Records of test environmental conditions.

7.1.6 Test data, including (1) specimen moisture content, (2) applied loads, (3) deflection measurements at various test durations, (4) test specimen time-to-failure, (5) creep rate, and (6) fractional deflection for each surviving test specimen.

7.1.7 Statistical calculations, including parametric statistics on short-term bending tests (if applicable) and description of procedure used to calculate the five percent point estimate.

## 8. Precision and Bias

8.1 The precision of the provisions in this specification have not yet been determined. When data become available, a precision and bias statement will be included.

## 9. Keywords

9.1 creep rate; creep-rupture; duration of load; fractional deflection; lumber; structural composite lumber; structural-use panels



**APPENDIXES****(Nonmandatory Information)****X1. COMMENTARY ON DURATION OF LOAD EFFECTS IN WOOD PRODUCTS****X1.1 Scope**

X1.1.1 **Appendix X1** provides general background information on the underlying assumptions used in establishing the creep-rupture (duration of load) evaluation procedures in this specification. The procedure in this specification was originally developed to provide for the evaluation of duration of load (DOL) and creep adjustment factors for structural composite lumber (SCL) products. Much research has since been conducted on SCL products to demonstrate their long-term load performance. It was considered important to provide the engineering community with a standard procedure for evaluating DOL effects in these and other wood products. It is the intent of the Committee to limit the application of the concepts in this specification to products that exhibit DOL effects similar to solid wood. Creep-rupture tests of sawn lumber, structural composite lumber, plywood, and oriented strand board (**X1.5.1 – X1.5.3**) indicate that wood products whose strength is controlled by the properties of the wood fibers, wood strand or other wood elements in the product exhibit degradation mechanisms generally similar to those of solid wood used to establish the DOL relationship in Practice **D245**.

X1.1.2 This specification does not address the conditions of extremely rapid loading or impact loading. Consequently the sections in Practice **D245** related to this type of loading cannot be applied to new products evaluated with this specification. Verification of the DOL adjustment for impact load conditions requires separate evaluation and is considered beyond the scope of this specification.

**X1.2 Background**

X1.2.1 The phenomenon of creep-rupture, usually called the duration of load (DOL) effect in wood and wood-based products has been of particular interest to the wood science and timber engineering community as well as wood product manufacturers concerned with the introduction of new building products and implementation of new codes for engineering design in wood. Since the early 1970s, a significant amount of work has been conducted on measuring and empirically modeling the time-dependent strength behavior of structural size lumber. A historical perspective of this issue and a review of the major test studies conducted are provided by Barrett (**2**).

X1.2.2 If new engineered wood products are to use the duration of load adjustments recommended in the design codes for solid sawn lumber and other wood-based products, an appropriate procedure for confirming the applicability of such use is needed.

X1.2.3 Through the use of a 90-day creep-rupture experiment the procedures of this specification allow a comparison of the 90-day term load performance of a wood or wood-based product to that observed in solid sawn structural lumber as derived from the results of extensive tests on lumber of structural sizes.

X1.2.4 Typically, creep-rupture models are empirical, relying on events observable only at a macro level. This type of model, in the context of the proposed short term test, is only sensitive to the actual micro level degradation phenomena (chemical bonds) leading to failure when that degradation leads to creep or rupture during the test. The traditional DOL behavior as presented in Practice **D245** is based on observation and judgement of solid wood only. In that model, relatively short-term test results (like 90 days) appear to fit within a projection that can cover a longer period of degradation. However all degradation phenomena embodied in that statement of DOL are those of solid wood with limited processing. Materials or combinations of materials that may degrade under load and time with mechanisms different than those of solid wood may experience a different failure history than that