



Designation: D5904 – 02 (Reapproved 2024)

# Standard Test Method for Total Carbon, Inorganic Carbon, and Organic Carbon in Water by Ultraviolet, Persulfate Oxidation, and Membrane Conductivity Detection<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D5904; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of total carbon (TC), inorganic carbon (IC), and total organic carbon (TOC) in water in the range from 0.5 mg/L to 30 mg/L of carbon. Higher levels may be determined by sample dilution. The test method utilizes ultraviolet-persulfate oxidation of organic carbon, coupled with a CO<sub>2</sub> selective membrane to recover the CO<sub>2</sub> into deionized water. The change in conductivity of the deionized water is measured and related to carbon concentration in the oxidized sample. Inorganic carbon is determined in a similar manner without the requirement for oxidation. In both cases, the sample is acidified to facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> recovery through the membrane. The relationship between the conductivity measurement and carbon concentration is described by a set of chemometric equations for the chemical equilibrium of CO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, H<sup>+</sup>, and the relationship between the ionic concentrations and the conductivity. The chemometric model includes the temperature dependence of the equilibrium constants and the specific conductances.

1.2 This test method has the advantage of a very high sensitivity detector that allows very low detection levels on relatively small volumes of sample. Also, use of two measurement channels allows determination of CO<sub>2</sub> in the sample independently of organic carbon. Isolation of the conductivity detector from the sample by the CO<sub>2</sub> selective membrane results in a very stable calibration, with minimal interferences.

1.3 This test method was used successfully with reagent water spiked with sodium bicarbonate and various organic materials. It is the user's responsibility to ensure the validity of this test method for waters of untested matrices.

1.4 This test method is applicable only to carbonaceous matter in the sample that can be introduced into the reaction zone. The injector opening size generally limits the maximum size of particles that can be introduced.

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D19 on Water and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D19.06 on Methods for Analysis for Organic Substances in Water.

Current edition approved April 1, 2024. Published April 2024. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in 2017 as D5904 – 02 (2017). DOI: 10.1520/D5904-02R24.

1.5 In addition to laboratory analyses, this test method may be applied to on line monitoring.

1.6 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.8 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

- D1129 Terminology Relating to Water
- D1192 Guide for Equipment for Sampling Water and Steam in Closed Conduits (Withdrawn 2003)<sup>3</sup>
- D1193 Specification for Reagent Water
- D2777 Practice for Determination of Precision and Bias of Applicable Test Methods of Committee D19 on Water
- D3370 Practices for Sampling Water from Flowing Process Streams
- D5810 Guide for Spiking into Aqueous Samples
- D5847 Practice for Writing Quality Control Specifications for Standard Test Methods for Water Analysis

## 3. Terminology

### 3.1 Definitions:

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>3</sup> The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

3.1.1 For definitions of terms used in this standard, refer to Terminology D1129.

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1 inorganic carbon (IC), *n*—carbon in the form of carbon dioxide, carbonate ion, or bicarbonate ion.

3.2.2 potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP), *n*— $KHC_8H_4O_4$ .

3.2.3 refractory material, *n*—that which cannot be oxidized completely under the test method conditions.

3.2.4 total carbon (TC), *n*—the sum of IC and TOC.

3.2.5 total organic carbon (TOC), *n*—carbon in the form of organic compounds.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Fundamentals—Carbon can occur in water as inorganic and organic compounds. This test method can be used to make

independent measurements of IC and TC and can also determine TOC as the difference of TC and IC. If IC is high relative to TOC it is desirable to use a vacuum degassing unit to reduce the IC concentration as part of the measurement. Alternatively, the IC can be removed by acidifying and sparging the sample prior to injection into the instrument.

4.2 The basic steps of this test method are:

4.2.1 Removal of IC, if desired, by vacuum degassing;

4.2.2 Conversion of remaining inorganic carbon to  $CO_2$  by action of acid in both channels and oxidation of total carbon to  $CO_2$  by action of acid-persulfate, aided by ultraviolet (UV) radiation in the TC channel;

4.2.3 Detection of  $CO_2$  that is swept out of the reactors by the liquid stream over membranes that allow the specific passage of  $CO_2$  to high purity water where change in conductivity is measured; and




FIG. 1 Schematic Diagram of TOC Analyzer System

**TABLE 1 Blank Contribution and Inorganic Carbon (IC) Removal Efficiency of Vacuum Degassing Unit**

Unit Number	$\mu\text{g/L}^A$ TOC Background	$\mu\text{g/L}^A$ IC Background	IC Level with 25 000 $\mu\text{g/L}$ Input
1	3.2	8.2	55
2	3.2	22	61
3	2.4	8.0	105
4	4.2	13	89
5	2.8	13	30
6	3.0	8.0	70
7	4.8	8.9	67
8	4.7	8.3	63
9	4.6	11	62
10	4.7	2.9	72

<sup>A</sup> Values are the difference between before and after addition of the degasser to a high purity (<5  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) water stream.

4.2.4 Conversion of the conductivity detector signal to a display of carbon concentration in parts per million (ppm =  $\text{mg/L}$ ) or parts per billion (ppb =  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). The IC channel reading is subtracted from the TC channel to give a TOC reading. A diagram of suitable apparatus is given in Fig. 1. References (1-5)<sup>4</sup> provide additional information on this test method.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is used for determination of the carbon content of water from a variety of natural, domestic, and industrial sources. In its most common form, this test method is used to measure organic carbon as a means of monitoring organic pollutants in high purity and drinking water. These measurements are also used in monitoring waste treatment processes.

5.2 The relationship of TOC to other water quality parameters such as chemical oxygen demand (COD) and total oxygen demand (TOD) is described in the literature (6).

## 6. Interferences and Limitations

6.1 The oxidation of dissolved carbon to  $\text{CO}_2$  is brought about at relatively low temperatures by the chemical action of reactive species produced by UV-irradiated persulfate ions. Not all suspended or refractory material may be oxidized under these conditions; analysts should take steps to determine what recovery is being obtained. This may be done by several methods: by rerunning the sample under more vigorous reaction conditions; by analyzing the sample by an alternative method known to result in full recovery; or by spiking samples with known refractories and determining recovery.

6.2 Chloride ion above 250  $\text{mg/L}$  tends to interfere with oxidative reaction mechanisms in this test method. Follow manufacturer's instructions for dealing with this problem. Other interferences have been investigated and found to be minimal under most conditions. Refer to the references for more information.

6.3 Note that error will be introduced when the method of difference is used to derive a relatively small level from two large levels. For example, a ground water high in IC and low in TOC will give a poorer TOC value as (TC-IC) than by direct measurement. In this case the vacuum degassing unit on the instrument should be used to reduce the concentration of IC prior to measurement. Alternatively, the sample can be acidified and sparged prior to introduction into the instrument. Use of the vacuum degassing unit or sparging the sample may cause loss of volatile organic compounds, thus yielding a value lower than the true TOC level.

6.4 Use of the vacuum degassing unit or sparging the sample may cause loss of volatile organic compounds, thus yielding a value lower than the true TOC level. At low TOC levels, the degassing unit may introduce a measurable TOC and IC background. The user should characterize the background and performance of the degassing module for their application. A removal efficiency of 97 % of the inlet IC is considered satisfactory. Table 1 provides typical IC removal performance and background levels of the vacuum degassing unit.

## 7. Apparatus

7.1 *Homogenizing Apparatus*—A household blender is generally satisfactory for homogenizing immiscible phases in water.

7.2 *Apparatus for Carbon Determination*—A typical instrument consists of reagent and sample introduction mechanism, reaction vessel, detector, control system, and a display.<sup>5</sup> Fig. 1 shows a diagram of such an arrangement.

7.2.1 *Vacuum degassing* requires the manufacturer's module<sup>5</sup> that includes a vacuum pump and a hollow fiber membrane assembly. Use of this vacuum degasser will remove essentially all IC as part of the analysis. The membrane module consists of a tube and shell arrangement of microporous polypropylene hollow fibers. Sample flows along the inside of the fibers, while air is passed on the shell side-counterflow to the sample flow. The shell side pressure is reduced by means of a vacuum pump on the air outlet. The sample is acidified before introduction into the degasser to facilitate  $\text{CO}_2$  transport through the hollow fibers. Sparging requires an inert vessel with a capacity of at least double the sample size with provision for sparging with 50  $\text{mL/min}$  to 100  $\text{mL/min}$  of carbon free gas. This procedure will remove essentially all IC in 2 min to 10 min, depending on design.

7.2.2 *Reaction*—The sample flow is split after the addition of reagents. Half of the flow passes to the delay coil while the other half passes into the oxidation reactor. The effluent from both streams passes over individual membranes that allow  $\text{CO}_2$  to pass through the membrane into prepurified water for detection.

7.2.3 *Membrane*—The membrane is a  $\text{CO}_2$  selective fluoropolymer that is hydrophobic and non-porous. Refer to the bibliography for additional details.

7.2.4 *Detector*—The  $\text{CO}_2$  that has passed through the membrane into the purified water is measured by conductivity

<sup>4</sup> The boldface numbers given in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

<sup>5</sup> Instruments manufactured and marketed by Sievers Instruments, Inc., 2500 Central Ave., Suite H1, Boulder, CO 80301, have been found satisfactory.