



GUIDE 75

First edition
2006-11

Strategic principles for future IEC and ISO standardization in industrial automation

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC GUIDE 75:2006](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e65c7e6-7c72-4708-8cb3-be9d0aaf7a42/iso-iec-guide-75-2006)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e65c7e6-7c72-4708-8cb3-be9d0aaf7a42/iso-iec-guide-75-2006>

Reference number
ISO/IEC GUIDE 75:2006(E)

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC GUIDE 75:2006

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e65c7e6-7c72-4708-8cb3-be9d0aaf7a42/iso-iec-guide-75-2006>



GUIDE 75

First edition
2006-11

Strategic principles for future IEC and ISO standardization in industrial automation

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC GUIDE 75:2006](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e65c7e6-7c72-4708-8cb3-be9d0aaf7a42/iso-iec-guide-75-2006>

Copyright © 2006 ISO/IEC, Geneva — All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

International Electrotechnical Commission, 3, rue de Varembé, PO Box 131, CH-1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland
Telephone: +41 22 919 02 11 Telefax: +41 22 919 03 00 E-mail: inmail@iec.ch Web: www.iec.ch

PRICE CODE

P

For price, see current catalogue

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	3
1 Scope	4
2 Introduction	4
3 Abbreviations	5
4 New environment	6
5 Evolution of requirements	7
6 Recommendations for new standardization principles common to all segments	9
7 Recommendations for new standardization principles specific to segment 1	12
8 Recommendations for new standardization principles specific to segment 2	13
9 Recommendations for new standardization principles specific to segment 3	14
10 Conformity assessment and marking common to all segments	14
BIBLIOGRAPHY	16
Table 1 – Standards segmentation	5

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO/IEC GUIDE 75:2006

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e65c7e6-7c72-4708-8cb3-be9d0aaf7a42/iso-iec-guide-75-2006>

STRATEGIC PRINCIPLES FOR FUTURE IEC AND ISO STANDARDIZATION IN INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION

FOREWORD

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standards. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

Guides are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

Draft Guides adopted by the responsible committee or group are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as a Guide requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this Guide may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC Guide 75 was prepared by the IEC Sector Board 3 (SB 3), *Industrial automation systems*.

ISO/IEC GUIDE 75:2006

This Guide may be revised in due course on the basis of practical experience. Committees writing standards are invited to inform the ISO Central Secretariat or the IEC Central Office of any difficulties encountered with the implementation of its provisions.

One aspect of this Guide requires particular comment.

“Future ... standardization” indicates that these proposals are forward-looking. However, existing standards are also affected.

SB 3's terms of reference and the experience of its members both restrict the formal domain of these recommendations to that of industrial automation. However, the members are of the unanimous opinion that the recommended principles could have much wider application because many of them are generic, and could thus be relevant to many other industrial sectors.

The text of this guide is based on the following documents:

Approval document	Report on voting
C/1407/DV	C/1442/RV

Full information on the voting for the approval of this Guide can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

STRATEGIC PRINCIPLES FOR FUTURE IEC AND ISO STANDARDIZATION IN INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION

1 Scope

This Guide is applicable to IEC and ISO standardization for the industrial automation sector. It provides strategic principles for use by the various technical committees and subcommittees working in different domains within the sector, as well as other bodies within IEC and ISO with interests in the work of these committees.

2 Introduction

Not all standards-related documents (referred to in what follows by the generic term standards¹⁾ have the same purpose or the same consequences, or are subject to the same constraints. For example, a standard that facilitates business in an application domain and a standard defining safety requirements have little in common. Therefore, the relevant strategic principles may vary, depending upon the different *segments* into which standards fall.

It is therefore proposed to segment standards according to three criteria: the *purpose*, the *actors concerned*, and the *technology involved*.

Concerning **purpose**, the grouping could be

- ▶ safety and/or compatibility;
- ▶ interoperability;
- ▶ performance; and
- ▶ comprehension and/or best practices.

Concerning the **actors**, one may distinguish

- ▶ governmental, representing the interests of the country and the public; and
- ▶ end-users, vendors and integrators organized in a supply chain.

Concerning the **technology involved**, the distinguishing factor is rate of change (fast or slow):

- ▶ intensive use of IT (or other fast-changing technologies); or
- ▶ no or limited use of IT (or any other fast-changing technology).

By combining the above elements, for the purposes of this document, a *segmentation of standards*, shown in Table 1, may be derived.

1) The term “standard” as used in this document thus includes publications which are not necessarily full-consensus documents.

Table 1 – Standards segmentation

Segment	Types of "standards"	Comments
Segment 1	Safety-, functional safety- and/or compatibility-oriented	Will incorporate more and more IT techniques applied to automation. Should be objectives-oriented rather than methods-/techniques-oriented
Segment 2	Fast-changing technologies	Include technologies such as IT, telecom, power electronics, wireless communications, as applied to the automation and control world
2a	Interoperability-oriented	As technology changes, standards should focus on methods and functions
2b	Performance-oriented	
Segment 3	Mature technologies, performance- or interoperability-oriented	Example 1: performance-oriented standards: defining appropriate frameworks enabling evaluation of products against requirements Example 2: electromechanical products: electrical compatibility, mechanical compatibility
Segment 4	Engineering recommendations	

The main purpose of the segmentation is to provide the context for obtaining quickly standards or other IEC/ISO products that may have a short lifetime.

Segment 1 standards generally involve governmental actors as well as market players. The role of the Supplier's Declaration of Conformity and, where the market forces or regulations require it, certification, is very important for market access.

Segment 2a standards need to be global and stable over time because their effectiveness depends on their use by suppliers, integrators and end-users.

Segments 2b and 3 standards are, in a sense, private between vendors or between vendors and users.

Segment 4 standards are not true standards but a collection of best practices that can be used as a reference. There will be little consideration of segment 4 in this document.

After Clauses 4 and 5, which provide the background and rationale for these recommendations, Clause 6 gives recommendations common to all standards segments, and Clauses 7 to 9 give ones specific to segments 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Clause 10 deals with conformity assessment.

3 Abbreviations

EMC	Electromagnetic compatibility
IEC/CAB	IEC Conformity Assessment Board
IS	International Standard
ISO/CASCO	ISO Conformity Assessment Committee
IT	Information technology
ITA	Industry Technical Agreement
IWA	International Workshop Agreement
NC	National Committee
PAS	Publicly Available Specification
SDO	Standards Development Organization
SDoC	Supplier's Declaration of Conformity
SME	Small and medium-sized enterprise
TC	Technical Committee
TR	Technical Report
TS	Technical Specification
TTA	Technical Trend Assessment

4 New environment

The industrial world has changed greatly since the process of standards-writing began. The **new environment** is characterized by the following.

4.1 Globalization

Elements of society are becoming increasingly interdependent on a global basis. Globalization in industrial automation systems implies standardization across global supply and knowledge chains, involving extended enterprises.

4.2 Spread and dynamism of information technologies

The amount and complexity of the knowledge inherent in industrial products and services have increased by several orders of magnitude. This knowledge (technology) is changing and invading new domains faster than the time needed for a set of independent parties to develop a single, proven interface specification for interoperability across their respective system elements in the traditional standardization process.

4.3 Rapid innovation in other technologies

These include materials processing, energy generation and storage, and bio/ecosystem management and control.

4.4 Systems

While a need remains for the standardization of individual elements using both traditional and advanced technologies, there is increasing emphasis on the ability to integrate elements into systems of varying complexity. These integrated systems are further expected to be sustainable, portable, dependable and scalable.

4.5 Exploitation in other sectors

Many traditional standards groups are seeking to deliver digital definitions of the products that are the subject of their standards. Industry demands a consistent approach across these groups, which should be encouraged to utilize the existing industrial automation standards to develop such definitions.

4.6 Various market demands

Conflicting needs are often expressed by users of products: on the one hand, there is an increasing interest in the use of standards to enable free choice among an ever-greater diversity of more and more complex products which implies that these products must match all the interfaces required by the user's automation system; on the other hand, there is a trend towards requiring more responsibility from the system integrator, ranging from efficient integration to a guarantee of performance, dependability and results.

4.7 Various vendor answers

Producers no longer require uniformity for their very survival; instead, competitive forces determine survival. However, while smaller market actors (for example, product specialists) require standards for better interoperability and interchangeability, larger ones targeting the system market are less interested in opening up the architectures they have invested in and more interested in differentiation.

4.8 Various system integrator answers

The needs of system integrators may also be divergent: using proprietary specifications may help deliver cost-effective one-stop-shop, turnkey solutions (as well as protect a competitive

position), whereas the use of widely applied international standards allows better management of risks. Overall, the challenge is to find solutions which benefit *all* stakeholders.

4.9 Liberalization

Many areas which used to form the subject of government monopolies or closed oligopolies (telecommunications, air transport, energy) no longer do so; almost all markets are open and competitive. This liberalization is an enabler of the globalization mentioned in 3.1.

4.10 Changing relationships between governments and SDOs

In more and more countries, SDOs are no longer under government control but delegated to associations with the public and the private sector represented. This is partly thanks to confidence in the results obtained by ISO and IEC in the past.

Industry as a producer of goods and services (producers), no matter how capital-intensive or how advanced technologically, requires less government leadership than it once did, while still welcoming government support.

Some regional economies and their governments continue to use regional or national standards as a basis for technical barriers to trade.

Producers and exporters are seeking for regulation and conformance to standards to be less and less governmental and more and more market-based and industry-driven regulatory functions. Small producers and users are concerned that this may result in a new kind of central force controlling the markets, jeopardizing broader societal interests.

ISO/IEC GUIDE 75:2006

5 Evolution of requirements

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e65c7e6-7c72-4708-8cb3-be9d0aaf7a42/iso-iec-guide-75-2006>

The new environment leads to the following concrete **reasons** why the principles behind standardization, in the industrial automation and similar systems areas, must in some cases evolve.

5.1 System-oriented versus product-oriented

The more the systems approach is of concern (segment 2 standards), the less adequate the traditional function/component-product oriented topics of standardization are. New standardization approaches are needed, for example:

- enabling “plug and play” of elements into systems, thanks to proper (relevant, open, stable) interfaces and associated tools;

- allowing re-use of past proven work, thanks, for example, to standard object-oriented/encapsulation/library and database techniques;

- streamlining the necessary exchanges between individuals and organizations cooperating in the same project ideally: “write information once, use it everywhere”, at no extra cost.

5.2 Timely development of standards

IEC and ISO develop standards too late. This is particularly true and a sensitive issue for segment 2 standards, where the key market values are the capability to be ready on time and to innovate.

The track record of ISO and IEC work is clear: the bigger the IT content, the bigger the chances that a document will be obsolete, at least in part, at the date of publication. In addition to speeding up, another potential solution to this is to segment functionally rather

than by technology type, since this makes the standards less vulnerable to technological obsolescence.

5.3 Limits to the applicability of the consensus method

The consensus method of achieving ISs, in the traditional ISO and IEC manner, is not relevant in all cases.

The agreement of almost all parties concerned, or the agreement of public bodies or **nationally** grouped interests, is not relevant in all industrial domains or for all possible subjects of standardization and especially not for all segment 2 and segment 3 standards.

5.4 Applying the consensus method to safety standards

At the same time, the consensus method is the only relevant one in some cases.

Information technology pervades all domains, particularly the ones requiring safety features. However, it must be kept in mind that, as far as public safety is concerned, the consensus in a standard between public and industry representatives cannot be avoided even if it is time-consuming.

Thus, in order to speed up the development of purely industry-driven standards, the safety requirement should be isolated and placed in safety standards, and these standards should be explicitly referenced in industry-driven (segment 2 and 3) standards.

5.5 Limits to the efficiency of the consensus method

Even when it is relevant, the IEC and ISO method of assessing consensus is not always efficient enough.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e65c7e6-7c72-4708-8cb3-bc9d0ef7e42/sis-iec-guide-75-2006>

Although international standards are increasingly being recognized as eliminating barriers to trade, the slow, formal, traditional process of standardization cannot efficiently determine that a consensus has been achieved between the major forces represented in the market. Any other method independent of IEC and ISO based on a flat, unweighted approach may similarly be too slow.

5.6 Relationship of standards to regulations

IEC and ISO leave the door too wide open for governments to introduce additional compulsory regulations based on purely industry-driven standards.

When not dealing with the public interest health, safety or the environment the development of a standard by industry should not in itself be taken as a reason by governments, which are of course sovereign in these matters, to enact a corresponding regulation. However, whenever a regulation is necessary by law to protect the public interest, it should be based on an IEC or ISO International Standard where applicable, developed on a consensus basis by industry and public representatives and enacted with the consent of industry but outside IEC or ISO.

5.7 Conserving the values inherent in IEC and ISO

Nevertheless the IEC and ISO represent values of worldwide importance which must be conserved for the benefit of all the industrial market actors.

Among these key values are:

IEC's and ISO's reputation as international, neutral, non-profit organizations;

IEC's and ISO's status as standardization organizations recognized by the World Trade Organization as significantly contributing to the improvement of world trade in goods and services;

their highly appreciated track record due, among other factors, to the standards-making process's ability to guarantee the following for all technical specifications (especially in the segment 3 domain):

- their quality;
- their maintenance;
- their stability.

6 Recommendations for new standardization principles common to all segments

These recommendations apply primarily to the industrial automation and similar systems sectors with extensive high-technology contents. Their pertinence to each segment is mentioned. Their relevance to other industrial or service domains should form the subject of further consideration.

6.1 Take full advantage of having different IEC and ISO products addressing clear segmented needs

IEC and ISO have a variety of products ranging from true ISs to others such as TSs, PASs including pre-standards and ITAs. All these products should be positioned, engineered and supported so that they may address clearly segmented needs, in a manner understood by all interested parties.

6.2 Position the right type of product for the right type of need

This product portfolio must be applied to the kind of standards which industry needs, which can where segment 2 is concerned be developed in a matter of a few months, as is done by many consortia. Discussion and development may be different and take longer when segment 1 or segment 3 is addressed.

6.2.1 Develop/adapt the procedure appropriately for each of these products (addressing the full life cycle)

Procedures must also be made consistent with the segment addressed, with a voting or acceptance process which is fair to an equitable set of industry representatives. These are the true stakeholders, and not necessarily national standards bodies.

6.2.2 Make clear to all those interested (for example, NCs, TCs, industry) what the key differences between these products are

There is much left to do to keep all interested parties (including SMEs, government bodies, the NC and so on) clearly informed. Special emphasis is needed on bodies *not familiar at all* with the work of IEC and ISO.

6.2.3 Create full ISs only where they enable trade among producers, integrators, users, etc. and across national boundaries

There is a clear need for neutral, international organizations to provide processes for the development and maintenance of open standards that enable free markets among producers and users, and across national boundaries.