



Designation: F 1980 – 02

## Standard Guide for Accelerated Aging of Sterile Medical Device Packages<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F 1980; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last approval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

### 1. Scope

1.1 This guide provides information for developing accelerated aging protocols to rapidly determine the effects, if any, due to the passage of time and environmental effects on the sterile integrity of packages and the physical properties of their component packaging materials.

1.2 Information obtained using this guide may be used to support expiration date claims for medical device packages.

1.3 The accelerated aging guideline addresses the primary medical package in whole and does not address the package and product interaction or compatibility that may be required for new product development. Package and product compatibility and interactions should be addressed as a material analysis process before package design.

1.4 Real-time aging protocols are not addressed in this guide; however, it is essential that real-time aging studies be performed to confirm the accelerated aging test results using the same methods of evaluation.

1.5 Methods used for package process validation, which include the machine process, the effects of the sterilization process, distribution, handling, and shipping events, are beyond the scope of this guide.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

### 2. Referenced Documents

#### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

**D 3078** Test Method for Determination of Leaks in Flexible Packaging by Bubble Emission

**D 4169** Practice for Performance Testing of Shipping Containers and Systems

**D 4332** Practice for Conditioning Containers, Packages, or Packaging Components for Testing

**E 337** Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)

**F 88** Test Method for Seal Strength of Flexible Barrier Materials

**F 1140** Test Methods for Internal Pressurization Failure Resistance of Unrestrained Packages for Medical Applications

**F 1327** Terminology Relating to Barrier Materials for Medical Packaging

**F 1585** Guide for Integrity Testing of Porous Barrier Medical Packages

**F 1608** Test Method for Microbial Ranking of Porous Packaging Materials (Exposure Chamber Method)

**F 1929** Test Method for Detecting Seal Leaks in Porous Medical Packaging by Dye Penetration

#### 2.2 AAMI Standards:

**ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607**, Packaging for Terminally Sterilized Medical Devices<sup>3</sup>

**AAMI TIR 17-1997**, Radiation Sterilization—Material Qualification<sup>3</sup>

### 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For general definitions of packaging for medical devices see **ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607**. For terminology related to barrier materials for medical packaging see Terminology **F 1327**.

#### 3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *accelerated aging (AA), n*—storage of samples at an elevated temperature ( $T_{AA}$ ) in order to simulate real time aging in a reduced amount of time.

3.2.2 *accelerated aging factor (AAF), n*—an estimated or calculated ratio of the time to achieve the same level of physical property change as a package stored at real time (*RT*) conditions.

<sup>3</sup> Available from the American National Standards Institute, 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036.

<sup>1</sup> This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F02 on Flexible Barrier Materials and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F02.50 on Package Design and Development.

Current edition approved Jan. 10, 2002. Published March 2002. Originally published as F 1980 – 99. Last previous edition F 1980 – 99 $\epsilon$ 1.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.



order to ensure that initial, conservative aging factors are applied appropriately. The temperatures used should be based on the characterization of the packaging materials and the intended storage conditions. Material characterization and composition are factors in establishing the accelerated aging temperature boundaries. Temperature selection should be limited to prevent any physical transition of material.

**7.2.2 Room or Ambient Temperature ( $T_{RT}$ )**—Select a temperature that represents the actual product storage and use conditions.

NOTE 2—This temperature is typically between 20–25°C. A temperature of 25°C is considered a conservative approach.

**7.2.3 Accelerated Aging Temperature ( $T_{AA}$ )**—Considering the characterization of the materials under investigation, select a temperature for the accelerated aging testing. The higher the accelerated temperature, the greater the AAF and, thus, the shorter the accelerated aging time. Care must be taken not to elevate aging temperatures solely for the shortest possible accelerated aging time. Excessively high temperatures may have an effect on the material that may never occur during real time or at room temperature (see Appendix X1). Guidelines for selecting an aging temperature are as follows:

**7.2.3.1  $T_{AA}$**  should be below any material transitions or below where the package distorts. Consider the thermal transitions of the materials under investigation, for example, the choice of  $T_{AA}$  should be at least 10°C less than  $T_g$ . (For more information on this topic, see AAMI TIR 17-1997.)

**7.2.3.2** Keep  $T_{AA}$  at or below 60°C unless a higher temperature has been demonstrated to be appropriate. Temperatures higher than 60°C are not recommended due to the higher probability in many polymeric systems to experience nonlinear changes, such as percent crystallinity, formation of free radicals, and peroxide degradation. (For more information on this topic, see AAMI TIR 17-1997.)

NOTE 3—If packages containing liquid or other volatile components are tested, lower temperatures may be required for safety reasons.

**7.2.3.3** When elevated temperature aging is not feasible due to material characteristics, then real-time aging is the only option.

**7.3 Accelerated Aging Factor (AAF) Determination:**

**7.3.1** Using the Arrhenius equation with  $Q_{10}$  equal to 2 is a common and conservative means of calculating an aging factor.

NOTE 4—A more aggressive reaction rate coefficient, for example,  $Q_{10}$  = 2.2 to 2.5, may be used if the system under investigation is sufficiently well characterized in the literature. The level and nature of damage must be similar to that reported in the literature to ensure that the reaction rate coefficient and accelerated aging temperature are maintained within appropriate boundaries. This is the responsibility of the manufacturer. For more information on this topic see AAMI TIR-17-1997.

**7.3.2** An accelerated aging factor (AAF) estimate is calculated by the following equation:

$$AAF \equiv Q_{10}^{[(T_{AA} - T_{RT})/10]} \tag{1}$$

where:

$T_{AA}$  ≡ accelerated aging temperature (°C), and  
 $T_{RT}$  ≡ ambient temperature (°C).

**7.3.3** The accelerated aging time (AAT) needed to establish equivalence to real time aging is determined by dividing the desired (or required) shelf life by the AAF.

$$\text{Accelerated Aging Time (AAT)} \equiv \text{Desired (RT)}/\text{AAF} \tag{2}$$

See Appendix X1 for a graphical representation of the time versus temperature.

**7.3.4** When little information is known about the package under investigation, the guidance above is provided for selecting and verifying an appropriately conservative aging factor for the specific scenario. Risk to the manufacturer may be large since the method may predict an unduly short shelf-life; however, consideration must be given to maximizing patient safety since the necessary information to obtain a more accurate and aggressive shelf-life prediction is not readily available.

**7.4 Accelerated Aging Protocol Steps:**

**7.4.1** Select the  $Q_{10}$  value.

**7.4.2** Define the desired shelf life of the package, such as, marketing needs, product needs, etc.

**7.4.3** Define aging test time intervals, including time zero.

**7.4.4** Define test conditions, room temperature ( $T_{RT}$ ), and accelerated aging temperature ( $T_{AA}$ ).

**7.4.5** Calculate the test duration using the  $Q_{10}$ ,  $T_{RT}$ , and  $T_{AA}$ .

**7.4.6** Define package material properties, seal strength and integrity tests, sample sizes, and acceptance criteria.

**7.4.7** Age samples at  $T_{AA}$ . In parallel, age samples at real-life aging conditions ( $T_{RT}$ ).

**7.4.8** Evaluate the package performance after accelerated aging relative to the initial package requirements, for example, package seal strength, package integrity.

**7.4.9** Evaluate package, or package performance, or both, after real time aging relative to the initial package requirements. The estimated AAF method is a simple and conservative technique for evaluating the long-term performance of a package; however, like all accelerated aging techniques, it must be confirmed by real time aging data.

**7.5** See the example package shelf-life test plan (Appendix X2).

**8. Post-Aging Testing Guidance**

**8.1** Packages and materials that have been subjected to aging, that is, accelerated and real time, must be evaluated for physical properties and integrity.

**8.2** Tests selected should challenge the material or package functionality that is most critical or most likely to fail due to the stresses resulting from aging. Guide F 1585 may be used as a testing guide for porous barrier medical packaging.

**8.3** Some of the physical strength properties to be considered for selection are flexure, puncture, tensile and elongation, tear, impact resistance, abrasion resistance, yellowness index, microbial barrier (Test Method F 1608), seal strength (Test Method F 88), and burst strength (Test Methods F 1140).

**8.4** Packages may be subjected to whole package integrity testing by using validated physical, that is, trace gas detection, dye leak (Test Method F 1929), bubble leak (Test Method D 3078) or microbial methods (microbial challenge of whole packages). These methods must include documentation showing that the test method has been validated.