



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST ENV 12896:2003
01-oktober-2003

7 YgfbUhfUbgdcfHbU]b`dfca YfbUHfYa UH_UË>Uj b]`dfYj cn`ËFYZfYb b]`dcXUh_cj b]
a cXY

Road Transport and Traffic Telematics - Public Transport - Reference Data Model

Telematik für den Straßentransport und Verkehr - Öffentlicher Verkehr -
Referenzdatenmodell

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: **ENV 12896:1997**
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a0d1551e-b2d6-4100-95db-6caa4018b395/sist-env-12896-2003>

ICS:

35.240.60	Uporabniške rešitve IT v transportu in trgovini	IT applications in transport and trade
-----------	--	---

SIST ENV 12896:2003

en

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ENV 12896:2003

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a6d153fc-b2d6-4100-95db-6caa4018b395/sist-env-12896-2003>

EUROPEAN PRESTANDARD
PRÉNORME EUROPÉENNE
EUROPÄISCHE VORNORM

ENV 12896

November 1997

ICS 35.240.60

Descriptors: teleprocessing, road transport, traffic, public utilities, information interchange, data transmission, data, models

English version

Road Transport and Traffic Telematics - Public Transport - Reference Data Model

Telematik für den Straßentransport und Verkehr -
Öffentlicher Verkehr - Referenzdatenmodell

This European Prestandard (ENV) was approved by CEN on 8 October 1997 as a prospective standard for provisional application.

The period of validity of this ENV is limited initially to three years. After two years the members of CEN will be requested to submit their comments, particularly on the question whether the ENV can be converted into a European Standard.

CEN members are required to announce the existence of this ENV in the same way as for an EN and to make the ENV available promptly at national level in an appropriate form. It is permissible to keep conflicting national standards in force (in parallel to the ENV) until the final decision about the possible conversion of the ENV into an EN is reached.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

[SIST ENV 12896:2003](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a6d153fc-b2d6-4100-95db-6caa4018b395/sist-env-12896-2003)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a6d153fc-b2d6-4100-95db-6caa4018b395/sist-env-12896-2003>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Central Secretariat: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 FOREWORD	7
2 INTRODUCTION	8
3 SCOPE	9
4 NORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	9
5 DEFINITIONS	10
6 REQUIREMENTS	16
6.1 General.....	16
6.2 Scheduling Data Model.....	16
6.2.1 General.....	16
6.2.2 Topology.....	17
6.2.2.1 Points.....	17
6.2.2.2 Stop Areas.....	18
6.2.2.3 Links	18
6.2.2.4 Garages	19
6.2.2.5 Network Versions.....	19
6.2.3 Lines	22
6.2.3.1 Routes.....	22
6.2.3.2 Journey Patterns.....	23
6.2.3.3 Lines	23
6.2.3.4 Groups of Lines.....	24
6.2.3.5 Common Sections.....	24
6.2.3.6 Companies, Departments and Organisational Units	25
6.2.4 Journeys	27
6.2.4.1 Day Types	27
6.2.4.2 Vehicle Journeys	27
6.2.4.3 Service Journeys, Dead Runs and other Journey Types	28
6.2.4.4 Time Demand Types.....	29
6.2.4.5 Vehicle Types	29
6.2.4.6 Timetable Versions.....	29
6.2.5 General Times	32
6.2.5.1 Run Times and Wait Times.....	32
6.2.5.2 Default Times	32
6.2.5.3 Journey Pattern Times	32
6.2.5.4 Turnaround Times.....	33
6.2.5.5 Journey Pattern Layover	34
6.2.5.6 Time Bands	34
6.2.6 Journey Times	37
6.2.6.1 Vehicle Journey Run Times.....	37
6.2.6.2 Vehicle Journey Wait Times.....	37
6.2.6.3 Vehicle Journey Layover	38
6.2.7 Interchanges	40



6.2.7.1 Connection Links.....	40
6.2.7.2 Default Interchanges.....	40
6.2.7.3 Service Journey Pattern Interchanges.....	41
6.2.7.4 Service Journey Interchanges.....	41
6.2.8 Vehicle Scheduling.....	44
6.2.8.1 Extra Types of Point for Scheduling.....	44
6.2.8.2 Blocks.....	44
6.2.8.3 Relief Opportunities.....	44
6.2.8.4 Vehicle Types for Blocks.....	45
6.2.8.5 Organisational Units.....	45
6.2.8.6 Vehicles.....	46
6.2.8.7 Course of Journeys and Vehicle Schedule.....	47
6.2.9 Vehicle Types.....	51
6.2.9.1 General Remark.....	51
6.2.9.2 Safety Considerations.....	51
6.2.9.3 Vehicle Type Preferences.....	51
6.2.9.4 Vehicle Types at Points.....	52
6.2.9.5 Driver Qualifications.....	52
6.2.10 Driver Scheduling.....	54
6.2.10.1 General Remarks.....	54
6.2.10.2 Duties and Their Component Parts.....	54
6.2.10.3 Duty Types.....	55
6.2.10.4 Breaks and Pauses and Fill in Times.....	56
6.2.10.5 Preparation and Finishing Activities.....	57
6.2.10.6 Qualifications.....	57
6.2.10.7 Driver Trips.....	58
6.2.10.8 Accounting Factor.....	59
6.2.11 Rostering.....	62
6.2.11.1 General Remarks.....	62
6.2.11.2 Roster Matrices.....	62
6.2.11.3 Roster Cycles.....	63
6.2.11.4 Roster Designs.....	64
6.2.11.5 Roster Assignments.....	65
6.3 Personnel Disposition Data Model.....	69
6.3.1 Introduction.....	69
6.3.2 Driver Assignments.....	69
6.3.2.1 Assignment of Drivers to Rows in a Roster Matrix.....	70
6.3.2.2 Qualifications.....	71
6.3.2.3 Cost Centres and Organisational Units.....	72
6.3.2.4 Absences.....	72
6.3.3 Driver Accounting.....	73
6.3.3.1 The Driver Activity Log.....	73
6.3.3.2 Wage Types.....	74
6.3.3.3 Accounting the Drivers' Work.....	75
6.4 AVM Data Model.....	79

6.4.1 Introduction	79
6.4.2 Limitations	79
6.4.3 AVM Points	80
6.4.4 Vehicles	83
6.4.5 Days	85
6.5 Passenger Information Data Model	87
6.5.1 Introduction	87
6.5.2 Providing Information	88
6.5.2.1 Passenger Information Facilities	88
6.5.2.2 Transactions and Queries	88
6.5.2.3 Selection of the Information to be Displayed	89
6.5.2.4 Destination Display	90
6.5.3 Timetable Information	92
6.5.3.1 Introduction	92
6.5.3.2 Passing Times	92
6.5.3.3 Changes in the Planned Vehicle Journeys	93
6.5.3.4 Changes in the Passing Times	94
6.5.3.5 Changes in the Blocks	95
6.5.3.6 Other Practices	96
6.5.4 Passenger Walks	99
6.5.4.1 Connection Links	99
6.5.4.2 Access Walks	99
6.5.4.3 Types of Places	100
6.5.5 Passenger Trips	101
6.5.5.1 Components of a Trip	101
6.5.5.2 Itineraries	102
6.5.6 Estimation of Trip Duration	105
6.5.6.1 Processes	105
6.5.6.2 Period of Time	105
6.5.6.3 Trip Duration Elements	106
6.5.6.4 Mean Frequency and Run Times	106
6.6 Fare Collection Data Model	109
6.6.1 Introduction	109
6.6.1.1 Functions Covered	109
6.6.1.2 Complexity	109
6.6.1.3 General Presentation	110
6.6.2 Access Rights Definition	110
6.6.2.1 Consumption Elements, Fare Structure and Parameters	110
6.6.2.2 Controllable Elements	111
6.6.2.3 Fare Structure Element	112
6.6.2.4 Validable Elements	113
6.6.2.5 Pre-assigned Fare Products	114
6.6.3 Fare Structure	115
6.6.3.1 Components of the Fare Structure	115
6.6.3.2 Geography-based Factors	115

6.6.3.3	Time-based Factors.....	116
6.6.3.4	Quality-based Factors	116
6.6.3.5	Tariff Structure.....	117
6.6.4	Fare Parameters	117
6.6.4.1	Use of Fare Parameters	117
6.6.4.2	Description of Fare Parameters	118
6.6.4.3	Validity Parameters.....	119
6.6.5	Fare Products	119
6.6.5.1	Definition.....	119
6.6.5.2	Charging Method	119
6.6.5.3	Types of Fare Products	120
6.6.6	Usage Parameters.....	120
6.6.7	Travel Documents	121
6.6.7.1	Description	121
6.6.7.2	Travel Documents and Price Units	122
6.6.7.3	Blacklist.....	122
6.6.8	Sales.....	122
6.6.8.1	Sales Packages.....	122
6.6.8.2	Sale Transactions	123
6.6.9	Prices	123
6.6.9.1	Principles.....	123
6.6.9.2	Price Entities.....	124
6.6.9.3	Price Groups.....	124
6.6.10	Controls and Validation.....	125
6.6.10.1	Control Devices.....	125
6.6.10.2	Elementary Controls.....	125
6.6.10.3	Validation.....	126
6.6.10.4	Pre-trip Specification.....	126
6.6.10.5	Validated Access Rights	127
6.6.10.6	Use of Services	127
6.6.10.7	Other Output.....	128
6.6.11	Customers.....	128
6.6.12	Fare Version	128
6.6.13	Information on Fares	128
6.7	Management Information Data Model.....	135
6.7.1	Introduction	135
6.7.1.1	Information Needs and Sources	135
6.7.1.2	Limitations of the Model	136
6.7.1.3	MIS and other public transport domains.....	136
6.7.2	Service Journey Performance	137
6.7.2.1	Events to be considered	137
6.7.2.2	Dated Vehicle Journeys	137
6.7.2.3	Recorded Passing Times.....	138
6.7.2.4	Recorded Stop.....	138
6.7.2.5	Boarding and Alighting.....	139

6.7.2.6 Impeded Time.....	140
6.7.2.7 Disturbances.....	141
6.7.3 Actual Interchanges.....	143
6.7.3.1 Interchanges and Service Quality.....	143
6.7.3.2 Planned Interchanges and Actual Operation.....	143
6.7.3.3 Actual Interchange Status.....	144
6.7.4 Recorded Use of Services.....	146
6.7.4.1 Actual Passenger Trips.....	146
6.7.4.2 Recorded Results of Fare Collection.....	146
6.7.4.3 Statistical Evaluations.....	147
6.8 Interface to the GDF Data Model.....	149
6.8.1 Introduction.....	149
6.8.2 Core of the Interface.....	149
6.8.3 Limitations.....	151
6.8.4 Entity Comparison.....	151
6.8.5 Relationship Comparison.....	152

ANNEX A (normative)

A1. DATA DEFINITIONS

A2. MAIN ATTRIBUTES

STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ENV 12896:2003

ANNEX B (informative)

B1. CONSISTENCY AND INTEGRITY CONDITIONS

B2. INTRODUCTION TO DATA MODELLING AND THE METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE REFERENCE DATA MODEL

B3. STEP-BY-STEP PRESENTATION OF THE REFERENCE DATA MODEL

B4. FUNCTIONAL MODEL

ANNEX C (informative)

C. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE REFERENCE DATA MODEL

1 FOREWORD

This European Prestandard has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 278 "Road transport and traffic telematics", the secretariat of which is held by NNI.

This prestandard was prepared by the work area Transmodel of the EuroBus project and by the DRIVE II task force HARPIST. The EuroBus/Transmodel and HARPIST kernel team is considered as a subgroup of Working Group 3 of CEN TC278.

It has been approved by Topic Group 6 (TG6, entitled "Public Transport Data Models") of the EC- Drive II programme and by two validation seminars open to participants in various DRIVE II projects, to European public transport operators and to other public transport experts.

It is based upon earlier results reached within the Drive I Cassiope project and the ÖPNV data model for public transport, a German national standard.

The different organisations that have technically contributed to the preparation of this prestandard are the partners of EuroBus/Transmodel and the HARPIST task force:

- Beachcroft Systems (UK),
- CETE-méditerranée (F),
- CTA Systems (NL),
- Ing. Conseil B. Bert (F),
- Koninklijk Nederlands Vervoer (NL),
- Leeds University (UK),
- Régie des Transports de Marseille (F),
- SNV Studiengesellschaft Verkehr (D),
- Stuttgarter Strassenbahnen AG (D),
- TransExpert (F),
- TransTeC (D),
- VSN Groep (NL).

The sponsors of the project are:

- European Communities (EC, DG XIII, F/5, Drive Programme, 1992-94),
- French Ministry of Transportation,
- Dutch Ministry of Transportation,
- German Federal Ministry of Research and Technology.

This prestandard reflects the contents of deliverable C1 of the HARPIST task force, published in May 1995, with modifications resulting from the discussion process in CEN TC278/WG3 between May and October 1995.

Annex A of this document is normative, annexes B and C are informative.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to announce this European Prestandard: Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

2 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 An efficient public transport operation and operations management, as well as attractive and accurate passenger information are the main objectives sought by public transport companies. The solution to reach this goal goes through the integrated approach, i.e. the possibility of integrating more easily application systems developed by different suppliers into one system (interoperability). The need for the integration of systems and the benefit that emanates from the interoperability of applications was the starting point of the research in the field of data modelling for public transport.
- 2.2 The integrated approach enables, for instance, a reliable exchange of information between different software products. This approach has at the same time to allow for the choice of the best adapted software for each company, without being bound to one single supplier. The experience shows, however, that software products of different suppliers are often incompatible or difficult to integrate. The operators are therefore either forced to run several products separately, or to rely on one specific supplier and its range of products, that may not be well adapted as a whole to a given company.
- 2.3 This document presents a data model for public transport, i.e. a description of the data structure, that has to be considered as the basis for an integrated system within a public transport company.
- 2.4 The prestandard presented here is dedicated to provide a solution to operators and software suppliers that now want to proceed towards an integrated system. Its aim is also to be a support for future developments.
- 2.5 An informative annex C presents an executive summary of the model in order to allow to quickly understand the benefits of the model and its contents.

3 SCOPE

- 3.1 The prestandard proposed here has been developed independently from any specific application system. It takes into account expertise from the different existing practices of public transport operators throughout Europe.
- 3.2 It is designed to be a structure to enable interoperability between different commercial systems and thus to open the market.
- 3.3 The data model presented here has to be considered as a reference at the conceptual level. This means in particular, that no statement is being done about the logical level and thus about the way the model will be implemented.
- 3.4 This document presents the prestandard using the entity-relationship methodology, i.e. as a series of diagrams, composed of data (entities) and relationships between data (entity-relationship diagrams), and a data dictionary, i.e. a list of entity definitions together with main data attributes.
- 3.5 The methodology and the specific conventions used, should not be understood as a mandatory element of the standard, but as a tool for its representation. (standards.iteh.ai)
- 3.6 The approach followed concentrated on the semantics of the Public Transport domain. It is not contradictory with the object oriented approach that might be developed in future and represents a basis for the object - oriented developments. These object - oriented developments need a large amount of additional work and hence have not been taken into account in the present document.
- 3.7 The data model presented concerns the following domains:
- Scheduling
 - Personnel disposition
 - AVM
 - Passenger information
 - Fare collection
 - MIS/Statistics.
- An interface to the data model developed for the GDF standard is also described.

4 NORMATIVE REFERENCES

This European prestandard takes into account the work undertaken in CEN TC278/WG7.2 concerning the GDF-norm (version 2.2).

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ENV 12896:2003

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a6d153fc-b2d6-4100-95db-6caa4018b395/sist-env-12896-2003>

5 DEFINITIONS

Attribute:

Property of an entity.

AVM:

Automated Vehicle Monitoring: computer-aided control of the transportation process, in this document corresponding to the functional area "perform and control the driving process". Terms used as synonyms: VSCS (Vehicle Scheduling and Control System), AVL (Automated Vehicle Location and Control).

AVM data model:

Data domain, describing the data structures needed for the control of the actual public transport operation.

Conceptual data model:

Description of a real world domain in terms of entities, relationships and attributes, in an implementation independent manner. It should provide a structure on which the rest of the development of the system can be based.

Conceptual level: standards.iteh.ai

In the context of data modelling, the conceptual data model.

Database:

Collection of data; often used in the sense of the physical implementation of a data model.

Data domain:

Data structure (in this document, a part of the Reference Data Model for Public Transport) made up of data related to each other through the fact, that there is a functional area or group of functions using this data set as a whole.

Data model:

Description of a real world domain in terms of data.

Entity:

An object (data) that has its own existence (as opposed to an attribute).

Entity-relationship (E-R) methodology:

Methodology allowing for the representation of a data model in terms of entities and relationships.

Fare collection:

All activities related to the collection of money from passengers, composed

of the following functional areas: "define a fare policy", "organise sales", "operate sales", "validate/check and charge", "manage money transactions".

Fare collection data model:

Data domain supporting a subset of fare collection functions.

Function:

Activity. In this document, a subactivity of a functional area.

Functional area:

An arbitrarily defined set of activities, used, in this document, to define the objectives and limits of the data model. The definitions are given below.

Functional area "define a fare policy":

Definition of a specific fare system to be used (distance, time or area related etc).

Functional area "manage drivers":

Optimisation of the use of the available drivers. "Personnel management" makes available the required number of drivers according to the rosters. "Transportation" is responsible for the optimal use of the drivers.

Functional area "manage money transactions":

Activities related to the collecting of the money paid for the delivered service by passengers or organisations (clearing house, subsidizing authority) and distributing these revenues between the service suppliers.

Functional area "manage statistical results":

Administration of the data collected during the operational process, management of the database(s) in order to provide relevant data for the company processes, and issuing of reports on the operations for various levels of the management.

Functional area "operate sales":

Sale transactions of fare products and management of travel document issuing (retail shops, vending machines).

Functional area "organise sales":

Definition and management of the sales network including sales outlets and contracts with retailers (if any).

Functional area "perform and control the driving process":

Functions related to the driving and transportation of passengers according to given instructions (schedule), including all activities that support the driving process (traffic light priority, track switching, bay selection, advance/delay advice etc.). Monitoring of the driving process and its control in case of deviations.

Functional area "plan detailed timetables":

Translation of the broad service requirements (derived, for instance from the functional area "plan the service to be offered") into detailed timetables that give information on the exact timing of the service(s) on each type of day and for each time band.

Functional area "plan the service to be offered":

Take all global decisions regarding the quality of the service that has to be offered, within the limits of budgets and other guidelines from management (define minimum and maximum travel times, interchange points etc.)

Functional area "prepare driver rosters":

Composition of sequences of duty elements into duties (weeks, months) according to rules governing the number of hours worked in a period of several days, the allocation of rest days, the rotation of evening duties etc. and finally assignment of names of the drivers to the blocks.

Functional area "provide passenger information on actual service":

Functions providing passive and on-request information on the actual service and on the actual (traffic) conditions.

Functional area "provide passenger information on planned service":

Functions providing information on the planned service (i.e. information available before the 24 hours operational cycle) to passengers, either by display/video or audio (incl. phone desks). This information can be passive or delivered on request.

Functional area "(re)design the network":

Take all global decisions regarding the network structure, taking into account the defined quality of service that has to be offered and the guidelines from management (budgets, policy).

Functional area "schedule driver duties":

All activities necessary to define duty elements (parts of working days) that match the planned vehicle schedules, taking into account the general labour agreements.

Functional area "schedule vehicle blocks":

Chaining and assigning of journeys to (logical) vehicles, allocation of the type(s) of vehicles to journeys, and optimising the use of the vehicles by switching them between lines.

Functional area "validate/check and charge":

Activities related to the verification that the passenger is entitled to ride. Calculation of fare product prices, taking into account the given fare structure and the corresponding transport network and collection (and/or marking) of the travel document, or debiting of an amount of fare,