

SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST EN 62256:2008

01-september-2008

Vodne turbine, akumulacijske črpalke in črpalne turbine - Vodilo za prenavljanje in izboljšanje delovanja (IEC 62256:2008)	
Hydraulic turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines - Rehabilitation and performance improvement	
Wasserturbinen, Speicherpumpen und Pumpturbinen - Modernisierung und Verbesserung der Leistungseigenschaften ARD PREVIEW	
Turbines hydrauliques, pompes d'accumulation et pompes turbines - Réhabilitation et amélioration des performances https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420- db02aaf25857/sist-en-62256-2008	

<u>ICS:</u>

27.140 Vodna energija

Hydraulic energy engineering

SIST EN 62256:2008

en,fr,de



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST EN 62256:2008 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420db02aaf25857/sist-en-62256-2008

SIST EN 62256:2008

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN 62256

May 2008

ICS 27.140

English version

Hydraulic turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines -Rehabilitation and performance improvement (IEC 62256:2008)

Turbines hydrauliques, pompes d'accumulation et pompes turbines -Réhabilitation et amélioration des performances (CEI 62256:2008) Wasserturbinen, Speicherpumpen und Pumpturbinen -Modernisierung und Verbesserung der Leistungseigenschaften (IEC 62256:2008)

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2008-04-16. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Central Secretariat or to any CENELEC member -7550-4de9-a420db02aat25857/sist-en-62256-2008

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the Central Secretariat has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

Central Secretariat: rue de Stassart 35, B - 1050 Brussels

© 2008 CENELEC - All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CENELEC members.

Foreword

The text of document 4/231/FDIS, future edition 1 of IEC 62256, prepared by IEC TC 4, Hydraulic turbines, was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and was approved by CENELEC as EN 62256 on 2008-04-16.

The following dates were fixed:

-	latest date by which the EN has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement	(dop)	2009-02-01
_	latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the EN have to be withdrawn	(dow)	2011-05-01

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC 62256:2008 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standards indicated:

IEC 60041		Harmonized as EN 60041:1994 (modified).
IEC 60193	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 60193:1999 (not modified).
IEC 60609	NOTE	Harmonized in EN 60609 series (not modified).
IEC 60994	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 60994:1992 (not modified).
	https://standard	ls.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420-
		db02aaf258 57/sist-en-6225 6-2008



IEC 62256

Edition 1.0 2008-01

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

NORME INTERNATIONALE

Hydraulic turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines FRehabilitation and performance improvement (standards.iteh.ai)

Turbines hydrauliques, pompes d'accumulation et pompes turbines – Réhabilitation et amélioration des performances 5fe-7550-4de9-a420db02aaf25857/sist-en-62256-2008

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

COMMISSION ELECTROTECHNIQUE INTERNATIONALE

PRICE CODE CODE PRIX

ICS 27.140

ISBN 2-8318-9332-1

CONTENTS

- 2 -

FOI	REWC	DRD		6
INT	RODU	JCTION		8
1	Scop	e and o	bject	9
2	Nome	enclatur	е	9
3	Reas	ons for	rehabilitating	10
	3 1	Genera	al	10
	3.2	Reliabi	lity and availability increase	
	3.3	l ife ex	tension and performance restoration	13
	3.4	Perform	nance improvement	
	3.5	Plant s	afety improvement	
	3.6	Enviro	nmental, social and regulatory issues	
	3.7	Mainte	nance and operating cost reduction	
	3.8	Other of	considerations	
4	Phas	es of a	rehabilitation project	
•	1 1	Genera		11
	4.1 12	Decisio	an on organization	
	4.2			10
		4.2.1	Expertise required	
		4.2.3	Contract arrangement dards, iteh.ai)	
	4.3	Level o	of assessment and determination of scope	
		4.3.1	General	
		4.3.2	Feasibility study ai/stage/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420-	
		4.3.3	db02aaf25857/sist-en-62256-2008 Feasibility study – Stage 2	
		4.3.4	Detailed study	
	4.4	Contra	ctual issues	24
		4.4.1	General	24
		4.4.2	Specification requirements	24
		4.4.3	Tendering documents and evaluation of tenders	24
		4.4.4	Contract Award(s)	
	4.5	Execut	ion of project	
		4.5.1	Model test activities	25
		4.5.2	Design, construction, installation and testing	
	4.6	Evalua	tion of results and compliance with guarantees	
		4.6.1	General	
		4.6.2	Turbine performance evaluation	
		4.6.3	Generator performance evaluation	
		4.6.4	Penalties and/or bonuses assessment	
5	Sche	dulina.	cost analysis and risk analysis	27
•	5 1	Schedu	uling	
	5.1	5 1 1	Ceneral	27
		512	Scheduling Assessment feasibility and detailed study phases	27
		512	Evaluating the scheduling component of alternatives	20 20
		5.1.5	Scheduling specification and tendering phase	20 ວດ
		5.1.4	Scheduling project execution phases	۲۵ ۲۵
	5.2	5.1.5 Econor	mic and financial analyses	20
	J.Z	ECONOR	nic and initialicial analyses	

		5.2.1	General	30
		5.2.2	Benefit-cost analysis	31
		5.2.3	Identification of anticipated benefits	32
		5.2.4	Identification of anticipated costs and benefits	33
		5.2.5	Sensitivity analysis	34
		5.2.6	Conclusions	35
	5.3	Risk ar	nalysis	35
		5.3.1	General	35
		5.3.2	Non-achievement of performance risk	36
		5.3.3	Risk of continued operation without rehabilitation	36
		5.3.4	Extension of outage risk	36
		5.3.5	Financial risks	37
		5.3.6	Project scope risk	37
		5.3.7	Other risks	38
6	Asse	ssment	and determination of scope of the work	38
	6.1	Genera	al	38
	6.2	Assess	ment of the site	39
		6.2.1	Hydrology	39
		6.2.2	Actual energy production	39
		6.2.3	Environmental social and regulatory issues	40
	6.3	The as	sessment of the turbine DARD PREVIEW	41
		6.3.1	General	41
		6.3.2	Turbine integrity assessment	70
		6.3.3	Residual lifeSIST EN 62256:2008	79
		6.3.4	Turbine performance assessmentist/049cb5fe-7550-4dc9-a420-	80
	6.4	The as	sessment of related2eqDipment-en-62256-2008	. 102
		6.4.1	General	. 102
		6.4.2	Generator and thrust bearing	. 107
		6.4.3	Turbine governor	. 109
		6.4.4	Turbine inlet and outlet valves, pressure relief valve	. 109
		6.4.5	Auxiliary equipment	. 109
		6.4.6	Equipment for erection, dismantling and maintenance	. 110
		6.4.7	Penstock and other water passages	. 110
		6.4.8	Consequences of changes in plant specific hydraulic energy (head)	. 111
7	Hydra	aulic de	sign and performance testing options	. 111
	7.1	Genera	al	. 111
	7.2	Compu	tational hydraulic design	. 112
		7.2.1	General	. 112
		7.2.2	The role of CFD	. 113
		7.2.3	The process of a CFD cycle	. 113
		7.2.4	The accuracy of CFD results	. 114
		7.2.5	How to use CFD for rehabilitation	. 115
		7.2.6	CFD versus model tests	. 115
	7.3	Model	tests	. 116
		7.3.1	General	. 116
		7.3.2	Model test similitude	. 117
		7.3.3	Model test content	. 117
		7.3.4	Model test application	. 118
		7.3.5	Model test location	. 120

- 4 -

	7.4	Prototy	pe performance test	121 121
		742	Prototype performance test accuracy	122
		7.4.3	Prototype performance test types	123
		7.4.4	Evaluation of results	123
8	Speci	fications	5	124
	8.1	Genera	٠	124
	8.2	Referer	nce standards	124
	8.3	Informa	tion to be included in the tender documents	125
	8.4	Docume	ents to be developed in the course of the project	127
Bibl	iograp	ohy	······	129
Figu	ure 1 -	- Flow d	iagram depicting the logic of the rehabilitation process	. 15
Figu	ure 2 -	- Critical	I zones for cracks "A" and "B" in Pelton runner buckets	.78
Figu	ure 3 -	- Relativ	e efficiency versus relative output – Original and new runners	. 82
Figı gen	ure 4 - eratin	- Relativ g statior	re efficiency versus output – Original and new runners – Outardes 3 າ	.83
Figu	ure 5 -	- Efficier	ncy and distribution of losses versus specific speed for Francis	84
Figu	ure 6 -	- Relativ	a efficiency gain following modification of the blades on the	.04
La (Grand	e 3 runn	er, in Quebec, Canadal ards.iten.al	.86
Figu	ure 7a	– Poten	Itial efficiency improvement for Francis turbine rehabilitation	.91
Figu	ure 7b	– Poter	tial efficiency improvement for Kaplan turbine rehabilitation	.92
Figu	ure 8 -	- Cavitat	https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5te-7550-4de9-a420- tion and corrosion_rerosion in Francis <runner< td=""><td>.93</td></runner<>	.93
Figu	ure 9 -	- Back s	ide erosion of the entrance into a Pelton bucket	. 94
Figu	ure 10	– Leadi	ng edge cavitation erosion on a Françis pump-turbine caused by	
exte	ended	periods	of operation at very low loads	.95
Figu	ure 11	– Sever	re particle erosion damage in a Francis runner	.97
Tah	le 1 _	Expecte	ed life of a hydronower plant and its subsystems before major work	12
Tab	le 2 –		ment of turbine embedded parts – Stav ring	43
Tab		Accosci	mont of turbing embedded parts - Stay mighting somi spiral case	. 40
Tab		Assessi	ment of turbine embedded parts – Spiral of Semi-Spiral Case	.44
Tab		Assessi	ment of turbine embedded parts – Discharge hing	.40
тар		Assessi	ment of turbine embedded parts – Drait tube	.40
	ie 6 –	Assess	ment of turbine non-embedded, non-rotating parts – Headcover	.47
Tab inne	er hea	Assessidcovers	ment of turbine non-embedded, non-rotating parts – Intermediate and	. 50
Tab	le 8 –	Assess	ment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Bottom ring	.51
Tab	le 9 –	Assess	ment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Guide vanes	. 53
Tab ope	le 10 rating	– Asses mechar	sment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Guide vane iism	.55
Tab	le 11	– Asses	sment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Operating ring	.56
Tab	le 12	– Asses	sment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Servomotors	.57
Tab	le 13	– Asses	sment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Guide bearings	. 58

62256 © IEC:2008

Table 14 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Turbine shaft seal (mechanical seal or packing box)	60
Table 15 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Thrust bearing support	60
Table 16 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Nozzles	61
Table 17 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non rotating parts – Deflectors and energy dissipation	61
Table 18a – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Runner	62
Table 18b – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Runner	65
Table 18c – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Runner	66
Table 19 – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Turbine shaft	67
Table 20 – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Oil head and oil distribution pipes	68
Table 21 – Assessment of turbine auxiliaries – Speed and load regulation system	68
Table 22 – Assessment of turbine auxiliaries – Turbine aeration system	69
Table 23 – Assessment of turbine auxiliaries – Lubrication system (guide vane mechanism)	70
Table 24 – Francis turbine potential efficiency improvement (%) for runner profile modifications only	85
Table 25 – Potential impact of design and condition of runner seals on Francis turbine efficiency with new replacement runner or rehabilitated runner (%)	88
Table 26 – Potential total gain in efficiency from the replacement of a Francis turbine runner including the blade profile improvements, the restoration of surface condition	
and the reduction of seal losses	89
Table 27 – Potential Additional Efficiency Improvement by Rehabilitation/Replacement of Other Water Passage Components on a Francis Turbine (%)	89
Table 28 – Assessment of related equipment - Governor	104
Table 29 – Assessment of related equipment – Generator and thrust bearing	105
Table 30 – Assessment of related equipment – Penstock and turbine inlet valves	106
Table 31 – Assessment of related equipment – Civil works	107
Table 32 – Assessment of related equipment – Crane, erection equipment	107

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

HYDRAULIC TURBINES, STORAGE PUMPS AND PUMP-TURBINES – REHABILITATION AND PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC provides no marking procedure to indicate its approval and cannot be rendered responsible for any equipment declared to be in conformity with an IEC Publication 8
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the tatest earthon of this publication 50-4de9-a420-
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 62256 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 4: Hydraulic turbines.

The text of this standard is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
4/231/FDIS	4/234/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

62256 © IEC:2008

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

This standard is intended as a guide.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the maintenance result date indicated on the IEC web site under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST EN 62256:2008</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420db02aaf25857/sist-en-62256-2008

INTRODUCTION

Hydro plant owners make significant investments annually in rehabilitating plant equipment (turbines, generators, transformers, penstocks, gates etc.) and structures in order to improve the level of service to their customers and to optimize their revenue. In the absence of guidelines, owners may be spending needlessly, or may be taking unnecessary risks and thereby achieving results that are less than optimal. This guide is intended to be a tool in the optimisation and decision process.

IEC TC 4 wishes to thank IEA for providing its document "Guidelines on Methodology for Hydroelectric Francis Turbine Upgrading by Runner Replacement" as a starting point for the writing of this document. IEC TC 4 appreciates this contribution and acknowledges that the IEA document provided a good foundation upon which to build this IEC document.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST EN 62256:2008</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420db02aaf25857/sist-en-62256-2008

HYDRAULIC TURBINES, STORAGE PUMPS AND PUMP-TURBINES – REHABILITATION AND PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT

1 Scope and object

The scope of this International Standard covers turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines of all sizes and of the following types:

- Francis;
- Kaplan;
- propeller;
- Pelton (turbines only);
- Bulb.

Wherever turbines or turbine components are referred to in the text of this guide, they shall be interpreted also to mean the comparable units or components of storage pumps or pump-turbines as the case requires.

The Guide also identifies without detailed discussion, other powerhouse equipment that could affect or be affected by a turbine, storage pump, or pump-turbine rehabilitation.

The object of this guide is to assist in identifying, evaluating and executing rehabilitation and performance improvement projects for hydraulic turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines. This guide can be used by owners, consultants, and suppliers to define:

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420-

- needs and economics for rehabilitation and performance improvement;
- scope of work;
- specifications;
- evaluation of results.

The Guide is intended to be:

- an aid in the decision process;
- an extensive source of information on rehabilitation;
- an identification of the key milestones in the rehabilitation process;
- an identification of the points that should be addressed in the decision processes.

The Guide is not intended to be a detailed engineering manual nor a maintenance guide.

2 Nomenclature

For the purpose of this document, the term "rehabilitation" is defined as some combination of:

• restoration of equipment capacity and/or equipment efficiency to near "as-new" levels;

• extension of equipment life by re-establishing mechanical integrity.

The term "performance improvement" means the increase of capacity and/or efficiency beyond those of the original machine and may be included as part of a rehabilitation.

Many other terms are in common use to define the work of "rehabilitation" and "performance improvement", however it is suggested to use the above terms. Some of the terms considered and discarded for their lack of precision or completeness include:

- upgrade or upgrading restoration of mechanical integrity and efficiency;
- uprating increase of nameplate capacity (power) which may result in part from efficiency restoration or improvement;
- overhaul restoration of mechanical integrity;
- modernization could mean performance improvement and replacement of obsolete technologies;
- redevelopment term frequently used to mean replacement of the powerplant and could involve changes to the hydraulics and hydrology of the site usually implying a change in mode of operation of the plant;
- refurbishment restoration of mechanical integrity usually with restoration of performance (closely resembles "rehabilitation", the preferred term);
- replacement usually refers to specific components but may involve the complete hydraulic machine in the case of small units.

The nomenclature in this Guide is in accordance with IEC/TR 61364, which provides the "Nomenclature" in six languages to facilitate easy correlation with the terminology of this Guide.

SIST EN 62256:2008

3 Reasons for rehabilitating.ai/catalog/standards/sist/049cb5fe-7550-4de9-a420-

db02aaf25857/sist-en-62256-2008

3.1 General

Hydroelectric generating facilities are among the most robust, reliable, durable structures and equipment ever produced. The robustness of the equipment permits owners to continue operating these facilities without major rehabilitation for relatively long periods. The reliable life for a turbine prior to a major rehabilitation being necessary is typically between 30 and 50 years depending on type of unit, design, quality of manufacturing, severity of service, and other similar considerations. However, all generating equipment will inevitably suffer reduced performance, reliability and availability with time, which leads owners to the fundamental question of what to do with an aging plant. This crucial question cannot be answered easily since it involves many interrelated issues such as revenue, operating and maintenance cost, equipment performance, reliability, availability, safety and mission of generating facilities within the entire system. Ultimately, an owner will have to decide to rehabilitate the plant or eventually to close it. At some point in time, delaying a major rehabilitation ceases to be an option. This may come about as the result of a major component failure or as the result of an economic evaluation. Cessation of commercial operation does not necessarily relieve an owner of the responsibility for the maintenance of the civil structures, regulation of the flows and any other issues which have an impact on an owner's liability for the plant.

The governing reason for rehabilitation is usually to maximize return on investment and normally includes one or more of the following:

62256 © IEC:2008

- reliability and availability increase;
- life extension and performance restoration;
- performance improvement:
 - efficiency;
 - power;
 - reduction of cavitation erosion;
 - enlargement of operating range;
- plant safety improvement;
- environmental, social or regulatory issues;
- maintenance and operating cost reduction;
- other considerations:
 - modified governmental regulations;
 - political criteria;
 - company image criteria;
 - modified hydrology conditions;
 - modified market conditions.

The opportune time for starting a rehabilitation is prior to the plant being beset with frequent and severe problems such as for example, generator winding failures, major runner cracking, cavitation or particle erosion damage, bearing failures and/or equipment alignment problems due to foundation or substructure movement or distortion. When a generating plant has reached such a stage, it is obvious that a technical and an economic assessment of the equipment should have been conducted years before. If the time frame of rehabilitation studies is too close to the end of the useful life of the plant and its equipment, the owner may lose the option of evaluating a range of alternatives. Catastrophic failures with potential major damage and loss of life are, at some stage of the plant life, real risks. If significant improvements can be made in the revenue generating capabilities of the plant by replacement of deteriorated equipment with state-of-the-art equipment or components, there may be justification for performing rehabilitation earlier than the date at which it would be required for purely reliability or life extension reasons.

Typically, the renewed life of a turbine following rehabilitation would be more than 25 years with normal maintenance. The residual life of the generating plant is dependent on the collective residual lives of each individual component group and therefore can be determined only by assessing all of the component groups including the civil structures.

Rehabilitation should result in a unit which is very close to its "as-new" condition.