INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



First edition 1989-11-15

Information processing systems — Text communication — Remote Operations —

Part 2: iTeh Sprotocol specification VIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

Systèmes de traitement de l'information – Communication de texte – Opérations à distance/IEC 9072-2:1989 https://standards.iteh.a/catalog/standards/sist/bccd084c-4c6b-4113-ae36-Partie 2 : Spécification du protocole



Contents

Ρ	'n	ge
-	~	n ~

Fo	reword	iii	
Int	roduction	iv	
1	Scope	1	
2	Normative references	1	
3	Definitions	2	
4	Abbreviations	3	\mathbf{W}
5	Conventions	3	
6	Overview of the protocol ISO/IEC 9072-2:1989	3	
7	https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bccd084c-4c6l Elements of procedure ce7154c3dac2/iso-iec-9072-2-1989	-41 5	13-ae36-
8	Mapping to used services	12	
9	Abstract syntax definition of APDUs	14	
10	Conformance	17	
An	nexes		
A	ROPM State tables	18	
B	Differences between this part of ISO/IEC 9072 and CCITT Recommendation X.410 - 1984	27	
С	Summary of assigned object identifier values	28	

© ISO/IEC 1989

ISO/IEC Copyright Office \bullet Case postale 56 \bullet CH-1211 Genève 20 \bullet Switzerland Printed in Switzerland

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) together form a system for worldwide standardization as a whole. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for approval before their acceptance as International Standards. They are approved in accordance with procedures requiring at least 75 % approval by the national bodies voting.

International Standard (SO/IEC) 9072-2 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information technology.

ISO/IEC 9072-2:1989 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bccd084c-4c6b-4113-ae36ce7154c3dac2/iso-iec-9072-2-1989

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (straspage intentionally left blank

ISO/IEC 9072-2:1989 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bccd084c-4c6b-4113-ae36ce7154c3dac2/iso-iec-9072-2-1989

Information processing systems -Text communication -**Remote Operations - Part 2: Protocol specification**

1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 specifies the protocol (abstract syntax) and procedures for the Remote Operation Service Element (part 1 of this International Standard). The ROSE services are provided in conjunction with the Association Control Service Element (ACSE) services (ISO 8649) and the ACSE protocol (ISO 8650), optionally the Reliable Transfer Service Element (RTSE) services (ISO/IEC 9066-1) and the RTSE protocol (ISO/IEC 9066-2), and the presentationservice (ISO 8822).

iTeh STANDARI The ROSE procedures are defined in terms of

- a) the interactions between peer ROSE it (180, 8822: 1988, Information processing systems -**Open Systems Interconnection - Connection** protocol machines through the use of RTSE services or the presentation service; 2:1980 riented presentation service definition.
- b) the interactions between the ROSE 00720 1080 protocol machine and its service-user.

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 specifies conformance requirements for systems implementing these procedures.

Normative references 2

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO/IEC 9072. At the time of publication, the editions were valid. All Standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreement based on this part of ISO/IEC 9072 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards listed below. Members of ISO and IEC maintain Registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO/IEC 7498: 1984, Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Basic Reference Model.

ISO/TR 8509: 1987, Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Service Conventions.

ISO 8649: 1988, Information processing systems -**Open Systems Interconnection** - Service definition for the Association Control Service Element.

ISO 8650: 1988, Information processing systems -**Open Systems Interconnection - Protocol** specification for the Association Control Service Element.

Open⁸Systems Interconnection - Specification of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1).

ISO 8825: 1987, Information processing systems -**Open Systems Interconnection** - Specification of basic encoding rules for Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1).

ISO/IEC 9066-1: 1989, Information processing systems - Text communication - Reliable Transfer - Part 1: Model and service definition.

ISO/IEC 9066-2: 1989, Information processing systems - Text communication - Reliable Transfer - Part 2: Protocol specification.

ISO/IEC 9072-1: 1989, Information processing systems - Text communication - Remote Operations - Part 1: Model, notation and service definition.

3 **Definitions**

Reference Model definitions 3.1

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 is based on the concepts developed in ISO/IEC 7498 and makes use of the following terms defined in it:

- Application Layer; a)
- application-process; b)
- application-entity; c)
- application-service-element; d)
- application-protocol-data-unit; e)
- application-protocol-control-information; f)
- presentation-service; g)
- presentation-connection; h)

abstract syntax name;

presentation context.

i) session-service;

l)

- session-connection; j)
- k) transfer syntax; and

Association control definitions 3.4

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 8649:

- application-association; association; a)
- application context; b)
- Association Control Service Element. c)

Reliable Transfer definitions 3.5

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 9066-1:

Reliable Transfer Service Element. a)

ROSE service definitions 3.6

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 9072-1:

- association-initiating-application-entity; a) association-initiator;
- association-responding-applicationb) entity; association-responder;

iTeh STANDARD invoking-application-entity; invoker; user-element.

Service conventions definitions (standards.iperforming-application-entity; performer; 3.2

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the requestor; e) erms defined in ISO/TR 8509 <u>ISO/IEC 9072-2:1989</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bccc0/84c-4c6b-4113-ae36following terms defined in ISO/TR 8509:

a)	service-provider; c	ce7154c3dac2/iso-g)c-90Tinked98perations;			
b)	service-user;	h)	parent-operation;		
c)	confirmed service;	i)	child-operation;		
d)	non-confirmed service;	j)	RO-notation;		
e)	provider-initiated service;	k)	Remote Operation Service Element;		
f)	primitive;	1)	ROSE-provider;		
g)	request (primitive);	m)	ROSE-user;		
h)	indication (primitive);	n)	RTSE-user;		
i)	response (primitive); and	0)	Remote Operations.		
j)	confirm (primitive).	3.7	Remote Operation protoc	ol	
3.3	Presentation service definitions		specification definitions		
This follov	part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use ving terms defined in ISO 8822:	of the For t follow	the purpose of this part of ISO/IEC 9072 t wing definitions apply:	he,	
a)	abstract syntax;	371	remote-operation-protocol-machin	ıe:	

remote-operation-protocol-machine: 3.7.1 The protocol machine for the Remote Operation Service Element specified in this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

b)

c)

requesting-remote-operation-3.7.2 protocol-machine: The remote-operationprotocol-machine whose service-user is the requestor of a particular Remote Operation Service Element service.

3.7.3 accepting-remote-operation-protocolmachine: The remote-operation-protocolmachine whose service-user is the acceptor for a particular Remote Operation Service Element service.

Abbreviations Λ

4.1 **Data units**

APDU application-protocol-data-unit

4.2 Types of application-protocol-dataunits

The following abbreviations have been given to the application-protocol-data-units defined in this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

ROIV RO-INVOKE application protocol-6.1 Service provision data-unit The protocol specified in this part of ISO/IEC 9072 RO-RESULT application-protocolprovides the ROSE services defined in ISO/IEC RORS 9072-1. These services are listed in table 1. data-unit RO-ERROR application-protocol-data-ROER sist/bccd084c-4c6b-4113-ae36unit ce7154c3dac2/iso-iec-9072-2-1989 Table 1 · ROSE services summary

RORJ **RO-REJECT** application-protocoldata-unit

Other abbreviations 4.3

The following abbreviations are used in this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

AE	Application Entity		
ACSE	Association Control Service Element		
ASE	Application Service Element		
RO (or ROS)	Remote Operations		
ROPM	Remote Operations Protocol Machine		
ROSE	Remote Operations Service Element		
RT	Reliable Transfer		
RTSE	Reliable Transfer Service Element		

Conventions 5

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 employs a tabular presentation of its APDU fields. In clause 7, tables are presented for each ROSE APDU. Each field is summarized using the following notation:

- Μ presence is mandatory U presence is a ROSE-user option source is related request primitive req ind sink is related indication primitive
 - resp source is related response primitive
 - sink is related confirm primitive conf
 - source or sink is the ROPM sp

The structure of each ROSE APDU is specified in clause 9 using the abstract syntax notation of ISO/IEC 8824.

6 **Overview of the protocol**

Service Type **RO-INVOKE** Non-confirmed **RO-RESULT** Non-confirmed **RO-ERROR** Non-confirmed **RO-REJECT-U** Non-confirmed **RO-REJECT-P Provider-initiated**

Use of services 6.2

The ROSE protocol specified in this part of ISO/IEC 9072 needs a transfer service to pass information in the form of ROSE APDUs between peer application-entities (AEs).

Two transfer services may be used alternatively:

- a) the RTSE services, if the RTSE is included in the application-context, or
- b) the presentation-service, if the RTSE is not included in the application-context.

In both cases an existing application-association, established and released by means of the ACSE services, is assumed.

6.2.1 Use of the RTSE services

If the RTSE is included in the application-context, this part of ISO/IEC 9072 assumes that the ROPM is the sole user of the RT-TRANSFER service and the RT-TURN-GIVE service.

The initiating AE may only request the release of the application-association by means of the RT-CLOSE service if it possesses the Turn. Therefore the RTSE-user and the ROPM are the user of the **RT-TURN-PLEASE** service.

The ROPM is the user of the RT-U-ABORT and **RT-P-ABORT** services.

6.2.2 Use of the presentation-service

If the RTSE is not included in the application-context, the ROPM is a user of the P-DATA service. **iTeh STAND**

6.3 Model

(standa The remote-operation-protocol-machine (ROPM) ISO/IEC 9072-1, ISO 8649, ISO 8650, ISO/IEC communicates with its service-user by means of 9066-1 and ISO/IEC 9066-2). primitives defined in ISO/IEC 9072-1, Each standards/sist/bccd084c-4c6b-4113-ae36invocation of the ROPM controls a singledac NOTE-9 Each application-association may be identified in an application-association.

The ROPM is driven by ROSE service request primitives from its service-user, and by indication and confirm primitives of the RTSE services, or the presentation-service. The ROPM, in turn,

issues indication primitives to its service-user, and request primitives on the used RTSE services. or the presentation-service. If the RTSE is included in the application-context, the RT-TRANSFER indication, RT-TRANSFER request and RT-TRANSFER confirm primitives are used. In the case of an application-context excluding **RTSE**, the presentation-service P-DATA request, and P-DATA indication primitives are used. In this case the transfer is not confirmed.

The reception of a ROSE service primitive, or of a RTSE service or of a presentation-service primitive, and the generation of dependent actions are considered to be indivisible.

During the exchange of APDUs, the existence of both, the association-initiating AE and the association-responding AE is presumed. How these AEs are created is beyond the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

During the execution of operations, the existence of an application association between the peer AEs is presumed. How this applicationassociation is established and released is beyond the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 9072 (see

> end system by an internal, implementation dependent mechanism so that the ROSE service-user and the ROPM can refer to it.

7 Elements of procedure

The ROSE protocol consists of the following elements of procedure:

- a) invocation
- b) return-result
- c) return-error
- d) user-reject
- e) provider-reject.

In the following clauses, a summary of each of these elements of procedure is presented. This consists of a summary of the relevant APDUs, and a high-level overview of the relationship between the ROSE service primitives, the APDUs involved, and the transfer service that is used.

The generic terms transfer service, transfer service-provider, transfer request, and transfer indication are used in the context of clause 7. Clause 8 describes how these generic service primitives are mapped either on to the RTSE services or the presentation-service.

In clause 9 a detailed specification of the ROSE APDUs is given using the notation defined in ISO_228824.

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/brctl382c-4ctROIV-APDU ce7154c3dac2/iso-iec-9072-2-1989

7.1 Invocation

7.1.1 Purpose

The invocation procedure is used by one AE (the invoker) to request an operation to be performed by the other AE (the performer).

7.1.2 APDUs used

The invocation procedure uses the RO-INVOKE (ROIV) APDU.

The fields of the ROIV APDU are listed in table 2.

7.1.3 Invocation procedure

This procedure is driven by the following events:

a) a RO-INVOKE request primitive from the requestor

b) a ROIV APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive.

7.1.3.1 RO-INVOKE request primitive

Table 2 - ROIV APDU fields

Field name	Pre- sence	Source	Sink	
Invoke-ID	M	req	ind	
Linked-ID	U	req	ind	
Operation-value	M	req	ind	
Argument	U	req	ind	

The requesting ROPM forms a ROIV APDU from the parameter values of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the ROIV APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer serviceprovider or any other primitive from the requestor.

The accepting ROPM receives a ROIV APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the ROIV APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, the providerreject procedure is performed, and no RO-INVOKE indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the ROIV APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM, it issues a RO-INVOKE indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-INVOKE indication primitive parameters are derived from the ROIV APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer serviceprovider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

Use of the ROIV APDU fields 7.1.4

The ROIV fields are used as follows.

7.1.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM, however the value may be used in the provider reject procedure.

7.1.4.2 Linked-ID

This is the linked-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the linked-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE indication primitive.

0 · 1 · · · · · · · · ·

APDUs used 7.2.2

The return-result procedure uses the RO-**RESULT (RORS) APDU.**

The fields of the RORS APDU are listed in table 3.

7.2.3 **Return-result procedure**

This procedure is driven by the following events:

- a) a RO-RESULT request primitive from the requestor;
- b) a RORS APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive.

7.2.3.1 **RO-RESULT Request Primitive**

Table 3 - RORS APDU fields

Т

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.	Field name	Pre- sence	Source	Sink
7.1.4.3 Operation-value iTeh STANDA	Invoke-ID	M	req	ind
This is the operation-value parameter value of the	Operation -value	U	req	ind
RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the	Result	U	req	ind
aneration-value narameter value of the RO-				

Г

operation-value parameter value

INVOKE indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the dac ROPM

7.1.4.4 Argument

This is the argument parameter value of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the argument parameter value of the RO-INVOKE indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.2 **Return-result**

7.2.1 Purpose

The return-result procedure is used by one AE (the performer) to request the transfer of the result of a successfully performed operation to the other AE (the invoker).

ISO/IEC 9072-2:1989 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bccd084c-4c6b-4113-ae36-The requesting ROPM forms a RORS APDU from

the parameter values of the RO-RESULT request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the RORS APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer serviceprovider or any other primitive from the requestor.

7.2.3.2 **RORS APDU**

The accepting ROPM receives a RORS APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the RORS APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, the providerreject procedure is performed, and no RO-RESULT indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the RORS APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM, it issues a RO-RESULT indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-RESULT indication primitive parameters are derived from the RORS APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

7.2.4 **Use of the RORS APDU fields**

The RORS fields are used as follows.

7.2.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-**RESULT** request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-RESULT indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM, however the value may be used in the provider-reject procedure.

requestor; Operation Value STANDARD 7.2.4.2

This is the operation-value parameter value of the iten a indication primitive. RO-RESULT request primitive. It appears as the operation-value parameter of the RO-RESULT 7.3.3.1

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

This field shall be present only if the result field is present.

7.2.4.3 Result

This is the result parameter value of the RO-**RESULT** request primitive. It appears as the result parameter value of the RO-RESULT indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.3 Return-error

7.3.1 Purpose

The return-error procedure is used by one AE (the performer) to request the transfer of the the error information in the case of an unsuccessfully performed operation to the other AE (the invoker).

7.3.2 **APDUs used**

The return-error procedure uses the RO-ERROR (ROER) APDU.

The fields of the ROER APDU are listed in table 4.

Table 4 - ROER APDU fields

Field name	Pre- sence	Source	Sink
Invoke-ID	M	req	ind
Error-value	M	req	ind
Error-parameter	U	req	ind

7.3.3 **Return-error procedure**

This procedure is driven by the following events:

a) a RO-ERROR request primitive from the

b) a ROER APDU as user-data of a transfer

RO-ERROR request primitive

indication primitive. https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bThe&requesting ROPM forms a ROER APDU from

the parameter values of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the ROER APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the requestor.

ROER APDU 7.3.3.2

The accepting ROPM receives a ROER APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the ROER APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, the providerreject procedure is performed, and no RO-ERROR indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the ROER APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM, it issues a RO-ERROR indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-ERROR indication primitive parameters are derived from the ROER APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer serviceprovider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

7.3.4 Use of the ROER APDU fields

The ROER fields are used as follows.

7.3.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-ERROR indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM, however the value may be used in the provider reject procedure.

7.3.4.2 Error-value

This is the error-value parameter value of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It appears as the errorvalue parameter value of the RO-ERROR indication primitive.

iTeh STANI The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM (standa

7.3.4.3 **Error-parameter**

This is the error-parameter parameter value of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It appears as da the error-parameter parameter value of the RO-ERROR indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.4 **User-reject**

7.4.1 Purpose

The user-reject procedure is used by one AE to reject the request (invocation) or reply (result or error) of the other AE.

7.4.2 **APDUs** used

The user-reject procedure uses the RO-REJECT (RORJ) APDU. This RORJ APDU is used in addition by the provider-reject procedure.

The fields of the RORJ APDU used for the userreject procedure are listed in table 5.

7.4.3 **User-reject** procedure

This procedure is driven by the following events:

- a) a RO-REJECT-U request primitive from the requestor
- b) a RORJ APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive.

7.4.3.1 **RO-REJECT-U** request primitive

The requesting ROPM forms a RORJ APDU from the parameter values of the RO-REJECT-U request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the RORJ APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer serviceprovider or any other primitive from the requestor.

RORJ APDU 7.4.3.2

The accepting ROPM receives a RORJ APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the RORJ APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, no RO-REJECT-U indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the RORJ APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM and the fields of the RORJ APDU indicates a user reject (i.e. invoke-problem, return-resultproblem, or return-error-problem), it issues an RO-REJECT-U indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-REJECT-U indication primitive parameters (invoke-ID and reject-reason) are derived from the RORJ APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer serviceprovider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

Use of the RORJ APDU fields 7.4.4

The RORJ fields are used as follows.

Invoke-ID 7.4.4.1

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-**REJECT-U** request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-REJECT-U indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.