

SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST ISO 9110-2:1997

01-februar-1997

Fluidna tehnika - Hidravlika - Merilna tehnika - 2. del: Merjenje povprečnega ustaljenega tlaka v zaprtem vodu

Hydraulic fluid power -- Measurement techniques -- Part 2: Measurement of average steady-state pressure in a closed conduit

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

Transmissions hydrauliques -- Techniques de mesurage -- Partie 2: Mesurage de la pression moyenne dans un conduit fermé en régime permanent

SIST ISO 9110-2:1997

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z-94f2/sist-80-9110-2:1990

<u>ICS:</u>

23.100.01 Hidravlični sistemi na splošno Fluid power systems in general

SIST ISO 9110-2:1997

en



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ISO 9110-2:1997 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/838dacd5-6ff8-41a9-8ff1fff616de94f2/sist-iso-9110-2-1997 SIST ISO 9110-2:1997

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 9110-2

> First edition 1990-11-01

Hydraulic fluid power — Measurement techniques —

Part 2:

iTeh SMeasurement of average steady-state pressure (in a closed conduit i)

SIST ISO 9110-2:1997

https://standards.Transmissions.hydrauliques.d5-Techniques.de mesurage — Partie 2. Mésurage de la pression moyenne dans un conduit fermé en régime permanent



Reference number ISO 9110-2:1990(E)

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75% of the member bodies casting a vote.

International Standard ISO 9110-2 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 131, *Fluid power systems*.

ISO 9110 consists of the following parts, under the general title Hydraulic fluid power — Measurement techniques: https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/838dacd5-6ff8-41a9-8ff1-

- Part 1: General measurement principles
- Part 2: Measurement of average steady-state pressure in a closed conduit

© ISO 1990

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

International Organization for Standardization

Case Postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland

Printed in Switzerland

Introduction

ISO 9110-1 relates to general principles for the measurement of static or steady-state conditions. This part (ISO 9110-2) deals with the measurement of average steady-state pressure in a closed conduit and should be read in conjunction with ISO 9110-1.

Further parts will be published as technology develops.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST ISO 9110-2:1997</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/838dacd5-6ff8-41a9-8ff1fff616de94f2/sist-iso-9110-2-1997

SIST ISO 9110-2:1997

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

This page intentionally left blank

SIST ISO 9110-2:1997 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/838dacd5-6ff8-41a9-8ff1fff616de94f2/sist-iso-9110-2-1997

Hydraulic fluid power — Measurement techniques —

Part 2:

Measurement of average steady-state pressure in a closed conduit

1 Scope

3 Definitions

This part of ISO 9110 establishes procedures for For the purposes of this part of ISO 9110, the defimeasuring the average steady-state pressure in a nitions given in ISO 9110-1 and the following defihydraulic fluid power conduit. **CANDARD** nitions apply. **E**

It is applicable to the measurement of average **S.i.f. hait-range uncertainty**: Half of the numerical steady-state pressure in closed conduits with inside diameters greater than 3 mm, transmitting hydraulic fluid power with average fluid velocities less than 9110-2.4999 retainty is $\pm R$, the half-range uncertainty is R. 25 m/s and average steady-state static pressure adads/sist/838dacd5-6ff8-41a9-8ff1-less than 70 MPa (700 bar). ff616de94f2/sist-iso-91**3.2**2- pulsation damper: A device using a fixed or

It is not applicable to sensors which are flush mounted with, or are an integral part of, the closed fluid conduit wall.

It provides the formulae for estimating the total uncertainty in a given pressure measurement.

2 Normative reference

The following standard contains provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO 9110. At the time of publication, the edition indicated was valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this part of ISO 9110 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the standard indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 9110-1:1990, Hydraulic fluid power — Measurement techniques — Part 1: General measurement principles. **3.2**- **pulsation damper:** A device using a fixed or variable restrictor, inserted in the pipeline to a pressure-measuring instrument, to prevent damage to the instrument mechanism caused by fluctuations of fluid pressure.

3.3 total uncertainty: The range within which 95 % of the measurement values will be when a large number of measurements are taken of the same value under effectively identical conditions.

3.4 working instrument: A measuring instrument which has been calibrated against a reference standard.

4 Evaluation of the readability uncertainty of measuring instruments

4.1 General

This clause describes the procedures for determining the uncertainty attributable to the inability of the observer to determine exactly the indicated value of a measured quantity.

4.2 Analog measuring instruments -Evaluation of the readability uncertainty factor, RE

4.2.1 Calculate the readability uncertainty factor (RE) for a measuring instrument equipped with a pointer and a parallax error minimization feature from the following formula:

 $RE = \frac{Value \text{ of the smallest scale division}}{RF_1 \times RF_2 + 2}$

where RF1 and RF2 are determined from the properties of the read-out device, in accordance with 4.2.1.1 and 4.2.1.2.

4.2.1.1 Determine, to within 10 %, the centre-tocentre separation, w, in millimetres, of the smallest scale division and calculate RF1 from the following formulae:

 $\mathsf{RF}_1 = 3(1 - \varepsilon^{0.5 - 1.1w})$ when $w \ge 0.5$ mm

 $RF_1 = 0$, when w < 0.5 mm

where ε is the repeatability uncertainty determined in accordance with ISO 9110-1:1990, 4.5. STA

nearest 0,25 mm in the region on the pointer where

the reading is interpreted. Divide the width of the ISO 9Partial calibration is permitted; the number of calismallest scale division, w (see 42.1.1) by the og/standbration points is dictated by the application and cirpointer width to form a ratio, α . Then calculate RF24f2/sist-cumstances97using as far as possible reference from the formulae: values which are the same as those used in earlier

 $RF_2 = 1 - \epsilon^{0.6(1-\alpha)}$, when $\alpha \ge 1$ $RF_2 = 0$, when $\alpha < 1$

4.3 Digital measuring instruments -Evaluation of the readability uncertainty factor, RE

Calculate the readability uncertainty factor using the following formula:

RE = smallest change in the least significant digit

It should be noted that the least significant digit in some digital read-outs does not have 10 discrete integer levels. In this case, use the value of the smallest integer change possible for the particular read-out.

5 Calibration of working instruments

5.1 Working instruments shall be calibrated in accordance with the instructions given in 5.2 to 5.11.

5.2 Select a reference standard certified to have been traceably calibrated within the interval specified in 5.3 of ISO 9110-1:1990 and which is free of physical damage, except as noted on its certificate.

5.3 Mount the reference standard in an attitude indicated on its certificate, or in that attitude recommended by its manufacturer.

5.4 Mount the working instrument in an attitude recommended by the manufacturer or in an attitude expected during measurement.

5.5 Make zero-value checks with the working instrument physically uncoupled from any possible loading effects.

5.6 Couple the working instrument to the reference standard.

5.7 Record the reference value and the indicated value from the working instrument for at least five trials and use at least 20 equally spaced calibration points over the range of interest for each trial, for full 4.2.1.2 Estimate the width of the pointes to the lar calibration. Use the same set of reference values during each trial.

full calibrations.

If the working instrument is subject to hysteresis effects, carry out the calibration for both increasing and decreasing reference values.

5.8 Use any correction charts or mathematical models resulting from calibration of the reference standard and which help reduce the uncertainty contribution of the reference standard.

5.9 Make corrections to the references values for any other systematic errors, for example temperature effects when the relationships with other physical variables are known and the physical variables themselves are known (or measured) at the time of calibrating the working instrument.

5.10 Note anything unusual about the physical appearance of the instrument.

5.11 Sign and date the calibration data sheets and place them in a safe, permanent file. This record is the working instrument's certificate.

Determination of calibration uncertainty 6

6.1 General

This clause describes the procedures for deriving mathematical models of a working instrument and evaluating the effects of environmental factors on calibration and measurement uncertainty.

6.2 **Calibration uncertainty**

Adopt a suitable mathematical model from one of the three types described in 6.3. The expected calibration uncertainty in most instruments will depend upon the model selected. More complex models will yield smaller uncertainties.

Mathematical models 6.3

6.3.1 Model 1

Mathematical model 1 uses the indicated value of a read-out device without any corrections. The maximum deviation of the indicated value from the reference value is used as the calibration uncertainty. **R 6.3.3.1** Mathematical model 3 uses a point-to-point Enter this calibration uncertainty on the instrument's correction under the pagemention that corrections label or record.

(standards.

6.3.2 Model 2

SIST ISO 9110 /sist/838dacd5-6ff8-41a9-8ff1 6.3.2.1 Mathematical models 2 assumes that the inandards 6,3.3.2 of valuate the calibration uncertainty. dicated value, p_i , is related to the actual value p_i is related p_i is related to the actual value p_i is relat of a physical variable and any influencing environmental factors through a formula of the form

$$p_{a} = b_{0} + p_{i}^{k} \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{i}k + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}f(E_{i})$$

where

- is one of n influencing environmental E_i factors;
- is the functional manner in which E_i af $f(E_i)$ fects the measurement of the actual value:
- is the linear gain coefficient which deaj scribes the degree of effect;

 b_0 , b_i and k are to be stated.

6.3.2.2 Determine $f(E_i)$ using any combination of the following methods:

Use accepted theories to develop the functions a) which describe the environmental effect and determine the coefficient using linear regression with empirical data as measured in controlled experiments during the calibration of the working instrument.

b) Use manufacturer's data, for example zero shift due to temperature or non-linearity due to construction factors.

NOTE 1 Environmental factors may be ignored if the conditions during measurement are brought into sufficient agreement with the values that existed during calibration.

6.3.2.3 Scan all data and find the maximum absolute value of the deviation between the indicated value and the value predicted by the derived mathematical model for each of the reference values as used in the calibration of the working instrument (see clause 5). Enter this maximum deviation as the calibration uncertainty on the instrument's label or record.

6.3.2.4 Use the mathematical model by substituting the indicated values and values of the environmental factors measured during measurement into the formula. The result is an estimate of the actual value at the time of measurement.

6.3.3 Model 3

correction under the assumption that corrections are linear when indicated values taken during measurement lie between data points used during calibration.

6.3.3.3 For each reference value, $p_{\rm r}$, used during calibration, and for each of the five trials, calculate the error as $p_r - p_i$.

6.3.3.4 For each reference value, calculate the average over the five trials of the difference found in 6.3.3.3.

6.3.3.5 Calculate the difference between each of the five errors calculated in 6.3.3.3 and the mean as determined in 6.3.3.4 for each reference value.

6.3.3.6 Take the maximum value from 6.3.3.5 and record this as the calibration uncertainty.

6.3.3.7 Use the mathematical model by constructing, from the five trials for each reference value, a graph of the averages determined in 6.3.3.4 versus the average indicated value.

6.3.3.8 Use the graph (6.3.3.7) to correct the indicated value obtained during the measurement in order to obtain the best estimate of the actual value.

Assume linear interpolation between discrete data entries.