#### IEC 62127-2 (First edition – 2007)

## Ultrasonics – Hydrophones – Part 2: Calibration for ultrasonic fields up to 40 MHz

#### **CORRIGENDUM 1**

Page 15

## 5 Overview of calibration procedures

#### 5.1 Principles

On page 16, instead of:

NOTE 2 "Absolute" **hydrophone** calibration is understood here in the sense of "without reference to another **hydrophone**". This is sometimes also referred to as "primary" or "substitution" procedure, which means a sensitivity comparison with a calibrated reference **hydrophone**. The reference **hydrophone** itself may have been calibrated in "absolute" terms or against another reference **hydrophone**, and so on. Obviously, there are two different, fundamental procedures: to perform an absolute **hydrophone** calibration and to compare the sensitivity of two **hydrophones**. Clauses 9, 10, 11, Annexes D, F and H deal with the former procedure. The latter procedure is dealt with in detail in Clause 12. It should be noted that a substitution calibration usually involves both steps and that the interested user should refer to both Clause 12 and other clauses dealing with absolute calibration (and that **uncertainties** from both fundamental steps contribute to the final calibration **uncertainty** in the case of a substitution calibration).

read: Document Preview

NOTE 2 "Absolute" **hydrophone** calibration is understood here in the sense of "without reference to another **hydrophone**". This is sometimes also referred to as "primary" calibration. On the other hand, **hydrophones** are often calibrated in practice following a "secondary" or "substitution" procedure, which means a sensitivity comparison with a calibrated reference **hydrophone**. The reference **hydrophone** itself may have been calibrated in 1-2008 "absolute" terms or against another reference **hydrophone**, and so on. Obviously, there are two different fundamental procedures: to perform an absolute **hydrophone** calibration and to compare the sensitivity of two **hydrophones**. Clauses 9, 10, 11, and Annexes D, F and H deal with the former procedure. The latter procedure is dealt with in detail in Clause 12. It should be noted that a substitution calibration usually involves both steps and that the interested user should refer to both Clause 12 and the other clauses dealing with absolute calibration (and that **uncertainties** from both fundamental steps contribute to the final calibration **uncertainty** in the case of a substitution calibration).

Page 20

## 6.3 Hydrophone size

In the second sentence, instead of:

"...the effective radius of the hydrophone small be small compared with..."

read:

"the effective radius of the hydrophone shall be small compared with..."

August 2008

## 10 Free field calibration by planar scanning

On page 26, for Equation (6), instead of:

$$P(l) = \iint \overline{I(x, y, l, t)} \cos \Theta \, dy \, dz \tag{6}$$

read:

$$P(l) = \iint \overline{I(x, y, l, t)} \cos \Theta \, dy \, dx \tag{6}$$

Page 38

# Annex D Absolute calibration of hydrophones using the planar scanning technique

## D.2 Hydrophone scanning methodology

For Equation (D.2), instead of:

$$\iint \overline{[U_{L}(x,y,l,t)]^{2}} \, dy \, dx \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \sum_{r=R_{1i}}^{R_{2i}} \left[U_{L}(l,r)\right]^{2} r \, \Delta r + \left[U_{L}(l,s)\right]^{2} \left(\left(\frac{\Delta r}{2}\right) - s\right)^{2} \right\}$$
 (D.2)

read:

 $\iint \overline{[U_{L}(x,y,l,t)]^{2}} \, dy \, dx \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \sum_{r=R_{1i}}^{R_{2i}} \left[U_{L}(l,r)\right]^{2} r \, \Delta r + \left[U_{L}(l,s)\right]^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta r}{2} - s\right)^{2} \right\}$  (D.2)

Page 39

ec/cd8f00c9-93fb-4411-abbc-a18ada82ff98/jec-62127-2-2007-cor1-20

#### D.3 Corrections and sources of measurement uncertainty

#### D.3.4 Directional response

In the last sentence of the first paragraph, instead of:

$$\Theta_1 = \tan^{-1}[(x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}/I]$$

read:

$$\Theta_1$$
= arctan [( $x^2 + y^2$ )<sup>1/2</sup>//]

Page 41

## D.3.6 Noise

In the first paragraph, fourth sentence, instead of :

"The rms noise level,  $U_n(I,x,y)$ ..."

read:

"The rms noise level,  $U_n(x,y,l)$ ..."