TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/IEC TR 10000-2

First edition 1990-06-01

Information technology — Framework and taxonomy of International Standardized Profiles —

Part 2: iTeh STTaxonomy of Profiles VIEW

(standards.iteh.ai)

Systèmes de traitement de l'information — Cadre et taxonomie des profils internationaux normalisés)

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/735c5683-40dd-40fd-bd51d47174f97f88/iso-iec-tr-10000-2-1990



ISO/IEC/TR 10000-2: 1990 (E)

Contents

Page

(

I

Fo	reword	ska se sentencia de la seconda de la seco Iv
Int	troducti	on v
1	-	1
2		tive references 1
3	viations 2	
	3.1	General abbreviations 2
	3.2	Abbreviations used in Profile identifiers, R.D. P.R.F.V 12 W
4	The Ta	xonomy: Principles(standards.iteh.ai) ³
	4.1	General 3
	4.2	The class concept for OSI Profiles // IEC TR 10000-2:1990 3 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/735c5683-40dd-40fd-bd51-
	4.3	The Group concept for OSI Profiles 88/iso-ico-tr=10000-2=1990.
	4.4	Profile classes for OSI 4
	4.4.1	Transport Profiles 4
	4.4.2	Relays 6
	4.4.3	Application Profiles for OSI 7
	4.4.4	Interchange Format and Representation Profiles 7
5	Taxon	omy of Profiles
	5.1	Transport Profiles
	5.1.1	Taxonomy of subnetworks
	5.1.2	Transport Groups 10
	5.2	Relay Profiles 10
	5.2.1	Relaying the Network Internal Layer Service, as defined in ISO/IEC 10028 10
	5.2.2	Network Layer Protocol Relaying 10

© ISO/IEC 1990

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

ISO/IEC Copyright Office • Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland Printed in Switzerland

5.2.3	Relaying the MAC Service	10
5.2.4	CO/CL Interworking	10
5.3	Application Profiles	11
5.3.1	File Transfer, Access and Management	11
5.3.2	Message Handling	11
5.3.3	Virtual Terminal	11
5.3.4	Transaction Processing	12
5.3.5	Remote Database Access	12
5.3.6	OSI Management	12
5.3.7	Directory	12
5.4	Interchange Format and Representation Profiles	13
5.4.1	Office Document Format	13
5.4.2	Computer Graphics Metafile Interchange Format	13
5.4.3	SGML Interchange Format	13
5.4.4	Directory Data Definitions	13
Annex A (iTeh ^{Bibliogra}	(informative). phy of other referenced (non-normative) documents	14

(standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/IEC TR 10000-2:1990</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/735c5683-40dd-40fd-bd51d47174f97f88/iso-iec-tr-10000-2-1990

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) together form a system for worldwide standardization as a whole. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The main task of a technical committee is to prepare International Standards but in exceptional circumstances, the publication of a Technical Report of one of the following types may be proposed:

 type 1, when the required support cannot be obtained for the publication of an International Standard, despite repeated efforts alog/standards/sist/735c5683-40dd-40fd-bd51-

 type 2, when the subject is still under technical development or where for any other reason there is the future but not immediate possibility of an agreement on an International Standard;

 type 3, when a technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example).

Technical Reports of types 1 and 2 are subject to review within three years of publication, to decide whether they can be transformed into International Standards. Technical Reports of type 3 do not necessarily have to be reviewed until the data they provide are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

ISO/IEC/TR 10000-2, which is a Technical Report of type 3, was prepared by the Special Group on Functional Standardization of ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

The structure of ISO/IEC/TR 10000 is as follows:

- Part 1: Framework
- Part 2: Taxonomy of Profiles

Part 2 has one annex:

• Annex A is for information only, and has no binding significance.

iv

Introduction

The context of Functional Standardization is one part of the overall field of Information Technology standardization activities covering

- Base standards, which define fundamentals and generalized procedures. They provide an infrastructure that can be used by a variety of applications, each of which can make its own selection from the options offered by them.
- Profiles, which define subsets or combinations of base standards used to provide specific functions. Profiles identify the use of particular options available in the base standards, and provide a basis for the development of uniform, internationally recognized, conformance tests.
- Registration mechanisms, which provide the means to specify detailed parameterization within the framework of the base standards or Profiles.

Within ISO/IEC JTC 1, the process of Functional Standardization is concerned with the methodology of defining Profiles, and their publication in documents called "International Standardized Profiles" (ISPs). https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/735c5683-40dd-40fd-bd51-

In addition to ISO/IEC/TR 10000, the Secretariat of the Special Group on Functional Standardization maintains a "Directory of ISPs and Profiles contained therein". This is a factual record of which ISPs exist, or are in preparation, together with a summary description of the scope, scenario, and model for each Profile. It is subject to regular updating by the Secretariat.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/IEC TR 10000-2:1990</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/735c5683-40dd-40fd-bd51d47174f97f88/iso-iec-tr-10000-2-1990

ISO/IEC/TR 10000-2: 1990 (E)

Information technology - Framework and taxonomy of International Standardized Profiles -Part 2: Taxonomy of Profiles

1 Scope

The purpose of this part of ISO/IEC/TR 10000 is to provide a full classification for Profiles which may be or have been submitted for ratification as International Standardized Profiles (ISPs).

ISO/IEC/TR 10000-1 defines the concept of Profiles, as documented in ISPs, and gives guidance to organizations making proposals for Draft ISPs, on the nature and content of the documents they are producing.

ISO/IEC/TR 10000 is intended to be applied to Profiles in the area of competence of JTC 1, and within this, priority consideration has been given to Profiles in the OSI area, i.e. those which specify OSI base standards, and those which are expected to be used in conjunction with them. In addition, as a lower priority, it is also applicable to Profiles specifying the use of other JTC 1 base standards. However, it is recognized that the scope of the concept of Profiles desist may ultimately be wider than that of JTC⁴1.768/iso-icc-tr-10

The existence of a Profile classification in this part of ISO/IEC/ TR 10000 does not reflect a judgement by ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS that a Profile is required for such capability. It merely provides a capability to identify uniquely such a function and to enable evaluation of PDISPs.

Since Profiles will be proposed according to needs identified to SGFS and according to the progress of international base standardization, the Taxonomy will be periodically updated in order to reflect the progress reached. It is also recognized that there will be proposals for the extension of the Taxonomy to cover functions which were not identified during preparation of this version of ISO/IEC/ TR 10000. These extensions may be identified by a variety of proposers and involve simple extensions to the existing Taxonomy or the addition of new functional areas not currently covered by ISO/IEC/TR 10000. The inclusion of such extensions is administered following the procedures, currently under elaboration in JTC 1/SGFS.

A distinction has been made between a Profile and

an ISP documenting one or more Profiles. The Taxonomy is only concerned with Profiles, but further information is given in the "Directory of ISPs and Profiles contained therein" as to which ISP contains the documentation of a Profile.

This Directory is maintained as an JTC1/SGFS document (JTC 1/SGFS N 100) and will be updated on a regular basis. For each Profile in the Taxonomy, it will also provide additional information, including such about the status of the identified Profiles.

2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO/IEC/TR 10000. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this part of ISO/IEC / TR 10000 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards listed below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO/IEC 8073: 1988, Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Connection oriented transport protocol specification.

ISO/IEC 8073/Add. 2: 1989, Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Connection oriented transport protocol specification - Addendum 2: Class four operation over connectionless network service.

ISO/IEC 8473: 1988, Information processing systems - Data communications - Protocol for providing the connectionless-mode network service.

ISO/IEC 8602: 1987, Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Protocol for providing the connectionless-mode transport service.

ISO/IEC 8613: 1989, Information processing - Text and office systems - Office Document Architecture (ODA) and interchange format. ISO/IEC/TR 10000-1: 1990, Information technology -Framework and taxonomy of International Standardized Profiles Part 1: Framework

ISO/IEC 10021: 1989, Information processing systems - Text communication - Message Oriented Text Interchange System. ¹⁾

ISO/IEC 10028, -- 1), Information processing systems - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Definition of the relaying functions of a Network Layer intermediate system.

ISO/IEC/TR 10029: 1989, Information technology -Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Operation of an X.25 interworking unit.

ISO/IEC/TR 10172: -- 1), Information technology -Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Network/Transport protocol interworking function.

presentation of International Standards

IEC/ISO Directives Part 3: 1989, Drafting and A

Collision Detection DAP **Directory Access Protocol Directory Service Agent** DSA **Directory Service Protocol** DSP **Data Terminal Equipment** DTE DUA **Directory User Agent Electronic Data Interchange** EDI EDI Messaging (System) EDIM(S) Fibre Distributed Data Interface FDDI IPM(S)Interpersonal Messaging (System) **Integrated Services Digital Network** ISDN **International Standardized Profile** ISP Local Area Network LAN Media Access Control MAC MOTIS **Message Oriented Text Interchange** System MTA Message Transfer Agent **Message Store** MS MTS Message Transfer System **Proposed Draft ISP** PDISP **PSDN** Packet Switched Data Network Public Switched Telephonic Network PSTN **PVC Permanent Virtual Circuit Quality of Service** QoS SGFS P R ISO/IEC JTC 1/Special Group on **Functional Standardization** standardgateh.aiser Agent

 CCITT X.224: 1988, Transport Protocol Specifica VC
 Virtual Call

 tion for Open Systems Interconnection for CCITTC TR 10000-2:1990
 Interconnection for CCITTC TR 10000-2:1990

 Applications.
 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standard.22st/7 Abbreviations used in Profile

 CCITT X 400:
 1984

 Procommendations
 X 400:

CCITT X	.400: 1984, Recommendations X.400-		
X.430: Da	ta Communication Networks: Message	ADI	Profile sub-class: Directory
Handling S	Systems.	AFT	Profile sub-class: File Transfer, Access and Management
CCITT X.	.400: 1988, Recommendations X.400-	AMH	Profile sub-class: Message Handling
X.430: Da	ta Communication Networks: Message	AOM	Profile sub-class: OSI Management
Handling S	Systems.	ARD	Profile sub-class: Remote Database Access
3 Ab	breviations	ATP	Profile sub-class: Transaction Processing
3.1 Gei	neral abbreviations	AVT	Profile sub-class: Virtual Terminal
		FCG	Profile sub-class: Computer Graphics
CL	Connectionless-mode		Metafile Interchange Format
CL-NS	Connectionless-mode Network Service	FDI	Profile sub-class: Directory Data
CL-TS	Connectionless-mode Transport Service		Definitions
CO	Connection-mode	FOD	Profile sub-class: Office Document
CO-NS	Connection-mode Network Service		Format
CO-TS	Connection-mode Transport Service	FSG	Profile sub-class: SGML Interchange
CSDN	Circuit Switched Data Network		Format
CSMA/CD Carrier Sense, Multiple Access /			

1) to be published.

2

4 The Taxonomy: Principles

4.1 General

Profiles are primarily arranged into classes, each class representing a category of functionality of reasonable independence from other classes. ISO/IEC/TR 10000-1 provides some further information about the principles used in this primary classification.

Within each class, a class-specific subdivision will be used.

Profile identifiers have been introduced such that each Profile is identified by a character string commencing with one letter (indicating the primary class of the Profile), and continuing with as many further letters or digits as are necessary to reflect its position within the hierarchic structure of the class. The syntax of all but the first letter is subject to individual definitions for each class (see below).

4.2 The class concept for OSI Profiles

In order to decouple representation of information or sequent class-ind objects from communication protocols, and applica Site and Site an

- T Transport Profiles providing connection-mode Transport Service
- U Transport Profiles providing connectionlessmode Transport Service
- **R** Relay Profiles
- A Application Profiles requiring connection-mode Transport Service
- B Application Profiles requiring connectionlessmode Transport Service
- F Interchange format and representation Profiles

Other classes may be required.

Transport Profiles of classes T and U specify how the two modes of OSI Transport Service are provided over the two modes of OSI Network Service, and over specific subnetwork types, such as individual types of LANs, PSDNs, etc. In this way they isolate the A/B-Profiles and F-Profiles from network technology. Application Profiles of classes A and B specify communications protocol support for particular application types over the two modes of OSI Transport Service, respectively.

F-Profiles specify the characteristics and representation of various types of information interchanged by A- and B-Profiles.

R-Profiles specify Relay functionality needed to enable systems using different T- or U-Profiles to interwork. Relays between T- and U-Profiles are not provided.

Within each of these classes, sub-classes of Profiles are identified which, again, may require further subdivision such that the granularity of the Taxonomy meets the requirements outlined in ISO/IEC/ TR 10000-1. This leads to a hierarchical structure of Profile (sub-)classes which is given in full in clause 5.

For the identification of sub-classes and a further subdivision within a given class, a class-dependent methodology is applied. This is explained in the subsequent class-individual sections.

4.3 The Group concept for OSI 2:1990 Profiles

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/735c5683-40dd-40fd-bd51d47174f97f88/iso-icc-tr-10**ISO/IEC/TR** 10000-1 identifies a basic concept s providing connection-mode which will be used in this Taxonomy:

> A Group is a set of T- or U-Profiles that are compatible in the sense that a system implementing one Profile from the Group and another system implementing a Profile from the same Group can be expected to interwork, according to OSI, to some minimum level which is determined by the mandatory features of the Profiles in the Group.

> Interworking according to OSI means end-to-end operation across a single subnetwork, or across multiple subnetworks linked by means of Network (or lower) Layer relays.

> An example of a Group is the set of T-Profiles that provide the Connection-mode Transport Service, using Class 4 Transport Protocol over the Connectionless-mode Network Service, provided by ISO 8473. This Group has members which correspond to different subnetwork technologies but interworking between systems conforming to them is made possible by LAN bridges and/or Network Layer relays.

4.4 Profile classes for OSI

4.4.1 Transport Profiles

4.4.1.1 Principles

Transport Profiles define the use of protocol standards from OSI layers 1 to 4, to provide the OSI Transport Service.

A primary distinction is made between Transport Profiles, based on the mode of Transport Service offered:

- Connection-mode Transport Service: Profile class T
- Connectionless-mode Transport Service: Profile class U

For the Transport Profile classification within each class, the following methodology is applied:

a) As a <u>first level</u> distinction the Group concept (see 4.3) is used in the following way: A NDA b)

> A lower layer Group is a collection of Profiles ards.iteh.ai) which: The Connection

The Connection-mode Transport Service (CO-TS) is

over CO-NS as required by ISO 8073.

Connection-mode Network Service

4.4.1.2 Connection-mode Transport Service:

Based on functional standardization already under

way in organizations represented in SGFS and on standards already developed in ISO, the following

lower layer Groups are identified as being of value.

Connection-mode Transport Service over

Connectionless-mode Network Service:

The Connection-mode Transport Service (CO-TS) is

provided over the Connectionless-mode Network

Service (CL-NS) by requiring the use of the Class 4

NOTE - A system implementing Group TA and claiming

Connection-mode Transport Service over

conformance to ISO 8073 also has to implement the

mandatory transport protocol classes for operation

Transport Protocol as defined in ISO 8073/Add. 2.

Profile class T

They are characterized as follows:

Group T A

support the same combination of modes of standard/star/Scolec-tr-10000-2-1990
 Transport and Network Service; d47174f97f88/iso-iec-tr-10000-2-1990

a)

• support the same Transport Protocol Class(es);

The notion of a Group is incorporated in the classification.

- b) The <u>second level</u> distinction between Profiles, i.e. within a Group, is made according to the subnetwork type supported. (See 5.1.1 for examples of subnetwork types).
- c) <u>Further subdivisions</u> are made according to the characteristics of a particular subnetwork, e.g., switched versus leased line.
 (See 5.1.1 for examples of such characteristics).

Profiles of this characteristic are further grouped according to their required support of Transport Protocol class(es):

mandatory 1) transport

	protocol classes
Group TB:	0 and 2 and 4 $^{2)}$
Group TC:	0 and 2 ²⁾
Group TD:	0
Group TE:	2 3)

- 'mandatory' means those Transport Protocol classes made mandatory by the base standard, ISO 8073, plus any class required for Group membership
- ²⁾ The class negotiation rules to be employed are those in CCITT Recommendation X.224 (1988).
- ³⁾ A system implementing Group TE and claiming conformance to CCITT Recommendation X.224 (1988) also has to implement transport protocol class 0.

4

4.4.1.3 **Connectionless-mode Transport** Service: Profile class U

Connectionless-mode Transport Service over a) **Connectionless-mode Network Service:**

Group UA

The Connectionless-mode Transport Service (CL-TS) is provided using the ISO 8602 Connectionlessmode Transport Protocol. This Group supports the mandatory operation of ISO 8602, over Connectionless-mode Network Service.

b) **Connectionless-mode Transport Service over** Connection-mode Network Service:

Group UB

The Connectionless-mode Transport Service (CL-TS) is provided using the ISO 8602 Connectionlessmode Transport Protocol. This Group supports the option of ISO 8602 that operates over Connectionmode Network Service.

The identifier structure is not meant to capture the variety of details and options of OSI layer 1 such as attachment speeds and connectors. However, it is recognized that this issue must be covered by the appropriate Profile specification.

4.4.1.5 Interworking between Transport **Profile Groups**

The following tables 1 and 2 show the interworking capabilities between Profiles. Table 1 shows the interworking between Profiles in Profile class T, and table 2 shows the interworking among Profiles in Profile class U. Successful establishment of a Transport Connection is dependent upon successful negotiation of parameters, some of which are not considered in the following tables.

No interworking is possible between Groups in class T and U because of the different mode of Transport Service provided.

i leh STANDARI Entries in the tables have the following meaning: NOTE - A system implementing Group UB and claiming con-Full OSI interworking (an OSI relay datory operation over CL-NS as required by ISO 8602.

may be required (see 5.2))

ISO/IEC TR 10000-4.4.1.4 Transport Profile identifier hai/catalog/standards/six Pestricted: d47174f97f88/iso-iec-tr-10000-2-1990 The identifier for a Profile in the lower layers is of the form:

YXabcd

where:

Y

= class designator, indicating the Transport Service mode:

> T for Connection-mode U for Connectionless-mode

- Х = one letter indicating the lower-layer Group within the class, as defined in 4.4.1.2 and 4.4.1.3 above.
- abcd = the structured numerical identifier indicating the subnetwork type supported in this Profile. It is possible that a further level of identifier may become necessary. In general, when referencing a Profile, only that level of identifier which is necessary for uniqueness needs to be used.

Interworking capabilities are restricted in the sense that the choice of Transport Protocol classes may be restricted by the static capability of the responder. Successful interworking is dependent on the satisfactory outcome of class negotiation.

- Special: Non-OSI relay required for interworking (see also 4.4.2.1)
 - Special 1: Special restrictions for interworking exist (see 5.2.4).
 - Special 2: Interworking between these Profile types is not contemplated in any JTC 1 work.
- NOTE Successful interworking depends not only on the satisfactory outcome of the transport protocol class negotiation but also on dynamic responses during transport initiation. Such dynamic responses can include, amongst others, responder reactions to the offered quality-of-service (QoS) or to the specific options requested by the initiator.