



Designation: A 956 – 02

Standard Test Method for Leeb Hardness Testing of Steel Products¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation A 956; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of the Leeb hardness of steel, cast steel, and cast iron (Part A), including the methods for the verification of Leeb hardness testing instruments (Part B), and the calibration of standardized test blocks (Part C).

1.2 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are for information only.

NOTE 1—The original title of this standard was “Standard Test Method for Equotip Hardness Testing of Steel Products”.¹

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

E 691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method²

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 *calibration*—determination of the values of the significant operating parameters of the instrument by comparison with values indicated by a reference instrument or by a set of reference standards.

3.1.2 *Leeb hardness number*—a number equal to the ratio of the rebound velocity to the impact velocity of a 3-mm or 5-mm (based on the type of impact device) diameter tungsten carbide ball or diamond tipped impact body, multiplied by 1000.

$$L = \frac{\text{Rebound Velocity}}{\text{Impact Velocity}} \times 1000$$

The Leeb hardness number is followed by the symbol *HL* with one or more suffix characters representing the type of impact device.

3.1.3 *Leeb hardness test*—a dynamic hardness test method using a calibrated instrument that impacts a spherically shaped carbide ball or diamond tipped body with a fixed velocity

(generated by a spring force) onto a surface of the material under test. The ratio of the rebound velocity to the impact velocity of the impact body is a measure of the hardness of the material under test.

3.1.4 *surface finish*—all references to surface finish in this test method are defined as surface roughness (that is, *Ra* = average roughness value, *AA* = arithmetic average).

3.1.5 *verification*—checking or testing the instrument to ensure conformance with this test method.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Hardness of a material is a poorly defined term that may have many meanings depending on the type of test performed and the expectations of the person involved. The Leeb hardness test is of the dynamic or rebound type, which primarily depends both on the plastic and on the elastic properties of the material being tested. The results obtained are indicative of the strength and dependent on the heat treatment of the material tested.

4.2 The Leeb hardness test is a superficial determination only measuring the condition of the surface contacted. The results generated at that location do not represent the part at any other surface location and yield no information about the material at subsurface locations.

A. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INSTRUMENTS AND TEST PROCEDURE FOR LEEB HARDNESS TEST

5. Apparatus

5.1 The instrument used for Leeb hardness testing consists of (1) an impact device that is equipped with a tungsten carbide ball or synthetic diamond tipped impact body, an induction coil velocity measuring assembly, and a support ring, and (2) an electronic digital display hardness indicating device.

5.2 *Impact Devices*—There are six types of impact devices used in Leeb hardness testing. These are the *D*, *DC*, *D+15*, *G*, *C*, and the *E* impact units. Brief descriptions of the types of devices and their common applications are given in Appendix X1.

5.3 *Summary of Test Method*—During a hardness test, an impact body with a spherically shaped tungsten carbide or diamond tip impacts under spring force, the test surface from which it rebounds. The impact and rebound velocities are

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee A01 on Steel, Stainless Steel, and Related Alloys and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee A01.06 on Steel Forgings and Billets.

Current edition approved July 10, 2002. Published August 2002. Originally published as A 956–97. Last previous edition A 956–00.

Leeb and Equotip are registered trademarks used with permission of Proceq SA.

² *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 14.02.

measured when the impact body is approximately 1 mm from the test surface. This is accomplished by means of a permanent magnet mounted in the impact body which, during the test, moves through a coil in the impact device and induces an electric voltage on both the impact and rebound movements. These induced voltages are proportional to the respective impact and rebound velocities. The quotient of these measured voltage values derived from the impact and rebound velocities, multiplied by the factor 1000 produces a number which constitutes the Leeb hardness value.

6. Test Piece

6.1 *Form*—The Leeb hardness test is acceptable for steel, cast steel, and cast iron with varying shapes and sizes.

6.2 *Thickness and Weight*—The thickness and weight of the test piece shall be considered when selecting the impact device to be employed. The following guidelines are offered as minimum weights and sizes of test pieces for selecting the proper test equipment. Test pieces of weights less than the minimum or pieces of any weight with sections less than the minimum thickness require rigid support and coupling to a thick, heavier non-yielding surface to resist the impact of the device. Failure to provide adequate support and coupling will produce test results lower than the true hardness value.

Impact Device	Weight (min) or	Thickness (min)
D, DC, D+15, E	15 lb (5 kg)	1/8 in. (3 mm)
G	40 lb (15 kg)	3/8 in. (10 mm)
C	4 lb (1.5 kg)	1/32 in. (1 mm)

6.3 *Curvature*—Test pieces with curved surfaces may be tested on either the convex or concave surfaces providing that this radius of curvature of the specimens is matched to the size of the support ring and is not less than 2 in. (50 mm) for the G impact device or 1³/₁₆ in. (30 mm) for other impact devices.

6.4 *Surface Finish/Preparation*—The test surface shall be carefully prepared to avoid any alterations in hardness caused by heating during grinding or by work hardening during machining. Any paint, scale, pits, or other surface coatings shall be completely removed. The surfaces to be tested shall be smooth. Failure to provide adequate surface finish will produce questionable test results. Coarse finishes will tend to lower the measured value. It is recommended that the test surface be machined or ground and polished to the following finishes. (The grinding wheel grit size shown for each finish is offered for guidance in achieving the finish noted.)

Impact Device	Surface Finish—Ra (max)	Grit Size (Approx.)
D, DC, D+15, E	63 μin. (2 μm)	200
G	250 μin. (7 μm)	65
C	16 μin. (0.4 μm)	500

6.5 *Magnetic Fields*—Performance of the Leeb hardness test on parts with a residual magnetic field may affect the results. It is recommended that any residual magnetic field be less than 4 G.

6.6 *Vibration*—Vibration of the test specimen may affect the results of the Leeb hardness test. It is recommended that this test be performed with the test piece at rest.

6.7 *Temperature*—The temperature of the test piece may affect the results of the test. In addition, this effect may be

different for different materials. Testing to this procedure shall be performed with the temperature of the test piece between 40°F (4°C) and 100°F (38°C). At temperatures outside this range, the user shall develop a temperature correction for the specific material being tested.

7. Verification of Apparatus

7.1 *Verification Method*—Prior to each shift, work period, or use and following a period of extended continuous use (1000 impacts), the instrument shall be verified as specified in Part B. Any instrument not meeting the requirements of Part B shall not be employed for the acceptance testing of product.

8. Procedure

8.1 *Test Method*—To perform a hardness test, the impact device is connected to the indicator device and the instrument is turned on. The impact device, while not in contact with the test piece, is held firmly with one hand and the charging tube is depressed with the other hand until contact is felt. The charging tube is allowed to slowly return to the starting position. The impact body is now in its loaded or locked position. After placing the impact device on the test surface, trigger the impact body by exerting a light pressure on the release button. The Leeb hardness value is read on the indicator device.

8.2 *Alignment*—To prevent errors resulting from misalignment, the base support ring of the impact device shall be held snugly and perpendicular to the surface of the test piece.

8.3 *Impact Direction*—The impact device is calibrated for the down vertical impact direction (perpendicular to a horizontal surface). For other impact directions such as 45° from the horizontal plane or from underneath, the measured hardness values will require adjustment (see 9.2). Some newer models automatically compensate for test direction.

8.4 *Spacing Indentations*—The distance between any two impact points shall not be less than two diameters edge-to-edge. The distance between the impact point and a specimen edge shall not be less than three diameters edge-to-edge. No point shall be impacted more than once.

8.5 *Reading the Leeb Instrument*—Hardness values in Leeb units are read directly on the electronic display of the indicator device. The indicated value is automatically replaced with the next test impact result.





8.6 *Number of Impacts*—Five impacts in an area of approximately 1 in.² (645 mm²) shall constitute a test. If the material being tested is considered to be nonhomogeneous (for example, cast iron) ten impacts in an area shall be made to constitute a test.

9. Calculation of Hardness Result





9.1 The hardness test result shall be the arithmetic average of the five individual impact readings in the measuring area.

9.2 *Correction for Test Direction*—When using an Leeb instrument without automatic compensation for test direction, the correction value for direction of test impact is to be subtracted from the average value determined for the measuring area. This correction value can be determined in accordance with Tables 1-6.





**TABLE 1 Correction Values for Other Impact Directions:
Device D**

L_D				
300				
350	-6	-12	-20	-29
400	-6	-12	-19	-27
450	-5	-11	-18	-25
500	-5	-10	-17	-24
550	-5	-10	-16	-22
600	-4	-9	-15	-20
650	-4	-8	-14	-19
700	-4	-8	-13	-18
750	-3	-7	-12	-17
800	-3	-6	-11	-16
850	-3	-6	-10	-15
900	-2	-5	-9	-14





**TABLE 3 Correction Values for Other Impact Directions:
Device E**

L_E				
300				
350	-5	-9	-18	-26
400	-4	-9	-17	-24
450	-4	-9	-16	-22
500	-4	-8	-15	-21
550	-4	-8	-14	-20
600	-4	-8	-13	-18
650	-3	-7	-12	-17
700	-3	-7	-12	-16
750	-3	-6	-11	-15
800	-3	-6	-10	-14
850	-3	-5	-9	-13
900	-2	-5	-8	-12

**TABLE 2 Correction Values for Other Impact Directions:
Device D + 15**

$L_D + 15$				
300				
350	-7	-14	-26	-38
400	-7	-13	-25	-36
450	-6	-12	-23	-34
500	-6	-12	-22	-32
550	-6	-11	-21	-30
600	-6	-11	-20	-28
650	-5	-10	-19	-27
700	-5	-10	-18	-25
750	-5	-9	-17	-24
800	-4	-9	-16	-22
850	-4	-8	-15	-21
900	-4	-8	-14	-20

**TABLE 4 Correction Values for Other Impact Directions:
Device C**

L_C				
350				
400	-7	-15	A	A
450	-7	-14		
500	-7	-13		
550	-6	-13		
600	-6	-12		
650	-6	-11		
700	-5	-10		
750	-5	-10		
800	-4	-9		
850	-4	-8		
900	-4	-7		
950	-3	-6		

^A Not permitted.

10. Conversion to Other Hardness Scales or Tensile Strength Values





10.1 There is no direct correlation between the Leeb hardness test principle and other hardness methods or a tensile strength test. All such conversions are, at best, approximations and therefore conversions should be avoided except for special cases where a reliable basis for the approximate conversion and

the accuracy of the conversion has been obtained by comparison testing. No conversions shall be employed without specific agreement between the party specifying this test method and the party performing the hardness test.





11. Report

11.1 Report the following information:

**TABLE 5 Correction Values for Other Impact Directions:
Device G (Steel)**

L_a				
300	-12	-18
350	-11	-17
400	-11	-16
450	-2	-5	-10	-15
500	-9	-14
550	-9	-13
600	-8	-12
650	-8	-11
700	-7	-10
750	-7	-10

**TABLE 6 Correction Values for Other Impact Directions:
Device G (Grey Cast Iron)**

L_a				
350	-11	-17
400	-11	-16
450	-2	-5	-10	-15
500	-9	-14
550	-9	-13
600	-9	-13

11.1.1 The average Leeb hardness number for each test area with the impact device indicated (for example, xxx *HLD* or xxx *HLD*+15).

11.1.2 When hardness values converted from the Leeb number are reported, the instrument used shall be reported in parentheses, for example, *HB (HLG)*.

12. Precision and Bias

12.1 Precision:

12.1.1 *Interlaboratory Test Program*—An interlaboratory test program was conducted in accordance with to develop information regarding the precision of the Leeb hardness measurements. Eight laboratories tested five certified test blocks. Each laboratory measured the hardness of each block 25 times.

12.1.2 *Test Result*—The precision information given below is the average of the five certified test blocks, each of a different hardness.

12.1.3 *Repeatability and Reproducibility:*

95 % Repeatability Limit (within laboratory) = 4.4 %

95 % Reproducibility Limit (between laboratories) = 8.8 %

12.1.3.1 The terms in 12.1.3 (repeatability limit and reproducibility limit) are used as specified in Practice E 691. The respective standard deviations among test results, related to the above numbers by the factor 2.8, are:

Repeatability Standard Deviation = 1.6 %

Reproducibility Standard Deviation = 3.2 %

12.2 *Bias*—Since hardness is not an intrinsic property of a material, there is no basis on which to determine or assign an accepted reference value. Consequently, there is no basis for defining the bias of this test method.

B. VERIFICATION OF LEEB HARDNESS INSTRUMENTS

13. Scope

13.1 Part B covers the procedure for verification of Leeb hardness instruments by a standardized block method.

14. General Requirements

14.1 Before a Leeb hardness instrument is verified, the instrument shall be examined to ensure that:

14.1.1 The batteries in the indicating device are not discharged, and faulty batteries are replaced as required.

14.1.2 The impact device is clean, and the spherical tip of the impact body is free from all foreign matter (for example, dust, dirt, grease, scale, etc.).

14.1.3 The tip of the impact body is free from cracks or deformed areas.

14.1.4 The test block is placed on a clean, level, firmly supported base.

15. Verification by Standardized Test Blocks

15.1 Check the Leeb hardness instrument by making two impacts on a standardized test block.

15.2 The Leeb hardness instrument shall be considered verified if the individual readings fall within ± 6 HL units of the reference value. Any instrument not verified shall not be used for testing without repair and re-verification.

C. CALIBRATION OF STANDARDIZED HARDNESS TEST BLOCKS FOR LEEB HARDNESS INSTRUMENTS

16. Scope

16.1 Part C covers the calibration of standardized hardness test blocks used for the verification of Leeb hardness instruments.

17. Manufacture

17.1 Each test block shall be made of steel with dimensions not less than $3\frac{1}{2}$ in. (90 mm) in diameter by $2\frac{1}{8}$ in. (54 mm) thick for impact devices *D*, *DC*, *D+15*, *C*, and *E* and $4\frac{3}{4}$ in. (120 mm) in diameter by $2\frac{3}{4}$ in. (70 mm) thick for impact device *G*. The two opposite end plane surfaces shall be parallel.

17.2 Each block shall be specifically prepared and heat treated to give a specific hardness and the necessary homogeneity and stability of structure.

17.3 Each steel block shall be demagnetized by the manufacturer and maintained demagnetized by the user.

17.4 A non-test surface of the test block shall have a fine ground finish of 250 $\mu\text{in.}$ (7 μm) maximum.

17.5 The test surface shall be polished or fine ground and free of scratches and other discontinuities which would influence the rebound characteristics of the test block.

17.6 The surface finish of the test surface shall not exceed 16 $\mu\text{in.}$ (0.4 μm) maximum.

17.7 To ensure that no material is subsequently removed from the test surface of the standardized test block, an official mark or the thickness to an accuracy of ± 0.001 in. (± 0.025 mm) at the time of calibration shall be marked on the test surface.

18. Standardizing Procedure

18.1 The standardizing hardness test blocks shall be calibrated with an Leeb instrument for which the operational characteristics have been certified by the manufacturer and which has been verified in accordance with the requirements of Part B.

18.2 Make ten randomly distributed hardness impacts on the test surface of the test block.

18.3 Take the arithmetic mean of all of the readings as the mean hardness of the test block.

19. Uniformity of Hardness

19.1 Unless the difference between the largest and the smallest of the ten readings is less than 13 Leeb units, the block cannot be regarded as sufficiently uniform for standardization purposes.

20. Marking

20.1 Each block shall be marked with:

20.1.1 Arithmetic mean of the hardness values found in the standardization test suffixed by the scale designation letter (for example, *HLD*).

20.1.2 The name or mark of the supplier.

20.1.3 The thickness of the test block.

21. Keywords

21.1 Dynamic Hardness Test; Equotip; Equotip Hardness Test; Leeb; Rebound Hardness Test

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. STANDARD SINGLE COIL REBOUND HARDNESS TESTERS ACCORDING TO THE LEEB PRINCIPLE

X1.1 General Description

X1.1.1 There are seven established types of impact devices for rebound hardness testers according to the Leeb principle, *D*, *DC*, *E*, *D+15*, *DL*, *C*, and *G*. The impact devices *D* and *E* have become industry standards for general purpose applications since the first introduction of the *D*-device in 1975. The other types have been added with the time for applications with special requirements. For more details, see X1.4.

X1.1.2 It is well known that the *L*-readings for a given specimen differ significantly, depending on the impact device type used. The main reasons for this are:

- X1.1.2.1 Different impact energies;
- X1.1.2.2 Different sizes and materials of the indenter; and
- X1.1.2.3 Different stiffnesses of the impact bodies.

X1.1.3 One important advantage of the Leeb testing method is, that it can be used for any direction. The results are, however, not completely independent on the impact angle. Each of the standard probes has its own characteristic direction dependency, which is determined by:

- X1.1.3.1 The combination of the impact velocity and the free flight length of the impact body; and
- X1.1.3.2 The shape of the induction voltage signal, which is determined by the velocity vs. time curve on the one hand and by the characteristics of the sensor coil and the permanent magnet on the other hand.

X1.1.4 For the standard single coil rebound hardness testing devices, a typical induction voltage curve is sketched in Fig. X1.2 where the shape of this curve is unique for all impact devices of this type. The impact and rebound velocities are

assumed to be proportional to the extremal values *A* and *B* of the signal curve, which is a good approximation, if the device is constructed so, that the extremals are near the signal step caused by the impact. If they are too near, however, the reproducibility of the measurement suffers, because the signal




FIG. X1.1 Impact Device D




FIG. X1.2 Principle of Standard Single Coil Leeb Hardness Testers

is often disturbed short after the impact. The width of the signal curve has some influence on the result, because it determines, how good the proportionality between minimum value B and rebound velocity is.

X1.1.5 Another parameter of paramount importance determining the actual L value for a material of a given hardness is the impact energy, which follows from the impact velocity, the mass of the impact body, and its stiffness (which determines, how much energy the impact body absorbs). In order to reproduce the standard direction dependency, it is necessary to specify velocity and mass separately and to have a specific free flight length. This means that the impact energy in general is the most important parameter for significance of L -values for all rebound hardness testers working in units of the seven different standard impact devices listed in 5.1 and given in

Table X1.1. Furthermore, the L value depends on the geometry of the indenter and its material properties, predominantly hardness and elasticity.

X1.1.6 Finally, the effect of deceleration by eddy currents may affect the result. So the tube material must be specified, too, as well as special precautions have to be taken to reduce eddy currents.

X1.2 Specifications of Standard Single Coil Hardness Testers

X1.2.1 Table X1.1 compiles the relevant specification for impact devices D/DC , E , $D+15$, DL , C , and G . Coil and permanent magnet are not explicitly specified. They have to be chosen in such a way, that the specified parameters of the