Designation: D 3763-02

# Standard Test Method for High Speed Puncture Properties of Plastics Using Load and Displacement Sensors ${ }^{1}$ 


#### Abstract

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 3763; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.


## 1. Scope *

1.1 This test method covers the determination of puncture properties of plastics, including films, over a range of test velocities.
1.2 Test data obtained by this test method is relevant and appropriate for use in engineering design.
1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard.
1.4 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

Note 1-This specification does not closely conform to ISO 6603.2. The only similarity between the two tests is that they are both instrumented impact tests. The differences in striker, fixture, and specimen geometries and in test velocity can produce significantly different test results.

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

D 618 Practice for Conditioning Plastics and Electrical Insulating Materials for Testing ${ }^{2}$
D 883 Terminology Relating to Plastics ${ }^{2}$
D 1600 Terminology for Abbreviated Terms Relating to Plastics ${ }^{2}$
D 4000 Classification System for Specifying Plastic Materials ${ }^{3}$
E 691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method ${ }^{4}$

### 2.2 ISO Standard: ${ }^{5}$

ISO 6603.2 Plastics—Determination of Multiaxial Impact Behavior of Rigid Plastics Part 2: Instrumented Puncture Test

[^0]
## 3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions-For definitions see Terminology D 883 and for abbreviations see Terminology D 1600.

## 4. Significance and Use

4.1 This test method is designed to provide load versus deformation response of plastics under essentially multiaxial deformation conditions at impact velocities. This test method further provides a measure of the rate sensitivity of the material to impact.
4.2 Multiaxial impact response, while partly dependent on thickness, does not necessarily have a linear correlation with specimen thickness. Therefore, results should be compared only for specimens of essentially the same thickness, unless specific responses versus thickness formulae have been established for the material.
4.3 For many materials, there may be a specification that requires the use of this test method, but with some procedural modifications that take precedence when adhering to the specification. Therefore, it is advisable to refer to that material specification before using this test method. Table 1 of Classification System D 4000 lists the ASTM materials standards that currently exist.

## 5. Interferences

5.1 Inertial Effects-A loading function encountered when performing an instrumented impact test that may, in some cases, confuse the interpretation of the test data. For further definition and examples of inertial effects, refer to Appendix X1.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 The testing machine shall consist of two assemblies, one fixed and the other driven by a suitable method to achieve the required impact velocity (that is, hydraulic, pneumatic, mechanical, or gravity):
6.1.1 Clamp Assembly, consisting of two parallel rigid plates with a $76.0 \pm 3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter hole in the center of each. The hole edges shall be rounded to a radius of $0.8 \pm 0.4$ mm . Sufficient force must be applied (mechanically, pneumatically, or hydraulically) to prevent slippage of the specimen in
the clamp during impact. If films are tested, some type of gasket may also be required to prevent slippage.
6.1.2 Plunger Assembly, consisting of a $12.70 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter steel rod with a hemispherical end of the same diameter positioned perpendicular to, and centered on, the clamp hole.
6.1.3 Other Geometries- The dimensions given in 6.1.1 and 6.1.2 shall be the standard geometry. If other plunger or hole sizes are used they shall be highlighted in the report. Correlations between various geometries have not been established.
6.1.4 Load Sensing System—A load cell of sufficiently high natural resonance frequency, as described in A1.1, used together with a calibrating network for adjusting load sensitivity.
6.1.5 Plunger Displacement Measurement System—A means of monitoring the displacement of the moving assembly during the loading and complete penetration of the specimen. This can be accomplished through the use of a suitable transducer or potentiometer attached directly to the system. Photographic or optical systems can also be utilized for measuring displacement.
6.1.5.1 Alternatively, displacement may be calculated as a function of velocity and total available energy at initial impact, along with increments of load versus time, using a microprocessor.
6.1.5.2 Some machines use an accelerometer, whose output is used to calculate both load and displacement.
6.1.6 Display and Recording Instrumentation-Use any suitable means to display and record the data developed from the load and displacement-sensing systems, provided its response characteristics are capable of presenting the data sensed, with minimal distortion. The recording apparatus shall record load and displacement simultaneously. For further information, see A1.2.
6.1.6.1 The most rudimentary apparatus is a cathode-ray oscilloscope with a camera. This approach also requires a planimeter or other suitable device, capable of measuring the area under the recorded load-versus-displacement trace of the event with an accuracy of $\pm 5 \%$.
6.1.6.2 More sophisticated systems are commercially available. Most of them include computerized data reduction and automatic printouts of results.

## 7. Test Specimen

7.1 Specimens must be large enough to be adequately gripped in the clamp. In general, the minimum lateral dimension should be at least 13 mm greater than the diameter of the hole in the clamp (see 6.1.1 and 10.9).
7.2 Specimens may be cut from injection-molded, extruded, or compression molded sheet; or they may be cast or molded to size.

## 8. Conditioning

8.1 Conditioning- Condition the test specimens in a room or enclosed space maintained $23 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $50 \%$ relative humidity, in accordance with Procedure A in Practice D 618 unless otherwise specified.
8.2 Test Conditions - Conduct tests in the standard laboratory atmosphere of $23 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $50 \pm 5 \%$ relative humidity,
unless otherwise specified. In cases of disagreement, the tolerances shall be $\pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\pm 2 \%$ relative humidity.
8.2.1 By changing the conditioning and test temperature in a controlled manner for a given test velocity, the temperature at which transition from ductile to brittle failure occurs can be determined for most plastics.

Note 2-To facilitate high throughput during automated testing at temperatures other than ambient, it is often necessary to stack the specimens in a column with no airflow in between. To assure compliance with Section 10 of Practice D 618, the time to equilibrium must be determined for a given material. A thermocouple may be placed at the center of a specimen stack in which its height is equal to its minimum width. Determine the time to reach equilibrium at the desired test temperature. Experiments with materials having low thermal conductivity values have shown that more than 7.5 h of soak time was required before the stack center temperature fell within the tolerances specified in D 618 at a setpoint of $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Two and a half additional hours were needed to reach equilibrium. The opposite extreme was seen in a material of higher thermal conductivity that only required 2 h to reach equilibrium at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 9. Speed of Testing

9.1 For recommended testing speeds see 10.4.

## 10. Procedure

10.1 Test a minimum of five specimens at each specified speed.
10.2 Measure and record the thickness of each specimen to the nearest 0.025 mm at the center of the specimen.
10.3 Clamp the specimen between the plates of the specimen holder, taking care to center the specimen for uniform gripping. Tighten the clamping plate in such a way as to provide uniform clamping pressure to prevent slippage during testing.
10.4 Set the test speed to the desired value. The testing speed (movable-member velocity at the instant before contact with the specimen) shall be as follows:
10.4.1 For single-speed tests, use a velocity of $200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$.
10.4.1.1 Other speeds may be used, provided they are clearly stated in the report.
10.4.2 To measure the dependence of puncture properties on impact velocity, use a broad range of test speeds. Some suggested speeds are $2.5,25,125,200$, and $250 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$.
10.5 Set the available energy so that the velocity slowdown is no more than $20 \%$ from the beginning of the test to the point of peak load. If the velocity should decrease by more than $20 \%$, discard the results and make additional tests on new specimens with more available energy.

Note 3-It is observed that when the available energy is at least three times the absorbed energy at the peak load velocity slow-down is less than $20 \%$.
10.6 Place a safety shield around the specimen holder.
10.7 Make the necessary adjustments to data collection apparatus as required by the manufacturer's instructions or consult literature such as STP $936^{6}$ for further information regarding setting up data acquisition systems.

[^1]10.8 Conduct the test, following the manufacturer's instructions for the specific equipment used.
10.9 Remove the specimen and inspect the gripped portion for striations or other evidence of slippage. If there is evidence of slippage, modify the clamping conditions or increase the specimen size and repeat test procedures.

## 11. Calculation

11.1 Using the load-versus-displacement trace and appropriate scaling factors, calculate the following:
11.1.1 Peak load, in newtons.
11.1.2 Deflection, in millimetres, to the point where peak load first occurred.
11.1.3 From the area within the trace, calculate:
11.1.3.1 Energy, in joules, to the point where load first occurred.
11.1.3.2 Total energy absorbed. The point for determining this has not been standardized. Therefore, the point used for each test must be stated in the report.
11.1.4 Load, deflection, energy, or combination thereof, at any other specific point of interest (see Appendix X1).
11.2 For each series of tests, calculate the arithmetic mean for each of the above, to three significant figures.
11.3 Calculate the estimated standard deviations as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left(\frac{\Sigma X^{2}-n \bar{X}^{2}}{n-1}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$S=$ estimated standard deviation,
$X=$ value of a single determination
$n=$ number of determinations, and
$\bar{X}=$ arithmetic mean of the set of determinations.

## 12. Report

12.1 Report the following information:
12.1.1 Complete identification of the material tested, includ-
ing type, source, manufacturer's code number, form and previous history,
12.1.2 Specimen size and thickness,
12.1.3 Method of preparing test specimens (compression molding, casting, etc.),
12.1.4 Geometry of clamp and plunger, if different from 6.1.1 and 6.1.2,
12.1.5 Source and types of equipment,
12.1.6 Speed of testing (see 10.4),
12.1.7 The point on the curve at which total energy was calculated (see 11.1.3.2),
12.1.8 Average value and standard deviation for each of the properties listed in 11.1,
12.1.9 Whether or not any slippage of the specimens was detected, and
12.1.10 If the effect of testing speeds was studied (see 10.4.2).

## 13. Precision and Bias

13.1 Tables 1-3 are based on a round robin conducted in 1996 in accordance with Practice E 691, involving 7 materials tested by 11 laboratories. For each material, all of the specimens were prepared at the laboratory of the company volun-

## TABLE 1 Maximum Load

Note $1-\mathrm{MU}=$ microcellular urethane, $\mathrm{CP}=$ cellulose propionate.
Note 2-Thicknesses were: aluminum, 0.031 in.; all others, 0.12 in.
Note 3-1982 round robin data, including precision and bias statements, may be found in Appendix X4.

| Material | Mean, N | $S_{r}{ }^{A}$ <br> N | $S_{R}{ }^{B}$, <br> N | $r,{ }^{C}$ <br> N | $R^{D},{ }^{D}$ <br> N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Aluminum | 4094 | 75.38 | 349.0 | 211 | 977 |
| (B) ABS | 3783 | 200.22 | 295.2 | 561 | 827 |
| (C) MU | 1704 | 110.53 | 149.6 | 309 | 419 |
| (D) PC | 6368 | 380.58 | 455.1 | 1066 | 1274 |
| (E) Polyester | 4244 | 154.57 | 278.7 | 433 | 780 |
| (F) CP | 4889 | 377.24 | 424.6 | 1056 | 1189 |
| (G) PP | 2703 | 164.89 | 246.5 | 462 | 690 |

${ }^{A} S_{r}=$ within-laboratory standard deviation for the indicated material. It is obtained by pooling the within-laboratory standard deviations from the test results from all of the participating laboratories as follows:

$$
S_{r}=\left[\left[\left(S_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(S_{2}\right)^{2} \ldots+\left(S_{n}\right)^{2}\right] / n\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

${ }^{B} S_{R}=$ between-laboratories reproducibility, expressed as standard deviation, as follows:

$$
S_{R}=\left[S_{r}^{2}+S_{L}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where $S_{L}=$ standard deviation of laboratory means.
${ }^{C_{r}}{ }_{r=\text { within-laboratory critical interval between two test results }}=2.8 \times S_{r}$.
$D R=$ between-laboratories critical interval between two test results $=2.8 \times$ $S_{R}$.

## TABLE 2 Deflection to Maximum Load Point

Note $1-\mathrm{MU}=$ microcellular urethane, $\mathrm{CP}=$ cellulose propionate.
Note 2-Thicknesses were: aluminum, 0.031 in .; all others, 0.12 in
Note 3-1982 round robin data, including precision and bias statements may be found in Appendix X4.

| Material | Mean, <br> mm | $S_{r}{ }^{A}$ <br> mm | $S_{R},{ }^{B}$ <br> mm | $r,{ }^{C}$ <br> mm | $R,{ }^{D}$ <br> mm |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Alumi- | 8.74 | 0.2227 | 0.619 | 0.62 | 1.73 |
| num | 15.75 | 0.7009 | 0.811 | 1.96 | 2.27 |
| (B) ABS | 19.33 | 0.9923 | 1.238 | 2.78 | 3.47 |
| (C) MU | 12.21 | 0.8567 | 0.897 | 2.40 | 2.51 |
| (D) PC | 2.0940 | 2.56 | 2.63 |  |  |
| (E) Polyester | 19.03 | 0.9144 | 0.940 |  |  |
| (F) CP | 16.21 | 1.0858 | 1.122 | 3.04 | 3.14 |
| (G) PP | 15.81 | 0.7763 | 0.920 | 2.17 | 2.58 |

$A S_{r}=$ within-laboratory standard deviation for the indicated material. It is obtained by pooling the within-laboratory standard deviations from the test results from all of the participating laboratories as follows:

$$
S_{r}=\left[\left[\left(S_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(S_{2}\right)^{2} \ldots+\left(S_{n}\right)^{2}\right] / n\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

${ }^{B} S_{R}=$ between-laboratories reproducibility, expressed as standard deviation, as follows:

$$
S_{R}=\left[S_{12}+S_{L}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where $S_{L}=$ standard deviation of laboratory means.
${ }^{{ }^{c}}{ }_{r}=$ within-laboratory critical interval between two test results $=2.8 \times S_{r}$
${ }^{D} R=$ between-laboratories critical interval between two test results $=2.8 \times S_{R}$.
teering that material for the round robin. Ten specimens from each material were sent to each participating laboratory. Each test result was the average of 5 individual determinations. Each laboratory obtained 2 test results for each material.

Note 4-Caution: The explanations of $r$ and $R(13.2-13.2 .3)$ are only intended to present a meaningful way of considering the approximate precision of this test method. The data in Tables 1-3 should not be applied to acceptance or rejection of materials, as these data only apply to the materials tested in the round robin and are unlikely to be rigorously representative of other lots, conditions, materials, or laboratories. Users of this test method should apply the principles outlined in Practice E 691 to generate data specific to their materials and laboratory (or between specific laboratories). The principles of 13.2-13.2.3 would then be valid for such data.

## TABLE 3 Energy to Maximum Load Point

Note $1-\mathrm{MU}=$ microcellular urethane, $\mathrm{CP}=$ cellulose propionate.
Note 2-Thicknesses were: aluminum, 0.031 in .; all others, 0.12 in .
Note 3-1982 round robin data, including precision and bias statements, may be found in Appendix X4.

| Material | Mean, J | $S_{r,}{ }^{A} \mathrm{~J}$ | $S_{R}{ }^{B}{ }^{B} \mathrm{~J}$ | $r,{ }^{C} \mathrm{~J}$ | $R,{ }^{D} \mathrm{~J}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Alumi- | 14.78 | 0.506 | 2.03 | 1.42 | 5.67 |
| num |  |  |  |  |  |
| (B) ABS | 30.05 | 2.083 | 2.93 | 5.83 | 8.21 |
| (C) MU | 14.69 | 1.212 | 1.71 | 3.39 | 4.78 |
| (D) PC | 71.23 | 2.324 | 3.77 | 6.51 | 10.56 |
| (E) Polyester | 43.16 | 1.642 | 3.12 | 4.60 | 8.75 |
| (F) CP | 35.31 | 3.359 | 3.75 | 9.41 | 10.49 |
| (G) PP | 21.21 | 1.357 | 2.86 | 3.80 | 8.01 |

${ }^{A} S_{r}=$ within-laboratory standard deviation for the indicated material. It is obtained by pooling the within-laboratory standard deviations from the test results from all of the participating laboratories as follows:

$$
S_{r}=\left[\left[\left(S_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(S_{2}\right)^{2} \ldots+\left(S_{n}\right)^{2}\right] / n\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

${ }^{B} S_{R}=$ between-laboratories reproducibility, expressed as standard deviation, as follows:

$$
S_{R}=\left[S_{r}^{2}+S_{L}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where $S_{L}=$ standard deviation of laboratory means.
${ }^{C_{r}} r=$ within-laboratory critical interval between two test results $=2.8 \times S_{r}$.
${ }^{D} R=$ between-laboratories critical interval between two test results $=2.8 \times S_{R}$.
13.2 Concept of $r$ and $R$ in Tables 1-3-If $S_{r}$ and $S_{R}$ have been calculated from a large enough body of data, and for test
results that were averages from testing 5 specimens for each test result, then the following applies:
13.2.1 Repeatability - Two test results obtained within one laboratory shall be judged not equivalent if they differ by more than the $r$ value for that material. $r$ is the interval representing the critical difference between two test results for the same material, obtained by the same operator using the same equipment on the same day in the same laboratory.
13.2.2 Reproducibility - Two test results obtained by different laboratories shall be judged not equivalent if they differ more than the $R$ value for that material. $R$ is the interval representing the critical difference between two test results for the same material, obtained by different operators using different equipment in different laboratories.
13.2.3 Any judgment in accordance with 13.2.1 and 13.2.2 would have an approximate $95 \%$ ( 0.95 ) probability of being correct.
13.3 Bias-There are no recognized standards by which to estimate bias of this test method.

## 14. Keywords

14.1 falling weight; impact testing; plastics; puncture properties

## ANNEX

## (Mandatory Information)

## A1. MINIMUM INSTRUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

A1.1 Force Measurement-Any transducer that meets the performance requirements for dynamic force measurement may be used. This includes, but is not limited to, strain gage force transducers, piezo-electric force transducers and accelerometers.

A1.1.1 Performance Requirements-The natural frequency $\left(f_{\text {dev }}\right)$ of the transducer plus striker shall be sufficient to avoid distortion of the force-time or acceleration-time data. The time failure ( $t_{f}$ ), in seconds, of a given test specimen regulates the minimum natural frequency for a transducer/striker assembly by the following relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{f}=3 / f_{d e v} \tag{A1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since time to failure is generally greater than 0.5 msec for plastics, a transducer assembly with a natural frequency greater than 6 kHz is recommended $(0.0005>=3 / 6000)$. In addition, the transducer must have the durability to survive repeated impact tests without change in output from its initial calibrated state.

[^2]A1.1.2 Natural Frequency-The mass of the striker assembly between transducer and specimen is directly related to the natural frequency $\left(f_{d e v}\right)$ of that transducer and can influence the
force or acceleration data. Appendix X1, (X1.9.3) describes a method for approximating $f_{\text {dev }}$ for any given transducer assembly.

A1.1.3 Transducer Location-The transducer should be located as close as possible to the impact point of the transducer/striker assembly to minimize the mass effect as described in A1.1.2. For testing involving extremely tough materials, it may be necessary to locate the transducer further from the impact point to prevent damage. Generally, this class of materials will produce a high loading impact event with a long $t_{f}$ Under these conditions, a transducer/striker assembly with a $f_{\text {dev }}$ lower than 6 kHz will not adversely affect the test data. This is due to the damping effect of the test specimen itself as well as the large magnitude of the loading event in comparison to the initial oscillation produced by the transducer assembly.

A1.2 Recording Apparatus-Any recording device that meets the performance requirements of dynamic data acquisition may be used. This includes, but is not limited to, oscilloscopes, data loggers, and computer based data acquisition systems.

A1.2.1 Performance Requirements-The recording device used to capture a dynamic signal must have the capability to accurately represent that signal with minimal alteration. The following are system recommendations:

A1.2.1.1 8-bit or larger analog to digital converter,

A1.2.1.2 100 kHz minimum sampling rate,
A1.2.1.3 Minimum 1000 data point storage capacity,
A1.2.1.4 Adjustable test times to optimize data resolution, and

A1.2.1.5 Adjustable signal amplification to optimize load readings.

A1.2.2 For materials with a short $t_{f}(0.1$ to 2 mSec$)$ or complex loading/failure mechanisms, the sampling rate and number of data points captured should be increased to properly represent the impact event.

## APPENDIXES

## (Nonmandatory Information)

## X1. ADDITIONAL RESULTS AND DATA INTERPRETATION

X1.1 This test method produces a record of load versus displacement for a penetration impact-type test. These recordings may have useful or important characteristics beyond those required in Section 11. These additional parameters may be reported when identified by controlled penetration, photographic, or other means. It must be emphasized that the load-displacement recordings are dependent on specimen geometry, size, thickness and testing speed. The loaddisplacement recordings may also display signals or artifacts that are the result of physical or electrical contributions from the test device. If the source of these contributions can be verified, they should be disregarded or filtered. Comparisons should only be made between equivalent specimens and test conditions. The following are examples of some characteristics that have been found useful or may affect the interpretation of the test data.

Note X1.1-While this test method discusses the interpretation of load-displacement curve data, an impact event is time-based. Therefore, if a "referee" situation arises when data are in question, a load-time curve should be used to determine characteristics of a given impact event.

X1.2 Inertial Effect-A loading function encountered when performing an instrumented impact test that may often be recognized as a "bump," a series of "peaks" or an "initial discontinuity" near the beginning of the load-displacement curve (Fig. X1.1). At this point, it is important to list the three main load contributions affecting a load transducer/probe


FIG. X1.1 Inertial Effect
assembly during an impact test: ( 1) Inertial acceleration loads (probe mass and specimen mass), (2) Mechanical bending loads (test specimen), and (3) Test system "ringing" (test device + transducer/probe + specimen).

X1.2.1 The level of contribution of each of these factors depends upon the portion of the test being studied along with the toughness and stiffness of the test specimen. Generally, when a material has a high toughness and a low to medium stiffness, the inertial effects will occur early in the test and not affect the data required in Section 11. However, some brittle materials, possessing high stiffness and low toughness, will often show inertial effects or system ringing, or both, persisting to the point of first crack (Fig. X1.2). For related information, see X1.9.

X1.3 First Crack or Damage-When there is a sharp loss of load with increasing displacement followed by a noticeable change in the slope of the curve, the loss in load can indicate the first crack or damage in the part (Fig. X1.3). This crack or damage can often be proven by use of controlled penetration or controlled energy input. This is of value where the crack or damage in the part constitutes failure. It is also valuable in composite materials where it signifies first failure of the matrix material.

X1.4 Relative Stiffness-Where a distinct linear portion can be identified within the proportional limit, the slope of the


FIG. X1.2 Inertial Effect Interference


FIG. X1.3 First Crack Determination
initial load-displacement curve is often useful as a relative measure of the elastic response of the specimen (Fig. X1.4). Precautions must be taken to compare only data from specimens of the same thickness and test conditions.

X1.5 Proportional Limit-The proportional limit is the first major deviation from the initial linear portion of the loaddisplacement curve (Fig. X1.4). It can be used as the point of onset of plastic damage. It is specimen and test condition dependent.

X1.6 Failure of Composite-The failure of composite structures in the penetration test may be characterized by a variety of changes in the load-displacement curve after first crack or damage. Some of the most common are multiple peaks when testing a matrix material or multiple slope changes when testing a fiber-reinforced, layered, or filled material (Fig. X1.5 and Fig. X1.6). The inclusion of the area under all of the peaks is often important, especially when the total energy absorbed by the part is significant, such as in automotive or packaging applications.

Note X1.2—Fig. X1.5 and Fig. X1.6 represent only two examples from a multitude of possibilities that might be encountered while testing composite materials.


FIG. X1.4 Relative Stiffness Comparison and Proportional Limit


FIG. X1.5 Laminated Glass Data


FIG. X1.6 Fiber-Reinforced Resin Data

X1.7 Ductile or Elastomeric Failure-There are several means of defining the point of failure of ductile- or elastomeric-type materials. The operator must define the criteria used if reporting this point. The failure may be obvious if the load drops to zero with little or no increase in displacement. A percent drop in load from the peak is one type of criteria used. If the probe is a one-piece design from the load transducer to the impact point, the portion of the loaddisplacement recording that follows penetration represents the friction effect of the probe sliding through the puncture and has no utility for describing the impact fracture.

X1.8 Failure Mode-Information can be submitted on the type of failure of the specimen, by visual inspection, using the following terms:

X1.8.1 Ductile Failure-One where the specimen deformed plastically before fracturing. The specimen is in one piece after the penetration and the deformed material exhibits plastic flow.

X1.8.2 Brittle Failure-One where the specimen test area is broken into two or more pieces, with sharp edges and shows almost no plastic flow.

Note X1.3-The ductile-to-brittle failure modes are a continuum and may be hard to separate. Each contains features of both and should be specific to the material under consideration.
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