



# SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST EN 1591-3:2009

01-april-2009

---

Flanges and their joints - Design rules for gasketed circular flange connections - Part 3: Calculation method for metal to metal contact type flanged joint

Flanges and their joints - Design rules for gasketed circular flange connections - Part 3: Calculation method for metal to metal contact type flanged joint

Flansche und ihre Verbindungen - Regeln für die Auslegung von Flanschverbindungen mit runden Flanschen und Dichtung - Teil 3: Berechnungsmethode für Flanschverbindungen mit Dichtungen im Kraft-Nebenschluss

Brides et leurs assemblages - Regles de calcul des assemblages a brides circulaires avec joint - Partie 3 : Méthode de calcul pour les assemblages a brides de type contact métal-métal

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CEN/TS 1591-3:2007

---

**ICS:**

23.040.60 Prirobnice, oglavki in spojni elementi Flanges, couplings and joints

**SIST EN 1591-3:2009**

en,fr,de

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

SIST EN 1591-3:2009

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009>

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION  
SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE  
TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

**CEN/TS 1591-3**

July 2007

ICS 23.040.60

English Version

**Flanges and their joints - Design rules for gasketed circular flange connections - Part 3: Calculation method for metal to metal contact type flanged joint**

Brides et leurs assemblages - Règles de calcul des assemblages à brides circulaires avec joint - Partie 3 :  
Méthode de calcul pour les assemblages à brides de type contact métal-métal

Flansche und ihre Verbindungen - Regeln für die Auslegung von Flanschverbindungen mit runden Flanschen und Dichtung - Teil 3: Berechnungsmethode für Flanschverbindungen mit Dichtungen im Kraft-Nebenschluss

This Technical Specification (CEN/TS) was approved by CEN on 16 June 2007 for provisional application.

The period of validity of this CEN/TS is limited initially to three years. After two years the members of CEN will be requested to submit their comments, particularly on the question whether the CEN/TS can be converted into a European Standard.

CEN members are required to announce the existence of this CEN/TS in the same way as for an EN and to make the CEN/TS available promptly at national level in an appropriate form. It is permissible to keep conflicting national standards in force (in parallel to the CEN/TS) until the final decision about the possible conversion of the CEN/TS into an EN is reached.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**Management Centre: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels**

<b>Contents</b>		Page
<b>Introduction .....</b>		<b>4</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Scope .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Normative references .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Notation .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Calculation parameters .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Internal forces (in the connection).....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Checking of the admissibility of the load ratio.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Annex A (informative) Example of Gasket compression curve.....</b>		<b>44</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Local compression deformation .....</b>		<b>48</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Relaxation of the gasket: Three-parameter solid model.....</b>		<b>50</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Scatter of bolting-up methods.....</b>		<b>54</b>
<b>Annex E (informative) Calculation sequences .....</b>		<b>55</b>
<b>Annex F (informative) Determination of the compliance equations .....</b>		<b>59</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>		<b>60</b>

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[SIST EN 1591-3:2009](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009>

## Foreword

This document (CEN/TS 1591-3:2007) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC “Flanges and their joints”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

EN 1591 *“Flanges and their joints — Design rules for gasketed flange connections”* consists of the following three parts:

- *Part 1: Calculation method*
- *Part 2: Gasket parameters*
- *Part 3: Calculation method for metal to metal contact type flanged joint (CEN/TS)*
- *Part 4: Qualification of personnel competency in the assembly of bolted joints fitted to equipment subject to the Pressure Equipment Directive*

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to announce this Technical Specification: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST EN 1591-3:2009

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009>

**CEN/TS 1591-3:2007 (E)****Introduction**

Bolted Flange connections with metal to metal contact are frequently used in industrial plants for severe working conditions (thermal transients, pressure fluctuations). The use of metal to metal contact allows to avoid the damage of the sealing component by limiting the gasket loading stress and to limit the load variations on the gasket.

This Technical Specification describes a calculation method which enables to determine the internal forces of the BFC in all the load conditions. It ensures structural integrity and control of leak-tightness in BFC with MMC (BFC types which are outside the scope of EN 1591-1).

The calculation method may be divided into three steps:

Determination of the bolt tightening to reach the MMC.

Determination of the bolt tightening to maintain the MMC and to satisfy the leak-tightness criteria in all the load conditions.

Checking of the admissibility of the load ratio.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[SIST EN 1591-3:2009](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009>

## 1 Scope

The aim of this Technical Specification is to describe a calculation method dedicated to Bolted Flange Connections (BFC) with metal to metal contact (MMC). It is dedicated to BFC where MMC occurs in a region between the outside diameter of the gasket and the inside diameter of the bolt hole region. For MMC inside the gasket and for MMC outside the bolt hole region, the present method is not appropriate.

The calculation method proposed in this Technical Specification is mainly based on the method described in EN 1591-1, dedicated to floating type BFC. The behaviour of the complete flanges-bolts-gasket system is considered. In assembly condition as well as for all the subsequent load conditions, the BFC components are maintained together by internal forces. This leads to deformations and forces balances (see Annex F) which gives the basic relations between the forces variations in the BFC.

The calculation of BFC with MMC leads to the consideration of an additional force compared to the EN 1591-1 calculation method: the reaction force in the MMC area. It explains why two compliance equations are required in this Technical Specification (in the EN 1591-1 calculation method just one compliance equation is needed to determine the internal forces in all the load conditions).

Unlike EN 1591-1 where the internal forces variations are determined with the compliance relation between the assembly and the considered load condition, here, the internal forces variations are determined by using the compliance relations between two consecutive load conditions.

This method does not treat non-gasketed pipe joints.

### 1.1 Requirement for use of the Method

Where permitted, the Method is an alternative to design validation by other means e.g.

- special testing;
- proven practice.
- Use of standard flanges within permitted conditions

### 1.2 Geometry

The Method is applicable to the configurations having:

- flanges whose section is given or may be assimilated to those given in Figures 4 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001;
- four or more identical bolts uniformly distributed;
- gasket designed for MMC application;
- flange dimension which meet the following conditions:
  - a)  $0,2 \leq b_F / e_F \leq 5,0; 0,2 \leq b_L / e_L \leq 5,0$
  - b)  $e_F \geq \max \left\{ e_2; d_{B0}; p_B \cdot \sqrt[3]{(0,01 \dots 0,10) \cdot p_B / b_F} \right\}$
  - c)  $\cos \varphi_S \geq 1 / (1 + 0,01 \cdot d_S / e_S)$

NOTE 1 For explanations of symbols see Clause 3.

NOTE 2 The condition  $b_F / e_F \leq 5,0$  needs not to be met for collar in combination with loose flange.

**CEN/TS 1591-3:2007 (E)**

NOTE 3 The condition  $e_F \geq p_B \cdot \sqrt[3]{(0,01 \dots 0,10) p_B / b_F}$  is for limitation of non-uniformity of gasket pressure due to spacing of bolts. The values 0,01 and 0,10 should be applied for soft (non-metallic) and hard (metallic) gaskets respectively. A more precise criterion is given in Annex A of EN 1591-1:2001.

NOTE 4 Attention may need to be given to the effects of tolerances and corrosion on dimensions; reference should be made to other codes under which the calculation is made, for example values are given in EN 13445 and EN 13480.

The following configurations are outside the scope of the Method:

- flanges of essentially non-axisymmetric geometry, e.g. split loose flanges, web reinforced flanges.

**1.3 Materials**

Values of nominal design stresses are not specified in this Calculation Method. They depend on other codes which are applied, for example these values are given in EN 13445 and EN 13480.

Design stresses for bolts should be determined as for flanges and shells. The model of the gaskets is modelled by elastic behaviour with a plastic correction.

For gaskets in incompressible materials which permit large deformations (for example: flat gaskets with rubber as the major component), the results given by the method can be excessively conservative (i.e. required bolting load too high, allowable pressure of the fluid too low, required flange thickness too large, etc.) because it does not take account of such properties.

**1.4 Loads**

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

This Method applies to the following load types: (standards.iteh.ai)

- fluid pressure: internal or external; [SIST EN 1591-3:2009](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-9858da415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009)
- external loads: axial forces and bending moments; <https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-9858da415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009>
- axial expansion of flanges, bolts and gasket, in particular due to thermal effects.

**1.5 Mechanical model**

The Method is based on the following mechanical model:

- a) Geometry of both flanges and gasket is axisymmetric. Small deviations such as those due to a finite number of bolts, are permitted. Application to split loose flanges or oval flanges is not permitted.
- b) The flange ring cross-section (radial cut) remains undeformed. Only circumferential stresses and strains in the ring are treated; radial and axial stresses and strains are neglected. This presupposition requires compliance with condition 1.2 a).
- c) The flange ring is connected to a cylindrical shell. A tapered hub is treated as being an equivalent cylindrical shell of calculated wall thickness, which is different for elastic and plastic behaviour, but always between the actual minimum and maximum thickness. Conical and spherical shells are treated as being equivalent cylindrical shells with the same wall thickness; differences from cylindrical shell are explicitly taken into account in the calculation formula.

This presupposition requires compliance with 1.2 c).

At the connection of the flange ring and shell, the continuity of radial displacement and rotation is accounted for in the calculation.



- d) The gasket contacts the flange faces over a (calculated) annular area. The effective gasket width (radial)  $b_{Ge}$  may be less than the true width of gasket. The calculation of  $b_{Ge}$  includes the elastic rotation of both flanges as well as the elastic and plastic deformations of the gasket (approximately) in assembly condition.
- e) The unloading modulus of elasticity of the gasket may increase with the gasket surface pressure. The Method uses a linear model:  $E_G = E_0 + K_1 \cdot Q$ . This is the unloading elasto-plastic secant modulus measured between 100 % and 33 % of the highest surface pressure reached on the gasket.
- f) Relaxation of the gasket under compression is approximated (see 4.9 and Annex C).
- g) Thermal and mechanical axial deformations of flanges, bolts and gasket are taken into account.
- h) Loading of the flange joint is axisymmetric. Any non-axisymmetric bending moment is replaced by an equivalent axial force, which is axisymmetric according to Equation (75).
- i) Load changes between load conditions cause internal changes of bolt, gasket and MMC forces. These are calculated with account taken of elastic deformations of all components.
- j) Load limit proofs are based on limit loads for each component. This approach prevents excessive deformations. The limits used for gaskets, which depend on  $Q_{max}$  are only rough approximations.

The model does not take account of the following:

- k) Bolt bending stiffness and bending strength. This is a conservative simplification. However the tensile stiffness of the bolts includes (approximately) the deformation within the threaded part in contact with the nut or tapped hole (see Equation (37)).
- l) Creep of flanges and bolts.
- m) Different radial deformations at the gasket (this simplification has no effect for identical flanges).
- n) Fatigue proofs (usually not taken into account by codes like this).
- o) External torsion moments and external shear loads, e.g. those due to pipework.

## 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 1591-1:2001, *Flanges and their joints — Design rules for gasketed circular flange connections — Part 1: Calculation method*

prEN 1591-2:, *Flanges and their joints — Design rules for gasketed circular flange connections — Part 2: Gasket parameters*

## 3 Notation

### 3.1 Use of figures

Figure 1 illustrates the two configurations of metal to metal contact.

Figure 2 shows the variables used in the calculation of the inside diameter of the MMC area.

## CEN/TS 1591-3:2007 (E)

## 3.2 Subscripts and special marks

## 3.2.1 Subscripts

<i>A</i>	Additional ( $F_A$ , $M_A$ )
<i>B</i>	Bolt
<i>D</i>	Equivalent cylinder (tapered hub + connected shell) for load limit calculation
<i>E</i>	Equivalent cylinder (tapered hub + connected shell) for flexibility calculation
<i>F</i>	Flange
<i>G</i>	Gasket
<i>H</i>	Hub
<i>I</i>	Load condition identifier (taken values 0, 1, 2 ...)
<i>L</i>	Loose flange
<i>M</i>	Metallic ring or metal to metal contact
<i>P</i>	Pressure
<i>Q</i>	Net axial force due to pressure
<i>R</i>	Net axial force due to external force
<i>S</i>	Shell, shear
<i>T</i>	Shell, modified
<i>X</i>	Weak cross-section
<i>W</i>	Washer
$\Delta$	Symbol for change or difference
<i>av</i>	average
<i>c</i>	calculated
<i>e</i>	effective
<i>j</i>	identifier of reference dots ( $Q_{Gj}$ , $e_{Gj}$ ) used to described the gasket behaviour in compression
max	maximum
min	minimum
nom	nominal
opt	optimal
req	required

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST EN 1591-3:2009](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b4ff62c9-72e5-4e9e-b7ba-0838d6a415a9/sist-en-1591-3-2009>

$s$	non-threaded part of bolt
$t$	theoretical, torque, thread
0	initial bolt-up condition ( $l = 0$ , see subscript $l$ )

### 3.2.2 Special marks

$\sim$	Accent placed above symbols of flange parameters that refers to the second flange of the connection, possibly different from the first
$c$	exponent marking deformation terms due to creep relaxation
$i$	Exponent marking terms related to the first compliance equation
$ii$	Exponent marking terms related to the second compliance equation

### 3.3 Symbols

Where units are applicable, they are shown in brackets. Where units are not applicable, no indication is given.

$A_B$	Effective total cross-section area of all bolts [ $\text{mm}^2$ ], Equation (36)
$A_F, A_L$	Gross radial cross-section area (including bolt holes) of flange ring, loose flange [ $\text{mm}^2$ ], Equations (5), (7), (8)
$A_{Ge}, A_{Gt}$	Gasket area, effective, theoretical [ $\text{mm}^2$ ], Equations (41), (39)
$C$	Coefficient to account for twisting moment in bolt load ratio, Equation (121)
$E_0$	Unloading Compressive modulus of elasticity of the gasket [MPa] at zero compressive stress $Q = 0$ [MPa] (see prEN 1591-2)
$E_B, E_F, E_G, E_L$	
$E_M, E_W$	Modulus of elasticity of the part designated by the subscript, at the temperature of the part [MPa] (for $E_G$ see prEN 1591-2)
$F_A$	Additional external axial force [N], tensile force $> 0$ , compressive force $< 0$ , see Figure 1 of EN 1591-1:2001
$F_B$	Bolt force (sum of all bolts) [N]
$F_{BMMC}$	Bolt force (sum of bolts) required to reach MMC [N]
$F_G$	Gasket force [N]
$F_{GMMC}$	Gasket force required to reach the MMC [N]
$F_M$	Metal to metal contact force [N]
$F_Q$	Axial fluid-pressure force [N], Equation (74)
$F_R$	Force resulting from $F_A$ and $M_A$ [N], Equation (75)
$F_{RMMC}$	Force resulting from $F_A$ and $M_A$ corresponding to the tightening force $F_{BMMC}$ [N]
$G(t)$	Relaxation function Equation (C.13)

## CEN/TS 1591-3:2007 (E)

$I$	Load condition identifier, for assembly condition $I = 0$ , for subsequent conditions $I = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
$I_B$	Plastic torsion modulus [ $\text{mm}^3$ ] of bolt shanks, Equation (121)
$K_1$	Rate of change of compressive modulus of elasticity of the gasket with compressive stress, prEN 1591-2
$K_s$	Systematic error due to the inaccuracy of the bolt tightening method
$M_A$	Additional external moment [ $\text{N} \cdot \text{mm}$ ], Figure 1 of EN 1591-1:2001
$M_t$	Bolt assembly torque [ $\text{N} \cdot \text{mm}$ ], Annex D of EN 1591-1:2001
$M_{t,B}$	twisting moment [ $\text{N} \cdot \text{mm}$ ] applied to bolt shanks as a result of application of the bolt assembly torque $M_t$ , Equations (121) and (D.8) to (D.11) of EN 1591-1:2001
$P$	Pressure of the fluid [MPa], internal pressure $> 0$ , external pressure $< 0$ (1 bar = 0,1 MPa)
$Q$	Mean effective gasket compressive stress [MPa], $Q = F_G/A_{Ge}$
$Q_{\text{GMMCinf}}$	Inferior boundary of the range of gasket compressive stress in which the MMC appears [MPa]
$Q_{\text{GMMCsup}}$	Superior boundary of the range of gasket compressive stress in which the MMC appears [MPa]
$Q_I$	Mean effective required gasket compressive stress at load condition $I$ [MPa]
$Q_{\text{min}}$	Minimum necessary compressive stress in gasket for assembly condition (on the effective gasket area) [MPa], Equation (93), (see prEN 1591-2)
$Q_{\text{max}}$	Maximum allowable compressive stress in the gasket (depends on the gasket materials, construction, dimensions and the roughness of the flange facings) [MPa], Equation (120), see prEN 1591-2 (including safety margins, which are same for all load conditions)
$Q_{\text{max},Y}$	Yield stress characteristic of the gasket materials and construction, see Table 1, and prEN 1591-2 [MPa]
$T_B, T_F, T_G, T_L,$	
$T_M, T_W$	Temperature (average) of the part designated by the subscript [ $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ] or [K], Equation (77), (78) and (80), (81)
$T_O$	Temperature of connection at assembly [ $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ] or [K] (usually $+ 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$U$	Axial displacement [mm]; $\Delta U$ according to Equations (76), (77), (78) and Equations (79), (80) and (81).
$W_F, W_L, W_X$	Resistance of the part and/or cross-section designated by the subscript [ $\text{N} \cdot \text{mm}$ ], Equations (123), (135), (137), (139)
$X_B, X_G, X_M, X_W$	Axial flexibility modulus of bolts, gasket, metallic compression limiter ring, washer [1/mm], Equations (37), (44), (52), (46)
$X_{FB}$	Axial flexibility modulus corresponding to local compression of flange at contact area with nut [1/mm], Equation (73)

$X_{FG}$	Axial flexibility modulus corresponding to local compression of flange at contact area with gasket [1/mm], Equation (55)
$X_{FL}$	Axial flexibility modulus corresponding to local compression of collar at contact area with loose flange [1/mm], Equation (63)
$X_{FM}$	Axial flexibility modulus corresponding to local compression of flange at metal to metal contact area [1/mm], Equation (59)
$X_{LB}$	Axial flexibility modulus corresponding to local compression of loose flange at contact area with nut [1/mm], Equation (71)
$X_{LF}$	Axial flexibility modulus corresponding to local compression of loose flange at contact area with collar [1/mm], Equation (67)
$Y_G, Y_M, Y_Q, Y_R$	Axial compliance of the bolted connection, related to $F_G, F_M, F_Q, F_R$ [mm/N], Equations (83) to (86) and (89) to (92)
$Z_F, Z_L$	Rotational flexibility modulus of flange, loose flange [mm <sup>-3</sup> ], Equations (30), (34), (35)
$a(T_1, T_0)$	Shift function Equation (C.17)
$b_0$	Width of chamfer (or radius) of a loose flange [mm] see Figure 10 of EN 1591-1:2001, Equation (17) such that: $d_{7min} = d_6 + 2 \cdot b_0$
$b_F, b_L$	Effective width of flange, loose flange [mm], Equations (5) to (8)
$b_{Gi}, b_{Ge}, b_{Gt}$	Gasket width (radial), interim, effective, theoretical [mm], Equations (38), (40), Table 1
$b_{Mt}$	Metal to metal contact area width [mm], Equation (48) and Figure 1
$c_F, c_M, c_S$	Correction factors, Equations (23), (127), (128)
$d_0$	Inside diameter of flange ring [mm] and also the outside diameter of central part of blank flange (with thickness $e_0$ ), in no case greater than inside diameter of gasket [mm], Figures 4 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_1$	Average diameter of hub, thin end [mm], Figures 4, 5, 11 and 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_2$	Average diameter of hub, thick end [mm], Figures 4, 5, 11 and 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_3, d_{3e}$	Bolt circle diameter, real, effective [mm], Figures 4 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_4$	Outside diameter of flange [mm], Figures 4 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_5, d_{5t}, d_{5e}$	Diameter of bolt hole, pierced, blind, effective [mm], Figures 4 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_6$	Inside diameter of loose flange [mm], Figures 10, 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_7$	Diameter of position of reaction between loose flange and stub or collar [mm], Figure 1 of EN 1591-1:2001, Equations (17), (43)
$d_8$	Outside diameter of collar [mm], Figure 10 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_9$	Diameter of a central hole in a blank flange [mm], Figure 9 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_{B0}, d_{Be}, d_{Bs}$	Diameter of bolt: nominal diameter, effective diameter, shank diameter [mm], Figure 2 of EN 1591-1:2001, Table B.1 of EN 1591-1:2001

## CEN/TS 1591-3:2007 (E)

$d_{B2}, d_{B3}$	Basic pitch diameter, basic minor diameter of thread [mm], see Figure 2 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_{Ge}, d_{Gt}$	Diameter of gasket, effective, theoretical [mm], Figure 3 of EN 1591-1:2001, Table 1
$d_{G1}, d_{G2}$	Inside, outside diameter of theoretical contact area of gasket [mm], Figure 3 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_{M1}, d_{M2}$	Inside, outside diameter of theoretical metal to metal contact area [mm]
$d_{M1e}$	Effective inside diameter of metal to metal contact area [mm], Equation (49), (50)
$d_{Me}, d_{Mt}$	Diameter of metal to metal contact area, effective, theoretical [mm], Equation (51), (47)
$d_E, d_F, d_L$	Average diameter of part or section designated by the subscript [mm], Equations (5) to (8), (10)
$d_S, d_X$	to (12), Figures 4 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$d_{W1}, d_{W2}$	Inside, outside diameter of washers Equation (46) [mm]
$e_0$	Wall thickness of central plate of blank flange within diameter $d_0$ [mm], Figure 9 of EN 1591-1:2001
$e_1$	Minimum wall thickness at thin end of hub [mm], Figures 4, 5, 11, 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$e_2$	Wall thickness at thick end of hub [mm], Figures 4, 5, 11, 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$e_D, e_E$	Wall thickness of equivalent cylinder for load limit calculations, for flexibility calculations [mm], Equations (9), (11), (12), (124)
$e_F, e_L$	Effective axial thickness of flange, loose flange [mm], Equations (5) to (8)
$e_{Fb}$	Thickness of flange ring at diameter $d_3$ (bolt position) [mm] Equation (3)
$e_{Ft}$	Thickness of flange ring at diameter $d_{Gc}$ (gasket force position), relevant for thermal expansion [mm], Equation (77), (78) and (80), (81)
$e_{Fm}$	Thickness of flange ring at diameter $d_{Me}$ (metal to metal contact force position), relevant for thermal expansion and inside diameter of the metal to metal contact area [mm], Equation (77), (78) and (80), (81)
$e_G$	Thickness of gasket [mm], Figure 3 of EN 1591-1:2001
$e_M$	Thickness of metallic compression limiter ring [mm]
$e_P, e_Q$	Part of flange thickness with ( $e_P$ ), without ( $e_Q$ ) radial pressure loading [mm], Figures 4 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001, such that $e_P + e_Q = e_F$
$e_S$	Thickness of connected shell [mm], Figures 4 to 8, 10 to 12 of EN 1591-1:2001
$e_X$	Flange thickness at weak section [mm], Figure 9 of EN 1591-1:2001
$e_W$	Washer thickness [mm]
$f_B, f_E, f_F, f_L, f_S$	Nominal design stress [MPa] of the part designated by the subscript, at design temperature [°C] or [K], as defined and used in pressure vessel codes

$h_G, h_H, h_L, h_M$	Lever arms [mm], Equations (15), (16), (18), (19)
$h_D$	Difference of lever arms $h_G$ and $h_M$ [mm], Figure 1, Equation (14)
$h_P, h_Q, h_R,$	
$h_S, h_T$	Lever arm corrections [mm], Equations (13), (24) to (27), (32), (33)
$j_M, j_S$	Sign number for moment, shear force (+ 1 or – 1), Equation (129)
$k_Q, k_R, k_M, k_S$	Correction factors, Equation (28), (29), (130)
$l_{5t}$	Depth of the blind holes, Figure 5 of EN 1591-1:2001, Equation (3)
$l_B, l_s$	Bolt axial dimensions [mm], Figure 2 of EN 1591-1:2001, Equation (37)
$l_e$	$l_e = l_B - l_s$
$l_H$	Length of hub [mm], Figures 4, 5, 11, 12 of EN 1591-1:2001, Equation (9), (124)
$n_B$	Number of bolts, Equations (1), (4), (36), (37), (46)
$p_B$	Pitch between bolts [mm], Equation (1)
$p_t$	Pitch of bolt thread [mm], Table B.1 of EN 1591-1:2001
$r_0, r_1$	Radii [mm], Figures 4, 10 of EN 1591-1:2001
$r_2$	Radius of curvature in gasket cross-section [mm], Figure 3 of EN 1591-1:2001
$z_{1B}, z_{1F}, z_{1L}$	thickness concerned by the local compression at the inner diameter of the component designated by the subscript [mm], Equation (55) to (73)
$z_{2B}, z_{2F}, z_{2L}$	thickness concerned by the local compression at the outer diameter of the component designated by the subscript [mm], Equation (55) to (73)
$\Delta U$	Differential axial expansions [mm], Equation (76) to (81)
$\theta_F, \theta_L$	Rotation of flange, loose flange, due to applied moment [rad], Equation (97) to (100), (104), (105)
$\Psi$	Load ratio of flange ring due to radial force, Equation (131)
$\Psi_Z$	Particular value of $\Psi$ , Equation (123), Table 2
$\Phi_B, \Phi_F, \Phi_G,$ $\Phi_L, \Phi_X$	Load ratio of part and/or cross-section designated by the subscript, to be calculated for all load conditions, Equation (120), (121), (122), (134), (136), (138)
$\Phi_{max}$	Reduced maximum allowable load ratio, Equation (108)
$\alpha_B, \alpha_F, \alpha_G, \alpha_L,$ $\alpha_W, \alpha_M$	Thermal expansion coefficient of the part designated by the subscript, averaged between $T_0$ and $T_B, T_F, T_G, T_L, T_W, T_M$ [ $K^{-1}$ ], Equation (77), (78) and (80), (81)
$\beta, \gamma, \delta, \theta$	Intermediate variables, Equations (9), (20), (21), (22), (43), (108), (124)
$\kappa, \lambda, \chi$	