

TECHNICAL REPORT



Radiation protection instrumentation – Determination of uncertainty in
measurement

(standards.iteh.ai)

[IEC TR 62461:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5e7014b4-8789-4f1c-91c4-22e0673c6e1a/iec-tr-62461-2015>



THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED
Copyright © 2015 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Central Office
3, rue de Varembe
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
Fax: +41 22 919 03 00
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigenda or an amendment might have been published.

IEC Catalogue - webstore.iec.ch/catalogue

The stand-alone application for consulting the entire bibliographical information on IEC International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports and other documents. Available for PC, Mac OS, Android Tablets and iPad.

IEC publications search - www.iec.ch/searchpub

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and also once a month by email.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary of electronic and electrical terms containing more than 30 000 terms and definitions in English and French, with equivalent terms in 15 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

IEC Glossary - std.iec.ch/glossary

More than 60 000 electrotechnical terminology entries in English and French extracted from the Terms and Definitions clause of IEC publications issued since 2002. Some entries have been collected from earlier publications of IEC TC 37, 77, 86 and CISPR.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: csc@iec.ch.

IEC STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.ch)

IEC TR 62411-2015
22e0673c6e1a/iec-tr-62411-2015

TECHNICAL REPORT



Radiation protection instrumentation – Determination of uncertainty in measurement

ITeCh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[IEC TR 62461:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5e7014b4-8789-4f1c-91c4-22e0673c6e1a/iec-tr-62461-2015>

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 13.280

ISBN 978-2-8322-2216-4

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	5
INTRODUCTION.....	7
1 Scope.....	8
2 Normative references	8
3 Terms and definitions	9
4 List of symbols	12
5 The GUM and the GUM S1 concept.....	14
5.1 General concept of uncertainty determination	14
5.1.1 Overview in four steps	14
5.1.2 Summary of the analytical method for steps 3 and 4	15
5.1.3 Summary of the Monte Carlo method for steps 3 and 4.....	15
5.1.4 Which method to use: Analytical or Monte Carlo?	16
5.2 Example of a model function	16
5.3 Collection of data and existing knowledge for the example.....	18
5.3.1 General	18
5.3.2 Calibration factor for the example	19
5.3.3 Zero reading for the example.....	20
5.3.4 Reading for the example.....	21
5.3.5 Relative response or correction factor for the example	21
5.3.6 Comparison of probability density distributions for input quantities	23
5.4 Calculation of the result of a measurement and its standard uncertainty (uncertainty budget).....	25
5.4.1 General	25
5.4.2 Analytical method	25
5.4.3 Monte Carlo method	26
5.4.4 Uncertainty budgets.....	26
5.5 Statement of the measurement result and its expanded uncertainty	27
5.5.1 General	27
5.5.2 Analytical method	28
5.5.3 Monte Carlo method	28
5.5.4 Representation of the output distribution function in a simple form (Monte Carlo method).....	31
6 Results below the decision threshold of the measuring device	31
7 Overview of the annexes	32
Annex A (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement with an electronic ambient dose equivalent rate meter according to IEC 60846-1:2009	33
A.1 General.....	33
A.2 Model function	33
A.3 Calculation of the complete result of the measurement (measured value, probability density distribution, associated standard uncertainty, and the coverage interval)	34
A.3.1 General	34
A.3.2 Low level of consideration of measuring conditions.....	35
A.3.3 High level of consideration of measuring conditions.....	37
Annex B (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement with a passive integrating dosimetry system according to IEC 62387:2012.....	40

B.1	General.....	40
B.2	Model function	40
B.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement (measured value, probability density distribution, associated standard uncertainty, and the coverage interval)	41
B.3.1	General	41
B.3.2	Low level of consideration of workplace conditions	41
B.3.3	High level of consideration of workplace conditions	43
Annex C (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement with an electronic direct reading neutron ambient dose equivalent meter according to IEC 61005:2003		46
C.1	General.....	46
C.2	Model function	46
C.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement (measured value, probability density distribution, associated standard uncertainty, and the coverage interval)	47
C.3.1	General	47
C.3.2	Analytical method	47
C.3.3	Monte Carlo method	48
C.3.4	Comparison of the result of the analytical and the Monte Carlo method	49
Annex D (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a calibration of radon activity monitor according to the IEC 61577 series		51
D.1	General.....	51
D.2	Model function	51
D.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement (measured value, probability density distribution, associated standard uncertainty, and the coverage interval)	51
Annex E (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement of surface emission rate with a contamination meter according to IEC 60325:2002		54
E.1	General.....	54
E.2	Model function	54
E.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement (measured value, probability density distribution, associated standard uncertainty, and the coverage interval)	54
E.3.1	General	54
E.3.2	Effects of distance	55
E.3.3	Contamination non-uniformity	55
E.3.4	Surface absorption	56
E.3.5	Other influence quantities	56
E.3.6	Uncertainty budget	56
Bibliography.....		59
Figure 1 – Triangular probability density distribution of possible values n for the calibration factor N		20
Figure 2 – Rectangular probability density distribution of possible values g_0 for the zero reading G_0		21
Figure 3 – Gaussian probability density distribution of possible values g for the reading G		21
Figure 4 – Comparison of different probability density distributions of possible values: rectangular (broken line), triangular (dotted line) and Gaussian (solid line) distribution		24
Figure 5 – Distribution function Q of the measured value		29

Figure 6 – Probability density distribution (PDF) of the measured value	30
Figure C.1 – Results of the analytical (red dashed lines) and the Monte Carlo method (grey histogram and blue dotted and solid lines) for $\dot{H}^*(10)$	50
Figure D.1 – Result of the analytical (red dashed lines) and the Monte Carlo method (grey histogram and blue dotted lines) for K_T	53
Table 1 – Symbols (and abbreviated terms) used in the main text (excluding annexes).....	12
Table 2 – Standard uncertainty and method to compute the probability density distributions shown in Figure 4.....	24
Table 3 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a measurement with an electronic dosimeter using the model function $M = N K (G - G_0)$ and low level of consideration of the workplace conditions, see 5.3.5.2	27
Table 4 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a measurement with an electronic dosimeter using the model function $M = N K (G - G_0)$ and high level of consideration of the workplace conditions, see 5.3.5.3	27
Table A.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a dose rate measurement according to IEC 60846-1:2009 with an instrument having a logarithmic scale and low level of consideration of the measuring conditions, see text for details	36
Table A.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a dose rate measurement according to IEC 60846-1:2009 with an instrument having a logarithmic scale and high level of consideration of the measuring conditions, see text for details	38
Table B.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a photon dose measurement with a passive dosimetry system according to IEC 62387-1:2007 and low level of consideration of the workplace conditions, see text for details	42
Table B.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a photon dose measurement with a passive dosimetry system according to IEC 62387-1:2007 and high level of consideration of the measuring conditions, see text for details	44
Table C.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a neutron dose measurement according to IEC 61005:2003 using the analytical method.....	48
Table C.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a neutron dose rate measurement according to IEC 61005:2003 using the Monte Carlo method	49
Table C.3 – Results of the analytical and the Monte Carlo method	50
Table D.1 – List of quantities used in formula (D.1).....	51
Table D.2 – List of data available for the input quantities of formula (D.1).....	52
Table D.3 – Example of an uncertainty budget for the calibration of a radon monitor according to IEC 61577, see text for details	52
Table E.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a surface emission rate measurement according to IEC 60325:2002, see text for details	57
Table E.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a surface emission rate measurement according to IEC 60325:2002 for the determination of the uncertainty at a measured value of zero.....	58

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

**RADIATION PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION –
DETERMINATION OF UNCERTAINTY IN MEASUREMENT**

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC 62461, which is a technical report, has been prepared by subcommittee 45B: Radiation protection instrumentation, of IEC technical committee 45: Nuclear instrumentation.

This second edition of IEC TR 62461 cancels and replaces the first edition, published in 2006, and constitutes a technical revision. The main changes with respect to the previous edition are as follows:

- add to the analytical method for the determination of uncertainty the Monte Carlo method for the determination of uncertainty according to supplement 1 of the Guide to the Expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM S1), and
- add a very simple method to judge whether a measured result is significantly different from zero or not based on ISO 11929.

The text of this technical report is based on the following documents:

Enquiry draft	Report on voting
45B/783/DTR	45B/813/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this technical report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

[IEC TR 62461:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5e7014b4-8789-4f1c-91c4-22e0673c6e1a/iec-tr-62461-2015)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5e7014b4-8789-4f1c-91c4-22e0673c6e1a/iec-tr-62461-2015>

INTRODUCTION

The ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement – Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)* as well as its Supplement 1:2008, *Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method (GUM S1)*, are general guides to assess the uncertainty in measurement. This Technical Report lays emphasis on their application in the area of radiation protection and serves as a practical introduction to the GUM and its supplement 1 (GUM S1).

The process of determining the uncertainty delivers not only a numerical value of the uncertainty; in addition it produces the best estimate of the quantity to be measured which may differ from the indication of the instrument. Thus, it can also improve the result of the measurement by using information beyond the indicated value of the instrument, e.g. the energy dependence of the instrument.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[IEC TR 62461:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5e7014b4-8789-4f1c-91c4-22e0673c6e1a/iec-tr-62461-2015)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5e7014b4-8789-4f1c-91c4-22e0673c6e1a/iec-tr-62461-2015>

RADIATION PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION – DETERMINATION OF UNCERTAINTY IN MEASUREMENT

1 Scope

This Technical Report gives guidelines for the application of the uncertainty analysis according to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM describing an analytical method for the uncertainty determination) and its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1 describing a Monte Carlo method for the uncertainty determination) for measurements covered by standards of IEC Subcommittee 45B. It does not include the uncertainty associated with the concept of the measuring quantity, e. g., the difference between $H_p(10)$ on the ISO water slab phantom and on the person.

This Technical Report explains the principles of the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM), its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1) and the special considerations necessary for radiation protection at an example taken from individual dosimetry of external radiation. In the informative annexes, several examples are given for the application on instruments, for which SC 45B has developed standards.

This Technical Report is supposed to assist the understanding of the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM), its Supplement 1: 2008 (GUM S1), and other papers on uncertainty analysis. It cannot replace these papers nor can it provide the background and justification of the arguments leading to the concept of the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM) and its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1).

Finally, this Technical Report gives a very simple method to judge whether a measured result is significantly different from zero or not based on ISO 11929.

For better readability the correct terms are not always used throughout this technical report. For example, instead of “random variables of a quantity” only the “quantity” itself is stated.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050 (all parts): *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary* (available at <http://www.electropedia.org>)

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement – Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3, Supplement 1:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement – Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995) – Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the technical terms of IEC 60050-151 [1], and IEC 60050-311 [2] as well as the following definitions taken from the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM), and its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1) apply¹.

3.1

calibration factor

N

quotient of the true value of a quantity and the indicated value for a specified reference radiation under specified reference conditions

3.2

conformity test

test for conformity evaluation

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-151:2001, 151-16-15]

3.3

complete result of a measurement

set of values attributed to a measurand, including a value, the corresponding uncertainty and the unit of measurement

Note 1 to entry: The central value of the whole (set of values) can be selected as *measured value* and a parameter characterising the dispersion as *uncertainty*.

Note 2 to entry: The result of a measurement is related to the *indication given by the instrument* and to the values of correction obtained by calibration and by the use of a *model*.

Note 3 to entry: In this Technical Report, the “measured value”, see Note 1 above, is abbreviated by *M*.

Note 4 to entry: In this Technical Report, the “indication given by the instrument”, see Note 2 above, is abbreviated by *G*, and called “indicated value”.

Note 5 to entry: In this Technical Report, the “model”, see Note 2 above, is called “model function”, see 3.10 and 5.2.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-311:2001, 311-01-01, modified]

3.4

correction factor

K

factor to the indicated value to correct for deviation of measurement conditions from calibration conditions

3.5

coverage factor

k_{cov}

numerical factor used as a multiplier of the (combined) standard uncertainty in order to obtain an expanded uncertainty

Note 1 to entry: A coverage factor k_{cov} is typically in the range of 2 to 3.

[SOURCE: GUM:2008, 2.3.6]

¹ Numbers in square brackets refer to the bibliography.

3.6 decision threshold

m^*

value of the estimator of the measurand, which when exceeded by the result of an actual measurement using a given measurement procedure of a measurand quantifying a physical effect, one decides that the physical effect is present

Note 1 to entry: The decision threshold is defined such that in cases where the measurement result, m , exceeds the decision threshold, m^* , the probability that the true value of the measurand is zero is less or equal to a chosen probability, α .

Note 2 to entry: If the result, m , is below the decision threshold, m^* , the result cannot be attributed to the physical effect; nevertheless it cannot be concluded that it is absent.

[SOURCE: ISO 11929:2010]

3.7 deviation

D

difference between the indicated values for the same value of the measurand of an indicating measuring instrument, or the values of a material measure, when an influence quantity assumes, successively, two different values

Note 1 to entry: This definition is applicable to all measuring instruments and influence quantities, but it should mainly be used in those cases, where this deviation is independent of the indicated value.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-311:2001, 311-07-03, modified²]

3.8 distribution function

$F(x)$

a function giving, for every value x , the probability that the random variable X be less than or equal to x : $F(x) = \Pr(X \leq x)$

[SOURCE: GUM:2008, C.2.4; GUM S1:2008, 3.2]

3.9 expanded uncertainty

U

quantity defining an interval about the result of a measurement that may be expected to encompass a large fraction of the distribution of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand

Note 1 to entry: The expanded uncertainty is obtained by multiplying the (combined) standard uncertainty by a coverage factor.

[SOURCE: GUM:2008, 2.3.5]

3.10 indicated value

G

quantity value provided by a measuring instrument or a measuring system

Note 1 to entry: An indication is often given by the position of a pointer on the display for analogue outputs, a displayed or printed number for digital outputs, a code pattern for code outputs, or an assigned quantity value for material measures.

3.11 influence quantity

quantity that is not the measurand but that effects the result of the measurement

² Original term “variation (due to an influence quantity)”.

Note 1 to entry: For example, temperature of a micrometer used to measure length.

[SOURCE: GUM:2008, B.2.10]

3.12 measured value

M

value determined from the indicated value, G , by applying the model function for the measurement

Note 1 to entry: An example of a model function is given below. The calibration factor N , a deviation D , and a correction factor K are applied:

$$M = N \times K \times (G - D)$$

The calculations according to this model function are not always performed. One main purpose of this model function of the measurement is, that it is necessary for any determination of the uncertainty according to the GUM (see GUM, 3.1.6, 3.4.1 and 4.1; see also 5.2 of this Technical Report).

Note 2 to entry: In the GUM the *measured value* is called *value of the measurand*.

3.13 probability density function <for a continuous random variable>

$f(x)$

the derivative (when it exists) of the distribution function: $f(x) = dF(x)/dx$

Note 1 to entry: $f(x) \cdot dx$ is the “probability element”: $f(x) \cdot dx = \Pr(x < X < x+dx)$; in general: $\Pr(a < X < b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$.

[SOURCE: GUM:2008, C.2.5; GUM S1:2008, 3.3, modified by adding “in general”]

3.14 reference conditions

set of specified values and/or ranges of values of influence quantities under which the uncertainties, or limits of error, admissible for a measuring instrument are the smallest

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-311:2001, 311-06-02]

3.15 reference response

R_{ref}

response of the assembly under reference conditions to unit reference dose (rate) or activity and is expressed as:

$$R_{\text{ref}} = \frac{G}{M_c}$$

where G is the indicated value of the equipment or assembly under test and M_c is the true value of the reference source

3.16 relative response

R_{rel}

quotient of the response and the reference response under specified conditions

Note 1 to entry: For the specified reference conditions, the response is the reciprocal of the calibration factor.

3.17

response

R

ratio of the quantity measured under specified conditions by the equipment or assembly under test and the true value of this quantity

3.18

standard uncertainty

standard deviation associated with the measurement result or an input quantity

Note 1 to entry: See GUM:2008, 2.3.4.

Note 2 to entry: The standard uncertainty of the measurement result is sometimes called “combined standard uncertainty”.

Note 3 to entry: The quotient of the standard uncertainty and the measurement result is called “relative standard uncertainty” and sometimes given as percentage.

3.19

type test

conformity test made on one or more items representative of the production

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-151:2001, 151-16-16]

3.20

uncertainty

uncertainty of measurement

parameter, associated with the result of a measurement, that characterises the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand

Note 1 to entry: The parameter may be, for example, a standard deviation (or a given multiple of it), or the half-width of an interval having a stated level of confidence (coverage probability).

[SOURCE: GUM:2008, 2.2.3]

4 List of symbols

Table 1 gives a list of the symbols (and abbreviated terms) used in the main text of this Technical Report (excluding annexes).

Table 1 – Symbols (and abbreviated terms) used in the main text (excluding annexes)

Symbol	Meaning	Unit (dose measurement)
<i>a</i>	Half-width of an interval for possible values of a quantity	As quantity
<i>a₋</i>	Lower limit of an interval for possible values of a quantity	As quantity
<i>a₊</i>	Upper limit of an interval for possible values of a quantity	As quantity
<i>α</i>	Probability to detect an effect (state a result above zero) although in reality no effect is present (the true value is zero) also called “probability of false positive decision”	–
<i>c_k</i>	Sensitivity coefficient for the input quantity <i>K</i>	Sv
<i>c_m</i>	Sensitivity coefficient for the input quantity <i>M</i>	–
<i>c_{m0}</i>	Sensitivity coefficient for the input quantity <i>M₀</i>	–
<i>c_n</i>	Sensitivity coefficient for the input quantity <i>N</i>	Sv
<i>F(x)</i>	Distribution function	–

Symbol	Meaning	Unit (dose measurement)
$f(x)$	Probability density function (for a continuous random variable) PDF	Inverse of quantity
G	Indicated value, for example, reading of the dosimeter in units of $H_p(10)$	Sv
\hat{g}	Best estimate of G	Sv
g	Possible value (estimate) of G	Sv
G_0	Zero reading	Sv
\hat{g}_0	Best estimate of G_0	Sv
g_0	Possible value (estimate) of G_0	Sv
$h(x)$	Model function, see Note 1 to 3.12	As output quantity
$H_p(10)$	Personal dose equivalent at a depth 10 mm	Sv
i	Running index (integer)	–
j	Running index (integer)	–
K	Correction factor, for example, for energy and angle of radiation incidence	–
\hat{k}	Best estimate of K	–
k	Possible value (estimate) of K	–
$k_{1-\alpha}$	quantile of the standardized normal distribution for a given probability α	–
k_{COV}	Coverage factor	–
L	Number of Monte Carlo trials	–
M	Measured value, for example, personal dose equivalent $H_p(10)$	Sv
M_C	True value of a reference source	Sv
\hat{m}	Best estimate of M	Sv
m	Possible value (estimate) of M	Sv
m^*	Decision threshold of M	Sv
N	Calibration factor	–
\hat{n}	Best estimate of N	–
n	Possible value (estimate) of N	–
p	Coverage probability	–
Q	Distribution function for the output quantity	–
q	Arbitrary integer	–
R_{abs}	Absolute response	–
R_{rel}	Relative response	–
$s_{\hat{g}}$	Standard deviation of the distribution of the g -values	Sv
$s_{\hat{g}_0}$	Standard deviation of the distribution of the g_0 -values	Sv
$s_{\hat{k}}$	Standard deviation of the distribution of the k -values	–
$s_{\hat{n}}$	Standard deviation of the distribution of the n -values	–
T	Number of input quantities	–
U	Expanded uncertainty	Sv
$u(\hat{m})$	Standard uncertainty associated with the best estimate of the measurement result, \hat{m}	Sv
$u_g(\hat{m})$	Uncertainty contribution to u of the input quantity G associated with the best estimate of the measurement result, \hat{m}	Sv
$u_{g_0}(\hat{m})$	Uncertainty contribution to u of the input quantity G_0 associated with the best estimate of the measurement result, \hat{m}	Sv
$u_k(\hat{m})$	Uncertainty contribution to u of the input quantity K associated with the best estimate of the measurement result, \hat{m}	Sv