

SLOVENSKI STANDARD oSIST prEN 50126-2:2013

01-januar-2013

Železniške naprave - Specifikacija in prikaz zanesljivosti, razpoložljivosti, vzdrževalnosti in varnosti (RAMS) - 2. del: Sistemski pristop k varnosti

Railway applications - The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) - Part 2: Systems approach to safety

Bahnanwendungen - Spezifikation und Nachweis von Zuverlässigkeit, Verfügbarkeit, Instandhaltbarkeit und Sicherheit (RAMS) - Teil 2. Systembezogene Sicherheitsmethodik

Applications ferroviaires - Spécification et démonstration de la fiabilité, de la disponibilité, de la maintenabilité et de la sécurité (FDMS) - Partie 2: Approche systématique pour la sécurité

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f166f08b-b546-4f65-bce8-45e5715c1f42/osist-pren-50126-2-2012

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN 50126-2:2012

ICS:

29.280 Električna vlečna oprema 45.020 Železniška tehnika na splošno

Electric traction equipment Railway engineering in general

oSIST prEN 50126-2:2013

en.fr.de



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

oSIST prEN 50126-2:2012 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f166f08b-b546-4f65-bce8-45e5715c1f42/osist-pren-50126-2-2012

oSIST prEN 50126-2:2013

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

DRAFT prEN 50126-2

October 2012

ICS 45.020

Will supersede EN 50126-1:1999 (partially)

English version

Railway applications -The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) -Part 2: Systems approach to safety

Applications ferroviaires -Spécification et démonstration de la fiabilité, de la disponibilité, de la maintenabilité et de la sécurité (FDMS) -Partie 2: Approche systématique pour la sécurité Bahnanwendungen -Spezifikation und Nachweis von Zuverlässigkeit, Verfügbarkeit, Instandhaltbarkeit und Sicherheit (RAMS) -Teil 2: Systembezogene Sicherheitsmethodik

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

This draft European Standard is submitted to CENELEC members for CENELEC enquiry. Deadline for CENELEC: 2013-03-29.

It has been drawn up by CLC/TC 9X. oSIST prEN 50126-2:2012

If this draft becomes a European Standard, CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

This draft European Standard was established by CENELEC in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a European Standard. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a European Standard.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels

© 2012 CENELEC - All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CENELEC members.

4001 **Contents**

Page

4002	For	preword						
4003	Intr	oducti	oduction6					
4004	1	Scop	Scope					
4005	2	Norm	Normative references					
4006	3	Term	Terms and definitions					
4007	4	Abbre	Abbreviations					
4008	5	Tailor	ailoring the life-cycle					
4009		5.1	The life-cycle Model	10				
4010		5.2	The Hourglass Model	10				
4011		5.3	Generic and specific safety acceptance processes	14				
4012			5.3.1 Introduction	14				
4013			5.3.2 Safety acceptance process	14				
4014			5.3.3 After safety acceptance	16				
4015			5.3.4 Dependency between safety cases	16				
4016			5.3.5 Relationship between safety case dependencies and system architecture	17				
4017	6	Avoid	dance of systematic failures. General	17				
4018		6.1	General (Stanuarus.iten.ar)	17				
4019		6.2	Independent safety assessment					
4020		6.3	Prevention of systematic failure in the early phases of the life-cycle	18				
4021 4022		6.4	Detection and correction of systematic failure during the design and development phases of the life-cycle	19				
4023 4024		6.5	Detection and correction of systematic failure during integration and following phases of the life-cycle	19				
4025	7	Guida	ance on system definition					
4026		7.1	System definition in an iterative system approach					
4027		7.2	Method for defining the structure of a system					
4028		7.3	Parties/stakeholders/boundaries of systems					
4029		7.4	Guidance on the content of a system definition					
4030	8		analysis and evaluation					
4031		8.1	General	23				
4032		8.2	Hazard identification - process and methods	23				
4033			8.2.1 General	23				
4034			8.2.2 Empirical hazard identification methods	23				
4035			8.2.3 Creative hazard identification methods	24				
4036		8.3	Hazard classification	24				
4037		8.4	Consequence analysis	24				
4038			8.4.1 General	24				
4039			8.4.2 The risk model	25				
4040			8.4.3 Techniques for the consequence analysis	26				
4041		8.5	Risk evaluation and acceptance	27				
4042			8.5.1 Introduction to the risk acceptance principles	27				
4043			8.5.2 Use of code of practice	28				
4044			8.5.3 Use of a similar system as reference	28				

4045			8.5.4 Explicit risk estimation	29
4046		8.6	Guidelines to the explicit risk estimation	30
4047			8.6.1 Rationale	
4048			8.6.2 Quantitative approach	30
4049		8.7	Qualitative approach	33
4050	9	Speci	ification of system requirements	33
4051		9.1	General	33
4052		9.2	Functional safety requirements	34
4053		9.3	Technical safety requirements	34
4054		9.4	Operational and maintenance safety requirements	
4055	10	Арро	rtionment of System Safety Requirements	35
4056		10.1	Deriving and apportioning system safety requirements	35
4057			10.1.1 How to proceed with functions implemented by $E/E/PE$ architectures	35
4058			10.1.2 How to proceed with functions implemented by non-E/E/PE architecture \ldots	35
4059		10.2	Functional safety integrity for E/E/PE	35
4060 4061			10.2.1 Deriving functional safety requirements for E/E/PE systems from defined hazards	35
4062			10.2.2 The safety integrity concept and its levels	36
4063			10.2.3 Random aspects of functional safety integrity	
4064			10.2.4 Systematic aspect of functional safety integrity	
4065			10.2.5 Combination of random and systematic aspects	
4066			10.2.6 The SIL table (standards.iteh.ai)	38
4067			10.2.7 SIL allocation	39
4068			10.2.8 Apportionment of TEER OSIST prEN 50126-2:2012	40
4069			10.2.9 Demonstration of quantified targets/166f08b-b546-4f65-bce8-	40
4070			10.2.10SIL0	
4071			10.2.11 Prevention of misuse of SILs and warnings	
4072			Safety Integrity for non-E/E/PE systems – Guidance on application of CoP	
4073	11		n and implementation	
4074			Causal analysis	
4075			Identification and treatment of additional hazards arising from design	
4076			Techniques for causal analysis	
4077		11.4	Functional Safety principles	
4078			11.4.1 Functional composition	
4079			11.4.2 Independence of functions	
4080	۸ 		11.4.3 Supporting rules for evaluating technical aspects of independence	
4081	Ann		(informative) Measures for the avoidance of systematic failures	
4082		A.1	General remark	
4083		A.2	Safety and quality management.	
4084		A.3	System requirements specification	
4085 4086		A.4 A.5	System architecture and design.	
4080		A.5 A.6	Integration and testing of the system Application, operation and maintenance	
4087	۸nn		(informative) ALARP, GAME, MEM	
4089			(informative) Using failure and accident statistics to derive a THR	54
4090 4091		E/E/F	(informative) CoP on maintenance activities to preserve the safety integrity of non- PE systems	
4092	Anr	iex E ((informative) Apportionment methods	57

oSIST prEN 50126-2:2013

4093	Annex F (informative) Safety Target Quantification Methods	. 61					
4094	Annex G (informative) Common mistakes in quantification	. 67					
4095	Annex H (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis	. 68					
4096							
4097	Figure 1 – The Hourglass Model	. 11					
4098	Figure 2 – Definition of hazards with respect to the system boundary	. 13					
4099	Figure 3 – Generic and specific safety acceptance processes	. 15					
4100	Figure 4 – Examples of dependencies between safety cases						
4101	Figure 5 – Organisational structure for early phases						
4102	Figure 6 – Organisational structure for integration and final phases						
4103	Figure 7 – An example of risk model	. 25					
4104	Figure 8 – Tolerable rates in an example of risk model	. 31					
4105	Figure 9 – Safety requirements	. 34					
4106	Figure 10 – Apportionment of functional safety requirements	. 36					
4107	Figure 11 – Relationship between SILs and techniques	. 38					
4108	Figure 12 – Impact of functional dependence in a fault-tree analysis	. 44					
4109	Figure B.1 – Example of simple qualitative risk matrix for use within an ALARP framework	. 50					
4110	Figure B.2 – Example of semi-quantitative risk matrix for use within an ALARP framework						
4111	Figure B.3 – Differential risk aversion NDARD PREVIEW	. 53					
4112	Figure E.1 – Functional breakdowntandards.iteh.ai)	. 57					
4113	Figure E.2 – Analysis of the scenario: functional independence						
4114	Figure E.3 – 1st step of apportionment. analysis of the system	. 59					
4115	Figure E.3 – 1st step of apportionment analysis of the system https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f166f08b-b546-4f65-bce8- Figure E.4 – Example of quantified apportionment	. 60					
4116	Figure F.1 – Interpretation of failure and repair times						
4117	Figure F.2 – Double channel failure with common cause	. 63					
4118	Figure G.1	. 67					
4119							
4120	Table 1 – Typical examples for a functional breakdown	. 22					
4121	Table 2 – Examples of hazards	. 26					
4122	Table 3 – SIL and SIL-measures	. 38					
4123	Table A.1 – Safety planning and quality assurance activities	. 46					
4124	Table A.2 – System requirements specification	. 46					
4125	Table A.3 – System architecture and design	. 47					
4126	Table A.4 – Integration and testing of the system	. 47					
4127	Table A.5 – Application, operation and maintenance	. 47					
4128	Table B.1	. 48					
4129	Table D.1 – Measures to achieve the necessary safety integrity of non-E/E/PE systems	. 56					
4130	Table H.1 – Techniques / Methods for safety analysis	. 68					
4131							

- 5 -

Foreword 4132

- 4133 This document [prEN 50126-2:2012] has been prepared by CLC/TC 9X "Electrical and electronic
- 4134 applications for railways".
- 4135 This document is currently submitted to the Enquiry.
- 4136 EN 50126 "Railway applications – The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS)" consists of the following parts: 4137
- 4138 Part 1: Generic RAMS process;
- 4139 Part 2: Systems approach to safety;
- 4140 Part 4: Functional safety – Electrical/Electronic/Programmable electronic systems; _
- 4141 Part 5: Functional safety - Software.
- 4142 of EN 50126 EN 50126-1:1999, This new edition (all parts) will supersede 4143 CLC/TR 50126-2:2007, CLC/TR 50126-3:2008, EN 50128:2011 and EN 50129:2003.
- 4144 This part of EN 50126 covers the systems approach to safety. It is mainly based on 4145 EN°50126-1:1999.
- 4146 This part of EN 50126 will supersede EN 50126-1:1999 (together with prEN 50126-2:2012).
- 4147 This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CENELEC by the European
- Commission and the European Free Trade Association, and supports essential requirements of EU Directive(s). 4148
- 4149

(standards.iteh.ai)

oSIST prEN 50126-2:2012 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f166f08b-b546-4f65-bce8-45e5715c1f42/osist-pren-50126-2-2012

4150 Introduction

EN 50126-1:1999 was produced to introduce the application of a systematic RAMS management process in the railway sector. For safety-related electronic systems for signalling EN 50128 and EN 50129 were produced. Through the application of these standards and the experiences gained over the last years, the need for revision and restructuring became apparent with a need to deliver a systematic and coherent approach to RAMS applicable to all the railway application fields Signalling, Rolling Stock and Electric power supply for Railways (Fixed Installations).

The revision work improved the coherency and consistency of the standards, the concept of safety management and the practical usage of EN 50126 and took into consideration the existing and related Technical Reports as well.

- 4160 This European Standard provides railway duty holders and the railway suppliers, throughout the
- 4161 European Union, with a process which will enable the implementation of a consistent approach to
- the management of reliability, availability, maintainability and safety, denoted by the acronymRAMS.
- 4164 Processes for the specification and demonstration of RAMS requirements are cornerstones of 4165 this standard. This European Standard promotes a common understanding and approach to the 4166 management of RAMS.
- 4167 EN 50126 is the railways sector specific application of IEC 61508. Meeting the requirements in 4168 this European Standard is sufficient to ensure that additional compliance to IEC 61508 does not 4169 need to be evaluated.
- 4170 With regard to safety EN 50126 1 provides a Safety Management Process which is supported by 4171 guidance and methods described in EN 50126-2.
- 4172 EN 50126-1 and EN 50126-2 are independent from the technology used. EN 50126-4 and 4173 EN 50126-5 provide guidance specific to safety-related E/E/PE technology of railway 4174 applications. Their application is determined through the application of the general RAMS 4175 process of EN 50126-1 and through the outcome of the safety-related methods described in 4176 EN 50126-2. As far as safety is concerned, EN 50126 takes the perspective of functional safety. 4177 This does not exclude other aspects of safety. However, these are not the focus.
- The aims set for revision of EN 50126 required a better understanding of the systems approach
 and improved methods for applying the safety management process described in EN 50126-1.
 EN 50126-2 provides this guidance.
- 4181 The application of this standard should be adapted to the specific requirements of the system 4182 under consideration.
- This European Standard can be applied systematically by the railway duty holders and railway suppliers, throughout all phases of the life-cycle of a railway application, to develop railway specific RAMS requirements and to achieve compliance with these requirements. The systemslevel approach developed by this European Standard facilitates assessment of the RAMS interactions between elements of railway applications even if they are of complex nature.
- This European Standard promotes co-operation between the stakeholders of Railways in the achievement of an optimal combination of RAMS and cost for railway applications. Adoption of this European Standard will support the principles of the European Single Market and facilitate European railway inter-operability.
- The process defined by this European Standard assumes that railway duty holders and railway suppliers have business-level policies addressing Quality, Performance and Safety. The approach defined in this standard is consistent with the application of quality management requirements contained within the ISO 9001.

In accordance with CENELEC editing rules ¹), mandatory requirements in this standard are indicated with the modal verb "shall". Where justifiable, the standard permits process tailoring. Specific guidance on the application of this standard in the case of process tailoring is provided in 7.3 of EN 50126-1. EN 50126-2 provides various methods for use in the safety management process. Where a particular method is selected for the system under consideration, the mandatory requirements of this method are by consequence mandatory for the safety management of the system under consideration.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>oSIST prEN 50126-2:2012</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f166f08b-b546-4f65-bce8-45e5715c1f42/osist-pren-50126-2-2012

¹⁾ CENELEC "Internal Regulations Part 3: Rules for the structure and drafting of CEN/CENELEC Publications (2009-08), Annex H.

4203 **1 Scope**

4204 This part of EN 50126

- considers the safety-related generic aspects of the RAMS life-cycle. The guidance in this part is still applicable in the application of specific standards;
- 4207 defines methods and tools which are independent of the actual technology of the systems
 4208 and subsystems, whilst following EN 50126-4 and EN 50126-5 are related to E/E/PE internal
 4209 systems;
- 4210 provides:
- 4211 the user of the standard with the understanding of the system approach to safety which 4212 is a key concept of EN 50126;
- 4213 methods to derive the safety requirements and their safety integrity requirements for 4214 the system and to apportion it to the subsystems, be it for hardware or software;
- 4215 provides guidance and methods for the following areas:
- 4216 system life-cycles as applicable to generic and specific applications, and to the generic 4217 products;
- 4218 systems safety assurance;
- 4219 risk assessment process;
- 4220 risk management process;
- 4221 application of risk acceptance principles and criteria;
- 4222 safety integrity concept.
- provides the user with the methods to assure safety with respect to the system under consideration and its interactions. Examples are guidance on safety integrity by the apportionment amongst the various parts of a system or a method to derive the safety-related role of software as a precondition to apply EN 50126-5;
- enables the user to define the system under consideration, to identify the interfaces and the interactions of this system with<u>SitsTsubsystems_or_</u>other systems and to conduct the risk analysis; https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f166f08b-b546-4f65-bce8-
- 4230 addresses railway specifics^{4,5e5715c1f42/osist-pren-50126-2-2012}
- 4231 does not define:

4235

- 4232 RAMS targets, quantities, requirements or solutions for specific railway applications;
- 4233 rules or processes pertaining to the certification of railway products against the 4234 requirements of this standard;
 - an approval process by the safety authority.
- 4236 does not specify requirements for ensuring system security.
- 4237 This part 2 of EN 50126 is applicable
- to all systems under consideration as regards safety within the entire railway system and the stakeholders involved;
- to the specification and demonstration of safety for all railway applications and at all levels
 of such an application, as appropriate, from complete railway systems to major systems and
 to individual and combined sub-systems and components within these major systems,
 including those containing software; in particular:
- 4244 to new systems;
- 4245 to new systems integrated into existing systems in operation prior to the creation of this 4246 standard, although it is not generally applicable to other aspects of the existing system;
- 4247 for modifications of existing systems in operation prior to the creation of this standard, 4248 although it is not generally applicable to other aspects of the existing system;
- 4249 at all relevant phases of the life-cycle of an application;
- 4250 for use by railway duty holders and the railway suppliers.

4251 It is not required to apply this standard to existing systems including those systems already 4252 compliant with any version of former EN 50126, EN 50128 or EN 50129, which remain 4253 unmodified. Railway applications mean Command, Control & Signalling, Rolling Stock and 4254 Electric Power Supply for Railways (Fixed Installations). -9-

In this standard the term hardware refers to E/E/PE components or systems. If non-E/E/PE hardware is meant, this is specifically mentioned.

4257 **2** Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

4262 prEN 501261:2012, *Railway applications – The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability,* 4263 *Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) – Part 1: Generic RAMS process*

- 4264 ISO 9001, Quality management systems Requirements
- 4265 ISO/IEC GUIDE 51, Safety aspects Guidelines for their inclusion in standards

4266 3 Terms and definitions

4267 For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in prEN 50126-1:2012 apply.

4268	4 Abbrevia	tions
4269	ALARP	As Low As Reasonable Practicable
4270	CBA	Cost Benefit Analysis
4271	CCF	Common Cause Failure (Analysis)
4272	CoP	Code of Practice
4273	DCCA	Deductive Cause-Consequence Analysis
4274	DRA	Differential Risk Aversion ID A RD PREVIEW
4275	E/E/PE	Electrical/electronic/programmable electronic systems
4276	ERE	Explicit Risk Estimation ndards.iteh.ai)
4277	EMC	Electromagnetic capability
4278	ETA	Event Tree Analysis OSIST prEN 50126-2:2012
4279	FCA	Failure Consequence Analysis 45e5715c1f42/osist-pren-50126-2-2012
4280	FMECA	Failure Mode Effect & Criticality Analysis
4281	FTA	Fault Tree Analysis
4282	GA, GASC	Generic Application, Generic Application Safety Case
4283	GP, GPSC	Generic Product, Generic Product Safety Case
4284	GAME	Globalement Au Moins Equivalent
4285	HAZOP	Hazard and Operability study
4286	ISA	Independent Safety Assessment
4287	MEM	Minimum Endogenous Mortality
4288	RAC	Risk Acceptance Criterion
4289	RAMS	Reliability, Availability, Maintainability, Safety
4290	RBD	Reliability Block Diagram
4291	RRA	Rapid Ranking Analysis
4292	SA, SASC	Specific Application, Specific Application Safety Case
4293	SDR	Safe Down Rate
4294	SDT	Safe Down Time
4295	SIL	Safety Integrity Level
4296	SRAC	Safety-related Application Conditions
4297	TFFR	Tolerable Functional Failure Rate
4298	THR	Tolerable Hazard Rate
4299	VPF	Value of Preventing a Fatality
4300		

4301 **5 Tailoring the life-cycle**

4302 **5.1 The life-cycle Model**

- 4303 The model described in EN 50126-1 covers general RAMS aspect of the whole life-cycle.
- 4304 From the perspective of safety methods, with the aim of
- 4305 achieving a clear definition of responsibilities and interfaces between the operator and the
 4306 supplier, and
- 4307 facilitating reuse of existing systems and their related acceptance,
- 4308 the following subclauses are introducing the concept of hourglass model (see 5.2) and the 4309 distinction between generic product, generic application and specific application processes (see 4310 5.3).

4311 **5.2 The Hourglass Model**

- In this subclause, the so-called Hourglass Model is introduced: it offers a simplified approach
 that although not containing all aspects implied in the life-cycle model helps to clarify some
 issues.
- 4315 The Hourglass Model provides an overview of the major safety-related activities that are needed 4316 to ensure an acceptable safety level for a technical system, including the corresponding 4317 responsibility areas.
- Technical system means a product or an assembly of products including the design, implementation and support documentation. The development of a technical system starts with its requirements specification and ends with its acceptance. The design of relevant interfaces with human behaviour is considered, while human operators and their actions are not included in a technical system. Both the maintenance process (described in the maintenance manuals) and the operation are specified but are not considered parts of the technical system itself. They can be restricted in "application conditions" dards.iteh.ai
- The purpose of this model is to highlight the separation between risk analysis (at the railway system level) from hazard analysis (at the level of the system under consideration).
- 4327 This enhances co-operation between the relevant stakeholders, clarifying responsibilities and 4328 interfaces and has the advantages of reducing complexity and facilitating modularization.
- 4329 The Hourglass Model describes two main aspects:
- 4330 "risk assessment", i.e. deriving high-level safety requirements for operational and technical
 4331 issues (including maintenance), and
- 4332 "hazard control", i.e. design and implementation of the safety-related system under 4333 consideration by determining and analysing causes internal to the system and implementing 4334 control measures on the basis of the given safety requirements.

oSIST prEN 50126-2:2013

- 11 -

prEN 50126-2:2012



4335 4336 4337

Figure 1 – The Hourglass Model

4338 A Risk assessment

- 4339 Risk assessment is performed at the railway system level.
- 4340 It covers system definition, risk analysis, risk evaluation.
- It defines the high level system safety requirements, in particular safety requirements for the
 system under consideration from the perspective of operator. It takes into account safety-related
 operational aspects, previous experience and the regulatory requirements of the railway
 application.
- The main task for this activity is the risk analysis, which is derived from the system definition. The risk analysis includes hazard identification, consequence analysis, and selection of risk acceptance principles ("RAP" in the picture) and associated criteria.
- 4348 The specification of safety requirements is the final result of risk assessment; in Figure 1 it is 4349 allocated in box B, because it has an interface function (together with system requirement 4350 specifications and the list of identified hazards) between different responsibilities.

4351 Gaining and sharing system knowledge

- 4352 All the knowledge gained during the process and the documented analyses, resulting from the 4353 risk assessment, should be considered as relevant information together with the specification of 4354 safety requirements.
- 4355 This knowledge should be shared and distributed among the stakeholders involved in the system 4356 process. It will provide significant potential benefits in terms of improved awareness of hazards 4357 and risk of accidents in the given operational and maintenance context, and will also help to
- 4358 understand the scope and limits of the risk reduction measures.

4359 <u>Conducting risk assessment</u>

The level of detail in a risk assessment should be adequate to the risk. The purpose is not to catalogue every trivial hazard, nor is it expected that hazards beyond the limits of current knowledge will always be identified. A suitable and sufficient risk assessment should reflect a reasonable analysis of hazards and their associated risks within the railway operation and within the applied technology itself. Where reasonably practicable, risk assessments should be correlated with historical records of accidents and the records of causes.

4366 When possible, consideration of technical implementation/architecture should be avoided in this 4367 first stage i.e. the system to be developed should be considered as a black box, of which 4368 functions and hazards are evaluated only at the boundaries. These boundaries are well defined 4369 interfaces between the operational environment and the system under consideration.

4370 As an example, an "unintentional train motion" is a hazard for a train. It can be observed as an 4371 abstraction at the boundary of the "system train" and it could lead to different accidents 4372 depending on the operational context (e.g. collision in context with over-speeding while running 4373 or fall of persons in connection with a train moving in a station while expected to stand still, etc.).

4374 Assumptions defined during the risk assessment have to be checked and updated throughout the 4375 life-cycle phases.

4376 **B Outcomes of the risk assessment**

4377 The results of the first stage of the risk assessment are a set of safety requirements attached to 4378 clearly-identified functions, systems or operating rules. They are part of the System Requirement 4379 Specification that establishes the technical interface between the stakeholders.

- 4380
4381NOTE
The project organisational structure and responsibilities are another factor to consider in understanding and
controlling risk. For organisational aspects and requirements see EN 50126-1, 5.3 and EN 50126-1, 7.1.1.3
- 4382 On the basis of the selected risk acceptance principles, safety requirements can refer to Codes 4383 of Practise, to similar systems, or give explicit targets derived from an explicit risk estimation 4384 ("ERE" in the picture).
- 4385 Safety requirements include required efficiency of safety functions, that could be assessed 4386 quantitatively (e.g. maximum rates of hazards), semi-quantitatively or qualitatively (e.g. use of 4387 trained drivers for controlling human factor errors).sist/f16608b-b546-465-bce8-
- 4388 Safety requirements should be assessed with a holistic approach, i.e. the residual risk should be 4389 evaluated as acceptable taking into consideration the identified hazards.

4390 <u>C Hazard control</u>

- 4391 The hazard control stage in the hourglass model is dedicated to ensuring that the system under 4392 consideration is compliant with the safety requirements: hence hazard control is performed for a 4393 specific system architecture.
- 4394 NOTE Hazard control as here defined has a narrow meaning and is limited to the design and implementation phase.
- The major impacts of human factors, operational and general maintenance rules as well as procedures are part of the preceding risk analysis and should have already been taken into account in the safety requirements. Therefore, during hazard control, the designer of the system under consideration can focus on the internal causes of the identified hazards, that can be considered as hazards at the system level.
- 4400 The main task for this activity is the "hazard analysis" comprising:
- 4401 causal analysis;
- 4402 a dedicated hazard identification focusing on the system under consideration, and;
- 4403 a Common Cause Analysis.
- Hazard identification is a recurring task that can appear on several iteration levels for subsets of
 the system under consideration. In order to distinguish these different tasks (and related
 documents) the hazard identification has been quoted twice in Figure 1:
- 4407 1. during risk assessment, it focuses on high level hazards derived from the system functions
 4408 (black box) and related operation of the system as well as its environment;
- within the hazard control, a refined/iterated hazard identification focuses on hazards and their causes derived from the technical solutions, i.e. from defined architecture and internal interfaces of the system under consideration, and potential new hazards introduced by the system itself.

- 13 -

Figure 2 shows that the cause of a hazard at the railway system level may be considered as a hazard on level of the system under consideration, with respect to its boundary. The boundary for a hazard identification is always given in the system definition that limits the scope of the task. This implies that the hazards are structured hierarchically hence a hierarchical approach to hazard analysis and hazard logging should be used.

4418



4419 4420

4421 4422

Figure 2 – Definition of hazards with respect to the system boundary

- The picture is hazard-oriented and shows a "bow-tie" shape, suggesting that several causes may lead to the same hazard and one hazard may lead to several different accidents.
- The demonstration of compliance with the safety requirements of the system under consideration can be performed in various forms. These forms depend on the nature of the underlying requirements set at the beginning of the hazard control.

4428 D Revision of risk assessment

4429 During the hazard control stage, fulfilment of safety targets may not be reached at the first 4430 iteration:

- 4431 additional hazards may be identified at the level of the system under consideration;
- 4432 a need of new operational rules may arise;
- 4433 additional external safety measures may be required to fulfil the safety objectives.
- 4434 In all these cases, a revision of the risk assessment is necessary.
- This revision should also take account of the application conditions that could rise at the level of the system under consideration.

4437 **Responsibilities**

- 4438 Risk assessment is mainly within the responsibility of the railway duty holders and operators.
- The roles and responsibilities may however be contracted to other parties in relation to their accountabilities, provided that they have a documented and suitable range of competencies to consider the whole operational context in detail. They need to take into account safety-related operational aspects, previous experience and regulatory requirements. In any case the railway duty holders should approve the results of the risk assessment.
- The hazard control, for hazards associated purely with the technical system, is the responsibility of the supplier of the technical system.
- 4446 Railway duty holder and supplier need to comply with the prevailing legal requirements.