

EC 62945:2018-09(en-fr)



Edition 1.0 2018-09

# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

# NORME INTERNATIONALE



Radiation protection instrumentation - Measuring the Imaging performance of X-ray computed tomography (CT) security-screening systems

Instrumentation pour la radioprotection – Mesure des performances d'imagerie des systèmes de contrôle de sécurité utilisant la tomographie par ordinateur (CT) à rayons X c9c42a4d40d7/iec-62945-2018





# THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED Copyright © 2018 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

Droits de reproduction réservés. Sauf indication contraire, aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite ni utilisée sous quelque forme que ce soit et par aucun procédé, électronique ou mécanique, y compris la photocopie et les microfilms, sans l'accord écrit de l'IEC ou du Comité national de l'IEC du pays du demandeur. Si vous avez des questions sur le copyright de l'IEC ou si vous désirez obtenir des droits supplémentaires sur cette publication, utilisez les coordonnées ci-après ou contactez le Comité national de l'IEC de votre pays de résidence.

**IEC Central Office** 3, rue de Varembé CH-1211 Geneva 20 Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11 info@iec.ch www.iec.ch

#### About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

#### About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigenda or an amendment might have been published.

#### IEC Catalogue - webstore.iec.ch/catalogue

The stand-alone application for consulting the entire bibliographical information on TEC International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports and other documents. Available for PC, Mac OS, Android Tablets and iPad 

#### IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

#### IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and also once a month by email.

#### Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary of electronic and electrical terms containing 21/000 terms and definitions in English and French, with equivalent terms in 16 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

#### IEC Glossary - std.iec.ch/glossary

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by (a) 94 67 (000 electrotechnical terminology entries in English and French extracted from the Terms and Definitions clause of IEC publications issued since 2002. Some entries have been collected from earlier publications of IEC TC 37, 77, 86 and CISPR.

#### IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

#### A propos de l'IEC

La Commission Electrotechnique Internationale (IEC) est la première organisation mondiale qui élabore et publie des Normes internationales pour tout ce qui a trait à l'électricité, à l'électronique et aux technologies apparentées.

#### A propos des publications IEC

Le contenu technique des publications IEC est constamment revu. Veuillez vous assurer que vous possédez l'édition la plus récente, un corrigendum ou amendement peut avoir été publié.

#### Catalogue IEC - webstore.iec.ch/catalogue

Application autonome pour consulter tous les renseignements bibliographiques les Normes internationales, sur Spécifications techniques, Rapports techniques et autres documents de l'IEC. Disponible pour PC, Mac OS, tablettes Android et iPad.

#### Recherche de publications IEC webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

La recherche avancée permet de trouver des publications IEC en utilisant différents critères (numéro de référence, texte, comité d'études,...). Elle donne aussi des informations sur les projets et les publications remplacées ou retirées.

#### IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Restez informé sur les nouvelles publications IEC. Just Published détaille les nouvelles publications parues. Disponible en ligne et aussi une fois par mois par email.

#### Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

Le premier dictionnaire en ligne de termes électroniques et électriques. Il contient 21 000 termes et définitions en anglais et en français, ainsi que les termes équivalents dans 16 langues additionnelles. Egalement appelé Vocabulaire Electrotechnique International (IEV) en ligne.

#### Glossaire IEC - std.iec.ch/glossary

67 000 entrées terminologiques électrotechniques, en anglais et en français, extraites des articles Termes et Définitions des publications IEC parues depuis 2002. Plus certaines entrées antérieures extraites des publications des CE 37, 77, 86 et CISPR de l'IEC.

#### Service Clients - webstore.iec.ch/csc

Si vous désirez nous donner des commentaires sur cette publication ou si vous avez des questions contactez-nous: sales@iec.ch.





Edition 1.0 2018-09

# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

# NORME INTERNATIONALE



Radiation protection instrumentation - Measuring the imaging performance of X-ray computed tomography (CT) security-screening systems

Instrumentation pour la radioprot<u>ection, 52</u>Mesure des performances d'imagerie des systèmes de contrôle de sécurité utilisant la tomographie par ordinateur (CT) à rayons X c9c42a4d40d7/iec-62945-2018

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

COMMISSION ELECTROTECHNIQUE INTERNATIONALE

ICS 13.280

ISBN 978-2-8322-6025-8

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor. Attention! Veuillez vous assurer que vous avez obtenu cette publication via un distributeur agréé.

 Registered trademark of the International Electrotechnical Commission Marque déposée de la Commission Electrotechnique Internationale

# CONTENTS

FC	OREWO	)RD	5
IN	TRODU	JCTION	7
1	Scop	е	8
2	Norm	native references	9
3	Term	ns and definitions, abbreviated terms, quantities and units	9
	3 1	Terms and definitions	9
	3.2	Abbreviated terms	
	3.3	Quantities and units	
4	Imag	ing performance evaluation procedures	
	4.1	General test performance requirements	12
	4.2	Description of test articles	
	4.3	Manually recorded data	16
	4.3.1	Purpose	16
	4.3.2	System data	16
	4.3.3	Evaluation environment data	18
	4.3.4	Comments	18
	4.3.5	Deviations from specified methods	18
	4.3.6	Presentation of results NDARD PREVIEW	19
	4.4	Object length accuracy	20
	4.4.1	Purpose	20
	4.4.2	Test object description	21
	4.4.3	Testamethadlards.itch.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4c5244d2-8cc8-43b2-965f	21
	4.4.4	Presentation of results42a4d40d7/icc-62945-2018	23
	4.5	Path-length CT value and Z <sub>eff</sub>	24
	4.5.1	Purpose	24
	4.5.2	P. Test object description	24
	4.5.3	B Test method	25
	4.5.4	Presentation of results	26
	4.6	Noise equivalent quanta (NEQ)	26
	4.6.1	Purpose	26
	4.6.2	P. Test object description	27
	4.6.3	Test method	27
	4.6.4	Presentation of results	29
	4.7	CT value consistency	
	4.7.1		
	4.7.2		
	4.7.3	iest method	
	4.7.4	Presentation of results	
	4.8	CT value uniformity and x-ray energy spectrum consistency	
	4.8.1	Purpose	30 • • •
	4.8.2	Test object description	
	4.ö.J	Presentation of results	31 20
	4.ö.4	Streak artifacts	32 22
	4.9		ວວ ຂວ
	4.9.1 100	r urpose	ວວ ຂອ
	4.J.Z		

4.9.3	Test method	33
4.9.4	Presentation of results	34
4.10 \$	Slice sensitivity profile (SSP)	35
4.10.1	Purpose	35
4.10.2	Test object description	35
4.10.3	Test method	35
4.10.4	Presentation of results	36
4.11	mage registration	36
4.11.1	Purpose	30 26
4.11.2		30 27
4.11.3 / 11 /	Presentation of results	37 
5 Enviro	nmental requirements	40
	ormative). Detailed test article specifications and drawings	+0
		41
	Semeral nerte	41
A.2 (	onninercial parts	41 11
A.0 C	)etailed drawings of custom components	42
Annex B (ir	formative) Example of reporting format	66
B 1 (		88
B2 F	vample report the STANDARD PREVIEW	00
Annex C (ir	formative) Statistical guidance on multiple scans, summary statistics, and	00
comparisor	of results	70
C.1 (	General	70
C.2 S	ScenariolApsComparingbaisingle/CTdsystem4betweendts-baseline-and	
C	andidate (revised) configuration0d7/iec-62945-2018.	70
C.3 S	Scenario B: Comparing a single (candidate) system against an existing	71
Ribliograph		ו / רד
Dibilograph	y	12
		4.0
Figure 1 – I	Reference axes for testing procedures	13
Figure 2 –	Test article A	14
Figure 3 –	Test article B	15
Figure 4 –	Format example for manually recorded data	20
Figure 5 –	Object length test object	21
Figure 6 – 0 angular tole	Output from object length procedure when test article is submitted within erance	24
Figure 7 – ( angular tole	Output from object length procedure when test article rotation is outside of erance	24
Figure 8 –	Path-length test object	25
Figure 9 -	Example plot of path-length test results	26
Figure 10 -	NEQ test object	27
Figure 11	7 uniformity test object and streak artifact test object	<u>~</u> / 21
Figure 10	Ding in toot object and streak attract lest object	
pin pairs (s	mall circles), traced line, and rectangular ROI	33
Figure 13 -	Slanted edge test object used to measure z resolution	35
Figure 14 -	Registration test object (not to scale)	37

Figure 15 – CT image of registration test object, slice plane 1	
Figure 16 – Horizontal line profile through CT slice of the registration test object	
Figure 17 – Projection image of the registration test object, and vertical profile through	
image	39
Figure A.1 – Assembly of Case A test article	43
Figure A.2 – Assembly of Case B test article	44
Figure A.3 – Test component sub-assembly of Case A test article (drawing 1 of 2)	45
Figure A.4 – Test component sub-assembly, Case A test article (drawing 2 of 2)	46
Figure A.5 – Test component sub-assembly, Case B test article (drawing 1 of 2)	47
Figure A.6 – Test component sub-assembly, Case B test article (drawing 2 of 2)	48
Figure A.7 – Sub-components for Case A cylinder test object	49
Figure A.8 – Ring sub-components for Case A cylinder test object	50
Figure A.9 – Pin sub-components for Case A cylinder test object (streak artifacts)	51
Figure A.10 – Al sub-component for image registration test object, Case A	52
Figure A.11 – POM sub-components for image registration test object, Case A	53
Figure A.12 – Cylinder test object (NEQ and CT value consistency), Case B	54
Figure A.13 – Object length test object, Cases A and B	55
Figure A.14 – Path length test object, Case A	56
Figure A.15 – SSP test object, Sase BNDARD PREVIEW	57
Figure A.16 – Partition panel for component support, Cases A and B (drawing 1 of 4)	58
Figure A.17 – Partition panel for component support, Case A (drawing 2 of 4)	59
Figure A.18 – Partition panel for component support. Case B (drawing 3 of 4)	60
Figure A.19 – Partition panel for component support, Case B (drawing 4 of 4)	61
Figure A.20 – Component support rods, Cases A and B	62
Figure A.21 – Assembly washers, Cases A and B	63
Figure A.22 – Sub-assembly for Case A cylinder test object	64
Figure A.23 – Sub-assembly for Case A image registration test object	65
Table 1 – List of test methods and indicators measured	16

Table 2 – NEQ procedure results	29
Table 3 – CT value uniformity results	32
Table 4 – Streak artifact procedure results	34
Table 5 – SSP procedure results	36
Table A.1 – Commercial foils required for fabrication of CT value uniformity and x-ray         energy spectrum consistency test object (4.8)	41

### INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

# RADIATION PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION – MEASURING THE IMAGING PERFORMANCE OF X-RAY COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CT) SECURITY-SCREENING SYSTEMS

### FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, EC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter. IEC 62945:2018
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies. 62945-2018
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 62945 has been prepared by subcommittee 45B: Radiation protection instrumentation, of IEC technical committee 45: Nuclear instrumentation.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
45B/908/FDIS	45B/910/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this International Standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This document has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>IEC 62945:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e5244d2-8cc8-43b2-965fc9c42a4d4007/iec-62945-2018

### INTRODUCTION

This document establishes standard test methods and test objects for measuring the imaging performance of x-ray computed tomography (CT) security-screening systems. The quality of data for automated analysis is the primary concern. This document does not address the system's ability to use its image data to automatically detect explosives or other threat materials, which is typically verified by an appropriate regulatory body.

Three annexes are included. Annex A (normative) provides mechanical drawings of the imaging test objects that compose the test article. A sample test report form is given in Annex B (informative). Annex C (informative) offers statistical guidance on multiple scans, summary statistics, and comparison of results. Finally, a bibliography is given (informative).

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>IEC 62945:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e5244d2-8cc8-43b2-965fc9c42a4d40d7/iec-62945-2018

## RADIATION PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION – MEASURING THE IMAGING PERFORMANCE OF X-RAY COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CT) SECURITY-SCREENING SYSTEMS

#### 1 Scope

This document provides test methods for the evaluation of image quality of computed tomography (CT) security-screening systems. The quality of data for automated analysis is the primary concern. This document does not address the system's ability to use this image data to automatically detect explosives or other threat materials, nor is it intended for vendor-to-vendor comparisons of threat-detection performance.

Security screening systems are generally used to scan parcels, including luggage, for the presence of illicit items such as explosives, drugs, or other contraband. Many of the screening systems currently used, particularly in transportation security applications, are based on CT imaging technology. Generally, as the parcel is transported through the system, the system collects a CT image of the parcel. These data are then subjected to automated analysis to determine whether a threat may be present or the parcel is considered clear. If the automated analysis determines a threat may be present, the image is often presented to a system operator who can override the automated decision, clearing the parcel, or referring it for further processing such as opening it and manually searching for threats.

Historically, government regulators have established evaluation procedures to determine whether a system's automated detection performance is adequate for use in applications within their borders. Typically, a vendor submits a copy of their product, including their software to the regulator's facility. The regulator runs a wide variety of parcels with threats inside through the system as well as parcels without threats that represent the typical stream of commerce. Detection and false alarm rates are determined and compared against performance criteria. If the criteria are met, the system is approved for use. This testing ensures that the system is capable of meeting the required criteria, but how does one ensure that all copies of the system meet the criteria? Normal manufacturing variability, quality control issues, or aging of the equipment may degrade performance versus what was observed on the article tested by the regulator. Replicating the original test on each machine in question is impractical. Transporting the regulator's threat set to a factory site or to locations where the machines are in use presents significant security and in some cases safety concerns. This document seeks to address this issue by specifying a suite of test methods that can be carried out on site without need for hazardous materials.

The performance testing carried out by the regulators essentially evaluates the combination of the system's ability to produce an image of the parcel along with its automatic analysis of that image data to reach a decision of threat or clear. The second part of this sequence, the analysis, is implemented through software. Regulators generally require that this software be designed so as to not evolve through use. The software used at all locations in the field must perform the same as the software did at the time of evaluation by the regulator. Configuration management of such software is a well-known and straightforward art. Therefore, the real opportunity for performance variation comes from the imaging system that provides the data to the analysis software. If one can quantitatively validate that the quality of the image produced by the system in question is statistically equivalent to the image produced by the system in question, one can be highly confident that the performance of the system in question is the same as what was approved by the regulator.

Purchasers of CT systems for security screening applications are generally not CT experts. Inconsistencies in methods for measuring seemingly standard image quality values (resolution, signal-to-noise, etc.) can confuse the potential user of such CT systems. Other standards exist for testing aspects of CT image quality, particularly in the medical field. This document specifies a set of methods to apply in assessing CT image quality geared towards security

IEC 62945:2018 © IEC 2018

screening. An application of this document would be in the factory acceptance testing of equipment. The document could be used to indicate whether the unit offered for sale produces the equivalent image quality as the unit that was tested by the cognizant regulatory agency. Since various image quality metrics can be traded off against one another and achieve similar levels of threat detection, it is generally not valid, in contrast to medical CT, to make model-to-model or manufacturer-to-manufacturer comparisons of individual test results for CT systems used for security-screening.

This document does not address image quality presented to the operator. The image quality provided to the operator is not necessarily at the same level as that used by the automated analysis. The data may be degraded before presenting to the operator to decrease resources required for rendering the image on the screen. Conversely, the data used in the automated analysis may be intentionally degraded to control the computational loading of the analysis computer. The user of this document may want to separately assess the quality of the images presented to the system's operator. A wide range of methods is available for this purpose including the use of visual line pair gauges and ASTM F792 [1].<sup>1</sup>

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050-395:2014, International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Part 395: Nuclear instrumentation: Physical phenomena, basic concepts, instruments, systems, equipment and detectors

IEC 60050-881, International Electrotechnical Vocabulary, Radiology and radiological physics https://standards.tich.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e5244d2-8cc8-43b2-965f-

ASTM E1695, Standard Test Method for Measurement of Computed Tomography (CT) System Performance

ASTM publications are available from the ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, USA (http://www.astm.org/).

ASTM D6100, Standard Specification for Extruded, Compression Molded and Injection Molded Polyoxymethylene Shapes (POM)

SAE AMS 4027: Aluminum Alloy, Sheet and Plate, 1.0Mg – 0.60Si – 0.28Cu – 0.20Cr (6061; - T6 Sheet, -T651 Plate), Solution and Precipitation Heat Treated

SAE AMS 4117: Aluminum Alloy, Rolled or Cold Finished Bars, Rods, and Wire and Flash Welded Rings, 1.0Mg – 0.60Si – 0.28Cu – 0.20Cr, (6061; -T6, -T651), Solution and Precipitation Heat Treated

### 3 Terms and definitions, abbreviated terms, quantities and units

#### 3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. The general terminology concerning x-ray systems and radiological physics is given in IEC 60050-395 and IEC 60050-881.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp

# 3.1.1 computed tomography

# **CT** process of rendering a three-dimensional image of a volume based on x-ray projection data

# 3.1.2

#### **CT** value

value reported by CT systems on a per voxel basis that is a function of the material's density and atomic number

### 3.1.3

#### coronal image

two-dimensional image produced by summing a three-dimensional volume image along the y-axis

Note 1 to entry: Axes defined in Figure 1.

### 3.1.4

# effective atomic numbereh STANDARD PREVIEW

material property that represents the atomic number of a theoretical element that, if the material were replaced by the element, would produce the same x-ray attenuation characteristics

### IEC 62945:2018

Note 1 to entry: Z<sub>eff</sub> measurements can be scanner-dependent and should not be considered absolute values.

## 3.1.5

#### mean

for *n* quantities,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , the quotient of the sum of the quantities by *n*:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

#### 3.1.6 modulation transfer function MTF

frequency-dependent measure of an imaging system's resolution or ability to reproduce object contrast. In one dimension it is computed from the system's response to an edge of high contrast using ASTM E1695

### 3.1.7 multi-energy

x-ray imaging system that collects image data at more than one x-ray energy spectrum

Note 1 to entry: This can be accomplished, for example, by varying the x-ray tube voltage, using an energy discriminating detector, or using multiple sets of detectors with differing energy response.

spatial-frequency-dependent measure of noise, interpreted as the number of quanta (radiation exposure) that an ideal detector would have needed to yield the same signal-to-noise ratio as an actual imaging system. It is computed from measurements of average CT value in an imaged object, the system's modulation transfer function and noise power spectrum

#### 3.1.9 noise power spectrum NPS

spatial-frequency-dependent variance of an imaging system's noise, computed using the Fourier transform of uniform noise-limited images

### 3.1.10

### projection image

x-ray image created by detecting the x-ray intensity transmitted through the subject, resulting in an image in which all the subject's components appear to be projected onto a single image plane

#### 3.1.11

#### registration

spatial relationship between the coordinate systems of multiple imaging subsystems. It determines the ability to accurately correlate observations from one image to the others

#### 3.1.12 slice

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

# (standards.iteh.ai)

cross-sectional image of the inspected object

IEC 62945:2018 Note 1 to entry: The normal of the plane of the image is in the direction of the conveyer belt motion (z axis).

#### 3.1.13 slice sensitivity profile SSP

c9c42a4d40d7/iec-62945-2018

# frequency-dependent measure of CT image resolution along the direction of the conveyer belt motion (z axis)

# 3.1.14

# standard deviation

sample standard deviation,  $\sigma_n$ , of *n* quantities,  $x_1, x_2, \dots x_n$  given by:

$$\sigma_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$
 where  $\bar{x}$  is given by 3.1.5

# 3.1.15 standard mode of operation

mode of operation normally recommended by the manufacturer for inspection of parcels

Note 1 to entry: Some systems have special modes for collecting extra data for training. This would not be considered a standard mode of operation.

### 3.1.16

#### test article

item, to be imaged by the system, containing multiple test objects in a specific geometric layout

Note 1 to entry: As used in this document, test article refers to the specific items defined in 4.2.

## 3.1.17

#### test object

individual object having specific properties (size, shape, materials, etc.) that when imaged by the system allows a certain image quality evaluation to be carried out

## 3.1.18

#### voxel

3.3

volume element representing a rectangular prism-shaped region in space within a volumetric image

### 3.2 Abbreviated terms

- CT computed tomography
- MTF modulation transfer function
- NEQ noise equivalent quanta
- NPS noise power spectrum
- POM polyoxymethylene

NOTE POM is the acetal copolymer  $(CH_2O)_n$  of which the test objects of this document are fabricated.

- ROI region of interest (in an image)
- SNR signal-to-noise ratio
- SSP slice sensitivity profile

# Quantities and units STANDARD PREVIEW

In this document, the units are the multiples and sub-multiples of units of the International System of Units (SI) [2]. The definitions of radiation quantities are given in IEC 60050-395:2014.

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e5244d2-8cc8-43b2-965f-

The following units may also be used:42a4d40d7/iec-62945-2018

- for energy: electron-volt (symbol: eV),  $1 \text{ eV} = 1,602 \text{ x} 10^{-19} \text{ J};$
- for time: years (symbol: y), days (symbol: d), hours (symbol: h), minutes (symbol: min):
- for temperature: degrees Celsius (symbol: °C), 0 °C = 273,15 K.

Multiples and submultiples of SI units are used, when practicable, according to the SI system.

### 4 Imaging performance evaluation procedures

### 4.1 General test performance requirements

System components and adjustments should be as for the standard commercial product in normal security screening operation mode; any deviations shall be noted by the evaluators in the manually recorded data. If the system is approved to operate under more than one configuration, the user may want to request the test be carried out at all appropriate settings. Evaluation is to be based on images or other data normally used in standard mode of operation. The exposure time and level shall be chosen as that used when the CT system is operated for the intended use in the security screening application. A calibration of the CT system shall be carried out prior to any testing. Any recalibration of the CT system shall be allowed according to the standard operation of the system. In order to ensure that the system is using a configuration approved by the appropriate regulatory agency, the user may wish to request that the vendor provide the specific settings used during the evaluation such as: tube voltage(s), amperage, voxel size, belt speed, etc. Changes in CT image reconstruction software should be followed by gathering a new baseline measurement set. Alternatively, new baseline results may be recomputed offline if the data are available.

IEC 62945:2018 © IEC 2018

The test articles (see 4.2) shall be presented to the system in a controlled position and orientation. The main axis of each test article should be parallel to the conveyor belt motion direction and the front of the article shall enter the system first (front designated via labeling). The test method described in 6.3 determines the angle of rotation and side to side offset of the test articles relative to the centerline of the system conveyor. Results for rotations more than 2 degrees off parallel from conveyor centerline shall be rejected. This document requires that the test articles be measured at the center of the belt, directly on the belt, within  $\pm 2$  cm of the conveyor centerline. If the user decides to also run the test articles off centerline, the parallel requirement shall still be met, and the data shall be segregated and treated separately.

For reference, Figure 1 shows the coordinate system that shall be used for all procedure descriptions. The z axis is aligned along the direction of the conveyor motion. The y axis is in the vertical direction and the x axis is across the belt. The positive/negative direction of the axis system is immaterial as used in this document.

Not all the methods stated are applicable to all CT systems. Each method shall identify whether it is applicable to all CT types or only a subset.

Each of the test methods specified in this document can include required procedures and examples of optional techniques for achieving the required results. This is necessary because of the range of implementations used in security CT equipment. Each test method identifies where latitude for deviation from the analysis techniques exists. Any deviation from provided example techniques shall be documented including rationale for deviating from the suggested standard method of analysis. Such documentation shall be provided to the end user of the image quality evaluation.



Figure 1 – Reference axes for testing procedures

IEC

#### 4.2 Description of test articles

Execution of this document requires two test articles. They are designated "test article A" and "test article B," and are represented in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The articles consist of several test objects supported in a machined frame within a commercial or custom-built carrying case.