



Edition 2.0 2020-03 REDLINE VERSION

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



BASIC EMC PUBLICATION

Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 4-36: Testing and measurement techniques – IEMI immunity test methods for equipment and systems

Document Preview

IEC 61000-4-36:2020

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/c5b3bb32-0fa8-400f-97d1-3b57529c4ae5/iec-61000-4-36-2020





THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED Copyright © 2020 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Central Office 3, rue de Varembé CH-1211 Geneva 20 Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11 info@iec.ch www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 000 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 16 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

IEC Glossary - std.iec.ch/glossary

67 000 electrotechnical terminology entries in English and French extracted from the Terms and Definitions clause of IEC publications issued since 2002. Some entries have been collected from earlier publications of IEC TC 37, 77, 86 and CISPR.





Edition 2.0 2020-03 REDLINE VERSION

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



BASIC EMC PUBLICATION

Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – **And And S** Part 4-36: Testing and measurement techniques – IEMI immunity test methods for equipment and systems

Document Preview

IEC 61000-4-36:2020

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/c5b3bb32-0fa8-400f-97d1-3b57529c4ae5/iec-61000-4-36-2020

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ICS 33.100.20

ISBN 978-2-8322-8045-4

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

F	OREWO	RD	7
IN	ITRODU	CTION	2
1	Scop	е	10
2	Norm	ative references	10
3	Term	s, definitions and abbreviated terms	10
	3.1	Terms and definitions	
	3.2	Abbreviated terms	
4	Gene	eral	16
5	IEMI	environments and interaction	16
	5.1	General	16
	5.2	IEMI environments	17
	5.2.1	Technical capability groups	17
	5.2.2	IEMI deployment scenarios	17
	5.2.3	Radiated IEMI environment summary	18
	5.2.4	Published conducted IEMI environments	18
	5.3	Interaction with victim equipment, systems and fixed installations	19
	5.3.1	General	19
_	5.3.2	Protection level	20
6	Test	methods	20
	6.1	Derivation of applicable test methods	20
	6.2	Derivation of transfer functions	21
	6.3	Radiated tests using IEMI simulator	
	0.4 6.5	Radiated tests using a reverberation champer	22
	tan hards	Damped sinusoidal injection (DSI) 2-018-4001-97d 3557529c4ac5/icc-6	.000-4-39-202
	6.7	Electrostatic discharge (ESD)	22
	6.8	Electrically fast transient (EFT)	
	6.9	Antenna port injection	23
7	Test	parameters	23
	7.1	Derivation of immunity test parameters	23
	7.2	Radiated test parameters	24
	7.2.1	Generic hyperband test parameters (skilled capability group)	24
	7.2.2	Generic mesoband test parameters (skilled capability group)	25
	7.2.3	Generic hypoband /narrowband test parameters (skilled capability	
		group)	27
	7.3	Generic conducted IEMI test parameters	
	7.3.1	General	29
	1.3.2	denerator	
	7.4	Tailored test level derivation	
	7.5	Relevance of EMC immunity data	31
А	Annex A (informative) Failure mechanisms and performance criteria		32
	A.1	General	32
	A.2	Failure mechanisms	32
	A.2.1	General	32
	A.2.2	Noise	33

		~~
A.2.	Parameter offset and drifts	
A.2.4	System upset or breakdown	
A.2.:		
A.3	Effect of pulse width	
A.4	Performance criteria	35
A.5		
Annex B	(informative) Developments in IEMI source environments	
B.1	General	38
B.2	IEMI environment	39
B.3	IEMI sources	40
B.4	Published radiated IEMI environments	44
B.4.′	1 IEC 61000-2-13 [B.14]	44
B.4.2	2 Mil-Std-464C	44
B.4.3	3 Selection of parameters for mesoband immunity test	46
	Practical determination of a tailored test level – An example	
B.4.4	1 International Telecommunication Union (ITU)	46
B.5	Summary	49
B.6	References	50
Annex C	(informative) Interaction with buildings	52
C.1	Building attenuation	
C.2	Coupling to cables IIICH Standards	
C 3	Low voltage cable attenuation	54
C.4	References US-//Stanuarus-Iten.al)	55
Annex D	(informative) Relation between plane wave immunity testing, and immunity	
testing in	a reverberation chamber	57
0		
D 1	General	57
D.1 D 2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two	57
D.1 D.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments	57
D.1 D.2 os://standards D.3	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments	57
D.1 D.2 os://standards D.3 D.4	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects	57 58_202 61 63
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5	General. Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects. References	57 61 63 63
D.1 D.2 s://standards D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method.	57 61 63 63 63
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method	57 61 63 63 63 66 66
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction	57 61 63 63 63 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction	57 61 63 63 66 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.7	General. Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects. References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method. General. Prediction	57 61 63 63 66 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 S://standards D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.7 E.2.7	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction General Construction	57 61 63 63 66 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.7 E.2.2 E.3 E.3	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction General Example Construction	57 58 202 61 63 63 66 66 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction General 2 Example Construction Injection	57 61 63 63 66 66 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 S://standards D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References	57 58 202 61 63 63 66 66 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6	General. Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments. Relation between immunity testing in the two environments	57 61 63 63 66 66 66 66 66
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F	General. Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects. References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method. General Prediction General Construction Injection Summary References (informative) Significance of test methodology margins	57
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.7 E.2.7 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F E.1	General. Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments. Relation between immunity testing in the two environments. Additional aspects. References . (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method. General. Prediction . 1 General . 2 Example . Construction . Injection . Summary . References . (informative) Significance of test methodology margins	57 58 202 61 63 63 63 66 66 66 66 66 70 72 72 76 78 78 78 80 80
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F F.1 F.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References	57
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F F.1 F.2 F.2.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction 1 General 2 Example Construction Injection Summary References (informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples General	57
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2 E.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F E.6 Annex F F.1 F.2 F.2.7	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction 1 General 2 Example Construction Injection Summary References (informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples Informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples Informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples Informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples Informative Significance of test methodology margins General Examples Informative Significance of test methodology margins Informative Significance Informative Sig	57 58 202 61 63 63 63 66 66 66 66 66 70 72 72 76 78 78 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F F.1 F.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction 1 General 2 Example Construction Injection Summary References (informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples General Positive contributions	57
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F F.1 F.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method. General Prediction 1 General 2 Example Construction Injection. Summary References (informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples General Positive contributions Barpels Summary	57
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5 Annex E E.1 E.2 E.2.2 E.3 E.4 E.5 E.6 Annex F F.1 F.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2 F.2.2	General Relation between measurements of shielding effectiveness in the two environments Relation between immunity testing in the two environments Additional aspects References (informative) Complex waveform injection – Test method General Prediction 1 General 2 Example Construction Injection Summary References (informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples General Prediction Summary References (informative) Significance of test methodology margins General Examples General Positive contributions Positive contributions Positive contributions Positive contributions References	57

0.1	General	86
G.2	Effects	86
G.3	Published accounts of jamming	87
G.4	Risk assessment	87
G.5	Mitigation	87
G.6	References	88
Annex H(method …	(normative) Hyperband and mesoband radiated transients immunity test	90
H.1	Overview	90
H.2	Test equipment	90
H.2.1	General	90
H.2.2	2 Test facility	90
H.2.3	B Hyperband transient pulse radiating test system	91
H.2.4	Mesoband transient pulse radiating test system	91
H.2.5	5 Measurement chain	91
H.3	Field uniformity assessment	92
H.3.1	Field uniformity assessment in an anechoic chamber	92
H.3.2	Field uniformity in GTEM waveguide	95
H.4	Test set-up	95
H.4.1	General	95
H.4.2	2 Arrangement of table-top equipment	97
H.4.3	Arrangement of floor-standing equipment	97
H.4.4	Arrangement of wiring Strand and S. Itteh. 21	97
H.5	Test procedure	98
H.5.1	General Document Preview	98
H.5.2	2 Laboratory reference conditions	98
H.5.3	B Execution of the test	98
H.5.4	Evaluation of test results and a provide the second s	100 🤈
H.6	Test report	100
H.7	References	101
Annex I (i the meas	nformative) Calibration method and measurement uncertainty of sensors for urement of radiated hyperband and mesoband transient fields	102
1.1	General	102
1.2	Calibration method in TEM waveguides in IEC 61000-4-20:2010. Annex E [I.1]	102
1.2.1	General	102
1.2.2	Probe calibration requirements	103
1.2.3	Field probe calibration procedure in case of a one-port TEM waveguide	104
1.3	Calibration procedures for D-dot sensors in the time domain	105
1.3.1	General	105
1.4	Measurement uncertainty	107
1.5	References	108
Bibliograp	bhy	109
Figure 1 -	- Example of radiated and conducted IEMI interaction with a building	19
Figure 2 -	- Assessment options	21
Figure 3 -	- Examples of ports	23
Figure 4	Typical Example of hyperband waveform	20 25
		7 0

Figure 6 – Typical hypoband/narrowband waveform	
Figure 7 – Waveform of the damped oscillatory wave (open circuit voltage)	29
Figure A.1 – IEMI induced offset of sensor output – Corruption of information	
Figure A.2 – Collision of an induced disturbance with data bits [A.1]	
Figure A.3 – Examples of destruction on a chip [A.2]	
Figure A.4 – Generic failure trend as a function of pulse width	35
Figure B.1 – A comparison of HPEM and IEMI spectra [B.6]	
Figure B.2 – Representation of typical IEMI radiation and coupling onto systems	[B.3]40
Figure B.3 – Parameter space in power/frequency occupied by sophisticated IEMI DEW) sources in comparison to common RF systems [B.1]	(i.e. 41
Figure B.4 – Peak power and energy from continuous and pulsed (durations show microwave sources, narrowband and wideband	vn) 41
Figure B.5 – Peak powers of various types of pulsed <u>HPM</u> hypoband/narrowband [B.1]	sources 42
Figure B.6 – Peak versus average power for microwave sources with duty factors indicated	42
Figure B.7 – Phase coherence leading to a compact HPM source with N^2 scaling output power	of 43
Figure B.8 – Briefcase mesoband-UWB DS source sold by Diehl-Rheinmetall [B.3]	43
Figure B.9 – A do-it-yourself electromagnetic weapon made from an oven magnet [B.13]	tron 44
Figure B.10 – Wideband (mesoband and hyperband) EME derived from [B.17]	46
Figure B.11 – Plot of entire narrowband system weight as a function of output microwave power for land-mobile and land-transportable systems	50
Figure C.1 – Typical unprotected low-rise building plane wave E-field attenuation collected from references.	52
Figure C.2 – Cable coupling and resonance region	
Figure C.3 – Mains cable attenuation profile	
Figure E.1 – LLSC reference field measurement set-up	67
Figure E.2 – LLSC induced current measurement set-up	68
Figure E.3 – Typical LLSC magnitude-only transfer function	68
Figure E.4 – Prediction of induced current using minimum phase constraints	69
Figure E.5 – IEC 61000-2-9 early-time (E1) HEMP environment	70
Figure E 6 – Overlay of transfer function and threat (frequency domain)	71
Figure E 7 – Predicted current	71
Figure E 8 – Example of de-convolution result	73
Figure E 9 – Damped sinusoidal waveforms – Ten-component fit	73
Figure F 10 – Approximated and predicted transient	74
Figure E 11 – Approximated and predicted transient (0 ns to 100 ns)	74
Figure E 12 – Approximation and prediction transient – Frequency domain compar	rison 75
Figure E 13 – Variation in error for an increasing number of damped sinusoids	76
Figure E $14 - Complex injection set up$	
Figure E 15 Amplifier requirements for various surrent levels	/ /
Figure E. 15 – Amplifier requirements for various current revers	
Figure E. 16 – Comparison of predicted (green) and injected (red) current	
Figure F. I – Variation in induced currents as a result of configuration	81

Figure F.2 – Comparison of HPD and VPD induced currents	82
Figure F.3 – System variability	82
Figure F.4 – Comparison of single- and multi-port injection	83
Figure F.5 – Example of transfer functions and worst-case envelope	84
Figure F.6 – Comparison of individual and worst-case transfer function predictions	84
Figure F.7 – Comparison between predicted and measured induced currents	85
Figure H.1 – Measurement chain for field uniformity assessment and transient responses	91
Figure H.2 – Test set-up for field uniformity assessment in anechoic chamber	
Figure H.3 – Example of test set-up for table-top equipment/system	
Figure H 4 – Example of test set-up for floor-standing equipment/system	96
Figure H 5 – Example of test set-up in GTEM waveguide	97
Figure 1.1 – Example of the measurement points for the validation	104
Figure 1.2 – Set-up for calibration of E-field probe in one-port TEM waveguide	105
Figure I.3 – Cone and ground plane sensor calibration set-up	106
	40
Iable 1 – Possible IEMI deployment scenarios	18
Table 2 – Summary of high power radiated IEMI source output (rE_{far}) by capability group	18
Table 3 – Examples of protection levels	20
Table 4 – Generic hyperband test parameters (skilled capability group)	24
Table 5 – Radiated hyperband test waveform and other pulse parameters	24
Table 6 – Generic mesoband test parameters (skilled capability group)	25
Table 7 – Comparison of quality factor (Q) with bandratio	26
Table 8 – Radiated mesoband waveform and other pulse parameters	26
Table 9 – Generic hypoband/narrowband test parameters (skilled capability group)	.4 28 -202
Table 10 – Conducted IEMI test levels	29
Table 11 – Open circuit specifications	30
Table 12 – Short circuit specifications	30
Table A.1 – Recommended performance criteria	36
Table B.1 – IEMI environments from IEC 61000-2-13	44
Table B.2 – Hypoband/narrowband HPM environment from [B.17]	44
Table B.3 – Hyperband/ Wideband (mesoband/hyperband) HPM environment from [B.17] [B.17]	45
Table C.1 – Shielding effectiveness measurements for various power system buildings and rooms	53
Table E.1 – Time waveform norms	72
Table I.1 – Calibration frequencies	104
Table I.2 – Type B expanded uncertainties for sensor calibrations in GTEM cell field generation system	107
Table 1.3 – Type B expanded uncertainties for sensor calibrations in the cone and	
ground plane cell field generation system	108

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY (EMC) -

Part 4-36: Testing and measurement techniques – IEMI immunity test methods for equipment and systems

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and 000 members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
 - 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
 - 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This redline version of the official IEC Standard allows the user to identify the changes made to the previous edition. A vertical bar appears in the margin wherever a change has been made. Additions are in green text, deletions are in strikethrough red text.

International Standard IEC 61000-4-36 has been prepared by subcommittee 77C: High power transient phenomena, of IEC technical committee 77: Electromagnetic compatibility.

It forms part 4-36 of IEC 61000. It has the status of a basic EMC publication in accordance with IEC Guide 107.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2014. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) addition of a hyperband and mesoband radiated transients immunity test method in Annex H;
- b) addition of a calibration method of sensors for radiated hyperband and mesoband transient fields and measurement uncertainty in Annex I.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
77C/295/FDIS	77C/299/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

A list of all parts in the IEC 61000 series, published under the general title *Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC),* can be found on the IEC website.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to 2020 the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

IEC 61000 is published in separate parts according to the following structure:

Part 1: General

General considerations (introduction, fundamental principles)

Definitions, terminology

Part 2: Environment

Description of the environment

Classification of the environment

Compatibility levels

Part 3: Limits

Emission limits

Immunity limits (in so far as they do not fall under the responsibility of the product committees)

Part 4: Testing and measurement techniques

Measurement techniques **Document Preview**

Testing techniques

EC 61000-4-36:2020

Part 5: Installation and mitigation guidelines

Installation guidelines

Mitigation methods and devices

Part 6: Generic standards

Part 9: Miscellaneous

Each part is further subdivided into several parts, published either as international standards or as technical specifications or technical reports, some of which have already been published as sections. Others will be published with the part number followed by a dash and a second number identifying the subdivision (example: IEC 61000-6-1).

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY (EMC) -

Part 4-36: Testing and measurement techniques – IEMI immunity test methods for equipment and systems

1 Scope

This part of IEC 61000 provides methods to determine test levels for the assessment of the immunity of equipment and systems to intentional electromagnetic interference (IEMI) sources. It introduces the general IEMI problem, IEMI source parameters, derivation of test limits and summarises practical test methods.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 61000-4-4, Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 4-4: Testing and measurement techniques – Electrical fast transient/burst immunity test

IEC 61000-4-12, Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 4-12: Testing and measurement techniques – Ring wave immunity test

IEC 61000-4-18, Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 4-18: Testing and measurement techniques – Damped oscillatory wave immunity test

tps://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/c5b3bb32-0fa8-400f-97d1-3b57529c4ae5/iec-61000-4-36-2020 There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

For the purposes of this document, the following terms, definitions and abbreviated terms apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp

3.1 Terms and definitions

3.1.1

attenuation

reduction in magnitude (as a result of absorption and/or scattering) of an electric or magnetic field or a current or voltage, usually expressed in decibels

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3]¹, 3.1]

¹ Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

3.1.2 bandratio

br

ratio of the high and low frequencies between which there is 90 % of the energy

Note 1 to entry: If the spectrum has a large DC content, the lower limit is nominally defined as 1 Hz (see IEC 61000-2-13 [3] for further details).

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3], 3.2, modified – The second part of the definition has been made into a note.]

3.1.3 bandratio decades brd

bandratio expressed in decades as: bandratio decades = log10(bandratio)

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3], 3.3]

3.1.4

burst

time frame in which a series of pulses occurs with a given repetition rate sequence of a limited number of distinct pulses or oscillations of limited duration

Note 1 to entry: When multiple bursts occur, the time between bursts is usually defined.

SOURCE: [IEC 60050-161:1990 [19], 161-02-07, modified - The note has been added.]

3.1.5

conducted HPEM environment

high-power electromagnetic currents and voltages that are either coupled or directly injected to cables and wires with voltage levels that typically exceed 1 kV

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3], 3.5] 1000-4-36:2020

ps://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iec/c5b3bb32-0fa8-400f-97d1-3b57529c4ae5/iec-61000-4-36-2020

3.1.6 continuous wave CW time waveform that has a fixed frequency and is continuous

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3], 3.6]

3.1.7 electromagnetic compatibility EMC

ability of an equipment or system to function satisfactorily in its electromagnetic environment without introducing intolerable electromagnetic disturbances to anything in that environment

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-161:2018, 161-01-07.]

3.1.8

electromagnetic disturbance

any electromagnetic phenomenon which may can degrade the performance of a device, equipment or system

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-161:2018, [19] 161-01-05, modified – The last part of the definition, "or adversely affect living or inert matter", has been removed.]

3.1.9 electromagnetic interference EMI

degradation of the performance of a device, transmission channel or system caused by an electromagnetic disturbance

Note 1 to entry: Disturbance and interference are respectively cause and effect.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-161:2018 [19], 161-01-06, modified – Notes 1 and 2 have been removed and a new Note 1 has been added.]

3.1.10

shield

<electromagnetic> electrically continuous housing for a facility, area, or component used to attenuate incident electric and magnetic fields by both absorption and reflection

3.1.11

(electromagnetic) susceptibility

inability possibility of degradation to the performance of a device, equipment or system to perform without degradation in the presence of an electromagnetic disturbance field

Note 1 to entry: Susceptibility is a lack of immunity.

3.1.12 equipment under test equipment being subjected to the test nttps://standards.iteh.ai) 3.1.12

high-altitude electromagnetic pulse ment Preview HEMP electromagnetic pulse produced by a nuclear explosion outside the earth's atmosphere

IEC 61000-4-36:2020

Note 1 to entry: Typically above an altitude of 30 km. 2-0fa8-400f-97d1-3b57529c4ae5/iec-61000-4-36-2020

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3], 3.12]

3.1.13 high-power microwave HPM narrowband signals, nominally with peak power in a pulse, in excess of 100 MW at the source

Note 1 to entry: This is a historical definition that depended on the strength of the source. The interest in this document is mainly on the EM field incident on an electronic system.

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3], 3.13]

3.1.14

hyperband signal

signal or waveform with a pbw (see 3.1.19) value between 163,4% and 200% or a bandratio > 10

[SOURCE: IEC 61000-2-13:2005 [3], 3.14]

3.1.15 hypoband signal narrowband signal or waveform with a pbw (see 3.1.19) of < 1 % or a bandratio < 1,01</p>