INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Second edition 1995-12-15

Information technology — Telecommunications and information exchange between systems — X.25 DTE iTeh Sconformance testing —

(ptangards.iteh.ai)

Packet layer conformance test suite

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92fe1804-6e3c-4874-bc77-852f592efe01/iso-iec-8882-3-1995

> Technologies de l'information — Télécommunications et échange d'information entre systèmes — Test de conformité X.25 DTE —

Partie 3: Suite d'essais de conformité pour la couche paquet



Reference number ISO/IEC 8882-3:1995(E)

Contents

| 1 Scope | . 1 |
|---|------------|
| 2 Normative references | . 1 |
| 3 Definitions | |
| 3.1 Reference model definitions | |
| 3.3 X.25-DTE conformance testing definitions | |
| 3.4 Additional definitions | . 2 |
| 3.4.1 proper packet | |
| 3.4.2 improper packet iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW | . 2 . 2 |
| | |
| 4 Abbreviations (standards.iteh.ai) | . 2 |
| 5 Conformance | . 3 |
| https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92fe1804-6e3c-4874-bc77- | _ |
| 6 Test suite information | . 3 |
| 6.1 Packet layer test suite structure | |
| 6.2 Packet layer initialization | |
| 6.3 DTE-initiated actions | |
| 6.4 Timer definitions | |
| 6.5 Cause codes and diagnostic codes | . 6 |
| 6.6 Data transfer states | |
| 6.7 Other user data fields | |
| 6.8 Transient states | |
| 6.9 Relationship of PICS to test suite | |
| 6.10 Relationship of PIXIT to test suite | |
| 6.11 Test case selection | |
| 6.12 PIXIT proforma | . 7 |
| 6.13 Acceptable unexpected responses | 19 |
| 6.14 Implicit send | 20 |
| 6.15 Basic interconnection tests | 20 |
| 7 TTCN abstract test suite | 21 |
| 7.1 Test suite overview | 21 |
| 7.2 Test case index | 23 |
| 7.3 Test step index | 42 |
| 7.4 ASN.1 type definitions | 46 |

Page

© ISO/IEC 1995

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

ISO/IEC Copyright Office \bullet Case Postale 56 \bullet CH-1211 Genève 20 \bullet Switzerland Printed in Switzerland

.

| 7.5 | | 61 |
|------|--|-----|
| 7.6 | | 71 |
| 7.7 | Test suite constant declarations | 74 |
| 7.8 | Test suite variable declarations | 74 |
| 7.9 | Test case variable declarations | 74 |
| 7.10 | PCO declarations | 75 |
| 7.11 | Timer declarations | 75 |
| 7.12 | ASN.1 ASP type definitions | 76 |
| 7.13 | ASN.1 PDU type definitions | 77 |
| 7.14 | ASN.1 type constraint declarations | 83 |
| | | 93 |
| 7.16 | ASN.1 PDU constraint declarations | 94 |
| 7.17 | | 66 |
| 7.18 | Verification of DTE restart request state R2 | 81 |
| | | 200 |
| 7.20 | Verification of packet ready state P1 | 32 |
| 7.21 | Verification of call request state P2 | 42 |
| | | 53 |
| | • | 64 |
| | | 271 |
| 7.25 | Verification of clear request state P6 | .82 |
| 7.26 | Verification of DXE clear indication state P7 | 91 |
| | | 04 |
| | | 14 |
| | | 20 |
| | | 29 |
| 7.31 | | 31 |
| 7.32 | Verification of DXE interrupt ready state J1 | 33 |
| 7.33 | Verification of DXE interrupt ready state J1 3 Verification of DXE interrupt sent state J2 rds.iteh.ai) 3 | 35 |
| | Verification of DXE receive ready state F1 | 37 |
| 7.35 | • | 42 |
| 7 26 | Verification of DTE receive ready state G1 | 47 |
| 7.37 | Verification of DTE timers Addressing test cases 33 | 53 |
| 7.38 | Verification of DTE timers . 8521592efe01/Iso-lec-8882-3-1995 | 57 |
| | | 65 |
| | | 67 |
| | termeducent et ale region and protocological and a second s | 80 |
| | manipie adoigniment aet teet caeses in the transferrer to the transfer | 87 |
| 7.43 | | 89 |
| 7.44 | Preambles | 93 |
| 7.45 | | 01 |
| 7.46 | | 05 |
| 7.47 | Local sub-trees | 26 |
| Anne | ex A (informative) - Conformance Testing Summary Matrix | 46 |

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development on International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC1. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

International Standard ISO/IEC 8882-3 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC1, Information technology, Subcommittee SC 6, Telecommunications and information exchange between systems.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 8882-3:1991), which has been technically revised.

ISO/IEC 8882 consists of the following parts, under the general title information technology -- Telecommunications and information exchange between systems -- X.25 DTE conformance testing.

- Part 1 : General principles
- Part 2 : Data link layer conformance test suite
- Part 3 : Packet layer conformance test suite

Annex A of this part of IOS/IEC 8882 is for information only.

Introduction

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 specifies a set of tests to evaluate Data Terminal Equipment (DTE) conformance to International Standards ISO/IEC 7776 (X.25 LAPB) and/or ISO/IEC 8208 (X.25 Packet Layer). ISO/IEC 7776 and ISO/IEC 8208 allow for a DTE to interface with a Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment (DCE) conforming to CCITT Recommendation X.25 or to another DTE conforming to ISO/IEC 7776 and/or ISO/IEC 8208. ISO/IEC 8208 allow for connection to Local Area Networks.

CCITT Recommendation X.25 (1980) and X.25 (1984) are written from the perspective of a DCE and therefore do not explicitly specify the DTE operation. However, recommended operation of DTEs is included by implication because of the need to communicate with X.25 DCEs. Tests within this part of ISO/IEC 8882 pertaining to X.25 (1980) and X.25 (1984) are based on the DTE operational characteristics implied by CCITT X.25.

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 presents the packet layer aspects for evaluating conformance to ISO/IEC 8208 and follows the procedures and guidelines defined in ISO/IEC 9646.

Where it is claimed that X.25 is used to provide the OSI Network Layer Service, the conformance tests as defined in this part of ISO/IEC 8882 can be used to verify the implementation of the necessary protocol elements. ISO/IEC 8882-3:1995

The test suite is presented in an abstract form by means of the test case notation TTCN, as defined in ISO/IEC 9646-3. This is an abstract set of tests Not every test applies to every public network or every type of DTE.

iTeh This page Intentionally left blankEVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/IEC 8882-3:1995</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92fe1804-6e3c-4874-bc77-852f592efe01/iso-iec-8882-3-1995

Information technology — Telecommunications and information exchange between systems — X.25 DTE conformance testing —

Part 3:

Packet layer conformance test suite

1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 specifies a set of abstract tests for verifying that the implementation of X.25 protocols, for use by Data Terminal Equipment (DTE), conform to the requirements of International Standards that specify those protocols.

This part of ISO/IEC 8882

- a) specifies a PIXIT proforma;
- b) describes the relationship of the PICS to the test suite;
- c) describes the relationship of the PIXIT to the test suite;
- d) specifies a set of abstract tests using TTCN Graphical notation.

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 defines the testing of a DTE operating at the packet layer designed to access a public or private packet-switched network conforming to CCITT Recommendation X.25" (1980, 1984) of another DTE conforming to ISO/IEC 8208. The specific-icc-mendation X.680). cation of test cases in executable/machine processable TTCN is outside the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 8882.

2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO/IEC 8882. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this part of ISO/IEC 8882 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards listed below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards, and ITU-T maintains published editions of its current Recommendations.

ISO/IEC 7498-1: 1994, Information technology -- Open Systems Interconnection -- Basic Reference Model: The Basic Model (See also CCITT Recommendation X.200). ISO/IEC 7776: 1995, Information technology -- Telecommunications and information exchange systems --High-level data link control procedures -- Description of the X.25 LAPB-compatible DTE data link procedures.

ISO/IEC 8208: 1990, Information technology -- Data communications -- X.25 Packet Layer Protocol for Data Terminal Equipment.

ISO/IEC 8208/Amd.1: 1990, Information technology --Data communications -- X.25 Packet Layer Protocol for Data Terminal Equipment -- Amendment 1: Alternative Logical Channel Identifier Assignment.

ISO/IEC 8208/Amd. 3: 1991, Information technology -ts Jusing TTCN Data communications -- X.25 Packet Layer Protocol for Data Terminal Equipment -- Amendment 3: Conformsance requirements.

> ISO/IEC 8824: 1990, Information technology -- Open -Systems Interconnection -- Specification of Abstract syntax Notation Ohe (ASN7) (See also CCITT Recom--mendation X.680).

ISO/IEC 8882-1: 1993, Information technology -- Telecommunications and information exchange between systems -- X.25-DTE conformance testing -- Part 1; General principles.

ISO/IEC 8886: 1992, Information technology -- Telecommunications and information exchange between systems -- Data link service definition for Open Systems Interconnection.

ISO/IEC 9646-1: 1994, Information technology -- Open Systems Interconnection -- Conformance testing methodology and framework -- Part 1: General concepts. (See also CCITT Recommendation X.290).

ISO/IEC 9646-2: 1994, Information technology -- Open Systems Interconnection -- Conformance testing methodology and framework -- Part 2: Abstract Test Suite specification. (See also CCITT Recommendation X.291).

ISO/IEC 9646-3: 1992, Information technology -- Open Systems Interconnection -- Conformance testing meth-

ISO/IEC 8882-3: 1995 (E)

odology and framework -- Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN).

ISO/IEC 9646-4: 1994, Information technology -- Open Systems Interconnection -- Conformance testing methodology and framework -- Part 4: Test realization. (See also CCITT Recommendation X.293).

ISO/IEC 9646-5: 1994, Information technology -- Open Systems Interconnection -- Conformance testing methodology and framework -- Part 5: Requirements on test laboratories and clients for the conformance assessment process. (See also CCITT Recommendation X.294).

CCITT Recommendation X.25 (1980), Interface Between Data Terminating Equipment (DTE) and Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment (DCE) for Terminals Operating in the Packet Mode on the Public Data Networks.

CCITT Recommendation X.25 (1984), Interface Between Data Terminating Equipment (DTE) and Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment (DCE) for terminals operating in the Packet Mode on the Public Data Networks by Dedicated Circuit.

CCITT Recommendation X.25 (1988), Interface Between Data Terminal Equipment (DTE) and Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment (DCE) for terminals operating in the Packet Mode and connected to Public Data Networks by Dedicated Circuit, CCITT Blue Book.

- h) Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
- i) Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing
- j) Test Group
- k) Test Step
- I) Test Suite

3.3 X.25-DTE conformance testing definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 8882-1.

- a) Improper PDU
- b) Inopportune PDU
- c) Proper PDU
- d) Test Subgroup
- e) Test Selection
- f) Tester
- g) Transient States

3.4 Additional definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO/IEC 8882, the following definitions apply.

3.4.1 proper packet : A packet that is a proper PDU.

3.4.3 inopportune packet : A packet that is an

ISO/IEC 8882-3:1995 3:4.2 improper packet : A packet that is an improper https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/92161804-663c-4874-bc77-852t592efe01/iso-iec-8882-3-1995

inopportune PDU.

s.iten.ai

3 Definitions

3.1 Reference model definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 makes use of the following term defined in ISO/IEC 7498-1.

(N)-protocol-data-unit (N-PDU)

3.2 Conformance testing definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 9646.

- a) Abstract Test Suite
- b) Conforming System or Implementation
- c) Conformance Test Suite
- d) Conformance Testing
- e) Executable Test Suite
- f) Postamble
- g) Preamble

4 Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this part of ISO/IEC 8882:

| ADX | Address |
|-----|----------------------------------|
| ASP | Abstract Service Primitive |
| ATS | Abstract Test Suite |
| ETS | Executable Test Suite |
| FAC | Facility |
| IUT | Implementation Under Test |
| LCI | Logical Channel Identifier |
| LEN | Length |
| РСО | Point of Control and Observation |
| PDU | Protocol Data Unit |
| | |

| PICS | Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement |
|-------|--|
| ΡΙΧΙΤ | Protocol Implementation eXtra Informa- tion for Testing |
| РКТ | Packet |
| PLG | Packet Layer Group |
| RX | Receive |
| TST | Tester |
| тх | Transmit |
| UDF | User Data Field |

5 Conformance

The test realizer shall comply with the requirements of ISO/IEC 9646-4. In particular, these concern the realization of an ETS based on the ATS. Test laboratories running conformance test services for this abstract test suite shall comply with ISO/IEC 9646-5.

6 Test suite information

6.1 Packet layer test suite structure

The packet layer tests are grouped as shown in Table 1.

| Test group # | Packet layer test groups | Test group # | Packet layer test groups |
|--------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | r1 - Packet Layer Ready State | 15 | i2 - DTE Interrupt Sent State |
| 2 | r2 - DTE Restart Request State | 16 | j1 - DXE Interrupt Ready State |
| 3 | r3 - DXE Restart Indication State | 17 | j2 - DXE Interrupt Sent State |
| 4 | p1 - Ready State | 18 | f1 - DXE Receive Ready State |
| 5 | p2 - DTE Call Request State | DPREVIE | 2 - DXE Receive Not Ready Stat |
| 6 | p3 - DXE Incoming Call State | iteh ²⁹ i) | g1 - DTE Receive Ready State |
| 7 | p4 - Data Transfer State | 21 | g2 - DTE Receive Not Ready (*) |
| 8 | p5 - Call Collision StatesO/IFC 8887 | -3:1995 22 | Data Transfer |
| 9 | http6://sDTELGlean Regident State and and | Constraint Sector of College | 374-bTimer Tests |
| 10 | p7 - DXE Clear Indication Stateso-icc | -8882-3-19245 | Address |
| 11 | d1 - Flow Control Ready State | 25 | Facility |
| 12 | d2 - DTE Reset Request State | 26 | Registration |
| 13 | d3 - DXE Reset Indication State | 27 | Multiple Logical Channel Assign ment |
| 14 | i1 - DTE Interrupt Ready State | 28 | DTE/DTE Tests |
| | | | NOTE - (*) This group has been deleted but the number has been retained for consistency. |

For each test group that tests a packet layer state (PLG1 through PLG28), the test cases specified are categorized into the following three subgroups.

- Subgroup 1 contains test cases in which the Tester transmits a proper test packet, these test cases are identified with a one hundred series test case identifier xx_1xx.
- Subgroup 2 contains test cases in which the Tester transmits an improper test packet, these test cases are identified with a two hundred series test case identifier xx_2xx.
- Subgroup 3 contains test cases in which the Tester transmits an inopportune test packet,

these test cases are identified with a three hundred series test case identifier xx_3xx .

6.2 Packet layer initialization

In accordance with ISO/IEC 8208 Section 3.10, the DTE must transmit a Restart Request whenever link layer initialization has completed. However, DTEs developed in conformance with the 1980 and 1984 versions of Recommendation X.25 are not required to send a Restart Request at this time. To accommodate both DTE implementations, the Tester initiates the restart procedure upon completion of link layer initialization.

The Tester will accept either a Restart Confirmation or a Restart Request as a valid response to its Restart Indication, as shown below in example EG_001. Packet layer initialization always occurs once at the start of a test session. State initialization, on the other hand, is performed many times during a test session as part of each test case. Packet layer initialization will also occur as part of state initialization when the previously executed test case results in a Fail or Inconclusive verdict, or the previously executed test case is part of PLG 1, 2, 3, 26 or in test groups in which the Restart procedure is executed as part of state initialization (PLG 1, 2, 3 and 26).

The following are examples of initialization of ISO/IEC 8208 over ISO/IEC 7776 (LAPB). Any other examples of initialization sequences using other underlying protocols are not shown, but may be appropriate. For example, normal state initialization steps in state r1 (PLG 1) are as shown in Table 2.

For those DTEs which disconnect the link upon receipt of a Restart Indication (or transmittal of a Restart Request) the state initialization steps include link layer initialization as shown in Table 3.

| Test Step Name : EG_001 Group : Example Test Step / Packet Layer Initialization Objective : An example test step illustrating Packet Layer Initialization Default : Comments : | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Nr | Label | Behavior Description | Constraints Ref | Verdict | Comments |
| 1 | | ! Restart_Indication START TD | STRT_DCE | | 1 |
| 2 | | ? Restart Confirmation CANCEL TD | STRTC | | |
| 3 | | ? Restart Request CANCEL TD | STRT_DTEA | | |
| 4 | | ? TIMEOUT TD | | FAIL | 4 |
| 5 | | ? OTHERWISE CANCEL TD | | FAIL | |
| Detail 1 4 | ed Comment The Resta TD expire | art Indication is sent upon successful initialization of the | | r | |

<u>ISO/IEC 8882-3:1995</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92fe1804-6e3c-4874-bc77-852f592efe01/iso-iec-8882-3-1995

| Test St Group Objecti | ep Name ive | : EG_002 : Example Test Step / Packet Layer Initialization / : An example test step illustrating Packet Layer Ir tion | Link Layer Initialization hitialization that includes [| Data Link Lay | er Initializa- |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|--|-----------------|----------------|
| Default Comme | | : : a) L is the PCO at the Tester (Packet Layer) to L : b) D is the PCO at the Link Layer to Physical int : c) This example uses the Multi-layer testing met Remote Single-layer test method. | erface. | st Suite only i | uses the |
| Nr | Label | Behavior Description | Constraints Ref | Verdict | Comments |
| 1 | | EG_002 [L,D] | | | |
| 2 | | L! Restart_Indication START TD | STRT_DCE | | |
| 3 | | L? Restart_Confirmation START TD | STRTC DISC 1 | | 4 |
| 4 5 | | D? Disconnect CANCEL TD + LINK INIT | | | |
| 6 | | L? TIMEOUT TD | | | 6 |
| 7 | | L? Restart_Request START TD | STRT_DTEA DISC 1 | | |
| 8 | | D? Disconnect CANCEL TD + LINK_INIT | | | |
| 10 | | L? TIMEOUT TD | | FAIL | |
| 11 | | L? TIMEOUT TD | | FAIL | |
| 12 | | L? OTHERWISE CANCEL TD | | FAIL | |
| 13 | | LINK_INIT | | | |
| 14 | | D! UA | UA_DCE | | 14 |
| 15 | | D? SABM | SABM_1 | | 15 14 |
| 16 | | D! UA | UA_DCE | | 14 |
| 17 18 | | L? Restart Confirmation CANCEL TD | STRTC | | |
| 19 | | L? Restart Request CANCEL TD | STRT_DTEA | EAU | |
| 20 | | L? TIMEOUTTD L? TIMEOUTTD L? OTHERWISE CANCEL TD | [] (a]) | FAIL FAIL | |
| 21 | | | | | 1 |
| Detaile | ed Commer | | | | |
| 4 | Wait for | | | | |
| 6 14 | TD expi Send U/ | | 5-1995 | | |
| 15 | Wait for | | | | |

TS

6.3 DTE-initiated actions

DTE-initiated actions specified by the test suite are handled using the Implicit Send mechanism defined in ISO/IEC 9646-3. The ability of the IUT to perform these actions, and its ability to execute the tests containing the actions, is determined by the information provided in the PIXIT and the PICS.

6.4 Timer definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 8882 defines the following timers:

- TR the time required by the IUT to resume testing after completion of the Restart procedure. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.18a and contained in the test suite parameter TR_DELAY;
- TC the time required by the IUT to resume testing after completion of the Clear procedure. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.18b and contained in the test suite parameter TC_DELAY;

the time required by the IUT to resume testing after completion of the Reset procedure. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.18c and contained in the test suite parameter TS_DELAY;

the time that the Tester waits before TD determining that the IUT will not respond to a Tester stimulus. For example, how long the Tester should wait before assuming that the IUT has either discarded or failed to respond to the stimulus. TD must be less than all other timers specific to ISO/IEC 8208, i.e. T20 through T28. The duration is calculated by the formula contained in the PIXIT question 1.23 (TD = 2TD_RESPONSE + MAX(TO_R3, TO_P3, TO_P7, TO_D3, TO_J2) and contained in parameter suite the test TD_WAIT_TIME;

- the maximum time that the tester TD_RESP should wait for an immediate response from the IUT to a tester stimulus. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.20 and contained in TD RESPONSE;
- is a tolerance used in testing timers TDEL T20 - T28 of the base standard. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.21 and contained in the test suite parameter TDELTA. The duration considers the round trip transit delay between the Tester and IUT, and the time necessary for an IUT to respond to a received packet or timer expiry;

is the minimum time that the IUT TO R3 remains in state R3. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.22 and contained in TO DELAY R3_MIN;

- is the minimum time that the IUT TO_P3 remains in state P3. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.22 and contained in TO DELAY P3 MIN;
- TO_P7 is the minimum time that the IUT remains in state P7. The duration is provided in PIXIT question 1.22 and contained in TO DELAY PCMINA ND
- is the minimum time that the IUT TO_D3 remains in state D3. The duration is Provider in the PIXIT. provided in PIXIT question 1.22 and contained in TO_DELAY_D3_MIN;

is the minimum/stimer that the taly standa TO J2 3c-4874-bc77 remains in state J2. The duration is 1/iso-ica) 8The UDF content in data packets received by the provided in PIXIT question 1.22 and Tester will not be verified. Consequently, the contained in TO DELAY J2 MIN.

6.5 Cause codes and diagnostic codes

ISO/IEC 8208 requires the cause code to be 0 or 128 and the diagnostic code to be present in the Restart, Clear or Reset packet. Use of cause code 0 designates the use of standard diagnostic codes as specified in ISO/IEC 8208, figure 14-B. Use of cause code 128 designates the use of DTE-specific diagnostic codes

CCITT X.25 (1984) requires the cause code in the Restart, Clear, or Reset Request packets to be either 0 or a value in the range of 128 through 255. The diagnostic code field is not mandatory in the basic format of these request packets. However, when the extended format is used, the diagnostic code field shall be present.

CCITT X.25 (1980) requires the cause code field in the Restart, Clear, or Reset Request packet to be set to 0. The diagnostic code field is not mandatory in the request packets.

Any one of several diagnostic codes may be generated by the IUT, on a per test case basis, especially where multiple error conditions are present in the same packet. In such instances any one of the possible diagnostic codes shall be accepted.

The test cases allow for the use of multiple diagnostic codes by DTEs. In the case where the DTE implements specific diagnostic codes it is recommended that these codes be in accordance with Tables 31-36 in ISO/IEC 8208.

6.6 Data transfer states

A limited set of data transfer tests (PLG20 and PLG22) are included in this test suite to verify the IUT's ability to perform the following:

- send and/or receive valid data packets;
- manage window rotation;
- detect improper data packets, and react accordingly;
- · observe the remote busy condition.

In order to facilitate the exchange of data packets during these tests, the IUT provider shall specify the contents of the data packet user data field (UDF) for the Tester. The UDF values are supplied by the IUT

pleting the PIXIT:

information is not requested in the PIXIT.

Note: Receive-only IUTs are not expected to send data packets during data transfer tests.

- b) The start of data transfer (i.e. Tester or IUT transmits first) is based on the response in the PIXIT.
- c) Depending on the test being performed, the full list of UDFs specified in the PIXIT may not be sent. Exchange of data packets containing UDFs specified in the list may terminate at any point. Subsequent tests will start with the entry in the UDF list that is specified in the PIXIT (i.e. first entry or next entry).
- d) If UDF contents are specified in the PIXIT, they will be sent (in sequence) whenever a data packet must be sent, or in response to data packets received from the IUT.

Note: Q-bit, D-bit and M-bit settings in these data packets are based on information provided in the PIXIT. Receive Ready (RR) packets may also be sent by the Tester and the IUT.

e) It is also assumed that the IUT can send consecutive data packets as required for window rotation tests. The Tester will only send Receive Ready (RR) packets during these tests

6.7 Other user data fields

When necessary, the content of user data fields in the Call setup. Clearing and Interrupt packets shall be provided to the Tester by the IUT provider in order to successfully execute the Packet Laver Test Suite. In this case, the IUT requires the Tester to transmit user data fields in accordance with higher layer protocols which are operating above the packet layer.

6.8 Transient states

It is recognized that for those DTEs that process packets sequentially, certain states are not observable. Specifically, the testing of the DTE during the DXE defined states (for example, r3 - RESTART INDI-CATION, p3 - INCOMING CALL, p7 - CLEAR INDI-CATION, and d3 - RESET INDICATION) may end up in the testing of some other states (p1 - Packet Layer Ready, p4 - Data Transfer, d1 - Flow Control Ready). For example, to test the response to an error (r3) state, the Tester would send a RESTART INDICATION, immediately followed by the error packet. The Tester is expecting the DTE to discard the error packet, then send a RESTART REQUEST in response. A However, the DTE generally responds immediately to the RESTART INDICATION with a RESTART CONFIRMA-TION and processes the next packet from the packet layer state r1. This test suite contains tests for these transient states only if they are observable. They are observable and testabletins:/thendaininiumaidurationtaoplards/

6.9 Relationship of PICS to test suite

The Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) defines the capabilities and options which have been implemented by the IUT, and also any features not implemented. The PICS shall be provided by the IUT, and its function is to ensure that the IUT implementation will be tested for conformance against only relevant requirements. The PICS proforma is defined in ISO/IEC 8208/Amd. 3.

6.10 Relationship of PIXIT to test suite

The PIXIT consists of a list of questions developed to obtain the characteristics of the IUT which are necessary to successfully execute the conformance test suite.

6.11 Test case selection

Test case selection is performed using Boolean equations consisting of PICS and PIXIT parameters. Selection is performed at the test group level first, then at the test case level.

6.12 PIXIT proforma

Information supplied by the IUT provider in Table 4 will be used to configure the Tester to execute the conformance test suite. Questions pertaining to function(s) not supported by the IUT should be ignored, since tests requiring the information will be eliminated from the conformance test suite by the PICS. It may be necessary to complete more than one PIXIT in order to represent the various configuration options of a specific IUT.

An uppercase mnemonic enclosed in parenthesis i.e. (IUT TX) indicates the Test Suite Parameter that maps to this PIXIT question. Values supplied in the PIXIT will be directly mapped into the Test Suite via their associated Test Suite Parameter, therefore all supplied values must be in valid TTCN notation. A field that may contain any valid value based on the the state is at least twice TD_RESPONSE52f592efe01/iso-iec-protocologpecification is indicated by use of a ? in the PIXIT answer. A field which may or may not be present is indicated by an * and a field which is never present is indicated by a - . Refer to the Test Suite Parameter table for the declared type (INTEGER, BITSTRING, HEXSTRING) of each test suite parameter.

| Item | IUT Type | Values | Comments |
|----------------|--|----------------------|----------|
| 1.1 | Indicate the protocol to be tested, by answering the all of the following: | Values | |
| '.' 1.1a | ISO 8208 (ISO) | □Yes □No | |
| 1.1b | CCITT X.25 1984 (CCITT 84) | | |
| 1.1c | CCITT X.25 1980 (CCITT_80) | | |
| 1.2 | State whether the IUT is capable of sending data and/or receiving data. | | |
| 1.2a | sending (IUT TX) | □Yes □No | |
| 1.2b | receiving (IUT RX) | | |
| item | Logical Channel Configuration | Values | Comments |
| 1.3 | Range of PVC Logical Channel Identifier(s) (must be less than or equal | Values | Comments |
| 1.5 | to PICS item LC7) | | |
| 1.3a | Lowest PVC (LPV) | Value: | |
| 1.3b | Highest PVC (HPV) | Value: | |
| 1.4 | Range of SVC Logical Channel Identifier(s) (must be within the ranges specified in the PICS items LC1 through LC6) | | |
| 1.4a.a | Lowest One-way incoming (LIC) | Value: | Note 1 |
| 1.4a.b | Highest One-way incoming (HIC) | Value: | Note 1 |
| 1.4b.a | Lowest One-way outgoing (LOC) | Value: | Note 1 |
| 1.4b.b | Highest One-way outgoing (HOC) | Value: | Note 1 |
| 1.4c.a | Lowest Two-way (LTC) eh STANDARD PREVI | Value: | Note 1 |
| 1.4c.b | Highest Two-way (HTC) | Value: | Note 1 |
| 1.5 | Indicate a logical channel to be used by the Tester for Incoming Calls to the IUT) or PVCs. (LCI_UNDER_TEST) | Value: | Note 9 |
| 1.6 | Indicate a logical channel to be used for logical channel-unassigned testing. (LUC) https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92fe1804-6e3c- | Value: 4874-bc77- | |
| 1.7 | How many Incoming virtual calls can be supported at the same time- using the information in PIXIT question 1.4 and PIXIT question 2.2a? (SIM_CALL_IN) | Value: | |
| 1.8 | How many Outgoing virtual calls can be supported at the same time using the information in PIXIT question 1.4 and PIXIT question 2.3a? (SIM_CALL_OUT) | Value: | |
| Item | Flow Control Information | Values | Comments |
| 1.9 | Window sizes | | |
| 1.9a | Indicate the default window size to be used during test execution. This value is used for both transmit and receive windows (must be in range of PICS items V2s and V2r). (DEF_WIN_SZ) | Value: | |
| 1.9b. a | Indicate a transmit nonstandard default window size to be used during test execution. (NS_DEF_WIN_SZ_TX) | Value: | |
| 1.9b.b | Indicate a receive nonstandard default window size to be used during test execution. (NS_DEF_WIN_SZ_RX) | Value: | |
| 1.9c | Provide the integer value of the nonstandard default window size given in 1.9b above. (NS_DEF_WIN_SZ_NUM) | Value: | |
| 1.10 | Packet sizes | | |
| 1.10a | Indicate a transmit nonstandard default packet size to be used during test execution. (NS_DEF_PKT_SZ_TX) | Value: | |
| 1.10b | Indicate a receive nonstandard default packet size to be used during test execution. (NS_DEF_PKT_SZ_RX) | Value: | |
| 1.11 | Indicate maximum flow control packet size. (MAX_PKT_SZ) | Value: | |
| 1.12 | Indicate the modulo to be used for testing. (SEQ_MODULO) | | |

.

| Table 4 | (Page 2 of 12). Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testin | Γ | |
|---------|---|----------|----------|
| ltem | IUT Behavior Information | Values | Comments |
| 1.13 | Does the IUT re-initialize at the Data Link Layer upon execution of an unexpected Restart procedure? (DISC_AT_DL) | □Yes □No | |
| 1.14 | Does the IUT require a one for one exchange of Data packets when transmitting a window or more of data? (REPLY_REQUIRED) | ⊡Yes ⊡No | |
| 1.15 | Will the IUT send the first data packet? (FIRST_DATA_FROM_IUT) | □Yes □No | |
| 1.16 | Will the IUT send more data packets than its send window size? (MORE_DATA) | □Yes □No | |
| 1.17 | Will the IUT send at least three data packets more than its modulo size? (THREE_MORE) | □Yes □No | |
| Item | Timer Information | Values | Comments |
| 1.18 | State the time required by the IUT to resume testing after completion of the following procedures (in milli-seconds): | | |
| 1.18a | Restart (TR_DELAY) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.18b | Clear (TC_DELAY) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.18c | Reset (TS_DELAY) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19 | Enter the values used by the IUT for the following timers: | | |
| 1.19a | (T20) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19b | (T21) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19c | (T22) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19d | (T23) iTeh STANDARD PREVIE | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19e | | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19f | (T25) (standards.iteh.ai) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19g | (T26) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19h | (T27) <u>ISO/IEC 8882-3:1995</u> | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.19i | (T28) https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92fe1804-6e3c-487- | 4-₩a7dē: | Note 3 |
| 1.20 | 852t592ete01/iso-iec-8882-3-1995 State the maximum time the tester should wait for an immediate response for the IUT to a tester stimulus, see clause 6.4. (TD_RESPONSE) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.21 | State the delta value to be added to timers used by the Tester, see clause 6.4. (TDELTA) | Value: | Note 3 |
| 1.22 | For R3, P3, D3, P7, J2 give the minimum and time that the IUT remains in these states. | | |
| 1.22a | R3 (TO_DELAY_R3_MIN) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22b | R3 (TO_DELAY_R3_MAX) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22c | P3 (TO_DELAY_P3_MIN) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22d | P3 (TO_DELAY_P3_MAX) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22e | D3 (TO_DELAY_D3_MIN) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22f | D3 (TO_DELAY_D3_MAX) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22g | P7 (TO_DELAY_P7_MIN) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22h | P7 (TO_DELAY_P7_MAX) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22i | J2 (TO_DELAY_J2_MIN) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.22j | J2 (TO_DELAY_J2_MAX) | Value: | Note 3,7 |
| 1.23 | The time that the tester will use in most cases when waiting for a response from the IUT should be a function of the following formula: | Value: | Note 3 |
| | TD_WAIT_TIME = 2 * TD_RESPONSE + the maximum value of (TO_DELAY_R3_MAX, TO_DELAY_P3_MAX, TO_DELAY_D3_MAX, TO_DELAY_P7_MAX TO_DELAY_J2_MAX) | | |