



**SLOVENSKI STANDARD**  
**oSIST prEN 50622:2013**  
**01-januar-2014**

---

**Standardne lastnosti sistemov zaščite pred delovanjem strele**

Lightning protection systems pure performance standard

Norme de performance pure des systèmes de protection contre la foudre

**Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN 50622:2013**

[oSIST prEN 50622:2014](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014>

**ICS:**

91.120.40      Zaščita pred strelo      Lightning protection

**oSIST prEN 50622:2013**

**en,fr,de**

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[oSIST prEN 50622:2014](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014>

EUROPEAN STANDARD  
NORME EUROPÉENNE  
EUROPÄISCHE NORM

**DRAFT**  
**prEN 50622**

September 2013

ICS

English version

## Lightning protection systems pure performance standard

Norme de performance pure des  
systèmes de protection contre la foudre

To be completed

This draft European Standard is submitted to CENELEC members for CENELEC enquiry.  
Deadline for CENELEC: 2014-02-14.

It has been drawn up by CLC/TC 81X.

If this draft becomes a European Standard, CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

This draft European Standard was established by CENELEC in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a European Standard. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a European Standard.

# CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization  
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique  
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels**

## Contents

1		
2	<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>3</b>
3	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>4</b>
4	<b>1 General</b> .....	<b>4</b>
5	<b>1.1 Object</b> .....	<b>4</b>
6	<b>1.2 Scope</b> .....	<b>4</b>
7	<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>4</b>
8	<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>4</b>
9	<b>4 Installations under monitoring</b> .....	<b>6</b>
10	<b>4.1 Lightning ground flash density <math>N_g</math></b> .....	<b>6</b>
11	<b>4.2 Collection area <math>A_d</math></b> .....	<b>6</b>
12	<b>4.3 Location factor <math>C_d</math></b> .....	<b>6</b>
13	<b>4.4 Number of dangerous events <math>N_D</math> for a structure</b> .....	<b>6</b>
14	<b>4.5 Total number of expected dangerous events <math>N_{DT}</math></b> .....	<b>7</b>
15	<b>5 Monitoring Degrees</b> .....	<b>7</b>
16	<b>6 Book of installation</b> .....	<b>7</b>
17	<b>7 Failures</b> .....	<b>8</b>
18	<b>8 Performance</b> .....	<b>9</b>

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN 50622:2014](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014>

21

**Foreword**

22 This document (prEN 50622:2013) has been prepared by CLC/TC 81X "Lightning protection".

23 This document is currently submitted to the Enquiry.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[oSIST prEN 50622:2014](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014>

## 24 Introduction

25 In April 2010, the Technical Bureau (BT) of CENELEC asked the European Lightning Protection group  
26 (CLC/TC 81X) "to examine the possibility to establish a pure performance standard, independent from any  
27 technology and enabling the development of existing and future technologies on lightning protection systems  
28 and report back to BT" (D136/014).

29 In October 2011, BT approved by majority the **New Work Item** i.e. the future prEN 50622 "Lightning  
30 protection systems pure performance standard" (D139/044).

31 The aim of this standard is to describe a method to know and document the performance of an LPS. Taking  
32 into account that lightning phenomena cannot be fully reproduced in a laboratory and that testing in "field  
33 sites" is not representative from a statistical point of view, the performance of a LPS can only be established  
34 in real installations with a significant number of sites, representing the different climatic and geographical  
35 conditions around the world.

36 This commitment from the BT is a unique opportunity to go a step forward in the scientific and technologic  
37 developments in the field of lightning protection.

38 Only interception performance is considered in this document.

39

## 40 1 General

### 41 1.1 Object

42  
43 The object of this standard is giving a method to measure the interception performance of lightning  
44 protection systems when they are installed in real conditions.

### 45 1.2 Scope

46  
47 This standard provides the requirements to evaluate the performance in terms of lightning interception  
48 attachment, of lightning protection systems (LPS) installed according to their reference standards or  
49 specifications.

## 50 2 Normative references

51 This is a Pure Performance Standard and therefore no indications about material, sizes or installation  
52 instructions are given. Nevertheless, the Lightning Protections Systems under monitoring should have been  
53 designed and installed according to documents that shall be public and shall contain all the characteristics of  
54 the LPS, such as installation instructions, materials, sizes and laboratory tests of the lightning protection  
55 components.

## 56 3 Terms and definitions

57 For the purpose of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

### 58 3.1

#### 59 Lightning Protection System

##### 60 LPS

61 system intended to intercept lightning flashes, conduct lightning current and disperse it into the earth thus  
62 reducing physical damage caused by direct lightning flashes

### 63 3.2

#### 64 Type of Lightning Protection Systems under monitoring

65 full set of characteristics of the Lightning Protection Systems which performance is being monitored

### 66 3.3

#### 67 protected area

68 structure, object or open area that is under the protection of a lightning protection system and that should  
69 therefore not be struck by lightning

- 70 **3.4**  
71 **monitoring Degree**  
72 **MD**  
73 number related to the information given by the set of measures taken for observing the performance of a  
74 Lightning Protection System
- 75 **3.5**  
76 **failure**  
77 missed interception by LPS in a protected area
- 78 **3.6**  
79 **damage**  
80 puncture, masonry breakage, fire or flash mark that has been caused by a direct lightning strike
- 81 **3.7**  
82 **efficiency of a LPS**  
83 **E**  
84 accepted percentage of flashovers for an installed LPS, that must be clearly defined in the standards or  
85 specifications, indicating the type and characteristics of lightning flashes to which the protected area is  
86 exposed
- 87 **3.8**  
88 **performance factor**  
89  **$\alpha$**   
90 value of the number of failures within monitored protected areas relative to the total amount of flashes  
91 expected in those areas

- 92 **3.9**  
93 **flashover**  
94

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

95

[oSIST prEN 50622:2014  
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/622349c5-d29b-4f1a-b6fa-39ff0a036ec8/osist-pren-50622-2014)

## 96 4 Installations under monitoring

97 Any installation may be subjected to any type of monitoring defined in Clause 5, although it is advisable to  
98 monitor a heterogeneous set of structures (high and low flash density areas, different altitudes, different  
99 materials for the structure, etc.).

### 100 4.1 Lightning ground flash density $N_g$

101 The lightning ground flash density  $N_g$  is the number of lightning flashes per km<sup>2</sup> per year. This value is  
102 available from ground flash location networks in many areas of the world.

103 NOTE If a map of  $N_g$  is not available, in temperate regions it may be estimated by:

$$104 \quad N_g \approx 0,1 T_d$$

105 where  $T_d$  is the thunderstorm days per year (which can be obtained from isokeraunic maps).

### 106 4.2 Collection area $A_d$

107 For isolated structures on flat ground, the collection area  $A_d$  is the area defined by the intersection between  
108 the ground surface and a straight line with 1/3 slope which passes from the upper parts of the structure  
109 (touching it there) and rotating around it. Determination of the value of  $A_d$  may be performed graphically or  
110 mathematically.

111 For an isolated rectangular structure with length  $L$ , width  $W$ , and height  $H$  on a flat ground, the collection  
112 area is then equal to

$$113 \quad A_d = L \times W + 6 \times H \times (L + W) + 9 \times \pi \times (H)^2$$

114 with  $L$ ,  $W$  and  $H$  expressed in meters.

### 116 4.3 Location factor $C_d$

117 The relative location of the structure, compensating for surrounding objects or an exposed location will be  
118 taken into account by a location factor  $C_d$  (see Table 1).

119 **Table 1 – Location factor  $C_d$**

Relative location	$C_d$
Object surrounded by higher objects or trees	0,25
Object surrounded by objects or trees of the same heights or smaller	0,5
Isolated object: no other objects in the vicinity	1
Isolated object on a hilltop or a knoll	2

120

### 121 4.4 Number of dangerous events $N_D$ for a structure

122 For each installation, the expected number of direct strikes per year will be calculated as follows:

$$123 \quad N_D = N_g \times A_d \times C_d \times 10^{-6}$$

124 where

125  $N_g$  is the lightning ground flash density (1/km<sup>2</sup>/year) (see 4.1);

126  $A_d$  is the collection area of the isolated structure (m<sup>2</sup>)(see 4.2);

127  $C_d$  is the location factor of the structure (see Table A.5) (see 4.3).



#### 128 **4.5 Total number of expected dangerous events $N_{DT}$**

129 The number of dangerous events  $N_D$  will be calculated individually for each protected area.

130

131  $N_{DT}$  is the accumulated number of expected dangerous events within the monitored areas so it will be  
132 calculated by the summation of  $N_{Di}$ , being  $N_{Di}$  the expected frequency for each of the monitored installations.

133 The total number of expected dangerous events for calculating the performance factor  $\alpha$  will be at least  
134 500 ( $N_{DT} \geq 500$ ).

### 135 **5 Monitoring Degrees**

136 Three Monitoring Degrees (MD) are defined:

#### 137 **MD1 - High quality control measures**

138 MD1 includes all requirements of MD2.

139 The lightning protection system is provided with instruments that are able to measure if there has been a  
140 lightning strike at the place that should be protected and also if the characteristics of that lightning strike  
141 were such that the LPS should have intercepted it according to its defined efficiency.

142 This level is the best system to unambiguously determine lightning protection performance. Use and  
143 development of these systems is highly encouraged.

144 At least 10 % of the monitored installation should be provided with MD1.

#### 145 **MD2 - Medium quality control measures**

146 MD2 includes all requirements of MD3.

147 For MD2 the lightning protection system will be provided with one or more counters recording at least the  
148 number of events. Counters measuring also peak current, date of the event, etc. are also included in this  
149 degree.

150 For the recorded counts, it can be discerned if it has caused or not damages to the structure. However, in  
151 case of damage it is not possible to know if there has been a failure of the LPS, since a low current lightning  
152 could have caused it.

153 This degree is recommended to be used in the maximum number of locations since it provides a  
154 compromise between cost and information.

155 At least 50 % of the monitored installations should be provided with MD2.

#### 156 **MD3 - Basic quality control measures**

157 MD3 consists of periodical maintenance of the structure with visual inspection and incidents registration.  
158 Damage can be noted, but no information is collected about the events when the system has worked  
159 correctly. Only the inspection of the air terminal could bring information due to melting or any discharge  
160 indication of a lightning strike.

161 In case of damage it is not possible to know if there has been a failure of the LPS, since a low current  
162 lightning could have caused it.

### 163 **6 Book of installation**

164 For the purposes of performance verification, each LPS under control should be provided with a "Book of  
165 Installation", which should be certified by an independent institution.

166 The book shall include the following points:

#### 167 Inspection according to standards or specifications.

- 168 ▪ Verification that the LPS is installed according to the standards or specifications, which should be written  
169 in a public document. Such published standards or specifications should also establish the points to be  
170 inspected.

171 Data

- 172 ▪ Note any non-compliance or deviation from the standards or installation specifications.
- 173 ▪ Note the place of installation (coordinates).
- 174 ▪ Data for calculating the collection area of the structure. Location factor.
- 175 ▪ Date of the latest control.

176

177 Description of the LPS

- 178 ▪ Name of the Type of Lightning Protection Systems under monitoring.
- 179 ▪ Selected LPL (or efficiency related to the LPS).
- 180 ▪ General drawing of the structure and the air termination system, specifying distances from the air  
181 terminals to the corners.
- 182 ▪ Type and material of the installed air terminals.
- 183 ▪ Situation and description of the control systems that have been installed.
- 184 ▪ Existing damages prior to installing the LPS (if any).

185 Results

- 186 ▪ Note the number of strikes shown by the counters (if counters have been installed) and date of  
187 occurrences (if known);
- 188 ▪ Note the results of the instruments recording lightning parameters (if they have been installed);
- 189 ▪ In case of damage:
  - 190 - Describe the damages, with special attention to the path of the lightning current.
  - 191 - Measure and note the distance between the damage and the closest air terminal.
  - 192 - Verify and note the continuity between the air terminal and the earthing.

193 The user or owner of the structure where the LPS is installed shall agree, sign and have the possibility of  
194 commenting the report.

195 **7 Failures**

196 If damage was caused by improper installation, it should not be considered as a failure.

197 If a damage caused by a lightning strike has been observed at an installation with monitoring degree MD2 or  
198 MD3, then the number of failures should be multiplied by the efficiency of each monitored LPS.

- 199 ▪  $a$  is the number of failures observed at installations with monitoring degree MD1
- 200 ▪ If  $b$  is the number of failures observed at installations with monitoring degree MD2, then  $b' = \sum b_i \cdot E_i$ ,  
201 where  $E_i$  is the efficiency related to each LPS.
- 202 ▪ If  $c$  is the number of failures observed at installations with monitoring degree MD3, then  $c' = \sum c_i \cdot E_i$ ,  
203 where  $E_i$  is the efficiency related to each LPS.

204 The number of failures  $N_f$  will be then:

205  $N_f = a + b' + c'$