



Information technology — Text Communication — Message-Oriented Text Interchange Systems (MOTIS) —

Part 7: Interpersonal Messaging System

TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 9

Technologies de l'information — Communication de texte — Systèmes d'échange de texte en mode message —

Partie 7: Système de messagerie de personne à personne

RECTIFICATIF TECHNIQUE 9

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Technical corrigendum 9 to International Standard ISO/IEC 10021-7:1990 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information technology. <https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2411bbeb-d3e4-4b13-bc09-5e265c80cf22/iso-iec-10021-7-1990-cor-9-1994>

Page 14

Subclause 7.3.3 (as modified by Technical Corrigendum 3)

Add a new NOTE 4:

4. All of the pages in any one G3 Facsimile body part are required to have the same values for the non-basic parameters. When a message is created containing facsimile data where pages have different values for these parameters (e.g., different paper size) it will be necessary to use a separate body part for each group of adjacent pages that have the same parameter values. Except where the originator of the message deliberately requests separate body parts, all consecutive pages that have the same parameter values should be placed in a single body part. In particular, when receiving pages from a facsimile terminal, if a new set of parameters are signalled, they should be compared with the parameters of the previous page; a new body part should be created only if the parameters have changed.

Page 19

Clause 8

In the fifth paragraph (starting "The subject recipient specifier") in the last sentence: Replace "an O/R name of the preferred recipient ... is performed" by "the value of either the O/R name from the first element of the redirection-history argument (if present) or else the this-recipient-name argument of the Message Delivery operation."

Add new note after the fifth paragraph:

NOTE - In the case where the subject IPM has been delivered as a result of DL Expansion, an IPN is not generated. This is in order to prevent inadvertent disclosure of the membership of the DL (which should be the subject of the DL policy). However, IPNs may be generated in the case of Redirection or alias O/R names.

Page 21

Subclause 8.1.3

Replace the first paragraph of subclause 8.1.3 by:

The **IPM Preferred Recipient** common field (C) identifies the originally specified recipient which gave rise to the subject IPM's delivery to the IPN's originator. It comprises an O/R descriptor.

Replace the third paragraph by: iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

This conditional field shall be present if, and only if, the O/R address of the IPN's originator is different from that of the subject recipient specifier, i.e. when the IPN's originator received the message as a result of redirection, or where the subject recipient specifier contained another, non-preferred, O/R address of the same user.

ISO/IEC 10021-7:1990/Cor 9:1994

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2411bbeb-d3e4-4b13-bc09-5e265c80cf22/iso-iec-10021-7-1990-cor-9-1994>

Page 65

Subclause C.3.5

Add as the second sentence of the first paragraph:

For the purposes of this attribute, all body parts of an IPM are considered to be of type Externally Defined regardless of whether they were so conveyed to the MS.

Page 65

Subclause C.3.6

Add as the second sentence of the first paragraph:

For the purposes of these attributes, all body parts of an IPM are considered to be of type Externally Defined regardless of whether they were so conveyed to the MS.