# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

# ISO 13232-2

First edition
1996-12-15

# Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles —

## Part 2:

## Definition of impact conditions in relation to accident data



*Motocycles — Méthodes d'essai et d'analyse de l'évaluation par la recherche des dispositifs, montés sur les motocycles, visant à la protection des motocyclistes contre les collisions —*



*Partie 2: Définition des conditions de choc en fonction des données sur les accidents*

Reference number
ISO 13232-2:1996(E)

# Contents







International Organization for Standardization
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland
Internet central@isocs.iso.ch
X.400 c=ch; a=400net; p=iso; o=isocs; s=central

Printed in Switzerland

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

This part of ISO 13232 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 22, *Road vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 22, *Motorcycles*.

At the request of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Group for Road Vehicle General Safety (UN/ECE/TRANS/SCI/WP29/GRSG), this International Standard has been prepared by ISO/TC 22/SC 22, *Motorcycles*, as eight interrelated parts, on the basis of original working documents submitted by the International Motorcycle Manufacturers Association (IMMA).



This is the first version of the standard.



ISO 13232 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles*:

— *Part 1: Definitions, symbols and general considerations*

— *Part 2: Definition of impact conditions in relation to accident data*

— *Part 3: Anthropometric impact dummy*

— *Part 4: Variables to be measured, instrumentation and measurement procedures*

— *Part 5: Injury indices and risk/benefit analysis*

— *Part 6: Full-scale impact-test procedures*

— *Part 7: Standardized procedures for performing computer simulations of motorcycle impact tests*

— *Part 8: Documentation and reports*

Annexes A, B, C and D form an integral part of this part of ISO 13232. Annexes E and F are for information only.

## Introduction

This International Standard has been prepared on the basis of existing technology. Its purpose is to define common research methods and a means for making an overall evaluation of the effect that devices which are fitted to motor cycles and intended for the crash protection of riders, have on injuries, when assessed over a range of impact conditions which are based on accident data.

It is intended that all of the methods and recommendations contained in this International Standard should be used in all basic feasibility research. However, researchers should also consider variations in the specified conditions (for example, rider size) when evaluating the overall feasibility of any protective device. In addition, researchers may wish to vary or extend elements of the methodology in order to research issues which are of particular interest to them. In all such cases which go beyond the basic research, if reference is to be made to this International Standard, a clear explanation of how the procedures used differ from the basic methodology should be provided.

It is recognized that the method of analysis as described in this part of ISO 13232 may be modified at a future date to reflect the availability of more detailed accident data bases which may be collected with a greater degree of precision than were the Hannover and Los Angeles accident data.

In order to apply this International Standard properly, it is strongly recommended that all eight parts be used together, particularly if the results are to be published.

# Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles —

# Part 2:
## Definition of impact conditions in relation to accident data

### 1 Scope

This International Standard specifies the minimum requirements for research into the feasibility of protective devices fitted to motor cycles, which are intended to protect the rider in the event of a collision.

This International Standard is applicable to impact tests involving

- two wheeled motor cycles;
- the specified type of opposing vehicle;
- either a stationary and a moving vehicle or two moving vehicles;
- for any moving vehicle, a steady speed and straight line motion immediately prior to impact;
- one helmeted dummy in a normal seating position on an upright motor cycle;
- the measurement of the potential for specified types of injury by body region;
- evaluation of the results of paired impact tests (i.e., comparisons between motor cycles fitted and not fitted with the proposed devices).



This part of ISO 13232

- specifies minimum requirements for the collection and analysis of motor cycle accident data, in order to provide a statistical basis for defining impact test conditions;
- provides a standardized and representative set of accident data and a set of impact conditions based on an analysis of this accident data.

This International Standard does not apply to testing for regulatory or legislative purposes.

### 2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this part of ISO 13232 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 13232-1: 1996, Motor cycles – Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motor cycles – Part 1 – Definitions, symbols and general considerations.

ISO 13232-7: 1996, Motor cycles - Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motor cycles - Part 7 - Standardized procedures for performing computer simulations of motor cycle impact tests.

AIS-90: 1990, American Association of Automotive Medicine (AAAM). The abbreviated injury scale. 1990 revision. Des Plaines, IL, U.S.A.

## 3 Definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 13232, the definitions given in ISO 13232-1 apply, of which the following are of particular relevance to this part of ISO 13232.

- cell;
- cell range;
- centre line of the OV or MC;
- corner of the OV;
- MC front unsprung assembly;
- MC contact point;
- MC impact speed;
- nominal values;
- OV contact point;
- OV impact speed;
- overall length of the OV or MC;
- relative heading angle (rha);
- structural element of the MC.



## 4 Requirements

### 4.1 Impact variables

The following impact variables shall define an impact test or impact data for an accident:

- relative heading angle;
- opposing vehicle (OV) impact speed;
- motor cycle (MC) impact speed;
- OV contact point;
- MC contact point.

These variables shall be as defined in 4.3 for impact tests and in annex A for accident reports.

### 4.2 Standardized accident configurations

Standardized accident configurations shall be used for overall evaluations of rider crash protective devices, for failure mode and effects analyses of such devices, and for full-scale impact tests intended to verify such analyses.

The standardized accident configurations and corresponding frequencies shown in annex B, which are the result of applying the requirements of 4.2.2.1 and clause 5 to the combined accident data listed in annex C, shall be used for such purposes.

NOTE - The accident databases listed in annex C were the only ones which met the requirements of this part of ISO 13232 and which were made available in a timely way to the group preparing this International Standard.

#### 4.2.1 Data collection for future revisions

In future revisions of this International Standard, annex B may be revised to account for different accident databases which may be included in annex C. In this case, the requirements of 4.2 and clause 5, which are also subject to revision, shall be applied to the contents of annex C. The results of such revisions to the standardized frequency of injury data, given in annex D, along with the resulting frequency of occurrence data, given in annex B, should be considered in potential revisions to the full-scale impact configurations, given in 4.3.

#### 4.2.2 Accident sampling

##### 4.2.2.1 Defining frequency of occurrence of various impact configurations

The accident database for each region shall include at least 200 MC accidents and shall be uniformly sampled data from all reporting facilities for a given region (i.e., a randomized sample). The samples shall be the result of in-depth investigations including on-site measurements and reconstructions. The subsample used, as determined in 5.1.1, shall consist only of those accidents involving impacts between motor cycles and passenger cars. The database shall include all of the impact variables listed in 4.1 and A.1 and shall be available for analysis and potential publication as part of this International Standard.



##### 4.2.2.2 Defining frequency of injury of various impact configurations

Additionally, for each accident the following injury data for each injury, as defined in A.2, shall be included:



- injury body region;
- injury type;
- injury severity, as defined by the AAAM abbreviated injury scale (AIS).

The database shall also include the variables listed in A.3 and should include the variables listed in A.4.

### 4.3 Impact configurations for full-scale tests

#### 4.3.1 Required configurations

The impact configurations for full-scale tests shall include those shown in figure 1 and listed in table 1, as a preliminary assessment of the proposed protective device.

The impact configuration code shall comprise a series of three digits describing the OV contact point, the MC contact point, and relative heading angle, respectively, as generally defined in figures 2, 3, and 4 and table 2, followed by a hyphen (-), the OV impact speed, and the MC impact speed.

For OV corner contact (e.g., configuration 225-0/13,4 of figure 1) the reference point on the MC shall be the most outboard structural element on the MC front unsprung assembly.

For testing purposes, the impact geometry may be reflected about the OV centre line (e.g., E45 instead of 225).




* At first MC/OV contact

Figure 1 - Target impact geometries at first MC/OV contact for seven recommended impact configurations

Table 1 - Impact configurations for preliminary assessment

| Configuration number | OV contact point code (figure 2) | MC contact point code (figure 3) | Relative heading angle code (table 2 & figure 4) | OV speed m/s | MC speed m/s |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 9,8 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6,7 | 13,4 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6,7 | 13,4 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6,7 | 13,4 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6,7 | 13,4 |
| 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 13,4 |
| 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 13,4 |



**4.3.2 Permissible configurations from failure mode and effects analysis**

Other impact configurations for which a proposed rider crash protective device might be harmful may be identified through computer simulation according to ISO 13232-7, or other analysis techniques, by analysing those configurations listed in annex B. These failure mode configurations may be tested in order to verify the results of such analysis.



For full-scale tests and computer simulations, the impact geometries shall be as shown in figures 1 and B.1, with the following general rules:

- OV corner contact points shall be the 45° tangent points, as shown in figure 1;
- OV front and rear contact points shall be at the centre line of the OV;
- OV side front, side middle, and side rear contact points shall be the points corresponding to 1/4, 1/2 and 3/4 of the overall length of the OV, respectively, as measured from the foremost point on the OV;
- MC front contact point shall be such that the projection of the MC centre line, forward of the foremost part of the front wheel, at first contact between any portion of the MC or dummy and the OV, intersects a vertical line through the specified OV contact point;
- MC rear contact point shall be such that the projection of the MC centre line, rearward of the rearmost part of the rear wheel, at first contact between any portion of the MC or dummy and the OV, intersects a vertical line through the specified OV contact point;
- MC side contact shall use the conventions given in 4.3.1 and shown in figure 1 (i.e., for OV front or rear contact use the 143-9.8/0 type of geometry; for OV corner contact use the 225-0/13,4 type of geometry);
- The relative heading angles shall be at the nominal values defined in table 2 and figure 4.

For testing purposes, the impact geometry may be reflected about the OV centre line (e.g., E45 instead of 225).






Figure 2 - OV contact point codes




Figure 3 - MC contact point codes




Figure 4 - Relative heading angle

Table 2 - Heading angle of OV relative to MC

| Cell range deg | Nominal value deg | Code number |
|---|---|---|
| 337,5 < rha ≤ 22,5 | 0 | 1 |
| 22,5 < rha ≤ 67,5 | 45 | 2 |
| 67,5 < rha ≤ 112,5 | 90 | 3 |
| 112,5 < rha ≤ 157,5 | 135 | 4 |
| 157,5 < rha ≤ 202,5 | 180 | 5 |
| 202,5 < rha ≤ 247,5 | 225 | 6 |
| 247,5 < rha ≤ 292,5 | 270 | 7 |
| 292,5 < rha ≤ 337,5 | 315 | 8 |

## 5 Analysis methods



### 5.1 Using accident data to determine frequency of occurrence of various impact configurations



Sort the accident data as described below.



#### 5.1.1 Sub-sample definition

Combine the databases listed in annex C. From the combined, overall database, select all of the cases which have all of these conditions:

- passenger car impact;
- single rider;
- seated rider.

#### 5.1.2 Categorization

For each case selected in 5.1.1, and for each impact variable, determine within which cell range the case lies and assign code numbers for the OV and MC contact points and relative heading angle, and nominal values for the OV and MC speeds, based on tables 2 and 3 and figures 2, 3, and 5.

#### 5.1.3 Sorting

Sort all the subsample accident data into a matrix describing the combinations of the above cells. Determine the number of accidents which lie within the boundaries of each of the cells.

If the OV contact point involves the left side of the OV, then reclassify the OV and MC contact points and relative heading angle according to table 4. In addition, reclassify all accidents that occur in the sorted geometry codes to the reclassified geometry codes as listed in table 5, in order to resolve minor inconsistencies which may be present in the original accident data.

Remove all accidents in the cells listed in table 6 which, as a result of categorization, correspond to untestable configurations.

Table 3 - OV and MC speed

| Cell range m/s | Nominal value m/s |
|---|---|
| 0 ≤ speed ≤ 4,0 | 0 |
| 4,0 < speed ≤ 8,5 | 6,7 |
| 8,5 < speed ≤ 13,3 | 9,8 |
| 13,3 < speed ≤ 17,5 | 13,4 |
| 17,5 < speed | 20,1 |






Figure 5 - Diagram of relative heading angle (angle of OV x axis relative to MC x axis, regardless of relative positions of OV and MC) with code numbers

#### 5.1.4 Representation

Associate the number of accidents (frequency of occurrence) in each cell with the OV and MC contact point codes, relative heading angle codes, and OV and MC speed nominal values which will be considered to represent each cell.

### 5.2 Using accident data to determine frequency of injury by body region and injury type of various impact configurations

Sort the accident data using the same method as described in 5.1, except determine the number of accidents which have at least one injury of the selected body region, injury type and severity which lie within the boundaries of each of the cells. A recommended list of body regions and injury types and severities is included in annex A.

Perform the analysis for the following injuries:

- head concussions, AIS ≥ 2;
- upper leg fractures, AIS ≥ 2;
- lower leg fractures, AIS ≥ 2.

For head concussion injuries, only include in the sorting process accidents where a helmet was worn.

Table 4 - Reclassification for left side OV contact point codes

| Sorted | Reclassified |
|---|---|
| OV contact point code | |
| A | 6 |
| B | 5 |
| C | 4 |
| D | 3 |
| E | 2 |
| MC contact point code | |
| 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 2 |
| Relative heading angle code | |
| 6 | 4 |
| 7 | 3 |
| 8 | 2 |



Table 5 - Reclassification of geometry codes

| Sorted | Reclassified | Sorted | Reclassified | Sorted | Reclassified |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 113 | 143 | 342 | 312 | 543 | 513 |
| 141 | 131 | 343 | 313 | 544 | 514 |
| 142 | 132 | 344 | 314 | 642 | 648 |
| 144 | 114 | 442 | 412 | 643 | 623 |
| 145 | 115 | 443 | 413 | 644 | 624 |
| 244 | 226 | 444 | 414 | 741 | 711 |
| 245 | 225 | 542 | 512 | 742 | 712 |

Table 6 - List of removed configurations

| OV contact point code | MC contact point code | Relative heading angle code | OV speed m/s | MC speed m/s |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 1 | 3 | All | All |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | All | All |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | All | All |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | All | All |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | All | All |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | All | All |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | All | All |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | All | All |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | All | All |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | All | All |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | All | All |
| 7 | 1 | 3 | All | All |
| 7 | 4 | 3 | All | All |
| 7 | 4 | 4 | All | All |
| All | All | All | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 - 4 | 1 | All | All ≥ OV speed |
| 1 | 2 - 4 | 2 | All | All > OV speed |
| 2 | 2 - 4 | 1 | All | All ≥ OV speed |
| 6 - 7 | All | 1 | All | All ≤ OV speed |
| 6 - 7 | All | 2 | All | All < OV speed |
| 1 - 2 | 2, 4 | 3 | 0 | All |
| 3 - 5 | 1 | All | All | 0 |
| 6 - 7 | 2, 4 | 3 | All > 0 | All |
| 6 | 4 | 8 | All > 0 | All |



## 6 Documentation and reporting

All individual motor cycle accidents shall be documented and reported using the motor cycle accident report form given in annex A. Any aggregations of accident data should use the following column headings:

- reference number;
- OV contact point;
- MC contact point;
- OV impact speed;
- MC impact speed;
- relative heading angle;
- helmet use;
- number of reported injuries;
- maximum AIS;
- injury description, using a three digit code which defines:
  - injury body region,
  - injury type,
  - injury AIS.

# Annex A
(normative)

## Motor cycle accident report

### A.1 Impact data (required)

Case identification (or reference number): _____

Collision category (single vehicle, multi-vehicle, object, pedestrian, etc.): _____

Motor cycle type (conventional, sport, scooter, moped, etc.): _____

Motor cycle engine size (cc): _____

Opposing vehicle type (saloon car, truck, etc.): _____

**A.1.1** Contact points (primary damage region) circle one






Geometry code: ___ ___
OV MC