



SLOVENSKI STANDARD

SIST-TP CLC/TR 50619:2014

01-marec-2014

Navodilo za izvajanje krožnih primerjalnih preskušanj

Guidance on how to conduct Round Robin Tests

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CLC/TR 50619:2014

SIST-TP CLC/TR 50619:2014

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/8f1754fb-827d-4536-af21-d539c82a9fec/sist-tp-clc-tr-50619-2014>

ICS:

19.020

Preskuševalni pogoji in
postopki na splošno

Test conditions and
procedures in general

SIST-TP CLC/TR 50619:2014

en

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST-TP CLC/TR 50619:2014](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/8f1754fb-827d-4536-af21-d539c82a9fec/sist-tp-clc-tr-50619-2014)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/8f1754fb-827d-4536-af21-d539c82a9fec/sist-tp-clc-tr-50619-2014>

TECHNICAL REPORT
RAPPORT TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHER BERICHT

CLC/TR 50619

February 2014

ICS 03.120.20; 19.020

English version

Guidance on how to conduct Round Robin Tests

Guide de conduite des essais Round
Robin

Leitfaden zur Durchführung von
Ringversuchen

This Technical Report was approved by CENELEC on 2014-01-24.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	3
Introduction	4
1 Scope	5
2 Normative references	5
3 Terms and definitions	5
4 Process and responsibilities	6
4.1 Process	6
4.1.1 Product to be tested	6
4.1.2 Parameters to be tested	6
4.1.3 Measurement procedure	6
4.1.4 RRT procedure	7
4.2 Responsibilities	7
4.2.1 Contracting body	7
4.2.2 Coordinator	7
4.2.3 Subcontractor	7
4.2.4 Financing of RRT	7
5 Testing laboratories	8
5.1 Potential laboratories	8
5.2 Announcement.....	8
5.2.1 General.....	8
5.2.2 Questionnaire.....	8
5.2.3 Assessment of selection of laboratories	8
5.3 Selection of laboratories	8
5.4 Final list of laboratories	8
6 Transportation of the product	9
6.1 Logistics	9
6.2 Packaging	9
7 Test.....	9
7.1 Execution of test	9
7.2 Laboratory visit	9
7.3 Transmission of result	9
8 Analysis, report and termination	10
8.1 Analysis	10
8.2 Report	10
8.2.1 Draft report	10
8.2.2 Content of the internal report	10
8.3 Termination and publication of final external report	10
Bibliography	11

Foreword

This document (CLC/TR 50619:2014) has been prepared by CLC/TC TC59X "Performance of household and similar electrical appliances".

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC [and/or CEN] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST-TP CLC/TR 50619:2014

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/8f1754fb-827d-4536-af21-d539c82a9fec/sist-tp-clc-tr-50619-2014>

Introduction

It is the responsibility of each standardisation committee under TC 59X to establish the repeatability and reproducibility of the measurement standards developed.

Results from inter-laboratory comparisons are important for

- a) identification of interlaboratory differences;
- b) establishment of the effectiveness and comparability of test or measurement methods;
- c) validation of uncertainties;
- d) evaluation of the performance of laboratories for specific tests or measurements and monitoring laboratories' continuing performance;
- e) identification of problems in laboratories and initiation of actions for improvement which, for example, may be related to inadequate test or measurement procedures, effectiveness of staff training and supervision, or calibration of equipment; and
- f) education of participating laboratories based on the outcomes of such comparisons.

The need for ongoing confidence in laboratory performance is not only essential for laboratories and their contractors but also for other interested parties, such as regulators, laboratory accreditation bodies and other organisations that specify requirements for laboratories. EN ISO/IEC 17011 requires accreditation bodies to take account of laboratories' participation and performance in proficiency testing.

With this respect round robin testing was widely made in the past by TC59X for development of measurement procedures on purpose of EU regulatory measures on Labeling and Ecodesign. Round robin test results have been widely taken into account in the establishment of regulations, in defining tolerance levels for verification of declared values and/or limits.

This Technical Report is intended to provide a consistent basis for performing round robin testing. It gives guidance to all interested parties to determine the competence among each other. It provides common ground for reliable statistical data (repeatability and reproducibility levels, etc.) as needed for regulation purposes (like for Labeling and Ecodesign).

1 Scope

This Technical Report provides guidance for carrying out round robin tests (RRT) and hence for the determination of levels of repeatability (intra-laboratory variability) and reproducibility (inter-laboratory variability).

This Technical Report can also be used to verify the measurement methods, to improve the measurement method, and to qualify laboratories.

It is not applicable for the determination of production variation for a particular product.

General advice on proficiency testing of laboratories is given in EN 17043. This Technical Report can be used in addition to this document.

NOTE The repeatability and reproducibility levels are important factors for the establishment of uncertainty margins of the measurement methods and for the definition of tolerances levels in verification schemes.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies..

EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010, *Conformity assessment — General requirements for proficiency testing (ISO/IEC 17043)*

IEC/TR 61923, *Household electrical appliances — Method of measuring performance — Assessment of repeatability and reproducibility*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010 and the following apply.

3.1

contracting body

organisation or individual for which a round robin testing is provided through a contractual arrangement

[SOURCE: EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010, definition 3.3, modified]

3.2

repeatability

precision under repeatability conditions

Note1 to entry: Repeatability includes the variability of the appliance under test.

[SOURCE: ISO 3534-1, definition 3.15]

3.3

reproducibility

precision under reproducibility conditions

Note 1 to entry: Reproducibility includes repeatability

[SOURCE: ISO 3534-1, definition 3.20]

3.4

round robin testing

process in which one or more items is tested according to a specific protocol by a number of different laboratories

Note 1 to entry: It is the intention to derive levels of repeatability and reproducibility and hence making classifications of laboratories.

Note 2 to entry: An alternative term is “ring testing”. The term “proficiency testing” is more general as covers other aspects than derivation of repeatability and reproducibility values [SOURCE: EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010, definition 3.7].

Note 3 to entry: It may be used for the evaluation of laboratory performance against pre-established criteria.

4 Process and responsibilities

4.1 Process

4.1.1 Product to be tested

The product category to be tested should be clearly specified and one or more representative products should be selected. If only one product is selected, it should be representative in the sense that it reflects the typical behaviour and performance of the defined product category. It is recommended that two or more products be tested in order to get an indication on the measurement uncertainty across the range of performance that is likely to be encountered in the market. (For example, one product with high and one with low energy/water consumption or performance).

The products to be used for the RRT can be selected either by pre-testing, pre-selection or special production.

Additional sample(s) should be put aside as replacements in case any RRT samples are damaged.

NOTE It may be appropriate to circulate key items of test equipment or other test objects together with the RRT samples.

4.1.2 Parameters to be tested

The parameters to be tested should be clearly defined.

Usually the full parameter set of a measurement procedure should be covered (e.g. parameters as set out in EN 60456 washing machine like washing performance, consumption values, time, rinsing efficiency. Noise may be seen as a different measurement procedure).

If repeatability and reproducibility of the measurement standard are to be assessed, all additional materials used for the testing should be as defined in the measurement procedure, and should not be specially selected. (Examples of such materials in the case of washing machine tests would include test swatches, soils and detergent).

If it is considered likely that the reproducibility of results could be affected by batch-to-batch variability within given tolerances of the test material this can form part of the RRT. In this case, different batches of material would need to be included in the test design of the RRT.

4.1.3 Measurement procedure

The measurement procedure(s) to be used for testing should be clearly defined. Preferably RRTs should be based on published EN standards. For RRTs supporting standardisation work it may be necessary to use working papers like prEN or even DC documents as the measurement procedure. Alternatively, national, international and/or industrial standards may be used and where necessary, combinations of more than one measurement method can be used. It is essential therefore that clear instructions are given on which version of a measurement standard the RRT is based. If deviations between the standard used for the RRT and a later published standard exist, care shall be taken in interpretation of the results of the RRT in relation to the final published standard.

4.1.4 RRT procedure

The RRT procedure is based on sending one or more products to different laboratories to be tested according to the defined measurement procedure(s). Therefore, the same sample of the product(s) shall be forwarded from laboratory to laboratory (serial procedure).

Care should be taken to ensure no damage or change (e.g. aging) occurs to the product during this process. Damage can be limited by ensuring the sample is properly packaged and that it is transported from laboratory to laboratory by a carrier with a proven track record of transporting delicate items.

The aging effect may be reduced using the parallel procedure described below but in any case, the extent of any aging should wherever possible be determined by re-testing the same product again in the first laboratory after it has gone through all the testing in the other laboratories.

As a second best alternative, more than one sample of the product selected is sent in parallel to the laboratories (parallel procedure). In this case, before the RRT begins, it should be demonstrated that all samples show similar behaviour under test. Again, the samples should wherever possible, be re-tested by the same laboratory at the end of the RRT to check for any change in behaviour under test.

For calculating reliable figures of the repeatability and reproducibility, a minimum of five laboratories should participate in an RRT. If more than 10 laboratories take part in an RRT, a parallel procedure combined with a serial procedure may be advisable for time reasons (e.g. samples showing similar behaviour are each sent to five laboratories).

4.2 Responsibilities

4.2.1 Contracting body

The body(ies) which is (are) running and/or financing the RRT should operate in a transparent manner towards all involved parties.

The contracting body should appoint an individual or a team to coordinate the RRT.

4.2.2 Coordinator

The coordinator should be on call throughout the testing phase.

If only one person is coordinating the RRT he/she should be neutral and independent. For example, they should not be employed by a product manufacturer or a test house involved in the RRT.

NOTE Deviations from this rule may be necessary in individual cases.

Any deviations from the recommendations of this document should be documented by the coordinator and included in the final internal report.

4.2.3 Subcontractor

Subcontractors (collaborators) in RRT should inform the coordinator regularly about the progress. The coordinator should be informed about unforeseeable proceedings without any delay.

4.2.4 Financing of RRT

The coordinator should establish and agree with the contracting body the financing of the RRT. All costs (e.g. coordinator, subcontractor, product samples to be tested, logistics, test materials, testing) for the RRT are to be defined and agreed before recruiting participant laboratories.