SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST EN 15521:2008
01-februar-2008

NXfUj ghj YbU']bZcfa U]_U!`?UhY[ cf]'g_U'glfi _h fU'hYfa ]bc`c[ ]^ `cj Yý_Y'UbUbca]^Y

Health informatics - Categorical structure for terminologies of human anatomy

Medizinische Informatik - Kategoriale Struktur für Terminologien der Anatomie des Menschen

Informatique de santé - Structure catégorielle des terminologies d'anatomie humaine

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: EN 15521:2007

ICS:

35.240.80	Uporabniške rešitve IT v zdravstveni tehniki	IT applications in health care technology

SIST EN 15521:2008   **en**

2003-01.Slovenski inštitut za standardizacijo. Razmnoževanje celote ali delov tega standarda ni dovoljeno.

EUROPEAN STANDARD

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN 15521

November 2007

ICS 35.240.80

English Version

Health informatics - Categorial structure for terminologies of human anatomy

Informatique de santé - Structure catégorielle des
terminologies d'anatomie humaine

Medizinische Informatik - Kategoriale Struktur für
Terminologien der Anatomie des Menschen

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 7 October 2007.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: rue de Stassart, 36   B-1050 Brussels

Ref. No. EN 15521:2007: E

Contents

Foreword

This document (EN 15521:2007) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 251 "Health informatics", the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by May 2008, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by May 2008.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard : Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Introduction

This European standard specifies a categorial structure for terminologies of human anatomy. Computer-based processing and the interchange of medical or clinical information requires various kinds of terminological systems to represent that information, such as controlled vocabularies, classifications, nomenclatures, terminologies and thesauri, with or without coding schemes.

The specific terminological issues in the field of health informatics are:

— large number of different terminological systems are available in different clinical specialties;

— large overlap among the subject fields involved;

— large number of codes and rubrics, typically in the order of magnitude of 10 000 to 100 000 entries, in commonly used terminological systems;

— increasing need for re-use of coded data in different health-care contexts;

— poly-semia across different clinical specialties and sometimes within them.

The integration of computer-based medical records and administrative information systems in Electronic Health Records (EHR) require rationalization in the field, and a uniform way to represent the meaning of medical concepts to ensure that the receiver EHR of a message will catch the meaning introduced by the sender EHR and not only the string of characters embedded in it.

It is not possible to impose a rigid uniform standardized natural language clinical terminology on healthcare professional providers. Nevertheless standards need to be provided for guiding the development of terminologies in the different sub domains of healthcare to allow semantic interoperability between them. To this end a domain specific semantic representation has been developed (EN 12264) and applied in a series of specific initiatives including European Pre standards (ENV), European Standards (EN) and international ISO standards on various subject fields to describe a set of categorial structures in partially overlapping subject fields: Human anatomy is central to medical terminology (surgical procedures, carcinoma staging, annotation of radiological findings, disease, clinical laboratory and so forth) and also to many scientific and bio-informatics study beyond the scope of clinical medicine . In the US the University of Washington has developed in the public domain an anatomical terminology for EHR named the Digital Anatomist Foundational Model of Anatomy (FMA for short), a reference ontology for biomedical informatics.

Adequate field testing in several countries, revision and integration have provided the comprehensive basis for this European standard.

1 Scope

1.1 Main purpose

This European standard defines the characteristics required to synthetically describe the organisation and content of human anatomy within a terminological system. It is intended primarily for use with computer-based applications such as clinical electronic health records, decision support and for various bio-medical research purposes.

This European standard will serve to

— facilitate the construction of new terminological systems in a regular form which will increase their coherence and expressiveness;

— facilitate maintenance of human anatomy within terminological systems;

— increase consistency and coherence of existing terminological system;

— allow systematic cross-references between items of human anatomy in different types of terminological systems;

— facilitate convergence among human anatomy within terminological systems;

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

— make explicit the overlap for human anatomy between different health care domains terminological systems;

— provide elements for negotiation about integration of different terminological systems into information systems between the respective developers;

— enable the systematic evaluation of human anatomy within terminological systems.

1.2 Target groups

The European standard itself is not suitable or intended for use by, individual clinicians or hospital administrators.

The target groups for this European standard are:

— designers of specialised standard healthcare terminological categorial structures;

— developers of healthcare terminological systems including classifications and coding systems;

— producers of services for terminological systems and designers of software including natural language processing;

— information modellers, knowledge engineers, and standards developers building models for health information management systems;

— developers of information systems that require an explicit representation of healthcare terminological systems;

— developers of marked-up standards for representation of healthcare documents.

1.3   Topics outside the scope

This European Standard does not include categorial structure that may be necessary for the description of developmental anatomy during the human life cycle, which includes prenatal development, post-natal growth and aging.

This European Standard has been developed for use as an integrated part of computer-based applications and for the electronic healthcare record. It would be of limited value for manual use.

It is not the purpose of this European Standard to standardise the end user classification of human anatomy terminology or to conflict with the concept systems embedded in national practice and languages.

2   Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Not applicable.

3   Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

(standards.iteh.ai)

3.1
Human anatomy
biological science that concerns the discovery, analysis and representation of the structural organisation of the human body

NOTE   Human anatomy thus defined encompasses the material objects from the granularity level of the whole human body to that of cell parts, portions of body substances, and non-material entities such as surfaces, spaces, lines and points, that form the phenotypic organisation of the human body. Although encompassed by the definition of anatomical structure (4.2.9), biological macromolecules do not come under the purview of the science human anatomy.

3.2
anatomical entity
entity that constitutes the structural organisation of a human body

3.3
spatial dimension
number of dimensions of the entity in space

EXAMPLE 1   Entities with spatial dimension of value 3 are organs, cells and body cavity.

EXAMPLE 2   Entities with spatial dimension of value 2: the plane of the esophagogastric junction and the surface of the parietal part of the head.

EXAMPLE 3   Entities with spatial dimension of value 1: pectinate line, linea aspera and superior nuchal line.

EXAMPLE 4   Entities with spatial dimension of value 0: the apex of petrous part of temporal bone, apex of the orbit and the apex of the sacrum.

3.4
three-dimensional shape
shape of an anatomical entity of spatial dimension with value 3

EXAMPLE   Hollow cylinder.

3.5
terminology
set of designations belonging to one special language

[ISO 1087-1:2000]

3.6
anatomical term
verbal designation of an anatomical entity (3.2)

3.7
anatomical category
type of anatomical entity shared by all the individual instances in existence in the present, past and future

EXAMPLE   The anatomical category liver is instantiated by this liver and all individual livers in existence in the present, past and future.

NOTE 1   Anatomical categories may be more or less general. Where one anatomical category is subsumed by another, the *is_a* relation is asserted to obtain a hierarchy between the more specific or subsumed category and the more general or subsuming anatomical category.

NOTE 2   Each anatomical entity instantiates some anatomical category.

3.8
anatomical relation
relation between two or more anatomical categories derived from corresponding relations between instances of the respective categories

EXAMPLE   A is;;;a B defined to obtain when every entity in category A is at the same time an entity in category B.

B has;;;part_ A defined to obtain when every entity in category B has some entity in category A as part.

Other examples of anatomical relations manifesting this every-some structure are: contained_in adjacent_to, attached_to

NOTE   The definition is adapted from the representation of types of characteristics in EN 12264 and authorised by an anatomical domain constraint *(3.9)*.

3.9
anatomical domain constraint
rule prescribing the set of representations of anatomical relations (3.8) that are valid to specialise an anatomical category (3.7) in a certain domain

NOTE   The definition is adapted from domain constraint in EN 12264.

3.10
anatomical categorial structure
minimal set of anatomical domain constraints (3.9) for representing anatomical entities (3.2) in a precise domain to achieve a precise goal

NOTE   The definition is adapted from the categorial structure in EN 12264.

4   Categorial structure for terminologies of human anatomy description

4.1   Principles

The categorial structures for terminologies of human anatomy are in conformity with the categorial structure as prescribed by clause 4 of EN 12264:2005.

To describe an anatomical **categorial structure** (3.10), the following information shall be provided:

a) **anatomical categories** (3.7) that organise the **anatomical entities** (3.2) and the **anatomical relations** (3.8)dividing their representation in the domain;

b) precise goal of the **anatomical categorial structure** (3.10);

c) list of the representations of **anatomical relations** (3.8) autorised by **anatomical domain constraints** (3.9);

d) list of minimal **anatomical domain constraints** (3.9) required by the goal of the **anatomical categorial structure** (3.10).

4.2 Anatomical categories (3.7)

4.2.1
Physical anatomical entity
anatomical entity that has a spatial dimension (3.3)

EXAMPLE   Organ ,surface, apex of the orbit.

4.2.2
Immaterial physical anatomical entity
physical anatomical entity that has no mass

EXAMPLE   Anatomical space, anatomical surface (diaphragmatic surface of left ventricle).

4.2.3
Anatomical space
immaterial physical anatomical entity which has a spatial dimension (3.3) of value 3

EXAMPLE   Thoracic cavity.

4.2.4
Anatomical surface
immaterial physical anatomical entity which has a spatial dimension (3.3) of value 2

EXAMPLE   Diaphragmatic surface of heart.

4.2.5
Anatomical line
immaterial physical anatomical entity which has a spatial dimension (3.3) of value 1

EXAMPLE   Inferior margin of liver.

4.2.6
Anatomical point
immaterial physical anatomical entity which has a spatial dimension (3.3) of value 0

EXAMPLE   Apex of this heart.

4.2.7
Material physical anatomical entity
physical anatomical entity that has a mass

EXAMPLE   Liver, cell nucleus, portion of blood.

4.2.8
Body substance
material physical anatomical entity that has no inherent shape (3.4)

EXAMPLE   Portion of blood, portion of cytosol.

4.2.9
Anatomical structure
material physical anatomical entity that has an inherent shape (3.4) and is generated by a coordinated expression of the organism's own structural genes

EXAMPLE   Thorax ,tibia, hepatocyte.

NOTE   Post surgical anatomy (e.g surgically created stomas, stumps, vascular and intestinal anastomoses) is not an anatomical structure . When useful it shall be defined in the categorical structure needing it: e.g for surgical procedures.

4.2.10
Cell
anatomical structure that consists of cytoplasm surrounded by a plasma membrane

EXAMPLE   Leucocyte, hepatocyte.

4.2.11
Organ
anatomical structure that consists of a maximal collection of cardinal organ parts so connected to one another that together they constitute a self-contained unit of macroscopic anatomy, morphologically distinct from other such units

EXAMPLE   Heart , tibia, urinary bladder.

4.2.12
Cardinal organ part
anatomical structure that consists of two or more portions of tissue, spatially related to one another in patterns determined by coordinated gene expression; together with other contiguous cardinal organ parts it constitutes an organ

EXAMPLE   Upper lobe of right lung, shaft of humerus, left ventricle, head of pancreas.

4.2.13
Portion of tissue
anatomical structure that consists of a directly connected collection of similarly specialised cells and intercellular matrix, aggregated according to genetically determined spatial relationships

EXAMPLE   Portion of smooth muscle, portion of endothelium.

4.2.14
Cardinal body part
anatomical structure that has as its parts the most complete set of diverse subclasses of organ and cardinal organ parts spatially associated with either the skull, a segment of the vertebral column or a complete set of bones of the appendicular skeleton; it is partially surrounded by skin and forms a distinct morphological subdivision of the body; together all cardinal body parts constitute the body

EXAMPLE   Head, neck, trunk, upper limb.

4.2.15
Body region
sub volume of a cardinal body part (4.2.14) demarcated by at least one fiat boundary

EXAMPLE   Epigastrium, femoral triangle.

4.2.16
Organ systems
anatomical structure that consists of organs predominantly of the same anatomical category, which are interconnected by zones of continuity