INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996
TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1

Published 1997-12-15

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION • МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ПО СТАНДАРТИЗАЦИИ • ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION
INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION • МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ЭЛЕКТРОТЕХНИЧЕСКАЯ КОМИССИЯ • COMMISSION ÉLECTROTECHNIQUE INTERNATIONALE

Information technology — Generic coding of moving pictures and associated audio information: Video

TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1

Technologies de l'information — Codage des images animées et du son associé: Vidéo

RECTIFICATIF TECHNIQUE 1

Technical Corrigendum 1 to International Standard ISO/IEC 13818-2:1995 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 29, *Coding of audio, picture, multimedia and hypermedia information.*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-af1b-e93665c4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

ICS 35.040 **Ref. No. ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor.1:1997(E)**

Descriptors: data processing, moving pictures, image processing, video recording, video data, data converting, coding (data conversion).

© **ISO/IEC 1997**

Printed in Switzerland

This page intentionally left blank

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-af1b-e93665c4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ITU-T RECOMMENDATION

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY – GENERIC CODING OF MOVING PICTURES AND ASSOCIATED AUDIO INFORMATION: VIDEO

TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1

Introduction

Each corrigendum item is followed by an informative background.

1) Subclause 6.1.1.6

In "Sequence header" *subclause, second last paragraph, replace the sentence:*

In the coded bitstream, a repeat sequence header may precede either an I-picture or a P-picture, but not a B-picture.

by:

In the coded bitstream, the first picture following a sequence header or a repeated sequence header shall be either an I-picture or a P-picture, but not a B-picture.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Background

This was an editorial oversight.

ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-af1b-e93665c4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

2) Subclause 6.2.4

Replace the syntax table for slice by:

slice() {	No. of bits	Mnemonic
slice_start_code	32	bslbf
if (vertical_size > 2800)		
slice_vertical_position_extension	3	uimsbf
if (<*sequence_scalable_extension() is present in the bitstream*>) {		
if (scalable_mode == 'data partitioning')		
priority_breakpoint	7	uimsbf
}		
quantiser_scale_code	5	uimsbf
if (nextbits() == '1') {		
slice_extension_flag	1	bslbf
intra_slice	1	uimsbf
slice_picture_id_enable	1	uimsbf
slice_picture_id	6	uimsbf
while (nextbits() == '1') {		
extra_bit_slice /* with the value '1' */	1	uimsbf
extra_information_slice	8	uimsbf
}		
}		
extra_bit_slice /* with the value '0' */	1	uimsbf
do {		
macroblock()		
} while (nextbits() != '000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000')		
next_start_code()		
}		

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-afbb-e93665c4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

Background

In some networks that do not have guaranteed bandwidth, e.g. Ethernet, FDDI, large bursts of errors may occur occasionally. If the errors are localized to one picture, then recovery can happen upon reception of the very next slice header. However, if the errors are spread over several pictures, then recovery may take a long time, since the decoder does not know what the decoding parameters are for the next available slice.

If the header information is available from a separately transmitted Data Partitioning layer, or available from the application, then error recovery can be speeded up considerably if the slice header contains information that an application can use to identify which picture the slice belongs to. The **slice_picture_id** provides this information.

3) Subclause 6.3.6

In colour_primaries, *after Table 6-6, replace:*

In the case that sequence_display_extension() is not present in the bitstream or colour_description is zero the chromaticity is assumed to be that corresponding to colour_primaries having the value 1.

by the following text:

In the case that sequence_display_extension() is not present in the bitstream or colour_description is zero, the chromaticity is assumed to be implicitly defined by the application.

In transfer_characteristics, *after Table 6-8, replace:*

In the case that sequence_display_extension() is not present in the bitstream or colour_description is zero the transfer characteristics are assumed to be those corresponding to transfer_characteristics having the value 1.

by the following text:

In the case that sequence_display_extension() is not present in the bitstream or colour_description is zero, the transfer characteristics are assumed to be implicitly defined by the application.

After Table 6-9 replace:

In the case that sequence_display_extension() is not present in the bitstream or colour_description is zero the matrix coefficients are assumed to be those corresponding to matrix_coefficients having the value 1.

by the following text and Note:

In the case that sequence_display_extension() is not present in the bitstream or colour_description is zero the matrix coefficients are assumed to be implicitly defined by the application.

NOTE – In applications which may have signals with more than one set of colour primaries, transfer characteristics, and/or matrix coefficients, it is recommended to transmit a sequence display extension with colour_description set to one, and to specify the appropriate values for the colorimetry parameters.

Background

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

In applications where only one set of colour primaries, transfer characteristics and matrix coefficients is used, there is not a need for the codec to pass colour description parameters. The current syntax includes default colour description parameters which could cause improper interpretation of many bitstreams.

ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-bb17-46eb-af1b-a93465e4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

A solution to this problem which embraces all existing implementations without introducing a new problem for other implementations is not to have a default definition, but instead to let the application define the default, as described in this corrigendum.

4) Subclause 6.3.10

a) Under the description of the syntax element frame_pred_frame_dct, *replace the statement:*

frame_pred_frame_dct shall be '1' if progressive_frame is '1'.

by:

frame_pred_frame_dct shall be '1' if progressive_sequence is '1'.

Under the description of the syntax element progressive_frame, *replace the statement:*

- frame_pred_frame_dct shall be 1.

by:

- if progressive_sequence is equal to one, then frame_pred_frame_dct shall be 1.

Background

The following text from MPEG95/044 explains the background for this corrigendum:

It appears that the restriction "frame_pred_frame_dct shall be 1 if progressive_frame is 1" causes problems when editing bitstreams, as demonstrated by the following example.

Let's say sequence 1 ends with a top field first interlaced frame, i.e.:

progressive_sequence=0
picture_structure=3
progressive_frame=0
top_field_first=1
repeat_first_field=0

while sequence 2 starts with a bottom field first interlaced frame, i.e.:

progressive_sequence=0
picture_structure=3
progressive_frame=0
top_field_first=0
repeat_first_field=0

Now, to put them together we need a "glue" frame with repeat_first_field as follows:

progressive_sequence=0
picture_structure=3
progressive_frame=1
top_field_first=1
repeat_first_field=1

The situation is depicted graphically as follows:

1T g0 g2 2T

1B g1 2B

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

where (1T,1B) is the last frame of sequence 1 and (2B,2T) is the first frame of sequence 2. The "g"s signify the three fields of the "glue" frame. When editing bitstream, it is often necessary to insert "glue" frames, not only to match parity but also to introduce a delay in order to match VBV buffer fullness.

ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-af1b-e93665c4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

Ideally, we would like the "g"s to repeat the last field of sequence 1, i.e. g0 and g1 are both predicted by 1B while g2 is a repeat of g0.

It appears, however, that this is impossible since repeat_first_field can be 1 only if progressive_frame is 1 and field prediction is not allowed since progressive_frame=1 implies frame_pred_frame_dct=1.

That means we will get an inevitable jerk going from sequence 1 to sequence 2.

Our view is that progressive_frame applies to the current frame, while whether it can be coded well with frame_pred_frame_dct depends on both the current frame and the reference frame. The fact that the current frame is progressive does not necessarily mean that it can be coded well with frame_pred_frame_dct=1.

b) *In the definition for* intra_vlc_format, *the reference to "7.2.1" should be changed to "7.2.2.1".*

5) Subclause 6.3.15

In "Copyright extension" *subclause, replace:*

copyright_identifier – This is an 8-bit integer which identifies a Registration Authority as designated by ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 29.

by:

copyright_identifier – This is an 8-bit integer given by a Registration Authority as designated by ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 29.

Replace the sentence in the second last paragraph:

In this case, the value of copyright_number identifies uniquely the copyrighted work marked by the copyrighted extension and is provided by the Registration Authority identified by copyright_identifier.

by:

In this case, the value of copyright_number identifies uniquely the copyrighted work marked by the copyrighted extension.

Background

This corrigendum is to clear a confusion of who issues the copyright_identifier or the copyright_number.

6) Subclause 6.3.16

Replace:

intra_slice_flag – This flag shall be set to '1' to indicate the presence of intra_slice and reserved_bits in the bitstream.

by:

slice_extension_flag – This flag shall be set to '1' to indicate the presence of intra_slice, slice_picture_id_enable, slice_picture_id in the bitstream.

Replace:

reserved_bits – This is a 7-bit integer, it shall have the value zero, other values are reserved.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

by:

slice_picture_id_enable – This flag controls the semantics of slice_picture_id. If slice_picture_id_enable is set to '0', slice_picture_id is not used by this specification and shall have the value zero. If slice_picture_id_enable is set to '1', slice_picture_id may have a value different from zero.

ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-af1b-e93665c4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

slice_picture_id_enable must have the same value in all the slices of a picture. slice_picture_id_enable may be omitted from the bitstream (by setting slice_extension_flag to '0') in which case it shall be assumed to have the value zero.

slice_picture_id_enable is not used by the decoding process.

slice_picture_id – This is a 6-bit integer. If slice_picture_id_enable is set to '0', slice_picture_id is not used by this specification and shall have the value zero. If slice_picture_id_enable is set to '1', slice_picture_id is application defined and may have any value, with the constraint that slice_picture_id shall have the same value in all the slices of a picture.

slice_picture_id is not used by the decoding process. slice_picture_id is intended to aid recovery on severe bursts of errors for certain types of applications. For example, the application may increment slice_picture_id with each transmitted picture, so that in case of severe burst error, when several slices are lost, the decoder can know if the slice following the burst error belongs to the current picture or to another picture, which may be the case if at least a picture header has been lost.

Background

See item 2) above.

7) Subclause 7.2.1

All 'dc_dct_size' *should be changed to* 'dct_dc_size', *to be consistent with the syntax described in 6.2.6.*

All 'dc_dct_differential' *should be changed to* 'dct_dc_differential', *to be consistent with the syntax described in 6.2.6.*

All 'dc_dct_pred' *should be changed to* 'dct_dc_pred', *to be consistent with the previous changes.*

8) Clause 8

Replace the paragraph preceding Table 8-1:

The profile_and_level_indication in the sequence_extension indicates the profile and level to which the bitstream complies. The meaning of the bits in this parameter is defined in Table 8-1.

by:

The profile_and_level_indication in the sequence_extension indicates the profile and level to which the bitstream complies. The most significant bit of profile_and_level_indication is called 'escape bit'. When the escape bit is set to zero, the profile and level are derived from profile_and_level_indication according to Tables 8-1, 8-2 and 8-3.

Background

In the course of study for the 4:2:2 profile amendment, it has been found that the descriptions of the profile_and_level_indication syntax referring to Table 8-1 and Table 8-4 are not aligned. We should state that:

- if the escape bit is '0', then profile and level are structured as in Tables 8-1, 8-2 and 8-3;
- if the escape bit is '1', then profile and level are structured as in Table 8-4.

9) Subclause 8.3

Replace the Note at the bottom of Table 8-8:

b) This restriction applies to the final reconstructed motion vector. In the case of dual prime motion vectors it applies before scaling is performed, after scaling is performed and after the small differential motion vector has been added.

by the following text:

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

b) This restriction applies to the final reconstructed motion vector. In the case of dual prime motion vectors, this restriction applies to all the following values:

vector'[0][0][1]
((vector'[0][0][1] * m[parity_ref][parity_pred])//2)
((vector'[0][0][1] * m[parity_ref][parity_pred])//2) + e[parity_ref][parity_pred]
((vector'[0][0][1] * m[parity_ref][parity_pred])//2) + dmvector[1]
((vector'[0][0][1] * m[parity_ref][parity_pred])//2) + e[parity_ref][parity_pred] + dmvector[1]

ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-af1b-

Background

An ambiguity has been pointed out regarding the restriction on the vertical range of the final reconstructed motion vectors when dual-prime prediction is used.

In 8.3, the Note at the bottom of Table 8-8 says:

b) This restriction applies to the final reconstructed motion vector. In the case of dual prime motion vectors it applies before scaling is performed, after scaling is performed and after the small differential motion vector has been added.

The final dual prime motion vectors are computed according to the equations specified in 7.6.3.6. In those equations, the vertical motion vector coordinate is computed as follows:

vector'[r][0][1] = ((vector'[0][0][1] * m[parity_ref] [parity_pred])//2) + e[parity_ref][parity_pred] + dmvector[1].

The "e[parity_ref][parity_pred]" is the adjustment necessary to reflect the vertical shift between the lines of the top field and the bottom field.

The problem is the ambiguity of the expression "before scaling is performed, after scaling is performed and after the small differential motion vector has been added", since there are in fact three operations involved:

a) scaling, i.e. the operation ((vector'[0][0][1] * m[parity_ref][parity_pred])//2);

b) adding e[parity_ref][parity_pred] (forgotten in the Note describing the restriction);

c) adding dmvector[1].

The order of the operations is not specified by the standard (only the result counts). In particular, b) and c) can be performed in any order and e[parity_ref][parity_pred] and dmvector[1] can be added first together and the result can then be added to the scaled vector.

10) Subclause B.5

Replace the right part of Table B.16 by:

Fixed length code	**signed_level**
1000 0000 0000	reserved
1000 0000 0001	–2047
1000 0000 0010	–2046
…	…
1111 1111 1111	–1
0000 0000 0000	Forbidden
0000 0000 0001	+1
…	…
0111 1111 1111	+2047

Background

It was pointed out that in the right part of Table B.16 the entry "1000 0000 0000" corresponding to signed_level = –2048 was omitted but never explicitly forbidden.

This corrigendum fixes the problem and guarantees that signed_level can always be coded with sign/value where the value fits on 11-bit, thus avoiding the risk of breaking any existing implementation. It was decided that this value should be "reserved" rather than "forbidden". "forbidden" is usually used for values that would cause start-code emulation, which is not the case here.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO/IEC 13818-2:1996/Cor 1:1997
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ad9ec48d-b17b-46eb-af1b-e93665c4838d/iso-iec-13818-2-1996-cor-1-1997

11) Subclause C.3.1

After definition of t(n), *remove:*

For the bits preceding the first picture start code and following the final picture start code $R(n) = R_{max}$.

and add the following text:

Ambiguity at the beginning of a sequence:

The interval of time $t_{n+1} - t_n$ between removal of two consecutive pictures can normally be derived from the bitstream as described in C.9, C.10, C.11 and C.12.

When random access is made in a sequence, $t_{n+1} - t_n$ cannot be determined from the video bitstream alone for the first picture(s) after the sequence header since the previous coded P- or I-frame does not exist in the decoded sequence. If the bitstream is multiplexed as part of a systems bitstream according to ITU-T Rec. H.222.0 | ISO/IEC 13818-1, then it is possible (but not certain) that information in the systems bitstream may be used to determine unambiguously this interval of time. This information is available if Decoding Time Stamps (DTS) are transmitted for pictures n and n+1.

If the rate R(n) cannot be determined unambiguously, it is not possible for the VBV to precisely determine the fullness in trajectories in the VBV buffer during a limited period (always less than the maximum value for vbv_delay, which is approximately 0.73 seconds), therefore strict VBV verification of the entire bitstream is not always possible. Note that an encoder always knows the values of $t_{n+1} - t_n$ after each repeated sequence headers and therefore knows how to generate a bitstream that does not violate the VBV constraints at those points.

The ambiguity may become a problem when the video bitstream is remultiplexed and delivered at a rate different from the intended piecewise constant rate R(n).