

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 15663-1

First edition
2000-08-01

Petroleum and natural gas industries — Life cycle costing —

Part 1: Methodology

*Industries du pétrole et du gaz naturel — Estimation des coûts globaux de
production et de traitement —
Partie 1: Méthodologie*

[ISO 15663-1:2000](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000>



Reference number
ISO 15663-1:2000(E)

© ISO 2000

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO 15663-1:2000](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000>

© ISO 2000

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.ch
Web www.iso.ch

Printed in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Terms, definitions and abbreviations.....	2
2.1 Terms and definitions	2
2.2 Abbreviations	4
3 Management of life-cycle costing	4
3.1 Objectives.....	4
3.2 Roles and responsibilities	4
3.3 Strategy and planning	5
4 Methodology.....	7
4.1 Step 1 — Diagnosis and scoping	7
4.2 Step 2 — Data collection and SBC.....	11
4.3 Step 3 — Analysis and modelling	12
4.4 Step 4 — Reporting and decision-making	14
5 Assessment and feedback.....	15
5.1 Objective.....	15
5.2 Requirements	15
Bibliography.....	17

[ISO 15663-1:2000](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 3.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this part of ISO 15663 may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard ISO 15663-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 67, *Materials, equipment and offshore structures for petroleum and natural gas industries*.

ISO 15663 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Petroleum and natural gas industries — Life cycle costing*:

- Part 1: Methodology
- Part 2: Guidance on application of methodology and calculation methods
- Part 3: Implementation guidelines

ITC STANDARD PREVIEW

(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 15663-1:2000

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000)

[032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000)

Introduction

The purpose of this part of ISO 15663 is to provide guidance on the use of life-cycle costing techniques within the petroleum and natural gas industry. The principal objective is to speed the adoption of a common and consistent approach to life-cycle costing within the oil industry. This will happen faster and more effectively if a common approach is agreed internationally.

Life-cycle costing is the systematic consideration of the difference between costs and revenues associated with the acquisition and ownership of alternative options required to fulfil an asset need. It is an iterative process of estimating, planning and monitoring costs and revenue differences throughout an asset's life. It is used to support the decision-making process by evaluating alternative options and performing trade-off studies. While the largest benefits can be achieved in the early project stages of evaluating major configuration options, it is equally applicable to all stages of the life cycle, and at many levels of detail.

Life-cycle costing is distinct from investment appraisal in that it is not concerned with determining the financial viability of a development. It is concerned only with determining the differences between competing options and establishing which options best meet the owners' business objectives.

In the past, the petroleum and natural gas industry has assessed the financial viability of project options on the basis of minimum capital expenditure: operating expenditures have played little part in the decision-making process. This has ignored a potentially large cost and in many cases has resulted in reduced asset value.

This omission is now recognized by the industry. As the number of new large developments has declined, the emphasis has moved towards the maintenance and update of existing assets; naturally this has focused more attention on operating expenditures. In addition, external pressures, such as a low and static oil price, have further added to the pressures to minimize costs.

Life-cycle costing techniques are used by a number of companies within the industry. However, the development of such techniques has been pursued independently and their application has been patchy, with little participation by the contractors and vendors — contracting for equipment supply is still largely on a basis of minimum capital expenditure. All participants in the process — operators, contractors and vendors — can have a substantial impact on the life-cycle costs of ownership, and it is not until all are involved that the benefits sought from the use of life-cycle costing will be realised. If this is to be achieved, a common, consistent, industry-wide approach is required.

Where the life-cycle costing approach was applied, life-cycle costing methods were developed and valuable experience was gained. However, the approaches were diverse with variable success.

This diversity has caused confusion amongst contractors and vendors. It also has resulted in higher engineering and supply costs. Experience indicated that this could potentially result in low quality information being used to support management decisions, in order to maintain project schedules and avoid delay. Therefore, in a project context, a clear, well defined methodology is needed to define how, when, where and why life-cycle costing needs to be applied.

It has also been recognized that project and asset management staff need a clear and unambiguous definition of the overall economic objectives of a project and how to apply the same business criteria when making major engineering decisions. It is further recognized that long term management commitment to life-cycle costing is crucial for its successful implementation in the project execution of an asset.

The principal benefits associated with the systematic application of life-cycle costing may include any or all of the following.

- **Reduce ownership costs**

Operating costs in other industries such as aircraft, defence and automotive have been significantly reduced in the last decade. When users begin to consider operating expenditures before making decisions, the whole supply industry takes a different approach to quality and service.

- **the alignment of engineering decisions with corporate and business objectives**
Sound business principles must be applied to all major engineering decisions if the business objectives of a development are to be realized. Currently, in taking these decisions, the consequences on operating expenditures and the effect on the revenue profile are often ignored. If all major engineering decisions can be aligned to business objectives then the value of an investment can be optimized.
- **the definition of common objective criteria that can be used by operators, contractors and vendors and against which, business transactions may be managed and optimized**
Performance contracts which relate only to capital do not necessarily lead to improved business performance. Small increases in initial cost can, if applied in the right place, result in significant reductions in operating expenditures and/or increased revenue. Standard life-cycle costing methodologies will facilitate the development of performance contracts based on business parameters that will lead to real increases in value and benefit for all.
- **reduction of the risk of operating expenditure surprise**
When new assets are being considered and there is little information on likely operating expenditures, it is important to apply methodologies which enable high operating expenditure elements to be identified at an early stage. In such cases, operating expenditures are often underestimated and therefore real business risks exist in not achieving the required rates of return. Life-cycle costing methodologies demand that support costs of major packages are quantified on a systematic basis to reduce these risks. The methodologies would enable the industry to identify, optimize and acquire the needed support in a timely and cost-effective manner.
- **changing the criteria for option selection**
Traditionally decisions were taken on options using criteria such as best available technology or lowest price and this did not necessarily lead to maximum value for the asset. Life-cycle costing provides criteria for selection which can be directly linked to increased asset value and hence improved profitability over the asset life cycle.
- **maximization of the value of current operating experience**
Actual operating experience is a valuable resource that can be used to evaluate options for new assets and improve the performance of existing assets. This experience is only valid if it is judged against the required operating context. Equipment or configuration options that were of value when capacity utilization was high are often not of value in smaller assets, or when capacity utilization profiles decline. All operators have a wide range of equipment and configuration options. Data on actual performance, collected using modern maintenance management, are of real value when options need to be compared.
- **the provision of a framework within which to compare options at all stages of development**
When comparing options for one process function it is important to consider the effect of that decision on other process functions. A planned approach within an overall framework is vital if the best combination of options is to be achieved. Previous experience shows that life-cycle costing studies were being carried out too late, often in isolation with a variable quality output. The standard identifies planning needs and resource requirements to ensure studies are carried out at the right time, to the right depth and within planned resource budgets and targets.
- **the provision of a mechanism by which major cost drivers can be identified, targeted and reduced**
Life-cycle costing methodologies identify in a systematic way all major cost elements of an investment. Having identified the cost drivers, a sensitivity analysis can be carried out to establish critical areas where improvement will lead to increased cost effectiveness. These critical areas become targets for research and development, technology transfer and a focus for management effort.

This part of ISO 15663 is based on the principles defined in IEC 300-3-3.

Petroleum and natural gas industries — Life cycle costing —

Part 1: Methodology

1 Scope

This part of ISO 15663 specifies requirements for undertaking life-cycle costing for the development and operation of facilities for drilling, production and pipeline transportation within the petroleum and natural gas industries.

The life-cycle costing methodology described in this part of ISO 15663 can be applied when making decisions on any option which has cost implications for more than one cost element or asset phase, in order to estimate the cost difference between competing options.

The process is applicable to a wide range of options, particularly when decisions are being considered on the following:

- the process concept;
- equipment location, e.g. template-based solutions vs. satellite-based solutions;
- project execution strategies;
- health, safety and environment;
- system concept and sizing;
- equipment type;
- equipment configuration;
- layout;
- maintenance and operation strategies;
- manning strategy;
- manning levels;
- logistic support strategy;
- facility modifications;
- spares and support strategy;
- reuse and/or disposal.

The basic methodology of this part of ISO 15663 is applicable to all asset decisions, but the extent of planning and management of the process depends on the magnitude of the costs involved and the potential value that can be created.

The methodology is of value when decisions are taken relating to new investments in projects. It also provides the means of identifying key cost drivers and provides a cost-control framework for these drivers, allowing effective cost control and optimization over the entire life of an asset.

The scope of this part of ISO 15663 is limited to life-cycle costing. It is not concerned with determining the life-cycle cost of an item of equipment, since then it would be necessary to determine all costs associated with that equipment during the life of the asset.

2 Terms, definitions and abbreviations

2.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 15663, the following terms, definitions and abbreviations apply.

2.1.1

asset

resource owned by an organization, normally for the purposes of generating income or increasing value

2.1.2

asset life cycle

life span of a particular resource owned by an organization, from the point of discovery or acquisition through to disposal

2.1.3

asset phase

discrete stage in the asset life cycle with a specified purpose

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO 15663-1:2000
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000>

EXAMPLE Detail design.

2.1.4

benefit

creation of a capital asset, earning of revenue or improvement of a project environment

2.1.5

budget

estimate approved by management or the client as the cost-control mechanism for a project

2.1.6

capital expenditure

money used to purchase, install and commission a capital asset

2.1.7

committed costs

those fixed costs that cannot be eliminated or even cut back without having a major effect on profits or on the organization's objectives

NOTE Committed costs may be identified as sunk costs for the purposes of a study.

2.1.8

constraint

limit imposed externally or internally by the project which rules out the selection of an option if the limit is exceeded

2.1.9**cost breakdown structure**

structure related to the methods that an organization employs to record and report costs

2.1.10**cost driver**

major cost element which if changed will have a major impact on the life-cycle cost of an option

2.1.11**cost element**

identifiable part of the life-cycle cost of an option which can be attributed to an activity

2.1.12**cost issue**

cost element which if changed will not have a major impact on the life-cycle cost of an option

2.1.13**fixed cost**

cost that does not vary as the level of activity varies

2.1.14**life cycle**

all development stages of an item of equipment or function, from when the study commences up to and including disposal

2.1.15**life-cycle cost**

discounted cumulative total of all costs incurred by a specified function or item of equipment over its life cycle

2.1.16**life-cycle cost model**

mathematical relationship between cost elements and life-cycle cost differences

2.1.17**life-cycle costing**

process of evaluating the difference between the life-cycle costs of two or more alternative options

2.1.18**net present value**

sum of the total discounted costs and revenues

2.1.19**operating expenditure**

money used for operation and maintenance, including associated costs such as logistics and spares

2.1.20**payback period**

period after which the initial capital invested has been paid back by the accumulated net revenue earned

2.1.21**sensitivity analysis**

process of testing the outcome of a life-cycle costing in order to establish whether the final conclusion is sensitive to changes in assumptions

2.1.22**structured breakdown of costs**

list of cost elements associated with an option which has been structured taking into account the way in which the costs are acquired and recorded

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standardsite.com)

ISO 15663-1:2000

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-032e1973d3e0/iso-15663-1-2000>

2.2 Abbreviations

CAPEX	capital expenditure
IRR	internal rate of return
NPV	net present value
PI	profitability index
SBC	structured breakdown of costs

3 Management of life-cycle costing

3.1 Objectives

- a) To achieve agreement at a management level on asset objectives and how life-cycle costing is linked to these objectives;
- b) to communicate the asset objectives and the role of life-cycle costing throughout the organization;
- c) to define the objectives of life-cycle costing prior to any study taking place.

3.2 Roles and responsibilities

3.2.1 All those having responsibility for life-cycle costing within an organization shall be identified and informed of the responsibilities assigned to them.

3.2.2 An individual or organization shall be assigned the role of life-cycle costing coordinator to undertake the following tasks:

- develop and plan the life-cycle costing strategy;
- develop life-cycle costing project procedures;
- facilitate and coordinate study activities;
- organize training of all key personnel.

The individual or organization may change depending on the asset phase.

NOTE The life-cycle costing coordinator may be a person or group already part of the project group.

3.2.3 Organizations or individuals having responsibility for performing life-cycle costing shall

- understand the life-cycle costing methodology and tailor it to the needs of the project;
- provide the focus for identification and evaluation of alternative options;
- communicate the results to others — it is only by doing this that decisions can be influenced;
- fulfil the requirements determined during the planning stage.

In order for the full benefits of life-cycle costing to be achieved, the effect on the overall value of an asset over its lifetime must be considered when making all major decisions. Life-cycle costing is therefore the responsibility of all individuals who take these decisions.

3.3 Strategy and planning

3.3.1 The strategy and policy for life-cycle costing shall be defined, together with the means of evaluating whether objectives are being achieved.

3.3.2 Life-cycle costing studies shall be carried out where benefits in terms of increased asset value can be realized by selecting the best option between competing alternatives. The options to be studied should be selected on a systematic basis by considering the major contributions to capital and operating expenditures and revenue impact.

3.3.3 A plan shall be prepared outlining all life-cycle costing activities anticipated to be necessary throughout asset life.

3.3.4 The plan shall identify the critical dates, resource requirements and the persons, departments and organizations responsible for life-cycle costing at each phase of the project.

3.3.5 The plan shall identify the training needs of the coordinator and all persons having responsibility for undertaking life-cycle costing.

3.3.6 The plan shall identify data-collection activities and sources.

3.3.7 The plan shall identify any specific requirements for tools to be used for modelling.

3.3.8 The plan shall identify the requirements for assessment (see clause 5), including the following:

- the number of assessment stages;
- the level of independence of the assessment;
- when assessment will take place.

iTech STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO 15663-1:2000](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83-)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/603b202b-5ff2-418f-8f83->

3.3.9 For any life-cycle costing activity, the essential steps which constitute the methodology shall be defined.

3.3.10 The steps that shall be used as the basis for claiming conformance to this part of ISO 15663 are detailed in clause 4 and illustrated in Figure 1. If alternative steps are defined during the planning process, then these shall be justified.