
Oprema cest - 8. del: Oprema cest za ublažitev udarcev motoristov pri trkih z varnostno ograjo

Road restraint systems - Part 8: Motorcycle road restraint systems which reduce the impact severity of motorcyclist collisions with safety barriers

Rückhaltesysteme an Straßen - Teil 8: Rückhaltesysteme für Motorräder, die die Anprallheftigkeit an Schutzplanken reduzieren

Dispositifs de retenue routiers - Partie 8 : Dispositifs de retenue routiers pour motos réduisant la sévérité de choc en cas de collision de motocyclistes avec les barrières de sécurité

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/67b197ea-c638-4b60-93f8-f883e3fd0c9/sist-ts-cen-ts-1317-8-2012>

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CEN/TS 1317-8:2012

ICS:

13.200	Preprečevanje nesreč in katastrof	Accident and disaster control
93.080.30	Cestna oprema in pomožne naprave	Road equipment and installations

SIST-TS CEN/TS 1317-8:2012**en,fr,de**

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

[SIST-TS CEN/TS 1317-8:2012](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/67b197ea-e638-4b60-93f8-f883e3fbd0c9/sist-ts-cen-ts-1317-8-2012)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/67b197ea-e638-4b60-93f8-f883e3fbd0c9/sist-ts-cen-ts-1317-8-2012>

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION
SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

CEN/TS 1317-8

April 2012

ICS 13.200; 93.080.30

English Version

Road restraint systems - Part 8: Motorcycle road restraint systems which reduce the impact severity of motorcyclist collisions with safety barriers

Dispositifs de retenue routiers - Partie 8 : Dispositifs de retenue routiers pour motos réduisant la sévérité de choc en cas de collision de motocyclistes avec les barrières de sécurité

Rückhaltesysteme an Straßen - Teil 8: Rückhaltesysteme für Motorräder, die die Anprallheftigkeit an Schutzplanken für Motorradfahrer reduzieren

This Technical Specification (CEN/TS) was approved by CEN on 7 February 2012 for provisional application.

The period of validity of this CEN/TS is limited initially to three years. After two years the members of CEN will be requested to submit their comments, particularly on the question whether the CEN/TS can be converted into a European Standard.

CEN members are required to announce the existence of this CEN/TS in the same way as for an EN and to make the CEN/TS available promptly at national level in an appropriate form. It is permissible to keep conflicting national standards in force (in parallel to the CEN/TS) until the final decision about the possible conversion of the CEN/TS into an EN is reached.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

Page

Foreword	3
Introduction	4
1 Scope	5
2 Normative references	5
3 Terms and definitions	5
4 Abbreviations	6
5 Biomechanical indices for assessing the impact severity of a PTW rider against an MPS	7
5.1 General	7
5.2 Index representing the head injury risk: Head injury criterion (HIC_{36})	7
5.3 Indices representing neck injury risk	7
6 Test methods	9
6.1 General	9
6.2 Test site	9
6.3 Propulsion system	9
6.4 ATD and instrumentation	9
6.5 ATD clothing and equipment	10
6.6 ATD mass including equipment	10
6.7 Installation	10
6.8 Impact conditions	11
6.9 Launch configurations	11
6.10 Accuracies and deviation of impact speeds and angles	14
6.11 Photographic coverage	15
7 Performance classes	16
7.1 General	16
7.2 Speed classes	17
7.3 Severity levels	17
7.4 Deformation of the CMPS	21
8 Acceptance criteria of the impact test	22
8.1 MPS behaviour	22
8.2 ATD behaviour	22
8.3 Severity indices	24
8.4 Vehicle impact performance	24
9 Test report	24
Annex A (informative) Detailed test report template	25
Annex B (informative) Anthropomorphic test device	30
Annex C (informative) Helmet alignment tool	32
Annex D (informative) Modification of the anthropomorphic test device shoulder	34
Annex E (informative) Reference helmet	40
Annex F (informative) Helmet calibration procedure	41
F.1 General	41
F.2 Helmet description	41
F.3 Procedure description and layout	41
F.4 Helmet assessment	42
Bibliography	44

Foreword

This document (CEN/TS 1317-8:2012) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 226 "Road equipment", the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

EN 1317 consists of the following parts:

- EN 1317-1, *Road restraint systems — Part 1: Terminology and general criteria for test methods*;
- EN 1317-2, *Road restraint systems — Part 2: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for safety barriers including vehicle parapets*;
- EN 1317-3, *Road restraint systems — Part 3: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for crash cushions*;
- ENV 1317-4, *Road restraint systems — Part 4: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for terminals and transitions of safety barriers*¹⁾;
- EN 1317-5, *Road restraint systems — Part 5: Product requirements and evaluation of conformity for vehicle restraint systems*;
- CEN/TR 1317-6, *Road restraint systems — Part 6: Pedestrian restraint systems — Pedestrian parapets*²⁾;
- prEN 1317-7, *Road restraint systems — Part 7: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for terminals of safety barriers*;
- CEN/TS 1317-8, *Road restraint systems — Part 8: Motorcycle road restraint systems which reduce the impact severity of motorcyclist collisions with safety barriers*.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to announce this Technical Specification: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

1) ENV 1317-4:2001 will be superseded by future EN 1317-4, *Road restraint systems — Part 4: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for transitions of safety barriers* (under preparation).

2) Under preparation.

Introduction

In order to improve safety, the design of roads may require the installation of road restraint systems, which are intended to contain and redirect errant vehicles safely for the benefit of the occupants and other road users, or pedestrian parapets designed to restrain and to guide pedestrians and other road users not using vehicles, on sections of road and at particular locations defined by the national or local authorities.

EN 1317-2 contains performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for barriers. Whereas EN 1317-2 covers the performance of these systems with respect to cars and heavy vehicles, this Technical Specification addresses the safety of the riders of powered two-wheeled vehicles impacting the barrier having fallen from their vehicle.

As powered two-wheeler riders may impact a barrier directly (in which case no protection is offered by the vehicle), special attention is given to these vulnerable road-users. In order to minimise the consequences to a rider of such an impact, it may be necessary to fit a barrier with a specific PTW rider protection system. Alternatively, a barrier might specifically incorporate characteristics limiting the consequences of a PTW rider impact.

Rider protection systems may be continuous (including barriers specifically designed with the safety of PTW riders in mind) or discontinuous. A discontinuous system is one which offers rider protection in specific localised areas of a barrier judged to be of higher risk. The most common example of a discontinuous system is one fitted locally to the posts of a post and rail type guardrail - adding nothing between the posts.

The purpose of this Technical Specification is to define the terminology specific to it, to describe procedures for the initial type-testing of rider protection systems and to provide performance classes and acceptance criteria for them.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/67b197ea-e638-4b60-93f8-f882e3fb0c9/sist-ts-cen-ts-1317-8-2012>

Accident statistics from several European countries have shown that riders are injured when impacting barriers either whilst still on their vehicles or having fallen and then sliding along the road surface. Whilst different statistical sources show one or the other of these configurations to be predominant, all known studies show both to constitute a major proportion of rider to barrier impact accidents. Some studies showing the sliding configuration to be predominant have led to the development and use of test procedures in some European countries, evaluating systems with respect to the sliding configuration. At the time of writing, a number of such protection systems were already on the European market. It is for this reason that it was decided to address the issue of sliding riders initially, in order to bring about the adoption of a European Standard in as timely a manner as possible. However, the rider on vehicle configuration should also be considered as soon as possible as a subsequent addition.

This Technical Specification shall be read in conjunction with EN 1317-1 and EN 1317-2.

1 Scope

This Technical Specification specifies requirements for the impact performance of systems designed for the reduction of impact severity for PTW riders impacting safety barriers whilst sliding along the ground, having fallen from their PTW vehicle. The protection systems concerned are those fitted to barriers or barriers that have an inherent PTW rider protection or risk reduction capability. This Technical Specification excludes the assessment of the vehicle restraint capabilities of barriers and the risk that they represent to the occupants of impacting cars. The assessment of performance of impacting vehicles is covered by EN 1317-1 and EN 1317-2.

This Technical Specification defines performance classes taking into account rider speed classes, impact severity and the working width of the system with respect to rider impacts.

For systems designed to be added to a standard barrier, the test results are valid only when the system is fitted to the model of barrier used in the tests since the performance will not necessarily be the same if the system is fitted to a different barrier.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 1317-1, *Road restraint systems — Part 1: Terminology and general criteria for test methods*

EN 1317-2, *Road restraint systems — Part 2: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for safety barriers including vehicle parapets*

EN 1621-1, *Motorcyclists' protective clothing against mechanical impact — Part 1: Requirements and test methods for impact protectors*

EN ISO 4762, *Hexagon socket head cap screws (ISO 4762)*

ISO 6487, *Road vehicles — Measurement techniques in impact tests — Instrumentation*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

biomechanical indices

indices obtained from the registers measured in the ATD, which are used to evaluate the severity of the impact

3.2

clothing

see 6.5.2

3.3

continuous motorcyclist protection system

any MPS placed continuously along a barrier with the purpose of retaining and redirecting an impacting rider, usually preventing direct impact with aggressive elements of the barrier such as posts, anchorages or module connections, and that also prevents a sliding rider from passing between the posts of a barrier and coming into contact with any potential hazard that may be behind the barrier

CEN/TS 1317-8:2012 (E)

3.4

discontinuous motorcyclist protection system

any MPS placed locally around a potentially aggressive element of a barrier, such as a post, anchorage or module connection, with the purpose of reducing the severity of a direct impact of the rider against it

Note 1 to entry: This type of system is not intended to contain fallen PTW riders since the system is not present continuously along the length of the barrier

3.5

dummy working width

W_d

distance between the foremost part of the un-deformed system and the maximum dynamic lateral position of any part of the system or ATD

Note 1 to entry: see 7.4.

3.6

impact severity

risk level of physical injury to a rider resulting from an impact

3.7

integrated motorcyclist protection system

motorcyclist protection system, either continuous or discontinuous, which forms an integral part of a barrier design rather than being a separate add-on fitted to an existing barrier

3.8

helmet

see 6.5.1

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

3.9

motorcyclist

rider of any powered two-wheeler

[SIST-TS CEN/TS 1317-8:2012](http://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/67b197ea-e638-4b60-93f8-f883e3fbd0c9/sist-ts-cen-ts-1317-8-2012)

<http://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/67b197ea-e638-4b60-93f8-f883e3fbd0c9/sist-ts-cen-ts-1317-8-2012>

3.10

motorcyclist protection system

any device installed on a barrier or in its immediate surroundings, the purpose of which is to reduce the severity of a PTW rider impact against the barrier

4 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the following abbreviations apply.

CMPS	Continuous Motorcyclist Protection System
DMPS	Discontinuous Motorcyclist Protection System
MPS	Motorcyclist Protection System
PTW	Powered Two-Wheeler
W_d	Dummy working width

5 Biomechanical indices for assessing the impact severity of a PTW rider against an MPS

5.1 General

In order to assess the severity and define the acceptance criteria, the following biomechanical indices shall be used.

The sign convention shown in Figure 1, according to SAE J1733, shall be adopted.

5.2 Index representing the head injury risk: Head injury criterion (HIC_{36})

The Head Injury Criterion (HIC_{36}) is an acceleration-based criterion defined by Formula (1):

$$HIC = \max \left[\frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a \times dt \right]^{2,5} \times (t_2 - t_1) \quad (1)$$

where

a is the resultant acceleration at the centre of gravity of the head expressed as units of gravity (1 g = 9,81 m/s²)

$$a = \sqrt{a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2} \quad (2)$$

a_x is the acceleration X-axis;

a_y is the acceleration Y-axis;

a_z is the acceleration Z-axis.

The (HIC_{36}) values for calculation intervals ($t_2 - t_1$) greater than 36 are not taken into account for the calculation of maximum values, i.e., ($t_2 - t_1$) ≤ 36 ms.

5.3 Indices representing neck injury risk

The indices representing neck injury risk are

- anterior-posterior shear force (F_x),
- lateral shear force (F_y),
- tension-compression force (F_z),
- lateral bending moment calculated about the occipital condyle (M_{ocx}),
- flexion/extension moment calculated about the occipital condyle (M_{ocy}),
- torsion moment (M_z).

The above indices shall be determined using the “upper neck load cell”.

CEN/TS 1317-8:2012 (E)

The moments about the occipital condyles M_{oc_x} and M_{oc_y} are calculated from the moments M_x and M_y expressed in N m and the forces F_x and F_y expressed in N according to the expressions:

$$M_{oc_x} = M_x + F_y \times D \quad (3)$$

$$M_{oc_y} = M_y - F_x \times D \quad (4)$$

where

M_x is the lateral bending moment on the neck;

M_y is the flexion/extension moment on the neck;

D is the distance for the transfer to the occipital condyle of the moments of bending measured. It shall adopt the specific value mentioned in 6.4.

The types of movement resulting in positive values of neck forces and moments are:

- $+F_x$: head backwards, chest forward (forward-backward shear),
- $+F_z$: head upwards, chest downward (traction),
- $+M_x$: left ear towards left shoulder (lateral bending),
- $+M_y$: chin towards sternum (flexion).



Figure 1 — Sign convention for accelerations, forces and moments in the ATD

6 Test methods

6.1 General

The full-scale impact test consists of launching an ATD at a given speed against a barrier with MPS (or integrated system), in a suitable test area. At the moment of impact, the ATD is sliding with its back and legs stably in contact with the ground.

6.2 Test site

The test area shall comply with requirements of EN 1317-1, with the following exceptions and additions.

The test area shall be clear of dust, debris, standing water, ice and snow at the time of the test in the entire area over which the ATD is displaced during the test. No part of the test item shall be in contact with any ice or snow that could modify the deformation or performance of the test item during the test.

The test zone shall incorporate a smooth area along the approach path of the ATD to facilitate sliding of the ATD before impact.

6.3 Propulsion system

The ATD shall not be restrained, other than by the friction of the paved surface, guided or propelled by any force external to it at the point of impact.

6.4 ATD and instrumentation

The ATD used for the tests shall be a modified Hybrid III 50th percentile male ATD as described and according to the conditions of use given in Annex B.

All necessary measurements to evaluate the biomechanical indices shall be carried out with measurement systems compliant with ISO 6487.

The resultant acceleration measured at the centre of gravity of the ATD's head shall be calculated from the tri-axial components of the acceleration recorded with Channel Frequency Class 1 000 (CFC 1 000) and a Channel Amplitude Class of 500 g (CAC 500 g).

The neck forces and moments shall be measured by a six-channel upper neck load cell specifically designed to be fitted to the Hybrid III ATD. These forces and moments shall be recorded as follows:

- F_x and F_y with a CAC of 8 kN and a CFC of 1 000,
- F_z with a CAC of 13 kN and a CFC of 1 000;
- M_x , M_y and M_z with a CAC of 280 N m and a CFC of 600.

For the transfer of moments measured by the neck load cell to the occipital condyle, both the forces and moments shall have a CFC of 600.

For the distance D , the value of 0,017 78 m shall be adopted for those load cells installed in the existing space at the base of the skull and 0,008 763 m for those load cells mounted on the lower surface of the base of the skull.

An event indicator shall be used to signal the moment of first ATD contact with the test item. However, the method used shall not modify the ATD to test item contact (e.g. the use of a tape switch on the helmet is not acceptable).

CEN/TS 1317-8:2012 (E)

6.5 ATD clothing and equipment**6.5.1 Helmet**

The ATD shall be equipped with an integral type, production motorcycle helmet weighing $1,300 \text{ kg} \pm 0,050 \text{ kg}$ and with a polycarbonate shell. A reference helmet model is given in Annex E. The helmet shall meet the specifications given in Annex F. Annex E gives alternative helmet models that have been found to meet the requirements in Annex F. In all cases, the helmet used shall comply with the requirements set out in Regulation 22 of ECE/TRANS/505.

The surface of the helmet in contact with the test item shall be smooth, and free from protruding parts, vents, roughness or any other kind of unevenness, so that the contact conditions between the helmet and the test item are not influenced by any such feature.

The helmet shall be new for each test. No alteration of the original production helmet shall be undertaken. No stickers, paint or any other item or substance shall be applied to the helmet in any area of its surface which will be in contact with the test item.

The helmet shall be fitted onto the head of the ATD in accordance with Annex C. If helmet models other than those given in Annex E are used, the size shall be chosen in order to ensure that the fit of the helmet on the ATD head is as snug as possible, with the helmet held firmly onto the head.

NOTE Care should be taken to choose a helmet that will leave visible traces on the test item during contact, i.e. helmet with paint of a colour which contrasts with the test item colour.

6.5.2 Clothing

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

The ATD shall be dressed in a long-sleeved cotton t-shirt to be worn under a leather, one-piece motorcycle suit (or two-piece suit if the two pieces are joined together) conforming to EN 1621-1, leather gloves, and leather boots. The leather suit shall not be fitted with any additional protection devices (e.g. back supports or neck restraints) or features that influence the behaviour of the ATD or restrict the movement of its limbs any more than would be the case with a simple leather suit.

The sizes of all items of clothing shall be appropriate so as to fit the ATD correctly.

The upper and lower extremities shall be clearly visually identified by painting the clothing, boots and gloves using a paint colour contrasting with the test zones surroundings. Each lower extremity shall be identified by painting the trouser leg and boot from the knee down. Each upper extremity shall be identified by painting the sleeve, and if necessary part of the glove, from the centre of the elbow joint to the centre of the wrist joint. There shall be a clear, visible distinction between the hand (from the wrist joint to the fingertips) and the rest of the upper extremity.

6.6 ATD mass including equipment

The total test ATD mass, including instrumentation, helmet, shirt, suit, gloves and boots, shall be $87,50 \text{ kg} \pm 2,50 \text{ kg}$.

6.7 Installation

For continuous systems, the length of the test item shall be sufficient to demonstrate the full performance characteristics of the MPS. After the test, the adequacy of the length of installation shall be checked by determining whether there is any permanent lateral or longitudinal displacement of the test item at its extremities.

Any end conditions (for example end anchorages) of the barrier or integrated MPS shall be identical to those used in the vehicle impact tests performed on the same barrier according to EN 1317-2. Any fixings (for

example fixing of a CMPS to a barrier) of the MPS, or end conditions of a CMPS, shall be provided in accordance with the design of the MPS.

For DMPS, the minimum installation length of the supporting barrier shall be determined by the test house and agreed to by the manufacturer before the test. A minimum of two DMPS shall be installed on consecutive posts (or whichever other element supports the DMPS): one corresponding with the impact point and the other downstream of the impact.

Foundations for the test item shall meet the design specification.

When testing pretensioned MPSs, for which tension can be adjusted, any measurable applied tension or torque shall conform to that which is specified in the MPS installation manual and shall be recorded in the test report.

The height above the ground of the lower edge of the elements designed to restrain the PTW rider shall be measured in the impact zone and noted in the test report

NOTE This distance can influence the capacity of the system to restrain a rider.

6.8 Impact conditions

The full-scale impact tests are carried out by launching an ATD against the test item (in the case of a CMPS, against a straight length of test item) in accordance with a determined approach path and test conditions.

At the moment of the initial impact of the ATD against the test item, the surfaces of the helmet and the test item in the impact area shall be clean, dry, and free of any item or substance that may affect the contact between both surfaces.

The ATD shall impact the test item at a point approximately at the mid-point along the length of the test item or, in the case of a DMPS fitted to a barrier, approximately at the mid-point of the barrier. The exact position of the impact along the length of the test item shall be chosen by the test house in order to demonstrate the most severe impact conditions and the choice shall take into account any potentially hazardous feature of the test item.

The test shall be deemed to be completed when, following the impact (or impacts) the ATD has come completely to rest. Any secondary impacts, including any with the ground or hardened test zone surface, occurring before the ATD comes to rest shall be considered when determining the test results. If the ATD is connected to the data acquisition system by an umbilical cable, the ATD may be arrested to avoid damage occurring to the umbilical cable. Any such arresting of the ATD shall take place once the ATD is no longer in contact with the test item, after all injury criterion measurements have started to decrease or after any event resulting in a negative test result for the test item.

6.9 Launch configurations

6.9.1 General

Three theoretical approach paths are defined as below. If the test laboratory judges that the impact point identified in this Technical Specification for a given test is not representative of the most severe testing conditions, the laboratory may change the impact point accordingly. In all cases, the impact point shall be identified in the test report its choice justified.

For each configuration, the ATD shall be launched lying face-up in a “supine decubitus” position, i.e. face up in a horizontal position and completely stretched out on its back, with its upper limbs parallel and adjacent to its trunk, with the palms of its hands oriented towards its trunk. The longitudinal centreline of the ATD spine shall coincide with the direction of the approach path and the head shall be oriented towards the theoretical impact point.