Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting, Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75% of the member bodies casting a vote.

(standards.iteh.ai)

International Standard ISO 48 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 45, Rubber and rubber products, Subcommittee SC 24 Physical and degradation tests. https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fcf3f207-872b-49d2-b8d5-

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 48:1979), as well as the first editions of ISO 1400 (ISO 1400:1975) and ISO 1818 (ISO 1818:1975), of which it constitutes a technical revision.

Annex A forms an integral part of this International Standard. Annex B is for information only.

© ISO 1994

Printed in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

International Organization for Standardization

Case Postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland

Introduction

© ISO

The hardness test specified in this International Standard is intended to provide a rapid measurement of rubber stiffness, unlike hardness tests on other materials which measure resistance to permanent deformation.

Hardness is measured from the depth of indentation of a spherical indentor, under a specified force, into a rubber test piece. An empirical relationship between depth of indentation and Young's modulus for a perfectly elastic isotropic material has been used to derive a hardness scale which may conveniently be used for most rubbers.

When it is required to determine the value of Young's modulus itself, an appropriate test method should be used, for example that described in ISO 7743:1989, Rubber, vulcanized or thermoplastic — Determination of compression stress-strain properties, for determination of compression stress-strain properties.

<u>ISO 48:1994</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fcf3f207-872b-49d2-b8d5f05d583c88f0/iso-48-1994

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 48:1994 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fcf3f207-872b-49d2-b8d5f05d583c88f0/iso-48-1994

Rubber, vulcanized or thermoplastic — Determination of hardness (hardness between 10 IRHD and 100 IRHD)

1 Scope

This International Standard specifies four methods for the determination of the hardness of vulcanized or thermoplastic rubbers on flat surfaces: than or equal to 4 mm and is preferably used for rubbers in the range 35 IRHD to 85 IRHD but may be used for those in the range 30 IRHD to 95 IRHD.

Method H: The appropriate method for test pieces of

Method N	Normal test h STANE	ARD in the range 85 IRHD to 100 IRHD.
Method H	High-hardness test	ards.itvethodia: The appropriate method for test pieces of
Method L		
Method M	Microtest	SO 48:1994 in the range 10 IRHD to 35 IRHD.

and four methods for the determination of apparent hardness of curved surfaces using methods N, H, L and M, respectively:

Methods CN, CH, CL and CM.

The methods differ primarily in the diameter of the indenting ball and the magnitude of the indenting force, these being chosen to suit the particular application. The range of applicability of each is indicated in figure 1.

Method N: The normal test for hardness is the appropriate method for test pieces of thickness greater

thickness greater than or equal to 6 mm and hardness in the range 10 IRHD to 35 IRHD. NOTE 1 The value of hardness obtained by method N within the ranges 85 IRHD to 95 IRHD and 30 IRHD to 35 IBHD may not agree precisely with that obtained using

Within the ranges 85 IRHD to 95 IRHD and 30 IRHD to 35 IRHD may not agree precisely with that obtained using method H or method L, respectively. The difference is not normally significant for technical purposes.

Method M: The microtest for hardness is essentially a scaled-down version of the normal test method N, permitting the testing of thinner and smaller test pieces. It is the appropriate method for test pieces of thickness less than 4 mm and is preferably used for rubbers in the range 35 IRHD to 85 IRHD but may be used for those in the range 30 IRHD to 95 IRHD.




Figure 1 — Range of applicability

NOTE 2 Because of various surface effects in the rubber and of any slight surface roughness (produced, for example, by buffing), the microtest will not always give results agreeing with those obtained by the normal test.

Methods CN, CH, CL and CM: Apparent-hardness tests on curved surfaces.

These methods are modifications of methods N, H, L and M for cases where the rubber surface tested is curved. Two cases exist, depending whether

- a) the test piece or article tested is large enough for the hardness instrument to rest upon it;
- b) it is small enough for both the test piece and the instrument to rest upon a common support.

A variant of b) would be where the test piece rests on the specimen table of the instrument.

The procedures described cannot provide for all possible shapes and dimensions of test pieces but cover some of the commonest types such as "O" rings. Determination of the apparent hardness of rubber-covered rollers is dealt with separately in DA ISO 7267-1:1986, Rubber-covered rollers — Determination of apparent hardness — Part 1:18HD and method, ISO 7267-2:1986, Rubber-covered rollers — Determination of apparent hardness — Part 2: Shore-type durometer method and ISO 7267-3:1988, Rubber-covered rollers — Determination of apparent hardness — Part 2: Shore-type durometer method and ISO 7267-3:1988, Rubber-covered rollers — Determination of apparent hardness — Part 2: Shore-type durometer method and ISO 7267-3:1988, Rubber-covered rollers — Determination of apparent hardness — Part 3: Pusey and Jones method.

2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 471:—¹⁾, Rubber — Times, temperatures and humidities for conditioning and testing.

:SO 1826:1981, Rubber, vulcanized — Time-interval between vulcanization and testing — Specification.

ISO 3383:1985, Rubber — General directions for echieving elevated or subnormal temperatures for test purposes. ISO 4661-1:1993, Rubber, vulcanized or thermoplastic — Preparation of samples and test pieces — Part 1: Physical tests.

ISO/TR 9272:1986, Rubber and rubber products — Determination of precision for test method standards.

3 Principle

The hardness test consists in measuring the difference between the depths of indentation of a ball into the rubber under a small contact force and a large total force. From this difference, multiplied when using the microtest by the scale factor 6, the hardness in international rubber hardness degrees (IRHD) is obtained by using tables 3 to 5 or on graphs based on these tables or a scale, reading directly in international rubber hardness degrees, calculated from the tables and fitted to the indentation-measuring instrument. These tables and curves are derived from the empirical relationship between indentation depth and hardness given in annex A.

DARD PREVIEW

ar **A**s Definitions

For the purposes of this International Standard, the following definitions apply. ndards/sist/fcf3f207-872b-49d2-b8d5-

- **4.1 - international rubber hardness degrees** (**IRHD**): A hardness scale chosen so that "0" represents the hardness of material having a Young's modulus of zero and "100" represents the hardness of a material of infinite Young's modulus, with the following conditions being fulfilled over most of the normal range of hardness:
- a) one international rubber hardness degree always represents approximately the same proportionate difference in the Young's modulus;
- b) for highly elastic rubbers, the scales of IRHD and the Shore A durometer are comparable.

4.2 standard hardness (denoted by the letter S): The hardness, reported to the nearest whole number in international rubber hardness degrees, obtained using the procedures described in methods N, H, L and M on test pieces of the standard thickness and not less than the minimum lateral dimensions specified.

4.3 apparent hardness: The hardness, reported to the nearest whole number in international rubber

¹⁾ To be published. (Revision of ISO 471:1983)

hardness degrees, obtained using the procedures described in methods N, H, L and M on test pieces of non-standard dimensions, as well as hardness values obtained using methods CN, CH, CL and CM.

NOTE 3 Values obtained by methods CN, CH, CL and CM are always given as apparent hardness since tests are commonly made on the complete article where the thickness of the rubber will vary, and in many cases the lateral dimensions will not provide the minimum distance between the indentor and the edge necessary to eliminate edge effects. The readings obtained therefore do not in general coincide with readings obtained on standard test pieces as defined in methods N, H, L and M or on a flat parallel-faced slab of the same thickness as the article. Moreover, the readings may depend appreciably on the method of support of the article and whether or not a presser foot is used.

It should, therefore, be recognized that results obtained on curved surfaces are arbitrary values applicable only to test pieces or articles of one particular shape and of particular dimensions, and supported in one particular way, and in extreme cases such values may differ from the standard hardness by as much as 10 IRHD. Furthermore, surfaces that have been buffed or otherwise prepared to remove cloth markings, etc., will give slightly different hardness values from those with a smooth, moulded finish. **5.1.1 Vertical plunger**, having a rigid ball or spherical surface on the lower end, and **means for supporting the plunger** so that the spherical tip is kept slightly above the surface of the annular foot prior to applying the contact force.

5.1.2 Means for applying a contact force and an additional indenting force to the plunger, making allowance for the mass of the plunger, including any fittings attached to it, and for the force of any spring acting on it, so that the forces actually transmitted through the spherical end of the plunger are as specified.

5.1.3 Means for measuring the increase in depth of indentation of the plunger caused by the indenting force, either in metric units or reading directly in IRHD. The means employed may be mechanical, optical or electrical.

5 Apparatus

(standards.itechanical, optica

5.1 Methods N, H, L and M

<u>ISO 48:1994</u>

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fcf3f207-872b-49d2-b8d5-

The essential parts of the apparatus are as follows p/iso-48-1994 the appropriate dimensions and forces being shown in table 1.

Test	Diameters	Contact	Force on ball Indenting	Total	Force on foot
	mm	N	N	N	N
Method N	Ball 2,50 ± 0,01	0,30 ± 0,02	5,40 ± 0,01	5,70 ± 0,03	8,3 ± 1,5
(normal test)	Foot 20 ± 1 Hole 6 ± 1				
Method H	Ball 1,00 ± 0,01	0,30 ± 0,02	5,40 ± 0,01	5,70 ± 0,03	8,3 ± 1,5
(high hardness)	Foot 20 ± 1 Hole 6 ± 1				
Method L	Ball 5,00 ± 0,01	0,30 ± 0,02	5,40 ± 0,01	5,70 ± 0,03	8,3 ± 1,5
(low hardness)	Foot 22 ± 1 Hole 10 ± 1				
	mm	mN	mN	mN	mN
Method M (microtest)	Ball 0,395 ± 0,005 Foot 3,35 ± 0,15 Hole 1,00 ± 0,15	8,3 ± 0,5	145 ± 0,5	153,3 ± 1,0	235 ± 30

Table 1 — Forces and dimensions of apparatus

5.1.4 Flat annular foot, normal to the axis of the plunger and having a central hole for the passage of the plunger. The foot rests on the test piece and exerts a pressure on it of 30 kPa \pm 5 kPa²⁾ provided that the total load on the foot does not fall outside the values given in table 1. The foot shall be rigidly connected to the indentation-measuring device, so that a measurement is made of the movement of the plunger relative to the foot (i.e. the top surface of the test piece, not relative to the surface supporting the test piece).

5.1.5 Means for gently vibrating the apparatus.

for example an electrically operated buzzer, to overcome any slight friction. (This may be omitted in instruments where friction is completely eliminated.)

5.1.6 Chamber for the test piece, when tests are made at temperatures other than a standard temperature. This chamber shall be equipped with a means of maintaining the temperature within 2 °C of the desired value. The foot and vertical plunger shall extend through the top of the chamber, and the portion passing through the top shall be constructed from a material having a low thermal conductivity. A sensa ing device shall be located within the chamber near artested is less than 4 mm. or at the location of the test piece, for measuring the temperature (see ISO 3383).

tance apart shall be such as to locate and support the instrument on the curved surface to be tested. Alternatively, the modified base may be fitted with feet movable in universal joints so that they adapt themselves to the curved surface.

5.2.2 Surfaces with double curvature of large radius greater than 50 mm

the base. The diameter of the cylinders and their dis-

The instrument specified in 5.2.1 with adjustable feet shall be used.

5.2.3 Cylindrical surfaces of radius 4 mm to 50 mm or small test pieces with double curvature

On surfaces too small to support the instrument, the test piece or article shall be supported by means of special jigs or V blocks so that the indentor is vertically above the test surface. Wax may be used to fix small items to the test piece table.

NOTES

5 In general, an instrument as described for method M should be used only where the thickness of the rubber

6 Instruments for method M in which the test piece table ISO 48 pressed upwards by a spring are not suitable for use on In the microtest when using instruments and which the log/standarde test pieces of articles with a large radius of curvature. test piece table is pressed upwards by a spring, ithe 583c88f0/iso-48-1994

5.2.4 Small "O" rings and articles of radius of curvature less than 4 mm

These shall be held in suitable jigs or blocks or secured by wax to the instrument table. Measurements shall be made using the instrument for method M. No test shall be made if the smallest radius is less than 0.8 mm.

6 Test pieces

Test pieces shall be prepared in accordance with ISO 4661-1.

6.1 Methods N, H, L and M

6.1.1 General

The test pieces shall have their upper and lower surfaces flat, smooth and parallel to one another.

Tests intended to be comparable shall be made on test pieces of the same thickness.

values of the foot pressure and the force on the foot are those acting during the period of application of the total force. Before the indenting force of 145 mN is applied, the force on the foot is greater by this amount, and hence equals 380 mN + 30 mN.

NOTE 4 Not all possible combinations of dimensions and forces in table1 will meet the pressure requirements of 5.1.4.

5.2 Methods CN, CH, CL and CM

The apparatus used shall be essentially that described in 5.1 but differing in the following respects.

5.2.1 Cylindrical surfaces of radius greater than 50 mm

The base of the instrument shall have a hole below the plunger, allowing free passage of the annular foot such that measurement may be made above or below the base.

The lower surface of the base shall be in the form of two cylinders parallel to each other and the plane of

^{2) 1} kPa = 10^3 N/m²

6.1.2.1 Methods N and H

The standard test piece shall be 8 mm to 10 mm thick and shall be made up of one, two or three layers of rubber, the thinnest of which shall not be less than 2 mm thick. All surfaces shall be flat and parallel. Non-standard test pieces may be either thicker or thinner but not less than 4 mm thick.

6.1.2.2 Method L

The standard test piece shall be 10 mm to 15 mm thick and shall be made up of one, two or three lavers of rubber, the thinnest of which shall not be less than 2 mm thick. All surfaces shall be flat and parallel. Non-standard test pieces may be either thicker or thinner but not less than 6 mm thick.

6.1.2.3 Method M

of the recovery period. í l'eh S'I NDARI

The standard test piece shall have a thickness of 2 mm ± 0,5 mm. Thicker or thinner test pieces may Siten ai) be used, but in no case less than 1 mm thick. On 7 such test pieces, the readings will not in general,

agree with those obtained on the standard test piecedards/sist/fcf3f207-872b-49d2-b8d5-

6.1.3 Lateral dimensions

6.1.3.1 Methods N, H and L

The lateral dimensions of both standard and nonstandard test pieces shall be such that no test is made at a distance from the edge of the test piece less than the appropriate distance shown in table 2.

Table 2 — Minimum distance of point of contact from test piece edge

Dimensions in millimetres

Total thickness of test piece	Minimum distance from point of contact to edge of test piece		
4	7,0		
6	8,0		
8	9,0		
10	10,0		
15	11,5		
25	13,0		

6.1.3.2 Method M

The lateral dimensions shall be such that no test is made at a distance from the edge of less than 2 mm.

When test pieces thicker than 4 mm are tested on the microtest instrument because the lateral dimensions or the available flat area do not permit testing on a normal instrument, the test shall be made at a distance from the edge as great as possible.

6.2 Methods CN, CH, CL and CM

The test piece shall be either a complete article or a piece cut therefrom. The underside of a cut piece shall be such that it can be properly supported during the hardness test. If the surface on which the test is to be made is cloth-marked, it shall be buffed prior to testing. Test pieces shall be allowed to recover at a standard temperature (see ISO 471) for at least 16 h after buffing and shall be conditioned in accordance with clause 8. The conditioning period may form part

Time-interval between vulcanization 1994 and testing

f05d583c88f0/iso-48Unless otherwise specified for technical reasons, the following requirements shall be observed (see ISO 1826).

> For all normal test purposes, the minimum time between vulcanization and testing shall be 16 h. In cases of arbitration, the minimum time shall be 72 h.

> For non-product tests, the maximum time between vulcanization and testing shall be 4 weeks and, for evaluations intended to be comparable, the tests, as far as possible, shall be carried out after the same time-interval.

> For product tests, whenever possible, the time between vulcanization and testing shall not exceed 3 months. In other cases, tests shall be made within 2 months of the date of receipt by the purchaser of the product.

8 Conditioning of test pieces

8.1 When a test is made at a standard temperature (see ISO 471), the test pieces shall be maintained at the conditions of test for at least 3 h immediately before testing.

8.2 When tests are made at higher or lower temperatures, the test pieces shall be maintained at the conditions of test for a period of time sufficient to reach temperature equilibrium with the testing environment, or for the period of time required by the specification covering the material or product being tested, and then immediately tested.

9 Temperature of test

The test shall normally be carried out at standard temperature (see ISO 471). When other temperatures are used, these shall be selected from the list of pre-ferred temperatures as specified in ISO 471.

10 Procedure

Condition the test piece as specified in clause 8. Lightly dust the upper and lower surfaces of the test piece with dusting powder. Place the test piece on a horizontal rigid surface. Bring the foot into contact with the surface of the test piece. Press the plunger and indenting ball for 5 s on to the rubber the force on the ball being the contact force.

If the gauge is graduated in international rubber hard ards 21 Programme details ness degrees (IRHD), it shall be adjusted to read 100

at the end of the 5 s period; the additional indenting <u>ISO</u> 413.211 Five interlaboratory test programmes (ITPs) force shall be then be applied and maintained aforog/standwere ist/forganized b-4and-b8 conducted by Statens 30 s, when a direct reading of the hardness in inter583c88 (Providing Sanstalt (Sweden) between 1985 and 1989.

national rubber hardness degrees is obtained.

If the gauge is graduated in metric units, the differential indentation D (in hundredths of a millimetre) of the plunger caused by the additional indenting force, applied for 30 s, shall be noted. This (after multiplying by the scale factor of 6 when using the apparatus for the microtest) shall be converted into international rubber hardness degrees by using tables 3 to 5 or a graph constructed therefrom.

During the loading periods, the apparatus shall be gently vibrated unless it is completely free of friction.

11 Number of readings

One measurement shall be made at a minimum of three different points distributed over the test piece and the median of the results shall be taken, i.e. the middle value when these are arranged in increasing order.

12 Expression of results

Hardness shall be expressed to the nearest whole number as the median of the individual measure-

ments in international rubber hardness degrees (indicated by the degree sign °) followed by

- a) either the letter S for the standard test piece thickness or the thickness and smallest lateral dimension (in millimetres) for tests on non-standard test pieces (the result then being an apparent hardness);
- b) the code-letter for the method, i.e. N for normal test, H for high, L for low and M for microtest;
- c) for tests on curved surfaces, the prefix letter C.

13 Precision

13.1 General

The precision calculations to provide repeatability and reproducibility values were performed in accordance with ISO/TR 9272. Consult this for precision concepts and nomenclature. Annex B gives guidance on the use of repeatability and reproducibility values.

were st/forganized b-and-b8 conducted by Statens Provningsanstalt (Sweden) between 1985 and 1989. Cured test pieces were prepared in one laboratory and sent to all the participants. The details of the five ITPs are as follows:

Medium-hardness rubbers, method N: Four rubber compounds, nominal hardness range 30 IRHD to 85 IRHD, 26 laboratories. Three determinations (measurements) of hardness on each compound on each of two days, one week apart, using method N. The median of the three used as the "test result" for the precision analysis.

Medium-hardness rubbers, method M: Four rubber compounds, nominal hardness range 30 IRHD to 85 IRHD, 26 laboratories. Three determinations (measurements) of hardness on each of two days, one week apart, using method M. The median of the three used as the "test result" for the precision analysis.

High-hardness rubbers, method N: Three rubber compounds, nominal hardness range 85 IRHD to 100 IRHD, 12 laboratories. Five determinations (measurements) of hardness on each of two days, one week apart, using method N. The median of the five used as the "test result" for the precision analysis. High-hardness rubbers, method H: Three rubber compounds, nominal hardness range 85 IRHD to 100 IRHD, 12 laboratories. Three determinations (measurements) of hardness on each of two days, one week apart, using method H. The median of the three used as the "test result" for the precision analysis.

Low-hardness rubber, method L: One rubber compound of nominal low hardness, five laboratories. Three determinations (measurements) of hardness on each of two days, one week apart, using method L. The median of the three used as the "test result" for the precision analysis.

13.2.2 The precision assessments are type 1 (cured, prepared test pieces circulated) and the time for repeatability and reproducibility is on a scale of days. For the low-hardness rubber, method L, due to the small number of laboratories in the precision evaluation programme, the tabulated precision results should be used with caution.

The precision results are given in table 6 for medium-

The symbols r, (r), R and (R) as used in the table of results are defined as follows:

r = absolute repeatability, in measurement units;

(r) = relative repeatability, in percent;

R = absolute reproducibility, in measurement units:

(R) = relative reproducibility, in percent.

14 Test report

The test report shall include the following particulars:

- a) a reference to this International Standard and the method used;
- b) the form of the test piece, the number of layers and the dimensions of the thinnest layer plus, in the case of curved or irregularly shaped test pieces, the test piece description, the method of mounting and the method of applying the test

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

13.3 Precision results

(standards.itehthefemperature of test;

d) the type of surface tested, i.e. moulded, buffed hardness rubbers using method N, table 71Schof8:1994 or other;

medium-hardness rubberspusinglamethodi/Maktable8ards/sist/fcf3f207-872b-49d2-b8d5for high-hardness rubbers using method N, (table 9 top/iso-48e)99 the hardness, expressed as in clause 12; high-hardness rubbers using method H, and table 10

for the low-hardness rubber using method L.

f) any deviation from the procedure specified.