SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST EN 13205-5:2014

01-september-2014

Nadomešča:
SIST EN 13205:2002

Izpostavljenost na delovnem mestu - Ocenjevanje lastnosti merilnikov za merjenje koncentracij lebdečih delcev - 5. del: Preskušanje in primerjava vzorčevalnikov za aerosole, izvedena na delovnem mestu

Workplace exposure - Assessment of sampler performance for measurement of airborne particle concentrations - Part 5: Aerosol sampler performance test and sampler comparison carried out at workplaces

Exposition am Arbeitsplatz - Bewertung der Leistungsfähigkeit von Sammlern für die Messsung der Konzentration luftgetragener Partikel - Teil 5: An Arbeitsplätzen durchgeführte Prüfungen der Leistungsfähigkeit des Aerosolsammlers

Exposition sur les lieux de travail - Évaluation des performances des dispositifs de prélèvement pour le mesurage des concentrations d'aérosols - Partie 5 : Essais de performances des échantillonneurs d'aérosols, réalisés sur les lieux de travail

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: **EN 13205-5:2014**

ICS:

13.040.30	Kakovost zraka na delovnem mestu	Workplace atmospheres

SIST EN 13205-5:2014 **en,fr,de**

EUROPEAN STANDARD

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN 13205-5

June 2014

ICS 13.040.30

Supersedes EN 13205:2001

English Version

Workplace exposure - Assessment of sampler performance for measurement of airborne particle concentrations - Part 5: Aerosol sampler performance test and sampler comparison carried out at workplaces

Exposition sur les lieux de travail - Évaluation des performances des dispositifs de prélèvement pour le mesurage des concentrations de particules en suspension dans l'air - Partie 5: Essais de performances des échantillonneurs d'aérosols, réalisés sur les lieux de travail

Exposition am Arbeitsplatz - Beurteilung der Leistungsfähigkeit von Sammlern für die Messung der Konzentration luftgetragener Partikel - Teil 5: An Arbeitsplätzen durchgeführte Prüfung der Leistungsfähigkeit des Aerosolsammlers und Sammlervergleich

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 7 May 2014.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Ref. No. EN 13205-5:2014 E

Contents

Page

Foreword

This document (EN 13205-5:2014) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 137 “Assessment of workplace exposure to chemical and biological agents”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by December 2014 and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by December 2014.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document together with EN 13205-1, EN 13205-2, CEN/TR 13205-3, EN 13205-4 and EN 13205-6 supersedes EN 13205:2001.

EN 13205, *Workplace exposure — Assessment of sampler performance for measurement of airborne particle concentrations*, consists of the following parts:

— *Part 1: General requirements;*

— *Part 2: Laboratory performance test based on determination of sampling efficiency;*

— *Part 3: Analysis of sampling efficiency data* [Technical Report];

— *Part 4: Laboratory performance test based on comparison of concentrations;*

— *Part 5: Aerosol sampler performance test and sampler comparison carried out at workplaces* (the present document);

— *Part 6: Transport and handling tests.*

Significant technical changes from the previous edition, EN 13205:2001:

— This part of EN 13205 is partly based on Annex C of the previous edition, EN 13205:2001.

— The scope has been limited to aerosol samplers, and the current version of the standard is not (directly) applicable to other types of aerosol instruments.

— As this is now a standard in its own right, a clause on used symbols has been added. Almost all definitions are now given either in EN 1540, *Workplace exposure — Terminology* or in Part 1 of this standard.

— The method of calculating the uncertainty of a sampler or a measuring procedure has been revised in order to comply with ENV 13005. The concept of “accuracy” is no longer used, instead the concept of “expanded uncertainty” is used.

— The main part of the standard states how to determine the performance of an aerosol sampler at a specific workplace. This is an adaption of the laboratory method given in Part 2.

— The standard gives a method on how to determine the dependence of the sampling efficiency on the collected mass or internally separated mass.

The five major sources of uncertainty due to aspects of the sampling performance of an aerosol sampler (calibration of sampler test system, estimation of sampled concentration, bias relative to the sampling

convention, individual sampler variability and excursion from nominal flow rate) are described with formulae on how to incorporate these uncertainties into the expanded uncertainty of a sampler.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Introduction

EN 481 defines sampling conventions for the particle size fractions to be collected from workplace atmospheres in order to assess their impact on human health. Conventions are defined for the inhalable, thoracic and respirable aerosol fractions. These conventions represent target specifications for aerosol samplers, giving the ideal sampling efficiency as a function of particle aerodynamic diameter.

In general, the sampling efficiency of real aerosol samplers will deviate from the target specification, and the aerosol mass collected will therefore differ from that which an ideal sampler would collect. In addition, the behaviour of real samplers is influenced by many factors such as external wind speed. In many cases there is an interaction between the influence factors and fraction of the airborne particle size distribution of the environment in which the sampler is used.

The workplace performance test for samplers for the inhalable, thoracic or respirable aerosol fractions described in this document is based on a comparison of concentrations sampled from a specific workplace (under otherwise identical conditions) by a candidate sampler and a (previously) validated sampler. Additionally, a method is described for determining a correction factor for recalculation of the concentration determined with one sampler into that of the other at specific workplaces.

This method is intended for the user, rather than the manufacturer, of aerosol samplers.

EN 13205 (all parts) enables manufacturers and users of aerosol samplers to adopt a consistent approach to sampler validation, and provide a framework for the assessment of sampler performance with respect to EN 481 and EN 482.

It is the responsibility of the manufacturer of aerosol samplers to inform the user of the sampler performance under the laboratory conditions[1)] specified in EN 13205-1. It is the responsibility of the user to ensure that the actual conditions of intended use are within what the manufacturer specifies as acceptable conditions according to the performance test.

1) The inhalable convention is undefined for particle sizes in excess of 100 µm or for wind speeds greater than 4 m/s. The tests required to assess performance are therefore limited to these conditions. Should such large particle sizes or wind speeds actually exist at the time of sampling, it is possible that different samplers meeting this part of EN 13205 give different results.

1 Scope

This European Standard specifies a method for determining the performance of an aerosol sampler under prescribed workplace conditions in order to test whether the performance of a candidate sampler fulfils the requirements of EN 13205-1.

This part of EN 13205 specifies also a simple method to determine how, for a specific workplace aerosol, the concentration measured by the candidate sampler can be recalculated into that of a validated sampler.

This part of EN 13205 is applicable to all samplers used for the health-related sampling of particles in workplace air. Different test procedures and types of evaluation are included to enable application of this part of EN 13205 to a wide variety of instruments.

The methods specified in this part of EN 13205 are not applicable to tests where the performance of personal samplers is related to static samplers or vice versa.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 1540, *Workplace exposure - Terminology*

EN 13205-1:2014, *Workplace exposure — Assessment of sampler performance for measurement of airborne particle concentrations — Part 1: General requirements*

EN 13205-2:2014, *Workplace exposure — Assessment of sampler performance for measurement of airborne particle concentrations — Part 2: Laboratory performance test based on determination of sampling efficiency*

EN 13205-4:2014, *Workplace exposure — Assessment of sampler performance for measurement of airborne particle concentrations — Part 4: Laboratory performance test based on comparison of concentrations*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purpose of this document, the term and definitions given in EN 1540, EN 13205-1:2014 EN 13205-2:2014 and the following apply.

NOTE With regard to EN 1540, in particular, the following terms are used in this document: total airborne particles, respirable fraction, sampling efficiency, static sampler, thoracic fraction, inhalable fraction, measuring procedure, non-random uncertainty, random uncertainty, expanded uncertainty, standard uncertainty, combined standard uncertainty, uncertainty (of measurement), coverage factor, precision and analysis.

3.1
correction function
mathematical function relating aerosol concentrations measured using a candidate sampler to those measured using a validated sampler, determined by a comparison of the two samplers

4 Symbols and abbreviations

4.1 Symbols

4.1.1 Latin

$C_{\text{amb inhale}_{rtl}}$	average total airborne particle (or inhalable aerosol fraction) concentration for partial sampling period l in run r for sampling time t, [mg/m^3]
C_{OEL}	appropriate occupational exposure limit value (OEL) applying to the substances being measured, [mg/m^3]
C_{rtl}	concentration for partial sampling period l in run r, for sampling time t, [mg/m^3]
$_{\text{twa}\,l1-l2}C_{r3}$	time-weighted concentration average for candidate sampler for run r, sampling time t = 3 extending from partial sampling period $l1$ to partial sampling period $l2$, [mg/m^3]
c	candidate sampler correction factor for bias correction, either prescribed by sampler manufacturer or measuring procedure, or assigned the value c = 1.00, [-]
m_{rtl}	average mass collected during partial sampling period l, in run r for sampling time t (where t = 1,2,3 represents sampling times t_{exp}, $t_{exp}/3$ and $t_{exp}/9$ respectively), [mg]
$_{\text{Collected}}^{\text{aver}}m_{rtl}$	average collected mass for partial sampling period l, in run r for sampling time t (where t = 1,2,3 represents sampling times t_{exp}, $t_{exp}/3$ and $t_{exp}/9$, respectively), [mg]
$_{\text{InternSep}}^{\text{aver}}m_{rtl}$	average internally separated mass for partial sampling period l, in run r for sampling time t (where t = 1,2,3 represents sampling times t_{exp}, $t_{exp}/3$ and $t_{exp}/9$, respectively), [mg]
$\max m_{\text{Collected}}$	maximum collected mass corresponding to maximum concentration times intended sampling time times nominal flow rate, [mg]
$\max m_{\text{InternSep}}$	maximum internally separated mass corresponding to difference between maximum total airborne particle (or inhalable fraction) concentration and maximum concentration expected to be sampled by candidate sampler times intended sampling time times nominal flow rate, [mg]
$_{\text{zero}}m_{\text{Collected}}$	collected mass corresponding to approximately zero mass, [mg]
$_{\text{zero}}m_{\text{InternSep}}$	internally separated mass corresponding to approximately zero mass, [mg]
N_{Run}	number of experimental runs, pairs of (average) validated sampler and candidate sampler concentrations
N_{S_r}	number of candidate samplers used in experimental run r
$N_{S_{rtl}}$	number of candidate samplers used with partial period l in run r for sampling time t
N_{Valid_r}	number of reference samplers (validated samplers) used in experimental run r
Q^0	nominal flow rate of sampler, [l/min]
Q^+	higher flow rate used for the candidate sampler in the performance test for the effect of flow excursions, [l/min]
Q^-	lower flow rate used for the candidate sampler in the performance test for the effect of flow excursions, [l/min]
R_g	geometric mean of the R_{rs} values, [-]

R_{rs}	ratio of the candidate sampler individual s concentration in experimental run r to the (average) workplace (test) aerosol concentration in experimental run r, [-]
$^{\text{aver}}R_r$	ratio of the average candidate sampler concentration to the (average) workplace (test) aerosol concentration in experimental run r, [-]
r_{rtl}	relative concentration (ratio with respect to the time-weighted concentration measured with candidate sampler with the shortest sampling time) for experiment r, sampling time t and partial sampling period l, [-]
s_{R}	geometric standard deviation of the R_{rs} values, [-]
$s_{\text{ValidConc}_{rs}}$	uncertainty of workplace (test) aerosol concentration at position of candidate sampler(s) for experimental run r and candidate sampler individual s, [-]
t_{exp}	experimental sampling time for tests described in 6.5.5 based on estimated average concentration at workplace, [min]
t_{rtl}	actual time of partial sampling period l for set t in experiment r, [min]
$U_{\text{CandSampl}}$	expanded uncertainty (of measurement) of the calculated sampled concentration due to the candidate sampler, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampl}}$	combined uncertainty (of measurement) of the calculated sampled concentration due to the candidate sampler, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampl-Bias}}$	standard uncertainty (of measurement) due to bias (non-random errors) in relation to the sampling convention of the candidate sampler, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampler-CollectedMass}}$	standard uncertainty (of measurement) of the sampled concentration (non-random errors) due to mass collected by the candidate sampler, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampl-Flow}}$	standard uncertainty (of measurement) (random) due to candidate sampler flow excursion from nominal flow rate, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampler-InternSepMass}}$	standard uncertainty (of measurement) due to internally separated mass (non-random errors) by the candidate sampler, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampl-nR}}$	combined uncertainty (of measurement) of the sampled concentration (non-random errors) due to the candidate sampler, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampl-R}}$	combined uncertainty (of measurement) of the sampled concentration (random errors) due to the candidate sampler, [-]
$u_{\text{CandSampl-Variability}}$	standard uncertainty (of measurement) of the sampled concentration (random errors) due to differences among candidate sampler individuals, [-]
$u_{\text{ValidConc}}$	standard uncertainty (of measurement) of the reference concentration (random errors) at the position of candidate samplers during experiments, [-]
$u_{\text{ValidSampl-nR}}$	standard uncertainty of the validated sampler (non-random errors), [-]
X_{rs}	measured candidate sampler concentration for candidate sampler individual s, for the run (workplace (test) aerosol concentration) r, [mg/m^3]
$^{\text{aver}}X_r$	average of the measured candidate sampler concentrations for the run (workplace (test) aerosol concentration) r, [mg/m^3]
Y_{rs}	workplace (test) aerosol concentration (measured with a validated sampler) for the reference sampler individual s, for the run (workplace (test) aerosol concentration) r, [mg/m^3]
Y_{rs}^{*}	corrected concentration measured by the candidate sampler individual s, for the run experiment (workplace (test) aerosol concentration) r, [mg/m^3
$^{\text{aver}}Y_r$	average of the concentrations measured with validated samplers for the run (workplace (test) aerosol concentration) r, [mg/m^3]

$Y_{\text{Est-Collected}}$	regression formula for the ratio r_{rtl} as a function of $m_{\text{Collected}} = {}^{\text{aver}}_{\text{Collected}} m_{rtl}$, [-]
$Y_{\text{Est-InternSep}}$	regression formula for the ratio r_{rtl} as a function of $m_{\text{InternSep}} = {}^{\text{aver}}_{\text{InternSep}} m_{rtl}$, [-]
$y = f(x)$	correction function that relates the concentration measured with the candidate sampler to those measured with the (average of) validated sampler(s), [ln(mg/m^3)]

4.1.2 Greek

δ_{Pump}	maximum relative change in flow rate allowed by pump flow rate stability, [-]

4.2 Enumerating subscripts

l	for partial sampling periods
$l1$	for first partial sampling period in summation
$l2$	for last partial sampling period in summation
r	for runs
s	for candidate sampler individual
t	for sampling time (where t = 1,2,3 represents sampling times t_{exp}, $t_{\text{exp}}/3$ and $t_{\text{exp}}/9$ respectively)

5 Principle

The test method described in this part of EN 13205 is based on concentrations measured at a specific workplace by the candidate sampler, whether all aspirated particles are part of the sample (as for most inhalable samplers) or if a particle size-dependent penetration occurs between the inlet and the collection substrate (as for thoracic and respirable samplers).

The purpose of determining the performance of a candidate sampler at a workplace is to enable the user of the samplers to carry out measurements of dust concentration with samplers that have not been evaluated in laboratory tests according to this part of EN 13205. The validated sampler and candidate sampler shall both be either personal or static samplers.

The bias versus the sampling convention is determined by comparing the concentrations determined with the candidate sampler and a validated sampler. Other sampling errors due to non-random and random sources of error are also determined, for example, individual sampler variability, excursion from nominal flow rate and experimental errors.

Analysis of the field data is carried out to determine the performance of the candidate sampler. The performance will be specific to the workplace activities included in the performance test and cannot be assumed to apply to different circumstances.

The criteria for accepting the performance of the sampler is identical to those for a laboratory test for performance evaluation. If the performance is poor, this will probably be due to either that the inherent variability at the workplace is exceedingly large, or by including too wide a range of workplace activities in the test, rather than too poor performance by the sampler. In the first case the large variability can only be reduced by averaging over several sampler individuals, which can be difficult with personal samplers. In the second case the performance test shall be repeated for a more narrowly-defined group of exposed persons or workplace activities, until an adequate degree of equivalence is established. In some workplaces the nature or organisation of the work can make this impossible.

NOTE The variability of the concentration at many workplaces can prove to be so large that it becomes impossible to determine a performance (expanded uncertainty) within the bounds specified by EN 482. To try to determine the (hopefully low) combined standard uncertainty of the sampler in the midst of an inherently large variability at the workplace can,