INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 13232-5

Second edition 2005-12-15

Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles —

Part 5:

iTeh STInjury indices and risk/benefit analysis

(Strotocycles Methodes d'essai et d'analyse de l'évaluation par la recherche des dispositifs, montés sur les motocycles, visant à la protection des motocyclistes contre les collisions —

https://standards.iteh.prartie 5. indices de blessure et analyse risque/bénéfice 71020f072d2b/iso-13232-5-2005



Reference number ISO 13232-5:2005(E)

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO 13232-5:2005</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9-71020f072d2b/iso-13232-5-2005

© ISO 2005

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org Published in Switzerland

Contents

| Forewo | ord | vii |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Introdu | iction | . viii |
| 1 | Scope | 1 |
| 2 | Normative references | 2 |
| 3 | Definitions and abbreviations | 2 |
| 4 | Requirements | 3 |
| 4.1 | Injury variables | 3 |
| 4.2 | Lower extremity injuries | |
| 4.3 4.4 | Injury severity probabilities Injury indices | |
| 4.5 | Risk/benefit analysis | |
| 5 | Procedures | 5 |
| 5.1 | Injury variables | 5 |
| 5.2 5.3 | Frangible component damage | |
| 5.3 5.4 | Injury severity probabilities Probability of discrete AIS injury severity level D.P.R.F.V.F.V. | 15 |
| 5.5 | Injury costs | 17 |
| 5.6 | Injury costs | 18 |
| 5.7 5.8 | Probable AIS | |
| 5.9 | Risk/benefit analysis/standards:ieh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9 | |
| 6 | Documentation | 27 |
| Annex | A (normative) Injury costs | 28 |
| Annex | B (normative) Mortality rate | 30 |
| Annex | C (informative) ICM Variable and subscript definitions | 31 |
| Annex | D (informative) Example computer code of the injury cost model | 34 |
| Annex | E (informative) Comparison of results to reference risk and benefit values | 56 |
| Annex | F (informative) Example probable injury cost data | 57 |
| Annex | G (informative) Probability distribution curves | 69 |
| Annex | H (informative) Example cumulative distribution function plots | 73 |
| Annex | I (informative) Example computer code for calculations of head contacts | 74 |
| Annex | J (informative) AO/C1/C2 upper neck injury probabilities and injury cost | 97 |
| Annex | K (informative) Estimated distribution of neck AO/C1/C2 injury severities in the LA/Hannover database | 120 |
| Annex | L (informative) Distribution of maximum neck forces and moments from computer simulations of 498 LA/Hannover cases and 67 fatal USC cases | 122 |
| Annex | M (informative) Injury criteria coefficient search algorithm used for neck injury criteria | 404 |
| A | identification | |
| | N (informative) Dummy neck computer simulation validation | |
| Annex | O (informative) Rationale for ISO 13232-5 | 144 |

Figures

| Figure 1 — Chest potentiometer geometry shown for the upper sternum |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Figure 2 — Risk of life threatening brain injury for HIC for $t_2 - t_1 \le 0,015$ s |
| Figure D.1 — Flow diagram of the injury cost model55 |
| Figure G.1 — Probability distribution of head injuries as a function of G_{max} (Kramer & Appel, 1990)70 |
| Figure G.2 — Probability distribution of thoracic injury as a function of maximum resultant upper (or lower) sternum compression (Kroell, et al., 1974) |
| Figure G.3 — Probability distribution of thoracic injuries as a function of maximum resultant upper (or lower) sternum velocity-compression (Lowne & Janssen, 1990) |
| Figure G.4 — Probability distribution of human abdominal injuries as a function of maximum human abdominal penetration (Rouhana, et al., 1990) |
| Figure G.5 — Probability distribution of neck injury as a function of <i>NII_{max}</i> |
| Figure H.1 — Example of a continuous cumulative distribution function graph for change in an injury assessment variable |
| Figure H.2 — Example of a discrete cumulative distribution function graph for change in an injury index73 |
| Figure J.1 — Forces and moments at t_{max} from computer simulations of 67 USC fatal cases and the best step- wise fit envelopes of constant NII_{max} , providing the basis for the envelope shape |
| ISO 13232-5:2005 Figure J.2 — Forces and moments at trinax from computers simulations 305-498 LA 9 and Hannover cases and envelopes of constant <i>NII_{max}</i> , providing the basis for the <i>NII</i> ²⁻⁵⁻² 08 50% injury probability |
| Figure J.3 — Critical tension force vs the α coefficient, providing the basis for the α =3.1111 |
| Figure J.4 — Neck AO/C1/C2 injury risk curves for the new MATD neck |
| Figure J.5 — Distribution of observed and predicted computer simulations |
| Figure J.6 — Comparison of the general shape and axes of the injury criteria for the new MATD neck to the allowable limits proposed by NHTSA for the HIII 50 PAM neck (recognizing that the necks have very different stiffnesses) |
| Figure J.7 — Neck AIS 3 + injury risk vs tension for the Hybrid III P50 male neck (Mertz & Prasad 2000) 117 |
| Figure J.8 — Neck AIS 3 + injury risk vs combined tension and extension for the Hybrid III P50 male neck (Mertz & Prasad 2000) |

| Figure L.1 — Maximum neck force and moment distributions for the new MATD neck (498 LA/Hannover cases 67 USC fatal cases) | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure M.1 — Convergence of the global search algorithm | 129 |
| Figure M.2 — Convergence of the local search algorithm | 130 |
| Figure N.1 — Laboratory test and computer simulation of forward neck flexion at 0,1 s | 133 |
| Figure N.2 — Forward neck flexion response of laboratory test and computer simulation | 134 |
| Figure N.3 — Laboratory test and computer simulation of rearward neck extension at 0,1 s | 135 |
| Figure N.4 — Rearward neck extension response of laboratory test and computer simulation | 136 |
| Figure N.5 — Laboratory test and computer simulation of lateral neck flexion at 0,1 s | 137 |
| Figure N.6 — Lateral neck flexion response of laboratory test and computer simulation | 138 |
| Figure N.7 — Computer simulation of neck torsion test at 0,1 s | 139 |
| Figure N.8 — Neck torsion response of laboratory test and computer simulation | 140 |
| Figure N.9 — Neck axial force response of laboratory impact test and computer simulation | 141 |
| Figure N.10 — Full scale test and computer simulation of impact configuration 413-0/30 0,1 s after initial con | 142 |
| Figure N.11 — Full scale test and computer simulation of 413-0/30 with new MATD neck | 143 |

Tables

| Table 1a — Closed head injury severity probability as a function of <i>G_{max}</i> | 12 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 1b — Closed head injury severity probability as a function of <i>HIC</i> | 12 |
| Table 2 — Thoracic compression injury severity probability as a function of $C_{us,max}$ and $C_{ls,max}$ | 13 |
| Table 3 — Thoracic injury velocity-compression severity probability as a function of VC _{us,max} and VC _{ls,max} | 13 |
| Table 4 — Intra-abdominal penetration injury severity probability as a function of <i>P_{A,max}</i> | 14 |
| Table 5 — Neck combined loading injury severity probability as a function of <i>NII</i> | 14 |
| Table 6 — AIS injury severity level for frangible component damage | 15 |
| Table 7 — Example PIE, j determination | 16 |
| Table 8 — Permanent partial incapacity determination | 16 |
| Table 9 — Injury probability and probable AIS | 19 |

| Table 10 — Injury assessment variables, change in head injury potential, and injury indices to be calculated for each impact configuration |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Table 11 — Example table used to calculate the weighted cumulative distribution of change in maximum head acceleration due to a protective device 26 |
| Table A.1 — Medical costs |
| Table A.2 — Ancillary costs 29 |
| Table B.1 — Mortality rates for all AIS combinations 30 |
| Table C.1 — Variable definitions |
| Table C.2 — Body region subscript definition |
| Table C.3 — Other subscripts |
| Table F.1 — Legend for Table F.2 |
| Table F.2 — Example probable injury cost input/output results 58 |
| Table J.1 — Summary of Accident Databases |
| Table J.2 — Distribution of neck AO/C1/C2 injuries in the USC fatal motorcycle accident database |
| Table J.3 — Distribution of neck AO/C1/C2 injuries in the LA/Hannover database |
| ISO 13232-5:2005 Table J.4 — Number of cases with observed and predicted injuries School of the second predicted injuries School of the second predicted injuries School of the second predicted injuries Table J.4 — Number of cases with observed and predicted injuries Table J.4 — Number of cases with observed and predicted injuries School of the second predicted injuries Table J.4 — Number of cases with observed and predicted injuries School of the second predicted predicted injuries School of the second predicted |
| Table J.5 — Force and moment normalizing coefficients for the new MATD neck |
| Table J.6 — Injury threshold coefficients for the 67 USC fatal cases with the new MATD neck |
| Table J.7 — Comparison of number of observed and predicted injuries by injury severity and direction107 |
| Table J.8 — Injury severity risk coefficients for the new MATD neck |
| Table J.9 — Comparison of neck injury criteria for a 50th percentile male |
| Table K.1 — Distribution of neck AO/C1/C2 injury severities in the LA/Hannover and USC Fatal Accident Databases |

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

ISO 13232-5 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 22, Road vehicles, Subcommittee SC 22, Motorcycles.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first version (ISO 13232-5:1996), which has been technically revised.

ISO 13232 consists of the following parts, under the general title Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles:

- Part 1: Definitions, symbols and general considerations siten ai
- Part 2: Definition of impact conditions in relation to accident data
- Part 3: Motorcyclist anthropometric impact dummy /102010/2d2b/iso-13232-5-2005
- Part 4: Variables to be measured, instrumentation and measurement procedures
- Part 5: Injury indices and risk/benefit analysis
- Part 6: Full-scale impact-test procedures
- Part 7: Standardized procedures for performing computer simulations of motorcycle impact tests
- Part 8: Documentation and reports

Introduction

ISO 13232 has been prepared on the basis of existing technology. Its purpose is to define common research methods and a means for making an overall evaluation of the effect that devices which are fitted to motorcycles and intended for the crash protection of riders, have on injuries, when assessed over a range of impact conditions which are based on accident data.

It is intended that all of the methods and recommendations contained in ISO 13232 should be used in all basic feasibility research. However, researchers should also consider variations in the specified conditions (for example, rider size) when evaluating the overall feasibility of any protective device. In addition, researchers may wish to vary or extend elements of the methodology in order to research issues which are of particular interest to them. In all such cases which go beyond the basic research, if reference is to be made to ISO 13232, a clear explanation of how the used procedures differ from the basic methodology should be provided.

ISO 13232 was prepared by ISO/TC 22/SC 22 at the request of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe Group for Road Vehicle General Safety (UN/ECE/TRANS/SCI/WP29/GRSG), based on original working documents submitted by the International Motorcycle Manufacturers Association (IMMA), and comprising eight interrelated parts.

This revision of ISO 13232 incorporates extensive technical amendments throughout all the parts, resulting from extensive experience with the standard and the development of improved research methods.

In order to apply ISO 13232 properly, it is strongly recommended that all eight parts be used together, particularly if the results are to be published.

<u>ISO 13232-5:2005</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9-71020f072d2b/iso-13232-5-2005

Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles —

Part 5 Injury indices and risk/benefit analysis

Scope 1

This part of ISO 13232 provides:

- performance indices which can be correlated with human injuries;
- formulae which relate injury indices to probable injury cost;
- a consistent means of interpreting impact test results;
- a means of relating the results obtained from film analysis and instrumentation of the dummy to injuries sustained in accidents; **iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**
- a means of assessing both the combined and relative effects of multiple injuries;
- an objective means of quantifying injury cost using a single index;
- a means of verifying the analysis, and aicatalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9-
- 71020f072d2b/iso-13232-5-2005
- a means of doing risk/benefit analysis of protective devices fitted to motorcycles, based upon the population of impact conditions identified in ISO 13232-2.

ISO 13232 specifies the minimum requirements for research into the feasibility of protective devices fitted to motorcycles, which are intended to protect the rider in the event of a collision.

ISO 13232 is applicable to impact tests involving:

- two-wheeled motorcycles;
- the specified type of opposing vehicle;
- either a stationary and a moving vehicle or two moving vehicles;
- for any moving vehicle, a steady speed and straight-line motion immediately prior to impact;
- one helmeted dummy in a normal seating position on an upright motorcycle;
- the measurement of the potential for specified types of injury, by body region;
- evaluation of the results of paired impact tests (i.e. comparisons between motorcycles fitted and not fitted with the proposed devices).
- ISO 13232 does not apply to testing for regulatory or legislative purposes.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 13232-1, Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles — Part 1: Definitions, symbols and general considerations

ISO 13232-2, Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles — Part 2: Definition of impact conditions in relation to accident data

ISO 13232-4, Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles — Part 4: Variables to be measured, instrumentation, and measurement procedures

ISO 13232-7, Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles — Part 7: Standardized procedures for performing computer simulations of motorcycle impact tests

ISO 13232-8, Motorcycles — Test and analysis procedures for research evaluation of rider crash protective devices fitted to motorcycles — Part 8: Documentation and reports

AIS-90, Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine (AAAM), Des Plaines, IL, USA, The abbreviated injury scale. 1990 revision

SAE J211, Instrumentation for impact tests, Warrendale, Pennsylvania, USA

SAE J885, Human tolerance to impact conditions as related to motor vehicle design, Warrendale, Pennsylvania, USA

<u>ISO 13232-5:2005</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9-71020f072d2b/iso-13232-5-2005

3 Definitions and abbreviations

The following terms are defined in ISO 13232-1. For the purposes of this part of ISO 13232, those definitions apply. Additional definitions which could apply to this part of ISO 13232 are also listed in ISO 13232-1:

- abbreviated injury scale (AIS);
- abdomen maximum residual penetration ($p_{A,max}$);
- ancillary costs (AC);
- cost of fatality (CF);
- entire impact sequence;
- generalized acceleration model for brain injury tolerance (GAMBIT, G);
- head injury criterion (HIC);
- injury assessment function;

- injury assessment variable;
- injury costs (IC);
- injury index;
- injury potential variable;
- injury severity probability (ISP);
- lower extremities (*lE*);
- maximum PAIS;
- medical costs (MDC);
- normalized injury cost (*IC_{norm}*);
- permanent partial incapacity (PPI);
- primary impact period;
- probability of fatality (*PF*);
- probable AIS (PAIS); **iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**
- secondary impact period;
- total PAIS;

<u>ISO 13232-5:2005</u>

(standards.iteh.ai)

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9-

- upper (or lower) sternum maximum normalized compression ($\mathcal{O}_{us,max,norm}$);
- upper (or lower) sternum maximum velocity-compression (VC_{us,max} or VCl_{s,max});
- upper (or lower) sternum velocity (V_{us} or V_{ls}).

4 Requirements

4.1 Injury variables

4.1.1 Injury assessment variables

The following injury assessment variables shall be evaluated over the primary impact period and also over the entire impact sequence using the calculations presented in 5.1 and the measurement methods given in 5.2.1 and 5.2.3.3 of ISO 13232-4:

- head maximum GAMBIT (G_{max});
- head injury criterion (HIC);
- head maximum resultant linear acceleration $(a_{r,H,max})$;
- neck injury index (NII);

- upper sternum maximum normalized compression ($C_{us,max,norm}$);
- lower sternum maximum normalized compression (*C*_{*ls.max.norm*);}
- upper sternum maximum velocity-compression ($VC_{us,max}$) for $V_{us} \ge 3$ m/s;
- lower sternum maximum velocity-compression ($VC_{ls,max}$) for $V_{ls} \ge 3$ m/s;
- abdomen maximum residual penetration ($p_{A,max}$).

4.1.2 Injury potential variables

The following injury potential variables shall be determined by evaluating them using the methods described in 5.2.4.2 of ISO 13232-4. The variables shall be evaluated over the interval from 0,050 s before first MC/OV contact until first helmet/OV contact, or until the helmet leaves the field of view, whichever occurs sooner, unless otherwise stated. In order to calculate velocities, the results shall be differentiated according to 5.1.7 of this part of ISO 13232, over this same time interval. The specific values listed below shall be identified from the velocity time histories:

- helmet trajectory in initial longitudinal-vertical plane of MC travel (z_h versus x_h);
- helmet resultant velocity at first helmet/OV contact ($V_{r,h,fc}$);
- helmet longitudinal velocity at first helmet/OV contact (V, hfc); PREVIEW
- helmet lateral velocity at first helmet/Ov contact (Kriscis.iteh.ai)
- helmet vertical velocity at first helmet/OV contact $(V_{2hjc})_{5:2005}$

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9iluries 71020f072d2b/iso-13232-5-2005

4.2 Lower extremity injuries

The following lower extremity injuries shall be evaluated, based on observations and measurements of the frangible components, as described in 5.2.3 of ISO 13232-4:

- non-displaced bone fractures;
- displaced bone fractures;
- knee partial dislocations;
- knee complete dislocations.

4.3 Injury severity probabilities

The following injury severity probabilities (*ISP*) shall be determined for each severity level, $AIS \ge 1$ through the highest level, using the methods described in 5.3:

closed head ISP_{H} ;

upper neck combined loading ISP_n ;

upper sternum compression $ISP_{C.us}$;

lower sternum compression $ISP_{C.ls}$;

upper sternum velocity-compression ISP_{VC.us};

lower sternum velocity-compression ISP_{VC.ls};

intra-abdominal penetration ISP_A .

4.4 Injury indices

The probability of each discrete AIS injury severity level shall be calculated for each of the five body regions: the head, upper neck, thorax, abdomen, and lower extremities, using the procedures described in 5.4.

The medical and ancillary costs associated with injuries to each of the five body regions shall be calculated using the procedures described in 5.5.1 and 5.5.2, respectively. The cost of fatality shall be determined as defined in Annex A.

The probability of fatality shall be calculated using the procedures described in 5.6.

The risk of life threatening brain injury shall be calculated from *HIC* using the procedures described in 5.6.4.

The probable AIS (*PAIS*) shall be determined by body region, using the procedures described in 5.7.1. The maximum PAIS and total PAIS shall be determined across all body regions using the procedures described in 5.7.2 and 5.7.3, respectively.

The normalized injury costs of survival and fatality and the total normalized injury cost shall be determined using the procedures described in **5.8**. en STANDARD PREVIEW

NOTE The term "cost" is used in this subclause in a specific and limited sense, and for test comparison purposes only (see def 3.5.7 of ISO 13232-1 for specific cost definitions). The "costs," as used here, represent average costs based on a simplified model of samples of bioeconomic data; collected over a particular time period and region; and for a limited range of specific injury types, severities, and body regions, which are able to be monitored in crash tests, and which can exclude the majority of the types, severities, and locations of human body injuries, and some types of cost components. In no way do such injury costs consider, nor are they intended to consider, the market level costs of a proposed protective device. The "costs" described herein are only intended to provided a convenient, common basis for combining and comparing across body regions and crash tests and on a relative basis, different types, locations, and severities of injuries. For the foregoing reasons, such costs have limited applicability and are not intended nor appropriate for calculating, for example, the actual cost of a specific real accident, or the total societal or economic cost of a given device or design.

4.5 Risk/benefit analysis

Any risk/benefit analysis of a proposed rider crash protective device fitted to a motorcycle, which forms a part of the overall evaluation described in ISO 13232-2 or which may be used to identify potential failure modes of a proposed device for purposes of further testing, shall use the methods described in 5.10.

5 Procedures

5.1 Injury variables

Compute the maximum values of the variables over time, for example, $G_{max(t)}$.

5.1.1 Resultants

Calculate the head resultant linear and angular accelerations, using the time histories of the linear and angular accelerations as calculated in 5.2.1 of ISO 13232-4, and shown in the example for the resultant linear acceleration, given below:

$$a_r = \left(a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2\right)^{1/2}$$

where

 a_r is the resultant linear acceleration, in g units;

 a_x is the linear acceleration in the x direction, in g units;

 a_y is the linear acceleration in the *y* direction, in g units;

 a_z is the linear acceleration in the z direction, in g units.

Where only two components are included in a resultant, calculate the resultant of those two components, as shown in the example for the resultant shear force, given below:

$$F_{xy} = \left(F_x^2 + F_y^2\right)^{1/2}$$

where

 F_{xy} is the resultant force, in kilonewtons;

 F_x is the force in the x direction, in kilonewtons;

 F_y is the force in the y direction, in kilonewtons.

(standards.iteh.ai)

5.1.2 GAMBIT

Calculate GAMBIT using the equation given below: https://standards.iteh.av/catalog/standards/sist/e8ebc3b0-3305-4a5f-83b9-71020f072d2b/iso-13232-5-2005

$$G = \left(\left(\frac{a_{r,H}}{250} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a_{r,H}}{25000} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

where

— G is GAMBIT

- $a_{r,H}$ is the head resultant linear acceleration, in g units;
- $\alpha_{r,H}$ is the head resultant angular acceleration, in radians per second squared.
- 250 is the normalization factor for linear acceleration in GAMBIT, in g units;
- 25 000 is the normalization factor for angular acceleration in GAMBIT, in radians per second squared.
- Identify the maximum value of GAMBIT, G_{max}

5.1.3 HIC

Calculate *HIC* using the equation given below¹):

¹⁾ SAE J885, July 1986.

$$HIC = \max\left(\left(t_2 - t_1\right) \left(\frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} a_{r,H}(t) dt \right)^{2,5} \right)$$

where

HIC is the head injury criterion;

 $a_{r,H}$ is the head resultant linear acceleration, in g units;

HIC values are only calculated during periods of head contact as defined by head engagement (t_e) and head disengagement (t_d) times determined according to ISO Technical Report TR 12351.

 t_1 and t_2 (in seconds) are all possible initial and final times for each contact interval which are separated by not more than 0,015 s, and where $t_1 > = \tau_{\varepsilon}$ and $t_2 < = t_d$.

An example computer code for the calculation of head contacts is found in Annex I.

5.1.4 Upper and lower sternum compression

Use the upper and lower sternum displacement time histories recorded and reduced as described in 4.4.1.3 and 5.2.1 of ISO 13232-4. Calculate the upper and lower sternum deflections and compressions, as shown in the example equations for the upper sternum, given below and referring to Figure 1:

$$D_{y,us} = \frac{(l_{uL} + \Delta l_{uL})^2 - (l_{uR} + \Delta l_{uR})^2}{2W_{L,R}}$$
(standards.iteh.ai)

$$D_{x,us} = \left((l_{uR} + \Delta l_{uR})^2 - \left(\frac{W_{L,R}}{2} - D_{y,us} \right)^{71} \right) \begin{array}{l} 206072 \, d_{2}b/(so-13232-5-2005) \\ - d_{us} \end{array}$$

$$C_{us,norm} = \frac{-D_{x,us}}{187,5} \times 100$$

where

 $D_{y,us}$ is the upper sternum deflection in the *y* direction, in millimetres;

 l_{uL} is the cable length of the upper left string pot, in millimetres;

 Δl_{uL} is the change in cable length of the upper left string pot (positive is longer), in millimetres;

 l_{uR} is the cable length of the upper right string pot, in millimetres;

 Δl_{uR} is the change in cable length of the upper right string pot (positive is longer), in millimetres;

 $W_{L,R}$ is the lateral distance between the left and right string pots, in millimetres;

 $D_{x us}$ is the upper sternum deflection in the x direction, in millimetres;

 d_{us} is the undeformed perpendicular distance from the plane containing the string pot pivot axes to the upper sternum, at the centre of rib 2 where the strings are attached, in millimetres;