
Fotografija - Psihofizične eksperimentalne metode za ocenjevanje slikovne kakovosti - 3. del: Metoda referenčne kakovosti

Photography - Psychophysical experimental methods for estimating image quality - Part 3: Quality ruler method

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

Photographie - Méthodes psychophysiques expérimentales pour estimer la qualité d'image - Partie 3: Méthode <<quality ruler>>

[SIST ISO 20462-3:2011](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebac19b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z:

ISO 20462-3:2005

ICS:

37.040.01

Fotografija na splošno

Photography in general

SIST ISO 20462-3:2011

en

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ISO 20462-3:2011

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011>

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO
20462-3

First edition
2005-11-01

Photography — Psychophysical experimental methods for estimating image quality —

Part 3: Quality ruler method

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

*Photographie — Méthodes psychophysiques expérimentales pour
estimer la qualité d'image —*

Partie 3: Méthode «quality ruler»

SIST ISO 20462-3:2011

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011>



Reference number
ISO 20462-3:2005(E)

© ISO 2005

ISO 20462-3:2005(E)**PDF disclaimer**

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST ISO 20462-3:2011](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011>

© ISO 2005

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Quality ruler experiments	5
4.1 General properties of quality rulers	5
4.2 Experimental conditions and reported results	5
4.3 Attributes varied in quality rulers	5
5 Hardcopy quality ruler implementation	6
5.1 Physical apparatus	6
5.2 Reference stimuli	7
6 Softcopy quality ruler implementation	8
6.1 Physical apparatus	8
6.2 Reference stimuli	8
6.3 Controlling software	8
7 Generation of quality ruler stimuli	9
7.1 General requirements	9
7.2 Modulation transfer functions (MTFs)	9
7.3 Scene-dependent ruler calibration	11
8 Standard quality scale (SQS) determinations	12
8.1 Properties of the SQS	12
8.2 Experimental requirements	12
Annex A (informative) Sample instructions for a hardcopy quality ruler experiment	13
Annex B (informative) Sample instructions for a softcopy quality ruler experiment	15
Annex C (informative) Sample code of a binary search routine for the softcopy quality ruler	17
Annex D (informative) Calibration of the standard quality scale (SQS) and its reference stimuli	18
Annex E (informative) Example of results from quality ruler experiments	20
Bibliography	24

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

ISO 20462-3 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 42, *Photography*.

ISO 20462 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Photography — Psychophysical experimental methods for estimating image quality*:

— *Part 1: Overview of psychophysical elements*

— *Part 2: Triplet comparison method*

— *Part 3: Quality ruler method*

ITEH STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
SIST ISO 20462-3:2011
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebaf9b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011>

Introduction

There are many circumstances under which it is desirable to quantify image quality in a standardized fashion that facilitates interpretation of results within a given experiment and/or comparison of results between different experiments. Such information can be of value in assessing the performance of different capture or display devices, image processing algorithms, etc. under various conditions. However, the choice of the best psychometric method for a particular application may be difficult to make, and interpretation of the rating scales produced by the numerical analyses is frequently ambiguous. Furthermore, none of the commonly used rating techniques provides an efficient mechanism for calibration of the results against a standardised numerical scale or associated physical references, which is desirable when results of different experiments are to be compared or integrated.

The three parts of ISO 20462 address the need for documented means of determining image quality in a calibrated fashion. Part 1 provides an overview of practical psychophysics and aids in identifying the better choice between the two alternative approaches described in Part 2 (triplet comparison method^{[1][2]}) and Part 3 (quality ruler method^[3]). These two techniques are complementary and together are sufficient to span a wide range of practical applications. Parts 2 and 3 document both specific experimental methods and associated data reduction techniques. It is the intent of these methods to produce results that are not merely directional in nature, but are expressed in terms of relative or fixed scales that are calibrated in terms of just noticeable differences (JNDs), so that the significance of experimentally measured stimulus differences is readily ascertained.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

The quality ruler method described in this part of ISO 20462 is particularly suitable for measuring quality differences exceeding one JND. The ratings given by an observer can be converted to JND values in real time, rather than having to wait until the entire experimental data set has been collected and analysed. Furthermore, with suitable reference stimuli, the quality ruler method permits the results to be reported using the standard quality scale (SQS), a fixed numerical scale that:

- a) is anchored against physical standards;
- b) has one unit corresponding to one JND; and
- c) has a zero point corresponding to an image having little identifiable information content.

Reflection prints calibrated against the absolute SQS, which are referred to as standard reference stimuli (SRS), will be available on the I3A website. This part of ISO 20462 also describes how users can conveniently generate their own quality ruler images with correct relative calibrations and, if desired, calibrate them absolutely against the SRS.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) draws attention to the fact that it is claimed that compliance with this document may involve the use of US Patent Numbers 6,639,999 and 6,658,139 concerning the quality ruler given in Clauses 4 to 6.

ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity and scope of this patent right.

The holder of this patent right has assured ISO that he is willing to negotiate licences under reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions with applicants throughout the world. In this respect, the statement of the holder of this patent right is registered with ISO. Patent inquiries may be addressed to:

General Council and Senior Vice President
Eastman Kodak Company
345 State Street
Rochester, NY 14650
USA

ISO 20462-3:2005(E)

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights other than those identified above. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ISO 20462-3:2011

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011>

Photography — Psychophysical experimental methods for estimating image quality —

Part 3: Quality ruler method

1 Scope

This part of ISO 20462 specifies:

- a) the nature of a quality ruler;
- b) hardcopy and softcopy implementations of quality rulers;
- c) how quality rulers may be generated or obtained; and
- d) the standard quality scale (SQS), a fixed numerical scale that may be measured using quality rulers.

2 Normative references

[SIST ISO 20462-3:2011](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7341/sist-iso-20462-3-2011)

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7341/sist-iso-20462-3-2011)

[1ebae9b7341/sist-iso-20462-3-2011](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebae9b7341/sist-iso-20462-3-2011)

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 3664, *Viewing conditions — Graphic technology and photography*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

artefactual attribute

attribute of image quality that, when evident in an image, nearly always leads to a loss of overall image quality

EXAMPLE Examples of artefactual attributes include noise and aliasing.

NOTE The commonly used terms *defect* and *impairment* are similar in meaning.

3.2

attribute

aspect, dimension, or component of overall image quality

cf. **artefactual attribute** (3.1) and **preferential attribute** (3.10)

EXAMPLE Examples of image quality attributes include image structure properties such as sharpness and noise; colour and tone reproduction properties such as contrast, colour balance, and relative colourfulness; and digital artefacts such as aliasing, contouring, and compression defects.

ISO 20462-3:2005(E)

3.3

image quality

impression of the overall merit or excellence of an image, as perceived by an observer neither associated with the act of photography nor closely involved with the subject matter depicted

NOTE The purpose of defining image quality in terms of third-party (uninvolved) observers is to eliminate sources of variability that arise from more idiosyncratic aspects of image perception and pertain to attributes outside the control of imaging system designers.

3.4

instructions

set of directions given to the observer for performing the psychophysical evaluation task

3.5

just noticeable difference**JND**

stimulus difference that leads to a 75:25 proportion of responses in a paired comparison task

cf. **quality JND** (3.12)

3.6

magnitude estimation method

psychophysical method involving the assignment of a numerical value to each test stimulus that is proportional to image quality; typically, a reference stimulus with an assigned numerical value is present to anchor the rating scale

NOTE The numerical scale resulting from a magnitude estimation experiment is usually assumed to constitute a ratio scale which, ideally, is a scale in which a constant percentage change in value corresponds with one JND. In practice, modest deviations from this behaviour occur, complicating the transformation of the rating scale into units of JNDs without inclusion of unidentified reference stimuli (having known quality) among the test stimuli.

[SIST ISO 20462-3:2011](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/9ab5bf2c-31d7-4a16-b01a-1ebacf9b7241/sist-iso-20462-3-2011)

3.7

multivariate

describing a series of test or reference stimuli that vary in multiple attributes of image quality

3.8

observer

individual performing the subjective evaluation task in a psychophysical method

3.9

paired comparison method

psychophysical method involving the choice of which of two simultaneously presented stimuli exhibits greater or lesser image quality or an attribute thereof, in accordance with a set of instructions given to the observer

NOTE Two limitations of the paired comparison method are as follows.

- a) If all possible stimulus comparisons are done, as is usually the case, a large number of assessments are required for even modest numbers of experimental stimulus levels [if N levels are to be studied, $N(N-1)/2$ paired comparisons are needed].
- b) If a stimulus difference exceeds approximately 1,5 JNDs, the magnitude of the stimulus difference cannot be directly estimated reliably because the response saturates as the proportions approach unanimity.

However, if a series of stimuli having no large gaps are assessed, the differences between more widely separated stimuli may be deduced indirectly by summing smaller, reliably determined (unsaturated) stimulus differences. The standard methods for transformation of paired comparison data to an interval scale (a scale linearly related to JNDs) perform statistically optimized procedures for inferring the stimulus differences, but they may yield unreliable results when saturated responses are included in the analysis.

3.10

preferential attribute

attribute of image quality that is invariably evident in an image, and for which the preferred degree is a matter of opinion, depending upon both the observer and the image content

EXAMPLE Examples of preferential image quality attributes include colour and tone reproduction properties such as contrast and relative colourfulness.

NOTE 1 Because the perceived quality associated with a preferential attribute is dependent upon both the observer and image content, in studies involving variations of preferential attributes, particular care is needed in the selection of representative sets of stimuli and groups of observers.

NOTE 2 The term *noticeable* in *just noticeable difference* is not linguistically strictly correct when applied to a preferential attribute, but is nonetheless retained in this part of ISO 20462 for convenience. For example, the higher contrast stimulus of a pair differing only in contrast might be readily identified by all observers, whereas there might be a lack of consensus regarding which of the two images was higher in overall image quality. Nonetheless, if the responses from the paired comparison for quality were in the proportion of 75:25, the image chosen more frequently would be said to be one JND higher in quality. The JND is best regarded as a measurement unit tied to the predicted or measured outcome of a paired comparison.

3.11

psychophysical method

experimental technique for subjective evaluation of image quality or attributes thereof, from which stimulus differences in units of JNDs may be estimated

cf. **magnitude estimation** (3.6), **paired comparison** (3.9), **quality ruler** (3.13), and **triplet comparison methods** (3.22)

3.12

quality just noticeable difference quality JND

measure of the significance or importance of quality variations, corresponding to a stimulus difference that leads to a 75:25 proportion of responses in a paired comparison task in which multivariate stimuli pairs are assessed in terms of overall image quality

NOTE See **attribute JND** (3.3) and **quality JND** (3.14) in ISO 20462-1:— for greater detail.

3.13

quality ruler method

psychophysical method that involves quality or attribute assessment of a test stimulus against a series of ordered, univariate reference stimuli that differ by known numbers of JNDs

3.14

reference stimulus

image provided to the observer for the purpose of anchoring or calibrating the perceptual assessments of test stimuli in such a manner that the given ratings may be converted to JND units

NOTE The plural is reference stimuli.

3.15

scene

content or subject matter of an image, or a starting image from which multiple stimuli may be produced through different experimental treatments

NOTE Typically, stimuli depicting the same scene are compared in a psychophysical experiment, because it is the effect of the treatment that is of interest, and differences in image content could cause spurious effects. In cases where scene content is not matched, a number of scenes should be used so that scene effects may be expected to average out.

ISO 20462-3:2005(E)

3.16**standard quality scale****SQS**

fixed numerical scale of quality having the following properties:

- a) the numerical scale is anchored against physical standards;
- b) a one unit increase in scale value corresponds to an improvement of one JND of quality; and
- c) a value of zero corresponds to an image having so little information content that the nature of the subject of the image is difficult to identify

3.17**standard reference stimuli****SRS**

set of reflection prints used in the hardcopy quality ruler, which vary in sharpness and are calibrated against the standard quality scale (SQS)

NOTE The SRS will be available on the I3A web site.

3.18**stimulus**

image presented or provided to the observer either for the purpose of anchoring a perceptual assessment (a reference stimulus) or for the purpose of subjective evaluation (a test stimulus)

NOTE The plural is stimuli.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

3.19**suppression**

perceptual effect in which one attribute is present in a degree that seriously degrades image quality and thereby reduces the impact that other attributes have on overall quality, compared to the impact they would have had in the absence of the dominant attribute

NOTE To generate reference stimuli that are separated by a specified number of JNDs based on variations in one attribute, it will be necessary to ensure that other attributes do not significantly suppress the impact of the attribute varied.

3.20**test stimulus**

image presented to the observer for subjective evaluation

NOTE The plural is test stimuli.

3.21**treatment**

controlled or characterized source of the variations between test stimuli (excluding scene content) that are to be investigated in a psychophysical experiment

EXAMPLE Examples of treatments include different image processing algorithms, variations in capture or display device properties, changes in image capture conditions (e.g. camera exposure), etc.

NOTE Different treatments may be achieved through hardware or software changes, or may be numerical simulations of such effects. Typically, a series of treatments is applied to multiple scenes, each generating a series of test stimuli. The effect of the treatment may then be determined by averaging the results over scene and observer to improve signal to noise and reduce the likelihood of systematic bias.

3.22**triplet comparison**

psychophysical method that involves the simultaneous scaling of three test stimuli with respect to image quality or an attribute thereof, in accordance with a set of instructions given to the observer

NOTE The triplet comparison method is described in more detail in ISO 20462-2.