



SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST EN 16571:2014

01-december-2014

Informacijska tehnologija - Postopek ocenjevanja vpliva RFID na zasebnost

Information technology - RFID privacy impact assessment process

Verfahren zur Datenschutzfolgenabschätzung (PIA) von RFID

Processus d'évaluation de l'impact en termes de respect de la vie privée de l'identification RFID

ITEH STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: **EN 16571:2014**

[SIST EN 16571:2014](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/de496699-1d3b-4308-bd0a-92d602d88ed3/sist-en-16571-2014>

ICS:

35.040.50	Tehnike za samodejno razpoznavanje in zajem podatkov	Automatic identification and data capture techniques
-----------	--	--

SIST EN 16571:2014

en,fr,de

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST EN 16571:2014](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/de496699-1d3b-4308-bd0a-92d602d88ed3/sist-en-16571-2014>

EUROPEAN STANDARD

EN 16571

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

June 2014

ICS 35.240.60

English Version

Information technology - RFID privacy impact assessment process

Technologies de l'information - Processus d'évaluation
d'impact sur la vie privée des applications RFID

Verfahren zur Datenschutzfolgenabschätzung (PIA) von
RFID

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 14 May 2014.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

[SIST EN 16571:2014](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/de496699-1d3b-4308-bd0a-92d602d88ed3/sist-en-16571-2014)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/de496699-1d3b-4308-bd0a-92d602d88ed3/sist-en-16571-2014>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

	Page
Foreword.....	5
Introduction	6
1 Scope	7
2 Normative references	7
3 Terms and definitions	7
4 Symbols and abbreviations	11
5 Structure of this European Standard	12
6 Field of reference for this European Standard	12
6.1 'RFID' as defined by the EU RFID Recommendation	12
6.2 'RFID application' as defined by the EU RFID Recommendation	13
6.3 'RFID operator' as defined by the EU RFID Recommendation	13
6.4 Relationship between the RFID PIA and data protection and security	14
6.5 Relevant inputs for the PIA process	17
6.5.1 General.....	17
6.5.2 The privacy capability statement	17
6.5.3 The Registration Authority	17
6.5.4 RFID PIA templates	17
7 RFID operator's organizational objectives of the RFID PIA	17
7.1 Overview	17
7.2 Meeting and exceeding legal requirements	18
7.3 When to undertake the RFID PIA	19
7.3.1 General.....	19
7.3.2 Undertaking a PIA at the design stage before the RFID system becomes operational	19
7.3.3 Undertaking a PIA at a review and update the design-based PIA	19
7.3.4 Undertaking a PIA to contribute to the development of a template	19
7.3.5 Undertaking a PIA with an established template.....	20
7.3.6 Undertaking a PIA at the introduction of a new function within the RFID application	20
7.3.7 Undertaking a PIA based on changes in RFID technology	20
7.3.8 Undertaking a PIA when a privacy breach has been reported.....	20
8 Tools to simplify the process	21
8.1 RFID operator responsibility	21
8.2 RFID technology privacy capability tools - overview.....	21
8.3 Registration of RFID privacy capability statements by RFID product manufacturers	21
8.3.1 General.....	21
8.3.2 Obligations of the Registration Authority	21
8.3.3 Appointment.....	22
8.3.4 Resignation	22
8.3.5 Responsibilities of the RFID product manufacturers	22
8.4 RFID technology privacy capability tools - details.....	23
8.4.1 RFID integrated circuit privacy capabilities	23
8.4.2 RFID tag privacy capabilities	23
8.4.3 RFID interrogator privacy capabilities.....	23
8.4.4 The default privacy capability statement	23
8.4.5 Using CEN/TR 16672 to construct privacy capabilities for products using proprietary protocols.....	24
8.5 Templates	24
8.5.1 General.....	24

8.5.2	Developing a template	24
8.5.3	Who should prepare the templates?	25
8.5.4	The role of stakeholders in template development	25
9	RFID PIA - a process approach	26
9.1	Introduction	26
9.2	Process Steps	26
9.3	Achieving the correct level of detail	27
9.3.1	General	27
9.3.2	Level 0 – no PIA	27
9.3.3	Level 1 – small scale PIA	27
9.3.4	Level 2 – PIA focussed on the controlled domain of the application	27
9.3.5	Level 3 – Full scale (complete) PIA of the application	28
9.3.6	Reducing the effort for the SME organization	28
9.4	Process methodology	29
10	Preparing the RFID functional statement	30
11	Preparing the description of the RFID applications	31
11.1	Introduction	31
11.2	Multiple applications	31
11.3	RFID application overview	32
11.3.1	General	32
11.3.2	Determine which RFID technology is intended or being used	32
11.3.3	Determine the RFID components used in the application	33
11.3.4	RFID applications on portable devices	34
11.4	Data on the RFID tag	36
11.4.1	General	36
11.4.2	Determine what inherent identifiable features are possessed by the RFID tag	36
11.4.3	Listing the data elements encoded on the RFID tag	37
11.4.4	Determine whether encoded data can be considered identifiable	37
11.4.5	Determine whether personal data is encoded on the tag	38
11.5	Additional data on the application	38
11.6	RFID data processing	38
11.7	Internal transfer of RFID data	39
11.8	External transfer of RFID data	39
11.9	RFID application description sign off	39
12	Risk Assessment	40
12.1	Procedural requirements derived from the RFID Recommendation	40
12.1.1	Common procedure requirements for all RFID operators	40
12.1.2	Requirements for retailers that are RFID operators	41
12.1.3	Procedure requirements for manufacturers of products eventually sold to consumers	42
12.2	Asset identification and valuation	42
12.2.1	General	42
12.2.2	Identification of assets	43
12.2.3	Valuing assets	44
12.3	Threat identification and evaluation	47
12.3.1	General	47
12.3.2	Identification and classification of threats	48
12.3.3	Evaluating threats	49
12.3.4	The process for the SME organization	50
12.4	Identifying vulnerabilities and enumerating the associated risk levels	50
12.4.1	Basic procedure	50
12.4.2	Procedure to account for exposure time	51
12.5	Initial risk level	51
12.6	Countermeasures	53
12.6.1	General	53
12.6.2	Identifying countermeasures	53

EN 16571:2014 (E)

12.6.3	Reassessing risk levels	55
12.7	Residual risks.....	55
12.8	RFID PIA endorsement.....	56
13	Worked example of the risk assessment process	56
14	The PIA summary report	56
14.1	PIA report date	56
14.2	RFID application operator	56
14.3	RFID application overview	56
14.4	Data on the RFID tag	56
14.5	RFID Privacy Impact Assessment score	57
14.6	RFID countermeasures	57
15	Revision control.....	57
16	Monitoring and incident response	58
Annex A	(normative) Details of Registration Authority.....	59
Annex B	(informative) RFID manufacturer's product privacy capability statements	60
B.1	RFID integrated circuit (chip) privacy features.....	60
B.2	RFID interrogator privacy features	62
Annex C	(informative) RFID Privacy Impact Assessment flowchart.....	65
Annex D	(informative) Template development	67
Annex E	(informative) Flowchart to determine the RFID PIA level.....	68
Annex F	(informative) RFID functional statement.....	69
Annex G	(normative) RFID application description.....	70
Annex H	(informative) Identification and valuation of personal privacy assets	71
H.1	Individually held personal privacy asset.....	71
H.2	Assets that apply to the organization.....	76
Annex I	(informative) RFID threats	77
I.1	Threats associated with the data encoded on the RFID tag and the RFID tag (or RF card) itself.....	77
I.2	Threats associated with the air interface or the device interface communication.....	80
I.3	Threats associated with the interrogator (or reader).....	85
I.4	Threats associated with the host application.....	85
Annex J	(informative) Countermeasures	88
J.1	List of countermeasures	88
J.2	Threat and countermeasure mappings	90
Annex K	(informative) PIA risk assessment example.....	94
K.1	Introduction	94
K.2	Ranking the assets	94
K.3	Considering threats at the tag layer and air interface layer.....	95
K.4	Considering threats at the interrogator layer	96
K.5	Considering threats at the device interface layer	97
K.6	Considering threats at the application layer.....	97
K.7	Considering vulnerabilities.....	98
K.8	Risk scores after considering all the threats and vulnerabilities	98
K.9	Applying countermeasures	99
K.10	Overall risk	99
Annex L	(informative) RFID Privacy Impact Assessment summary	101
Bibliography	102

Foreword

This document (EN 16571:2014) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 225 "AIDC technologies", the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by December 2014, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by December 2014.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This European Standard is one of a series of related deliverables, which together comprise M/436 Phase 2. The other deliverables are:

- EN 16570, *Information technology — Notification of RFID — The information sign and additional information to be provided by operators of RFID application systems*;
- EN 16656, *Information technology — Radio frequency identification for item management — RFID Emblem (ISO/IEC 29160:2012, modified)*;
- CEN/TR 16669, *Information technology — Device interface to support ISO/IEC 18000-3*;
- CEN/TR 16670, *Information technology — RFID threat and vulnerability analysis*;
- CEN/TR 16671, *Information technology — Authorisation of mobile phones when used as RFID interrogators*;
- CEN/TR 16672, *Information technology — Privacy capability features of current RFID technologies*;
- CEN/TR 16673¹⁾, *Information technology — RFID privacy impact assessment analysis for specific sectors*;
- CEN/TR 16674, *Information technology — Analysis of privacy impact assessment methodologies relevant to RFID*;
- CEN/TR 16684²⁾, *Information technology — Notification of RFID — Additional information to be provided by operators*;
- CEN/TS 16685, *Information technology — Notification of RFID — The information sign to be displayed in areas where RFID interrogators are deployed*.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

1) CEN/TR 16673 contains practical examples of PIA systems.

2) CEN/TR 16684 contains practical examples of notification signage systems.

Introduction

In response to the growing deployment of RFID systems in Europe, the European Commission published in 2007 the Communication COM (2007) 96 'RFID in Europe: steps towards a policy framework'. This Communication proposed steps which needed to be taken to reduce barriers to adoption of RFID whilst respecting the basic legal framework safeguarding fundamental values such as health, environment, data protection, privacy and security.

In December 2008, the European Commission addressed Mandate M/436 to CEN, CENELEC and ETSI in the field of ICT as applied to RFID systems. The Mandate M/436 was accepted by the ESOs in the first months of 2009. The Mandate addresses the data protection, privacy and information aspects of RFID, and is being executed in two phases. Phase 1, completed in May 2011, and identified the work needed to produce a complete framework of future RFID standards. The Phase 1 results are contained in the ETSI Technical Report TR 187 020, which was published in May 2011.

Phase 2 is concerned with the execution of the standardization work programme identified in the first phase.

This European Standard is one of 11 deliverables for M/436 Phase 2. It builds on the research undertaken in the two related Technical Reports:

- CEN/TR 16673 provides an insight into how RFID privacy issues have been addressed in four sectors: libraries; retail; e-ticketing, toll roads, fee collection, events management; and banking and financial services.
- CEN/TR 16674 considers formal PIAs that are already in place, but not necessarily presented as formal national standards.

The procedures defined in this European Standard are intended to be used by individual RFID operators or entire sectors for conducting a PIA for RFID. As such, it will cite as references other deliverables included in M/436 Phase 2. A sector-based PIA can act as a template to assist in the development of a specific PIA.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

1 Scope

This European Standard has been prepared as part of the EU RFID Mandate M/436. It is based on the Privacy and Data Protection Impact Assessment Framework for RFID Applications, which was developed by industry, in collaboration with the civil society, endorsed by Article 29, Data Protection Working Party, and signed by all key stakeholders, including the European Commission, in 2011.

It defines aspects of that framework as normative or informative procedures to enable a common European method for undertaking an RFID PIA.

It provides a standardized set of procedures for developing PIA templates, including tools compatible with the RFID PIA methodology.

In addition, it identifies the conditions that require an existing PIA to be revised, amended, or replaced by a new assessment process.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

CEN/TR 16670, *Information technology — RFID threat and vulnerability analysis*

CEN/TR 16672, *Information technology — Privacy capability features of current RFID technologies*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

competent authority

organization called for in the RFID Recommendation to receive the PIA 6 weeks before deployment of the RFID application

Note 1 to entry: The Recommendation in Point 5 (d) provides no details of the credentials of the competent authority.

3.2

controlled domain

part of an of an application that is under the direct control of the RFID operator (or data controller), including the data on the tag that is processed by the application and the RFID air interface communications

Note 1 to entry: This has close analogies with data processing under Directive 95/46/EC.

3.3

countermeasure

action, device, procedure, or technique that meets or opposes (i.e. counters) a threat, a vulnerability, or an attack by eliminating or preventing it, by minimizing the harm it can cause

3.4

data controller

controller

natural or legal person, public authority, agency or any other body which alone or jointly with others determines the purposes and means of the processing of personal data; where the purposes and means of processing are determined by national or Community laws or regulations, the controller or the specific criteria for his nomination may be designated by national or Community law

EN 16571:2014 (E)

[SOURCE: Directive 95/46/EC]

3.5**Data Protection Authority**

DPA

organization, or organizations, responsible for the administration of Directive 95/46/EC in a Member State

3.6**identified or identifiable person**

person who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identification number or to one or more factors specific to his physical, physiological, mental, economic, cultural or social identity

[SOURCE: Directive 95/46/EC]

3.7**individual**

natural person who interacts with or is otherwise involved with one or more components of an RFID application (e.g. back-end system, communications infrastructure, RFID tag), but who does not operate an RFID application or exercise one of its functions

Note 1 to entry: In this respect, an individual is different from a user. An individual may not be directly involved with the functionality of the RFID application, but rather, for example, may merely possess an item that has an RFID tag.

3.8**information security**

preservation of the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information

[SOURCE: Recommendation C(2009) 3200 final]

3.9**monitoring**

activity carried out for the purpose of detecting, observing, copying or recording the location, movement, activities or state of an identified or identifiable person

[SOURCE: RFID Recommendation C(2009) 3200 final, modified — The definition itself has been adapted.]

3.10**personal behaviour information**

data that identifies an individual's behaviour or behavioural characteristics

3.11**personal data**

information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person ('data subject') inasmuch as an identifiable person is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identification number or to one or more factors specific to his physical, physiological, mental, economic, cultural or social identity

[SOURCE: Directive 95/46/EC, modified — The definition has been grammatically changed.]

3.12**personal identifier**

data that can be used to directly or indirectly identify an individual to whom such data refers

3.13**personal privacy asset**

anything that has value to the person associated with a particular RFID tag

Note 1 to entry: The loss of such an asset therefore requires protection.

3.14**privacy**

right of the identified or identifiable person to have his identity and action protected from any unwanted scrutiny and interference

Note 1 to entry: Privacy reinforces the individual's right to decisional autonomy and self-determination which are fundamental rights accorded to individuals within Europe.

[SOURCE: ETSI/TR 187 020 V1.1.1 (2011-05), modified — The definition itself has been adapted.]

3.15**privacy breach**

situation where personal data in an RFID application is processed in violation of one or more relevant privacy safeguarding requirements

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 29100:2011, modified — The definition itself has been adapted.]

3.16**privacy capability statement**

declaration by an RFID technology provider of RFID tags or readers of the privacy features inherent in the specified product

Note 1 to entry: Based on CEN/TR 16672, the privacy capability statement identifies in a consistent manner the extent that the features in a product support enhancements to privacy. The privacy capability statement is a more precise input to the PIA process than the generic protocol standard because it is product-specific.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

3.17**privacy risk**

potential that a given threat will exploit vulnerabilities of personal privacy asset and thereby cause harm to the attacked system or organization

SIST EN 16571:2014

[SOURCE: ETSI/TR 187 020 V1.1.1 (2011-05), modified — The definition itself has been adapted and the defined term was "risk" originally.]

3.18**Registration Authority**

RA

organization appointed by CEN to maintain a publicly accessible register of factors associated with a standard

Note 1 to entry: For EN 16571, the RA is responsible for maintaining a register of privacy capability statements.

3.19**residual risk**

risk remaining after countermeasures have been implemented to reduce the risk associated with a particular threat

[SOURCE: ETSI/TR 187 020 V1.1.1 (2011-05)]

3.20**RFID**

radio frequency identification

use of electromagnetic radiating waves or reactive field coupling in the radio frequency portion of the spectrum to communicate to or from a tag through a variety of modulation and encoding schemes to read from or write to an RFID tag

[SOURCE: RFID Recommendation C(2009) 3200 final]

EN 16571:2014 (E)**3.21****RFID application**

application that processes data through the use of tags and readers, and which is supported by a back-end system and a networked communication infrastructure

[SOURCE: Recommendation C(2009) 3200 final]

3.22**RFID application operator**

RFID operator

natural or legal person, public authority, agency, or any other body, which, alone or jointly with others, determines the purposes and means of operating an application, including controllers of personal data using an RFID application

[SOURCE: RFID Recommendation C(2009) 3200 final]

3.23**RFID interrogator**

RFID reader

interrogator

reader

fixed or mobile data capture and identification device using a radio frequency electromagnetic wave or reactive field coupling to stimulate and effect a modulated data response from a tag or group of tags

Note 1 to entry: The term 'interrogator' is often used in the context of RFID item management standards and the term 'RFID reader' in general applications. The term 'Proximity coupling device' and 'Vicinity coupling device' are used in the context of card applications. They perform the same functions for any given air interface protocol.

3.24**RFID PIA report**

detailed internal report of the results of the PIA process

Note 1 to entry: Except for the reference in Recommendation, in Point 5 (d), of making the assessment available to a competent authority, the RFID PIA report is considered a confidential document.

3.25**RFID PIA summary**

part of the RFID PIA process that is publicly available in the interests of transparency

3.26**RFID tag**

RF tag

tag

transponder

RFID device having the ability to produce a radio signal or a RFID device which re-couples, back-scatters or reflects (depending on the type of device) and modulates a carrier signal received from a reader or writer

[SOURCE: RFID Recommendation C(2009) 3200 final]

Note 1 to entry: The most technically accurate term is "transponder". The most common and preferred term is 'tag' or 'RFID tag' in the context of RFID item management applications and 'Proximity integrated circuit card' or 'Vicinity integrated circuit card' in the context of card applications.

3.27**RFID threat**

physical, hardware, or software mechanism with the potential to adversely impact a personal privacy asset and associated data types or a data subject through unauthorized access, destruction, disclosure, modification of data and / or denial of service

3.28**RFID vulnerability**

weakness of an asset or group of assets that can be exploited by one or more threats

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 27005:2011, modified — The definition itself has been adapted and the defined term was "information security risk" originally.]

3.29**template**

input information and data intended to assist in reducing the effort required to complete a PIA, where a number of RFID applications share some common features

Note 1 to entry: The degree of detail of a template is a matter of decision for the originator of such a document. It may vary from a description of the application to a more sophisticated analysis, and can be used as a generic tool to prepare the PIA report, but is never a substitute for a PIA report, which is the responsibility of each RFID operator.

3.30**uncontrolled domain**

part of an of an application that the RFID operator (or data controller) cannot control, including the capability of a third party legitimately or illicitly reading data from the RFID tag in any form factor, whether with reading devices conform to the air interface protocol or circumvent it

4 Symbols and abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the following symbols and abbreviations apply.

— AIDC	Automatic Identification and Data Capture
— AFI	Application Family Identifier
— CEN	European Committee for Standardization (French = Comité Européen de Normalisation)
— DSFID	Data Storage Format Identifier
— EN	European Standard
— EPC	Electronic Product Code
— ETSI	European Telecommunications Standards Institute
— GS1	Global Standards One
— HF	High Frequency
— IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
— ISO	International Organization for Standardization
— NFC	Near Field Communication
— PIA	Privacy Impact Assessment
— RF	Radio Frequency
— RFID	Radio Frequency Identification
— SME	Small and medium-sized enterprise
— TR	Technical Report
— TS	Technical Specification
— UHF	Ultra High Frequency

5 Structure of this European Standard

This European Standard contains the specification and description of the RFID privacy impact assessment (PIA) process. The purpose of the following clauses, and their associated annexes, is as follows:

- a) Clause 6 specifies what the RFID Recommendation considers to be RFID technology (which is not necessarily aligned with the structure of various technology standard committee domains and scopes), what is an RFID application, and what is an RFID operator.
- b) Clause 7 describes some of the strategic considerations that an RFID operator needs to take into account before undertaking an RFID PIA. This includes taking responsibility over and above the requirements of the Recommendation.
- c) Clause 8 and its associated annexes describe some of the tools and other mechanisms that can be employed to simplify the process of undertaking the PIA. These tools have the additional advantage of providing consistency between similar PIA reports.
- d) Clause 9 is the first clause associated with the actual process of undertaking an RFID Privacy Impact Assessment. This particular clause deals with determining the detail required for the RFID PIA.
- e) Clause 10 provides the lowest level of deliverable from the PIA process, which is an RFID functional statement. This is the output when Clause 9 determines that a PIA is not required.
- f) Clause 11 provides the necessary details to prepare the description of the RFID application(s). This description covers all aspects from the RFID tag to how the RFID data is held on the application.
- g) Clause 12 provides all the details to undertake the risk assessment itself, starting with references to the Recommendation as the principle behind the procedures. The process itself comprises:
 - 1) identifying and assigning the values to assets associated with an individual's privacy;
 - 2) identifying threats to the RFID system and providing a means of assessing the threat level;
 - 3) identifying vulnerabilities and enumerating the associated risk levels;
 - 4) arriving at an initial risk level, without considering any countermeasures;
 - 5) considering the countermeasures that can be used to reduce the threat level, which results in the residual risks associated with each asset in the RFID application.
- h) Clause 13 provides the details that should be included in the RFID PIA summary, which is to be made available publicly to citizens and customers.
- i) Clauses 14 and 15 address the need for document revision control and for monitoring and reporting incidents that impact the RFID application.

6 Field of reference for this European Standard

6.1 'RFID' as defined by the EU RFID Recommendation

Based on the definitions of RFID, RFID tag and RFID reader cited in the Recommendation (see Clause 3), this European Standard shall apply to technologies that comply to, or operate at, the same radio frequencies as shown in Table 1.

As such it shall apply to technologies commonly known as RFID, contactless cards, near field communications (NFC), personal identification cards and contactless payment cards that use radio frequency for communication purposes.

Table 1 — RFID and related technology standards within the scope of this European Standard

Core Reference Standard ^a	Related Standards	Frequency	See Footnote
ISO/IEC 14443		13,56 MHz	^b
ISO/IEC 15693 (all parts)	ISO/IEC 18000-3 Mode 1	13,56 MHz	
ISO/IEC 18000-2	ISO 11784 ISO 11785 ISO 14223 (all parts)	125 kHz (type A), 134,2 kHz (type B)	
ISO/IEC 18000-3 Mode 2		13,56 MHz	
ISO/IEC 18000-3 Mode 3		13,56 MHz	^c
ISO/IEC 18000-4		2,45 GHz	
ISO/IEC 18000-61	ISO/IEC 18000-6 Type A	(860 to 960) MHz	
ISO/IEC 18000-62	ISO/IEC 18000-6 Type B	(860 to 960) MHz	
ISO/IEC 18000-63	ISO/IEC 18000-6 Type C	(860 to 960) MHz	^d
ISO/IEC 18000-64	ISO/IEC 18000-6 Type D	(860 to 960) MHz	
ISO/IEC 18000-7		433 MHz	
ISO/IEC 18092		13,56 MHz	^e
ISO/IEC 21481	SIST EN 16571:2014	13,56 MHz	^f
JIS X6319-4		13,56 MHz	^g

^a There are different editions of some of these standards. Artefacts that comply with a particular edition have different features to artefacts that comply with another edition.

^b ISO/IEC 14443 supports two types of communication known as type-A and type-B. Many products used in the public transport sector may use a pre-ISO/IEC 14443, Calypso rev 1 radio protocol.

^c Also known as GS1 EPCglobal HF C1.

^d Also known as GS1 EPCglobal UHF C1 Gen 2.

^e Also known as NFC IP1.

^f Also known as NFC IP2.

^g The Japanese standard JIS X6319-4 has not been approved at the ISO level, but is sometimes referred as FeliCa.

6.2 'RFID application' as defined by the EU RFID Recommendation

The definition of RFID application cited in the Recommendation (see Clause 3) precisely determines the field of reference of RFID applications covered by this European Standard.

6.3 'RFID operator' as defined by the EU RFID Recommendation

Based on the definition of RFID operator cited in the Recommendation (see Clause 3) this European Standard shall apply to any operator that carries out a reading (decoding) process on an RFID tag. It shall also apply to any operator that carries out a writing (encoding) process on an RFID tag.

The requirement for an organization that only performs the encoding function to undertake a PIA is based on two fundamental premises: