

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION MEXCHAPOCHAR OPTAHUSALUM TO CTAHCAPTUSALUMOORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION

Acoustics — Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements — Part II : Statement of precision requirements

Acoustique – Mesurage de l'isolation acoustique des immeubles et des éléments de construction – Partie II : Spécifications relatives à la fidélité

(standards.iteh.ai)

First edition - 1978-07-15

<u>ISO 140-2:1978</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eb315c7e-8abc-4c5b-888d-0552a6a42ca3/iso-140-2-1978

UDC 534.833.522.4.08

Ref. No. ISO 140/II-1978 (E)

Descriptors : acoustics, acoustic measurement, acoustic insulation, buildings, structural members, tests, testing conditions, precision, reproducibility.

FOREWORD

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards institutes (ISO member bodies). The work of developing International Standards is carried out through ISO technical committees. Every member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been set up has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for approval before their acceptance as International Standards by the ISO Council.

International Standard ISO 140/11 was developed by Technical Committee VIEW ISO/TC 43, *Acoustics*, and was circulated to the member bodies in May 1976.

It has been approved by the member bodies of the following countries :

Australia	India	IRomania:1978
Austria	httae//standards.ite	h.ai/catalosotutklaAfriciat/Repl567/e-8abc-4c5b-888d-
Belgium	Italy	0552a6a Span 3/iso-140-2-1978
Canada	Japan	Sweden
Czechoslovakia	Korea, Rep. of	Switzerland
Denmark	Mexico	Turkey
Finland	Netherlands	United Kingdom
France	New Zealand	U.S.A.
Germany	Norway	U.S.S.R.
Hungary	Poland	

No member body expressed disapproval of the document.

Annexes A and B are integral parts of this International Standard.

[©] International Organization for Standardization, 1978 •

Acoustics – Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements – Part II : Statement of precision requirements

0 INTRODUCTION

It is not possible to specify completely the construction of laboratory test facilities or the sound field conditions obtained. Therefore, some details of the test set-up and the procedure must be left to the choice of the operator. This, together with the statistical character of sound fields within rooms, leads to uncertainties in the results due to nonsystematic (random) and systematic influences.

Random influences can be determined by repeated measurements under essentially similar conditions, variations being made in order to obtain representative samples of the actually existing conditions (for example, position of loudspeaker and microphone). The repeatability obtained is a measure of the confidence to be placed in the results with respect to random influences.

Systematic influences (for example, size and shape of test rooms, mounting conditions of test specimen, calibration of measuring equipment) cannot be determined by a simple procedure. Generally, comparison measurements in different test set-ups and knowledge of the random uncertainties under these conditions are necessary in order to assess the systematic influences.

In agreement with modern statistical methods, in this International Standard the concepts of repeatability and reproducibility of complete results are used, rather than the variance of the individual quantities comprising the result. Repeatability and reproducibility offer a simple means of checking and stating the precision of measurements.

1 SCOPE AND FIELD OF APPLICATION

This International Standard lays down procedures for assessing uncertainty in the acoustical measurements described in ISO 140, parts III to VIII, due to non-systematic influences.

The results may be used for checking different measuring arrangements in one laboratory and for comparing such conditions in different laboratories or in field situations. Minimum values for the precision required when carrying out tests according to ISO 140 are stated in annex A.

The values obtained are not intended for use in test reports as a statement of accuracy of actual measurements. However, reference to this International Standard may be made when test conditions and/or instrumentation meet the requirements set forth herein.

2 REFERENCES

ISO 140/III, Acoustics – Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements – Part III : Laboratory measurements of airborne sound insulation of building elements.

ISO 140/IV, Acoustics – Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements – Part IV : Field measurements of airborne sound insulation between rooms.

ISO 140/V, Acoustics – Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements – Part V : Field measurements of airborne sound insulation of facade elements and facades.

ISO 140/VI, Acoustics – Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements – Part VI : Laboratory measurements of impact sound insulation of floors.

ISO 140/VII, Acoustics Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements – Part VII : Field measurements of impact sound insulation of floors.

ISO 140/VIII, Acoustics – Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements – Part VIII: Laboratory measurements of the reduction of transmitted impact noise by floor coverings on a standard floor.

3 DEFINITIONS

3.1 result: The final value obtained by following the complete set of instructions in the test procedure.

3.2 true value: For practical purposes, the value towards which the average of single results obtained by n laboratories tends, as n tends towards infinity.

Consequently, such a true value is associated with the particular method of test.

3.3 accuracy of the mean : The closeness of agreement between the true value and the mean result which would be obtained by applying the test procedure a very large number of times.

The smaller the systematic part of the experimental errors which affect the results, the more accurate is the procedure.

3.4 precision : The closeness of agreement between the results obtained by applying the test procedure several times under prescribed conditions.

The smaller the random part of the uncertainty, the more precise is the procedure.

3.5 repeatability: Qualitatively, the closeness of agreement between successive results obtained with the same test procedure on the same test specimen, under the same conditions (same operator, same apparatus, same laboratory, and short intervals of time).

Quantitatively, the value below which the absolute difference between two single test results (pair) obtained in the above conditions may be expected to lie with a specified probability. This quantity is denoted by r.

3.6 reproducibility: Qualitatively, the closeness of agreement between individual results obtained with the same method on identical test specimens but under different conditions (different operators, different apparatus, different laboratories and different times).

Quantitatively, the value below which the absolute difference between two single test results (pair) on identical test specimens obtained by operators in different aboratories, using the prescribed test procedure, may be expected to lie with a specified probability. This quantity is denoted by Q. 21

3.7 arithmetic mean : The arithmetic mean \bar{x} for a given set of results is defined by the equation

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

where

n is the number of observed values x_i ;

 \vec{x} is an estimator for the true value of the mean.

3.8 variance: Qualitatively, a measure of the dispersion of a series of random results about their average.

Quantitatively, for a given set of results, the sum of the squares of the deviation of each result from the arithmetic mean, divided by the number of degrees of freedom. In the simple case of n consecutive (ungrouped) observations, the variance is calculated according to the equation

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$$

where s^2 is an estimator for the true value σ^2 of the variance.

3.9 standard deviation : The positive square root s of the variance; s is an estimator for the true value σ of the standard deviation.

3.10 degrees of freedom: The number ν of degrees of freedom is equal to the number of independent terms contained in the expression for the variance. In the simple

case of *n* consecutive (ungrouped) observations

$$\nu = n - 1$$

3.11 confidence level : The probability that the statement in question is true. In this International Standard, a confidence level of 95 % is used.

4 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

4.1 General remarks

For routine testing according to ISO 140, on many occasions only one test on a specimen is carried out. In such cases no reliable figure for the confidence to be placed in the result is obtained. In the interest of reliability, it would therefore be advantageous to perform two tests and check the difference of the results against the repeatability r of the test procedure. If their difference is less than or equal to r, the test operator can consider his work as being under control and take the average of the two results as the estimated value of the quantity being tested.

Before routine acoustical testing is taken up by an organization, the repeatability of the test procedure and the test set-up shall be checked as to its capability of producing reliable and repeatable results. These checks should be repeated from time to time, especially whenever changes in the procedure, the test set-up or the instrumentation are made $_{0.78}$

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standprdissistedommeindedocthatbdifferent testing organizations 0552a6a42ca3collaborate1968as to check each other's results for reproducibility.

4.2 Check of repeatability

As a standard check of repeatability of airborne and impact sound measurements under given conditions, the following method should be used.

A set of six complete measurements, for example of the airborne sound reduction index R, the standardized level difference D_{nT} or the normalized impact sound pressure level L_n , respectively, as a function of frequency, is grouped into pairs of consecutive measurements, without changing the original order of the set. The difference in results between the two members of every pair is compared at all frequencies with the requirements in annex A, table 1. If these values are exceeded at any one frequency, all of the results are rejected and the method of check is repeated completely. In case of a second failure to achieve the prescribed values, the test procedure and/or the test set-up are considered inadequate and should be improved to obtain the required repeatability.

NOTE — When carrying out measurements for repeatability checks, the details of the test procedure must not be replicated to the extent of using, for example, identical positions for the microphone, loudspeaker or tapping machine, as this would result in values for *r* unattainable under practical conditions. Rather, these influences should be varied in such a way as to obtain independent and representative samples of the quantities affecting the repeatability (i.e. the average sound pressure levels in the rooms).

ANNEX A

REQUIREMENTS FOR REPEATABILITY

A.1 GENERAL REMARKS

Since the procedure outlined in this International Standard has not yet been used in building acoustics on a broad scale, precise numerical data of the standard deviation of complete results exist only for one laboratory. From these values, tentative figures for repeatability requirements have been calculated and are given in table 1. It is proposed that furt insu min sup carr the

to that of laboratory measurements. However, the overall repeatability in situ cannot be stated since the appropriate values of the standard deviation are not known for the given situation, and may under unfavourable conditions considerably exceed the laboratory values.

TABLE 1 -	Requirements	for	repeatability	r
-----------	--------------	-----	---------------	---

Т

Т

Carrying out inter-laboratory measurement for determining these values is described in annex B. Hz dB dB A.2 LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS 100 5 3 The test procedure should be so chosen - within the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedure standards.itel all to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedure standards.itel all to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedure the stan- not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are. 315 2 2 If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar 1600 2 1 2000 and above 2 1 2 1 2 <th>further repeatability values for airborne and impact sound insulation for laboratory and field measurements be deter- mined by different organizations in order to confirm and supplement the existing data. A simplified method for</th> <th>Third-octave band centre frequency</th> <th><i>r</i> for airborne sound reduction index <i>R</i></th> <th><i>r</i> for normalized impact sound pressure level L_n</th>	further repeatability values for airborne and impact sound insulation for laboratory and field measurements be deter- mined by different organizations in order to confirm and supplement the existing data. A simplified method for	Third-octave band centre frequency	<i>r</i> for airborne sound reduction index <i>R</i>	<i>r</i> for normalized impact sound pressure level L _n
A.2 LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS 100 5 3 The test procedure should be so chosen - within the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedure should be so chosen - within the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the standardized procedure should be so chosen of exceed the values given below. 100 5 2 Iso 140-2:1978 400 2 2 2 Iso 140-2:1978 400 2 2 A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS 0552a6a42ca3/iso-1 0-2-19630 1 1 In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are. 800 1 1 If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measurements in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar 1 600 2 1 2000 and above 2 1 2 000 and above 1 1	carrying out inter-laboratory measurement for determining these values is described in annex B.	Hz	dB	dB
A.2 LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS12552The test procedure should be so chosen - within the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the discrete according to 4.2 does not exceed the values given below.52ISO 140-2:197840022ISO 140-2:197840022A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS0552a6a42ca3/iso-111In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are.80011If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar16002120011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111100011111		100	5	3
A.2 LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS 5 2 The test procedure should be so chosen - within the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the stan- dardized procedure should be so chosen of the stane not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are. 1 1 If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar 1 600 2 1		125	5	2
The test procedure should be so chosen — within the stan- dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII — that the stan- repeatability checked according to 4.2 does not exceed the values given below. 200 5 2 ISO 140-2:1978 400 2 2 Iso 140-2:1978 400 2 2 A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS 0552a6a42ca3/iso-14 0-2-19630 1 1 In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are. 1000 1 1 If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar 1600 2 1	A.2 LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS	D PREVIEW	7 5	2
dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII a that the ds. iteh 2591)32repeatability checked according to 4.2 does not exceed the values given below.3152ISO 140-2:197840022https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eb31500e-8abc-4c5b-888d-22A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS0552a6a42ca3/iso-14-0-2-1963011In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are.100011If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar2 000 and above21	The test procedure should be so chosen - within the stan-	200	5	2
Iso 140-2:1978 400 2 2 Iso 140-2:1978 400 2 2 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eb31500e-8abc-4c5b-888d- 2 2 A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS 0552a6a42ca3/iso-14 0-2-1%30 1 1 In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are. 1 000 1 1 If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measurements in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar 1 600 2 1	dardized procedures of ISO 140, parts III to VIII - that the repeatability checked according to 4.2 does not exceed the	s.iteh25ai)	3	2
ISO 140-2:197840022https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eb31500e-8abc-4c5b-888d-2A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS0552a6a42ca3/iso-140-2-196301In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are.80011If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar1 60021	values given below	315	2	2
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sit/eb31500e-8abc-4c5b-888d-22A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS0552a6a42ca3/iso-140-2-1963011In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are.80011If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar1 60021	ISO 140-	<u>2:1978</u> 400	2	2
A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS0552a6a42ca3/iso-140-2-1963011In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most80011In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are cases be accepted as they are.100011If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar160021	https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standard	ds/sist/eb31500e-8abc-4c5b-8	88d- 2	2
In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are not under the control of the operator and must in most80011not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are.1 000111 f an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar1 600212 000 and above21	A.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS 0552a6a42ca3/ise	o-140-2-19 630	1	1
not under the control of the operator and must in most cases be accepted as they are.1 000111 an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar1 0001112 000 and above21	In field measurements, the acoustical conditions of test are	800	1	1
cases be accepted as they are.1 25011If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar1 6002112 000 and above21	not under the control of the operator and must in most	1 000	1	1
If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure- ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar1 600212 000 and above21	cases be accepted as they are.	1 250	· 1	1
ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to 2 000 and above 2 1 these influences alone can be considered essentially similar	If an instrumentation and procedure checked by measure-	1 600	2	1
	ments in the laboratory is used, the repeatability due to these influences alone can be considered essentially similar	2 000 and above	2	1

ľ

ANNEX B

CO-OPERATIVE DETERMINATION OF REPEATABILITY

The repeatability attainable under given testing conditions is related to the standard deviation obtained from numerous measurements under the same conditions by the equation

$$r = 1.96 \sqrt{2 \sigma^2}$$

For a sufficiently large number of results, *r* can be approximated by the equation

$$r \approx t s \sqrt{2}$$

where t is the factor derived from Student's distribution for a confidence level of 95% and the appropriate number of degrees of freedom (see table 2).

The determination of repeatability according to this method in one laboratory is very laborious, since approximately 35 degrees of freedom are considered necessary for calculating sufficiently exact values of s.

(standards.iten.ia) Moreover, a more reliable value of the standard deviation of the standardized procedure will be obtained if a number of measurements on separate test specimens of the same con SO 140-2:1978 15 struction are carried out in different faboratories a faith systandards/sist/eb315q6e-8abc-4c case, the standard deviation for calculating the repeatability.42ca3 iso-140-2-197f7 is given by the equation 18

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2 + \ldots + (n_i - 1)s_i^2 + \ldots + (n_k - 1)s_k^2}{(n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_i + \ldots + n_k) - k}}$$

where

 s_i is the standard deviation evaluated in the *i*-th laboratory from n_i consecutive (ungrouped) results;

k is the number of laboratories involved.

The number of laboratories and the number of results in each should be so chosen that the number of degrees of freedom, given by the denominator of the expression under the square root sign of the above equation, is at least 35. However, for each individual laboratory, at least five results are necessary. The test conditions for the determination of s should correspond as far as possible with the examples given in ISO 140, parts III to VIII.

Number of degrees of freedom, ν	t		
1	12,706		
2	4,303		
3	3,182		
4	2,776		
5	2,571		
6	2,447		
7	2,365		
8	2,306		
9	2,262		
10	2,228		
RD PREVIEV	2,201		
	2,179		
is.iten.ai)	2,160		
14	2,145		
)-2:1978 15	2,131		
ards/sist/eb315q7e-8abc-4c5b-8	88d- 2,120		
iso-140-2-1978 7	2,110		
18	2,101		
19	2,093		
20	2,086		
21	2,080		
22	2,074		
23	2,069		
24	2,064		
25	2,060		
26	2,056		
27	2,052		
28	2,048		
29	2,045		
30	2,042		
40	2,021		
60	2,000		
120	1,980		
8	1,960		

TABLE 2 - Factor t for calculating the repeatability for a confidence level of 95 %

ANNEX C

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ISO 2602, Statistical interpretation of test results - Estimation of the mean - Confidence interval.

ISO 2854, Statistical interpretation of data - Techniques of estimation and tests relating to means and variances.

ISO 3534, Statistics - Vocabulary and symbols.

ISO 4259, Petroleum products – Determination and application of precision data in relation to methods of test.¹⁾

ISO 5725, Precision of test methods – Determination of repeatability and reproducibility.²⁾

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO 140-2:1978</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eb315c7e-8abc-4c5b-888d-0552a6a42ca3/iso-140-2-1978

¹⁾ At present at the stage of draft. See also recommended practice for determining precision data for methods on petroleum products and lubricants, prepared by the joint committee on statistics of the "Bureau de normalisation du pétrole" (BNPé, France), Institute of Petroleum (IP, United Kingdom), "Fachausschuss Mineralöl- und Brennstoffnormung" (FAM, Germany) in co-operation with the *ad hoc* committee on Precision of committee D-2, ASTM (U.S.A.). Published by the Institute of Petroleum, 61 New Cavendish St., London W.1, United Kingdom, 1968.

²⁾ At present at the stage of draft.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 140-2:1978 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eb315c7e-8abc-4c5b-888d-0552a6a42ca3/iso-140-2-1978