



Designation: D6285 – 99(Reapproved 2005)

Standard Guide for Locating Abandoned Wells¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6285; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

This guide for locating abandoned wells, provides general procedures and suggestions for identifying the locations of wells that are installed for the purposes of oil and gas exploration or production, or for groundwater exploration, supply, monitoring, remediation, or injection, and subsequently have been abandoned. Not all states require documentation of such abandonment; thus, this guide has been prepared to provide direction for determining the locations of those abandoned wells.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide provides an approach to selecting and implementing a program to identify the locations of abandoned wells. This guide provides descriptions of methods to be used as starting points in the search for these locations. It is not intended to be a step-by-step procedure to conduct the search program. This guide also provides listings of government agencies that may have well location information. It is understood that addresses and phone numbers change and that the included information may not be accurate in the future.

1.2 The described methods are approaches that have been used at many sites across the United States. Other methods may be appropriate. Typically, several approaches are used to obtain acceptable confirmation of well locations. This guide is not limited to specific wells. The method chosen should be appropriate for the size of the area being searched and the type of well being located. Some well types and construction materials may preclude their detection by any of the methods described.

1.3 This guide offers an organized collection of information or series of options and does not recommend a specific course of action. This guide cannot replace education and experience and should be used in conjunction with professional judgment.

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.21 on Groundwater and Vadose Zone Investigations.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2005. Published February 2005. Originally approved in 1998. Last previous edition approved in 1999 as D6285 – 99. DOI: 10.1520/D6285-99R05.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²

D5092 Practice for Design and Installation of Ground Water Monitoring Wells

D5299 Guide for Decommissioning of Groundwater Wells, Vadose Zone Monitoring Devices, Boreholes, and Other Devices for Environmental Activities

3. Significance and Use

3.1 Millions of oil and gas wells, water supply wells, and wells installed for environmental monitoring and remediation purposes, have been abandoned. The need to determine the locations of these abandoned wells is based on safety and threats to the environment. Improperly constructed or abandoned wells may pose a safety threat to humans and animals, may be sources of brines and other undesirable fluids coming to the surface, may be conduits for transport of contamination from the surface to the substrate, or may cross-contaminate water-bearing zones in the subsurface. All states do not require documentation of the abandonment of wells and may not have specific requirements for abandonment procedures.

4. Methods for Locating Abandoned Wells Whose Locations Have Been Recorded, Observed, or Marked at the Surface

4.1 *Records Search*—Information regarding the potential location, type, age, method of abandonment, and other pertinent information about wells often can be determined by a

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

thorough review of local, state, or federal records. Many states and other governmental agencies have reporting requirements for both the installation and abandonment of all types of wells. Typically, oil and gas wells are controlled by separate agencies from water and environmental wells. With the recent proliferation of environmental studies, the number of agencies that may maintain these records has increased.

4.2 Local Agencies—Local (city and county) agencies typically retain records of oil and gas leasing agreements, tax records, plat maps, property ownership maps, and other related information. Information on municipal wells often is retained in local courthouses.

4.3 State Agencies—Most states have several agencies that maintain records of drilled wells. Some states maintain sophisticated computer databases, others maintain paper records. Location information also varies by state and can be by township, range and section, state plane coordinate system, UTM coordinates, or latitude and longitude. Drilling logs, installation diagrams, production records, mechanical integrity testing reports, and other information often are available. Injection wells information typically also is available.

4.3.1 A starting place for well record information is the State Geological Survey. If they do not maintain well records, they typically can provide direction to the proper agency. A list of state geological surveys is provided in [Appendix X1](#).

4.3.2 Water well records are required in most states. The sophistication of record keeping and location detail is variable. State health agencies often maintain records for public water supply wells. A list of state agencies known to maintain records of abandoned water wells and resource protection wells, for example, monitoring wells, is provided in [Appendix X2](#).

4.3.3 Within the states that produce oil and gas, a specific agency usually has been given the responsibility for maintaining well information. A list of state agencies that maintain oil and gas well records is provided in [Appendix X3](#).

4.4 Environmental monitoring wells have become more prolific within the last decade. Both federal and state agencies typically require documentation of the installation of these wells. The administrative records for specific Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) as amended in 1986 by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) sites and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) sites are the best sources of monitoring well location information that may not be available at specific public agencies. Other federal agencies, for example, the Department of Defense (DoD), the Department of Energy (DoE), and the U.S. Geological Survey, may have records of wells that have been installed at specific government sites.

4.4.1 Refer to Practice [D5092](#) as it lists the minimum amount of information required for documentation of each installation. Guide [D5299](#) lists information required to document the abandonment of wells.

4.5 Interviews—Conversations or interviews with local property owners, longtime residents, and drilling contractors often provide information about the locations of abandoned wells. Property owners often can identify specific well loca-

tions. Drilling contractors often maintain internal records of well locations. A careful explanation of the need for locating certain wells is necessary sometimes to obtain access to these proprietary data. The initial purpose for conducting the interview should dictate the type and format of interview documentation.

4.6 Reconnaissance—Actual site visits may identify the locations of abandoned wells whose surface locations have been marked or whose installation or abandonment have left soil disturbances that are identifiable as well-related.

5. Airborne and Space-Based Photographic and Other Methods for Locating Abandoned Wells Whose Locations Are Unknown

5.1 Aerial Photographs—Aerial photographs may be used to detect the surface disturbance associated with well drilling activities or the actual surface equipment. Historical photographs may document the actual drilling of now-abandoned wells. Aerial photographs may be available at many different scales and from many different sources.

5.1.1 The larger the scale of the aerial photograph, the easier it is to identify features. Photographs usually are available at a low cost. Photographs, however, may not be available for a given area or may not be at an appropriate scale. Interpretation of the photographs should be performed by trained personnel.

5.1.2 Sources of Aerial and Satellite Photographs—Many local and state governmental agencies have archives of aerial photographs of their area of jurisdiction. In addition, a review of the local telephone directory listing of companies that provide aerial photographic services may provide sources of aerial and satellite photographs. See [Appendix X4](#) for a list of agencies to contact.

5.2 Other Remotely Sensed Data—Surface disturbances, associated either with the original well installation or with leaking fluids from an improperly abandoned well, may be detectable using various remotely sensed data. These data include, but are not limited to spectral, radar, and color infrared data acquired by satellite or aircraft. Spectral imagery may be used to detect vegetative stress resulting from either drilling activities or from the presence of saline or contaminated water leaking from an abandoned well. Thermal infrared imagery may be used to detect temperature anomalies resulting from the presence of metal casing. Spectral, color infrared, and radar imagery also may be used in textural analysis to deduce surface disturbances that may have resulted from drilling and well installation activities.

5.2.1 Most of these data are available only in digital format. Appropriate computer hardware and software, as well as personnel trained in image processing, may be necessary to use these data. Relative costs per unit aerial coverage for data acquisition and processing may be high for small search areas but low for large search areas. Ground verification of wells is necessary.

5.2.2 Sources of Imagery—See [Appendix X5](#) for a list of agencies to contact.

6. Geophysical Methods for Locating Abandoned Wells Whose Locations Are Unknown

6.1 In general, metal detectors and magnetometers can be used to detect metallic wells casing at various depths. Electromagnetic and resistivity methods can be used to detect both metallic well casings and fluids leaking from abandoned wells. Ground penetrating radar may be used to locate uncased wells or wells with nonmetallic casings.

6.2 *Metal Detectors*—Metallic well casings (ferrous or non-ferrous) can be detected using portable metal detectors. The response of a metal detector is proportional to the area of a metal target. The larger the diameter of the buried casing, the easier it is to detect. Response also is inversely proportional to the depth of the target. The coil of the metal detector must pass directly over the buried casing in order for the casing to be detected, therefore, a closely spaced survey grid is necessary. Depth of detection for these metal detectors is usually 1 to 3 ft. Equipment usually is inexpensive and little training is required to operate it.

6.2.1 A special type of time domain electromagnetic sensor that uses relatively small loop transmitters functions as a metal detector with a greater depth of investigation and the ability to detect larger objects than conventional metal detectors.

6.3 *Magnetometers*—Ferrous metal well casings can be detected by a magnetometer survey. The response of a magnetometer is proportional to the mass of the target and is inversely proportional to the target's depth. A magnetometer may detect a buried casing that is off the side of a survey line and may detect a casing that has been cut off below the surface.

NOTE 1—The magnetometer does not have to pass directly over the target as in the case of a metal detector.

Depth of detection using a magnetometer is much greater than for any other method described. Large diameter deep well casings, such as those used in the oil and gas industry, commonly are detected by airborne magnetometer surveys. Equipment is easy to use.

6.3.1 Surface magnetometer surveys can be used to detect wells that contain ferrous metal casing at or near the surface.

6.3.2 A downhole (borehole) magnetometer may be used when the upper portion of the casing in an abandoned well is at a depth greater than the resolution of a surface survey, and there is an opening in which to lower the probe.

6.3.3 Airborne magnetometer surveys are used for general reconnaissance of an area. This method works best to locate large diameter wells. These surveys require ground verification of detected anomalies. They usually are more expensive than ground-based surveys.

6.3.4 *Sources of Airborne Magnetic Survey*—See **Appendix X6** for recommended source.

6.4 *Electromagnetic Methods*—Magnetic anomalies caused by the presence of conductive materials at the surface and in the shallow subsurface may be detected at the surface, from boreholes in the subsurface, or sometimes from the air. These methods may be used to detect either metallic casing or saline water associated with a leaking abandoned well. Measured anomalies may be small, and there may be interference from cultural sources of electromagnetic energy. Also, surveys may

require close line spacings. Electromagnetic methods include both frequency and time domain methods, which require interpretation by trained personnel.

6.4.1 Frequency domain electromagnetic methods (conductivity surveys), measure the connectivity of subsurface materials by using a transmitter coil to generate an electromagnetic field that induces an electrical current in the earth. The induced current generates a secondary electromagnetic field that can be detected by a receiver coil. The magnitude of the induced current is a function of the composition and porosity of the soil and the conductivity of pore fluids. Since metallic well casing usually is more conductive than the surrounding soil, its presence may be detected by this method. Saline fluids leaking from abandoned wells often are more conductive than surrounding materials and may be detectable. Direct contact with the soil is not required for this method, and as a result, survey times may be rapid. Conductivity surveys should be conducted and interpreted by trained personnel.

6.4.2 Time domain electromagnetic methods are based on the principle that currents induced in the ground decay rapidly, producing a secondary magnetic field proportional to the conductivity of the subsurface material. By measuring the time decay of the secondary magnetic field as the induced current diffuses downward, a vertical electrical profile of the subsurface can be obtained. Depth of measurement depends on the primary (induced) field strength and range from a few meters to more than a kilometer. This method is useful especially for detecting conductive fluids, such as saline fluids leaking from an abandoned wellbore. These surveys must be conducted and interpreted by trained personnel.

6.5 *DC Resistivity*—This method may be used to detect saline water associated with a leaking abandoned well. The resistivity method is used to measure the resistance of subsurface materials to the flow of electricity. Since saline fluids are less resistance to electrical current flow than the surrounding soil, their presence can be inferred by this method, which requires interpretation by trained personnel.

6.5.1 Resistivity surveys must be correlated with known subsurface information. The indirect detection of fluids coming from an abandoned well may be easier than the detection of the actual well casing using this method.

6.6 *Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)*—It may be possible to locate uncased wells or wells with nonmetallic casings using ground penetrating radar (GPR). The GPR method uses high frequency radio waves to measure the transmission of electromagnetic energy through the subsurface, and its reflection by interfaces in the subsurface at which electrical properties vary. Variations in electrical properties result from changes in moisture content, bulk density, grain size, and clay content.

6.6.1 GPR is suited to detecting the disruption of subsurface layering associated with well construction or for situations in which an uncased abandoned well contains void space or homogeneous fill. Well casing also may be detected as a point reflector in a GPR survey record if the radar antenna is pulled directly over the well. Radar surveys should be conducted and interpreted by trained personnel.

7. Report

7.1 When an abandoned well, which has not been previously located, has been identified, the location and other pertinent data should be forwarded to the local, state, or federal agency having jurisdictional control of the well location. Most of these agencies are identified in [Appendix X1-Appendix X3](#).

8. Keywords

8.1 abandoned wells; aerial photography; decommissioned wells; geophysical methods; remote sensing; well location

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. Geological Surveys in the United States and its territories and Canada

X1.1 *United States:*

ALABAMA
Geological Survey of Alabama
420 Hackberr Lane
P.O. Box O
Tuscaloosa, AL 35486-9780
(205) 349-2852
fax (205) 349-2861

ALASKA
Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys
794 University Avenue, Suite 200
Fairbanks, AK 99709-3645
(907) 474-7147
fax (907) 479-4779

ARIZONA
Arizona Geological Survey
416 West Congress Street, Suite 100
Tucson, AZ 85701
(520) 770-3500
fax (520) 770-3505

ARKANSAS
Arkansas Geological Commission
3815 West Roosevelt Road
Little Rock, AR 72204
(501) 663-9714
fax (501) 663-7360

CALIFORNIA
California Division of Mines and Geology
Department of Conservation
801 "K" Street, MS 12-30
Sacramento, CA 95814-3531
(916) 445-1923
fax (916) 445-5718

COLORADO
Colorado Geological Survey
1313 Sherman Street, Room 715
Denver, CO 80203
(303) 866-2611
fax (303) 866-2461

CONNECTICUT
Connecticut Geological and Natural History Survey
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106-5127
(860) 424-3540
fax (860) 566-7292

DELAWARE
Delaware Geological Survey
University of Delaware
DGS Building
Newark, DE 19716-7501

(302) 831-2833
fax (302) 831-3579
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Geologist of Washington DC
Department of Biological and Environmental Science
University of the District of Columbia MB44-04
4200 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20008-1154

FLORIDA
Florida Geological Survey
903 West Tennessee Street
Tallahassee, FL 32304-7700
(904) 488-4191
fax (904) 488-8086

GEORGIA
Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Geological Survey
19 Martin Luther King Drive, Room 400
Atlanta, GA 30334
(404) 656-3214
fax (404) 657-8379

HAWAII
Department of Land and Natural Resources
Division of Land and Water Development
P.O. Box 373
Honolulu, HI 96809
(808) 587-0230
fax (808) 587-0283

IDAHO
Idaho Geological Survey
Room 332 Morrill Hall
University of Idaho
Moscow, ID 83843
(208) 885-7991
fax (208) 885-5826

ILLINOIS
Illinois Geological Survey
Natural Resources Building
615 East Peabody Drive
Champaign, IL 61820-6964
(217) 333-4747
fax (217) 244-7004

INDIANA
Indiana Geological Survey
611 North Walnut Grove
Bloomington, IN 47405
(812) 855-9350
fax (812) 855-2862

IOWA
Iowa Geological Survey Bureau/DNR

123 North Capitol Street
109 Trowbridge Hall
Iowa City, IA 52242-1319
(319) 335-1575
fax (319) 335-2754

KANSAS
Kansas Geological Survey
1930 Constant Avenue, West Campus
University of Kansas
Lawrence, KS 66047
(913) 864-3965
fax (913) 864-5317

KENTUCKY
Kentucky Geological Survey
228 Mining and Mineral Resources Building
University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY 40506-0107
(606) 257-5500
fax (606) 257-1147

LOUISIANA
Louisiana Geological Survey
University Station, Box G
Baton Rouge, LA 70893-4107
(504) 388-5320
fax (504) 338-5328

MAINE
Maine Geological Survey
Department of Conservation
State House Station No. 22
Augusta, ME 04333-0022
(207) 287-2801
fax (207) 287-2353

MARYLAND
Maryland Geological Survey
2300 St. Paul Street
Baltimore, MD 21218-5210
(410) 554-5559
fax (410) 554-5502

MASSACHUSETTS
Massachusetts Office of Environmental Affairs
100 Cambridge Street, 20th Floor
Boston, MA 02202
(617) 727-9800
fax (617) 727-2754

MICHIGAN
Michigan Geological Survey
735 E. Hazel Street
Box 30028
Lansing, MI 48909-7756
(517) 334-6907
fax (517) 334-6038

MINNESOTA
Minnesota Geological Survey
University of Minnesota
2642 University Avenue
St. Paul, MN 55114-1057
(612) 627-4780
fax (612) 627-4778

MISSISSIPPI
Mississippi Office of Geology
Department of Environmental Quality
Southport Center
2380 Highway 80 West
P.O. Box 20307
Jackson, MS 39289-1307
(601) 961-5500
fax (601) 961-5521

MISSOURI
Missouri Department of Natural Resources

Geological Survey
111 Fairgrounds Road
P.O. Box 250
Rolla, MO 65402
(573) 368-2100
fax (573) 368-2111

MONTANA
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology
1300 West Park Street
Montana Tech of the University of Montana
Butte, MT 59701-8997
(406) 496-4167
fax (406) 496-4451

NEBRASKA
Nebraska Conservation and Survey Division
University of Nebraska
113 Nebraska Hall
901 North 17th Street
Lincoln, NE 68588-0517
(402) 472-3471
fax (402) 472-2410

NEVADA
Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology

University of Nevada, Reno
Reno, NV 89557-0088
(702) 784-6691
fax (702) 784-1709

NEW HAMPSHIRE
New Hampshire Geological Survey
Department of Environmental Sciences
P.O. Box 2008
Concord, NH 03302-2008
(603) 271-3406
fax (603) 271-6588

NEW JERSEY
New Jersey Geological Survey
Department of Environmental Protection
P.O. Box CN-427
Trenton, NJ 08625-0427
(609) 292-1185
fax (609) 633-1004

NEW MEXICO
New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources
Campus Station
Socorro, NM 87801
(505) 835-5420
fax (505) 835-6333

NEW YORK
New York Geological Survey
NY State Museum
Empire State Plaza
3140 Cultural Education Center
Albany, NY 12230
(518) 474-5816
fax (518) 473-8496

NORTH CAROLINA
North Carolina Geological Survey
Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources
P.O. Box 27687
Raleigh, NC 27611-7687
(919) 733-3833
fax (919) 733-4407

NORTH DAKOTA
North Dakota Geological Survey
600 East Boulevard Avenue
Bismark, ND 58505-0840
(701) 328-9700
fax (701) 328-9898

OHIO

Ohio Department of Natural Resources
Ohio Geological Survey
4383 Fountain Square
Columbus, OH 43224-1362
(614) 265-6576
fax (614) 447-1918

OKLAHOMA

Oklahoma Geological Survey
100 East Boyd, Room N-131
Norman, OK 73019-0628
(405) 325-3031
fax (405) 325-7069

OREGON

Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries
800 Northeast Oregon Street No. 28
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 731-4100
fax (503) 731-4066

PENNSYLVANIA

Pennsylvania Bureau of Topographic and Geologic Survey
Department of Environmental Resources
P.O. Box 8453
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8453
(717) 787-2169
fax (717) 783-7267

RHODE ISLAND

Office of the Rhode Island State Geologist
Department of Geology
University of Rhode Island
Kingston, RI 02881
(410) 792-2265
fax (410) 792-2190

SOUTH CAROLINA

South Carolina Geological Survey
5 Geology Road
Columbia, SC 29210-4089
(803) 896-7708
fax (803) 896-7695

SOUTH DAKOTA

South Dakota Geological Survey
University of South Dakota Science Center
414 Clark Street
Vermillion, SD 57069-2390
(605) 677-5227
fax (605) 677-5898

TENNESSEE

Tennessee Division of Geology
401 Church Street
Life and Casualty Tower, 13th Floor
Nashville, TN 37243-0445
(615) 532-1500
fax (615) 532-0231

TEXAS

Texas Bureau of Economic Geology
The University of Texas at Austin
Box X, University Station
Austin, TX 78713-7508
(512) 471-1534
fax (512) 471-0140

UTAH

Utah Geological Survey
2363 South Foothill Drive
Salt Lake City, UT 84109-1492
(801) 467-7979
fax (801) 467-4070

VERMONT

Vermont Geological Survey
Agency of Natural Resources
103 South Main Street
Center Building
Waterbury, VT 05671-03001
(802) 241-3496
fax (802) 244-1102

VIRGINIA

Virginia Division of Mineral Resources
P.O. Box 3667
Charlottesville, VA 22903
(804) 293-5121
fax (804) 293-2239

WASHINGTON

Washington Department of Natural Resources
Division of Geology and Earth Resources
P.O. Box 47007
Olympia, WA 98504-7007
(360) 902-1000
fax (360) 902-1785

WEST VIRGINIA

West Virginia Geologic and Economic Survey
Mont Chateau Research Center
P.O. Box 879
Morgantown, WV 26507-0879
(304) 594-2331
fax (304) 594-2575

WISCONSIN

Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey
3817 Mineral Point Road
Madison, WI 53705-5100
(608) 262-1705
fax (608) 262-8086

WYOMING

Geological Survey of Wyoming
Box 3008
University Station
Laramie, WY 82071-3008
(307) 766-2286
fax (307) 766-2605

X1.2 U.S. Territories:

GUAM

Department of Agriculture
P.O. Box 2950
Agana GU 96910
(671) 734-3948

NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

Natural Resources Department
Office of the Governor
Saipan MP 96950
(670) 322-9830

PUERTO RICO

Puerto Rico Department of Natural Resources
Division of Geology
Box 5887
Puerta de Tierra Station
San Juan PR 00906
(809) 722-2526
fax (809) 724-0365

U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS

Department of Planning and Natural Resources
Nisky Center, Suite 231
St. Thomas, VI 00802
(809) 774-3320